

# 156 Responses From 25 Countries: FOI Requests Affirm That No Record of SARS-Cov-2 Isolation Exists Anywhere

[156 Responses From 25 Countries: FOI Requests Affirm That No Record of SARS-Cov-2 Isolation Exists Anywhere](#)

*“As of January 4, 2022: 156 institutions and offices in over 25 countries have responded thus far, as well as some “SARS-COV-2 isolation” study authors, and none have provided or cited any record describing actual “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification.”*

[Truth Comes to Light editor’s note: The following excerpt is from Christine Massey’s webpage where she is continuing to add new documents as they arrive. Check back at her site [HERE](#) to stay updated on her work.]

[FOIs reveal that health/science institutions around the world \(156 and counting!\) have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification, anywhere, ever](#)

by [Christine Massey](#), *Flouride Free Peel*

January 4, 2022

Would a sane person mix a patient sample (containing various sources of genetic material and never proven to contain any alleged “virus”) with transfected monkey kidney cells, fetal bovine serum and toxic drugs, then claim that the resulting concoction is “SARS-COV-2 isolate” and ship it off internationally for use in critical research (including vaccine and test development)?

Because that’s the sort of fraudulent monkey business that’s being passed off as “virus isolation” by research teams around the world.

Just 1 of many examples is shown below – this is from a study [cited by the Australian Department of Health](#) as a paper “*which led to the isolation of SARS-CoV-2 in culture*”. (Can you spot the oxymoron in that quote?)

## *2.1 Cell culture of SARS-CoV-2 and electron microscopy*

Vero/hSLAM cells (African green monkey kidney cells transfected to express the human signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM; also known as CDw150)<sup>1</sup> were grown at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in maintenance media consisting of 10mL Earle's minimum essential medium (EMEM), 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Bovogen Biologicals, Keilor East, AUS) 2mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1500mg/L sodium bicarbonate, 15 mM HEPES and 0.4mg/ml geneticin to 95% confluency in 25cm<sup>2</sup> flasks. Prior to use for isolation, maintenance media was removed from the flask and 500µL of respiratory swab inoculum was overlaid on the cell monolayer. The flask was returned to the 37°C incubator to allow the virus to adsorb for 1 hour before addition of 10 mL viral culture media (EMEM as above but FBS reduced to 2%). Flasks were monitored for viral cytopathic effect and 140µL aliquots of supernatant removed every 48 hours to assess virus burden by TaqMan real-time RT-PCR. First passage culture grown virus isolate was subsequently shipped nationally and internationally in packaging compliant with UN 2814 Category A shipping requirements using credentialed, specialised courier services under the appropriate Australian government export approvals processes and receiving country import permissions.

If you're new to the topic of "virus isolation/purification", I strongly recommend that you begin by reading the [Statement On Virus Isolation](#) by Dr. Andrew Kaufman, Dr. Thomas Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell or watch this [5 minute video](#) from Dr. Cowan. Or go [here](#) for many more resources, and corroborating evidence.

A [colleague](#) in New Zealand and I (CM) and many other people around the world have been submitting Freedom of Information requests to institutions in various countries seeking records that describe the isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" from any unadulterated sample taken from a diseased patient.

The reason: without the crucial step of isolation/purification

having been performed (from many patients, followed by characterization, sequencing and controlled experiments), there is no way to claim scientifically that the alleged “novel coronavirus” (blamed for widespread death/disease/lockdown measures) actually exists.

Without this step having been performed and followed by characterization, sequencing and controlled experiments, all claims of this alleged “virus” are nothing but wild speculation backed only by fraudulent science, fraudulent tests and fraud-based diagnoses.

The requests submitted by my colleague in NZ and I (and many of the other submitters) have **not** been limited to records of isolation performed by the respective institution, or limited to records authored by the respective institution, rather they were open to any records describing “COVID-19 virus” (aka “SARS-COV-2”) isolation/purification performed by anyone, ever, anywhere on the planet.

Thus far (January 4, 2022) 45 Canadian institutions have provided their responses: [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) (and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#), this one re the alleged “UK variant” aka “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha”; and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) re any alleged virus/variant, and another from [Public Health Agency of Canada](#) re ANY type of alleged virus at all), [Health Canada](#) (and another from [Health Canada](#); and another from [Health Canada](#)), the [National Research Council of Canada](#), [Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre \(VIDO-InterVac\)](#), [Canadian Institutes of Health Research](#), [Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada](#), [Patented Medicine Prices Review Board Canada](#), [Royal Canadian Mounted Police \(RCMP\)](#), [Public Health Ontario](#), [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) (and another from [Ontario Ministry of Health](#) re “delta variant”, [University Health Network](#), [Ontario Ministry of the Solicitor General and Ontario Provincial Police](#), [Alberta](#)

[Ministry of Health](#), [Alberta Premier Jason Kenney](#), [his Office and Executive Council](#), [Calgary Police Service](#), [Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec](#) (another from [Public Health Quebec](#)), [British Columbia's Ministry of Health](#) (re "the UK variant"), [British Columbia's Centre for Disease Control](#), [British Columbia's Provincial Health Services Authority](#) (2 responses, 1 re "SARS-COV-2", 1 re the alleged "B.1.1.7" aka "Alpha variant" aka "UK variant"), [Vancouver Coastal Health Authority](#) (re "B.1.1.7" aka "Alpha variant" aka "UK variant"), [Newfoundland Labrador Department of Health & Community Services](#), [New Brunswick's Department/Ministry of Health](#), [McGill University](#), [University of Ottawa](#), [University of Waterloo](#), [Dalhousie University](#), the [City of Toronto](#), [Toronto Police](#) (and another from [Toronto Police](#)), [Halton Region](#), [Hamilton Police Service](#) (Ontario), the [Region of Peel](#) (Ontario), [Region of Durham](#) (Ontario); [KFL&A Public Health](#) (Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington, Ontario, re "any variant"), [Grey Bruce Health Services](#), [Grey Bruce Health Unit](#), [Niagara Regional Police Service](#), [Peterborough Public Health \(Ontario\)](#), [Peterborough Police Service](#) (Ontario) (another from [Peterborough Police](#)), [Aylmer Police Service](#) (Ontario) (and another from [Aylmer Police](#)), [Woodstock Police Service](#) (Ontario), [Hastings Prince Edward Public Health](#) (Ontario), the [University of Toronto](#), [Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre](#), [McMaster University](#) and [Mount Sinai Hospital](#) (Toronto) (note that researchers from the last 4 institutions had publicly claimed to have "isolated the virus", as had VIDO-Intervac).

Every institution has failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing the isolation aka purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" directly from a patient sample that was not first adulterated with other sources of genetic material. (Those other sources are typically monkey kidney aka "Vero" cells and fetal bovine serum).

(And, to our knowledge, no one on the planet has ever purified

the alleged “virus” even from a cell culture!)

For some insight into what’s really going on:

[Canadian public health officials have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification performed anywhere, ever](#)

As of January 4, 2022: **156 institutions and offices in over 25 countries** have responded thus far, as well as some “SARS-COV-2 isolation” study authors, and none have provided or cited any record describing actual “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification. All of the responses in our collection are available from this page.

**Excel file listing the 156 institutions** (last updated January 4, 2022):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Institution-list-for-website18.xls>

**Google drive folder** where all of the FOI documents as of December 5, 2021 are grouped by country (for the most part) and compiled into 10 pdfs:

<https://tinyurl.com/IsolationFOIs>

Check back [here](#) for regular updates.

In their FOI responses, numerous institutions have made it explicitly clear that **isolation/purification is simply never done in virology**, and that “isolation” in virology means the exact opposite of what it means in everyday English. This is also evidenced in every “virus isolation” paper we have ever seen, for any alleged “virus”.

| 1  | Country / Region | Institution  |
|----|------------------|--|
| 2  | Australia        | University of Western Australia  |
| 3  | Australia        | ACT Government (Government of the Australian Capital Territory) / Canberra Health Services (CHS)                       |
| 4  | Australia        | Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO   |
| 5  | Australia        | Department of Health   |
| 6  | Australia        | New South Wales Ministry of Health   |
| 7  | Australia        | Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity   |
| 8  | Australia        | South Australia Minister for Health and Wellbeing  |
| 9  | Australia        | Western Australia Minister & Dept of Health  |
| 10 | Brazil           | Anvisa (Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency)  |
| 11 | Brazil           | Ministry of Health   |
| 12 | Britain          | Health and Safety Executive  |
| 13 | British Isles    | Isle of Man Department of Health and Social Care   |
| 14 | Canada           | Aylmer Police Services   |
| 15 | Canada           | Centre for Disease Control, British Columbia   |
| 16 | Canada           | City of Toronto, Ontario   |
| 17 | Canada           | Department of Health & Community Services, Newfoundland Labrador   |
| 18 | Canada           | Halton Region  |
| 19 | Canada           | Hastings Prince Edward Public Health   |
| 20 | Canada           | Health Canada  |
| 21 | Canada           | Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec  |
| 22 | Canada           | Institutes of Health Research  |
| 23 | Canada           | Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington Public Health, Ontario (re "any variant")                                    |
| 24 | Canada           | McGill University  |
| 25 | Canada           | Ministry of Health, British Columbia   |
| 26 | Canada           | Ministry of Health, Ontario  |
| 27 | Canada           | Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario   |
| 28 | Canada           | National Research Council  |
| 29 | Canada           | Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada  |
| 30 | Canada           | New Brunswick Department of Health   |
| 31 | Canada           | Peterborough Police Service  |
| 32 | Canada           | Peterborough Public Health   |
| 33 | Canada           | Provincial Health Services Authority, British Columbia   |
| 34 | Canada           | Region of Durham Public Health Department, Ontario   |
| 35 | Canada           | Region of Peel, Ontario  |
| 36 | Canada           | Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario  |
| 37 | Canada           | Toronto Police Service   |
| 38 | Canada           | University of Ottawa   |
| 39 | Canada           | University of Toronto  |
| 40 | Canada           | Vancouver Coastal Health Authority, British Columbia (re "the UK variant")   |
| 41 | Canada           | Grey Bruce Health Services   |
| 42 | Canada           | Grey Bruce Health Unit   |
| 43 | Canada           | McMaster University  |
| 44 | Canada           | Public Health Agency of Canada   |
| 45 | Canada           | Public Health Ontario (aka Ontario Agency for Health Protection and Promotion)   |
| 46 | Canada           | Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-International Vaccine Centre (VIDO-InterVac) at University of Saskatchewan |
| 47 | Czech Republic   | Ministry of Health   |
| 48 | Czech Republic   | Univerzita Karlova   |
| 49 | Denmark          | Statens Serum Institut   |
| 50 | England          | Pennine Acute NHS Trust  |
| 51 | England          | Public Health England  |
| 52 | England          | Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust   |
| 53 | Europe           | Centre for Disease Prevention and Control  |
| 54 | India            | Indian Council of Medical Research   |
| 55 | Italy            | Istituto Nazionale Malattie Infettive "Lazzaro Spallanzani"  |
| 56 | Netherlands      | Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport  |
| 57 | New Zealand      | Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salea   |

|    |                          |  |
|----|--------------------------|--|
| 58 | New Zealand              | Associate Minister of Health Hon Peeni Henare  |
| 59 | New Zealand              | Associate Minister of Health Julie Anne Genter   |
| 60 | New Zealand              | Bay of Plenty District Board   |
| 61 | New Zealand              | Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet   |
| 62 | New Zealand              | Institute of Environmental Science and Research - ESR  |
| 63 | New Zealand              | Ministry of Health   |
| 64 | New Zealand              | University of Auckland   |
| 65 | New Zealand              | University of Otago  |
| 66 | Norway                   | Norwegian Ministry of Health and Cares Services & subordinate agency the Directorate of Health                       |
| 67 | Phillipines              | Research Institute for Tropical Medicine, Department of Health   |
| 68 | Portugal                 | Ministry of Health   |
| 69 | Republic of Columbia     | Ministry of Health & Social Protection   |
| 70 | Republic of Ireland      | National Virus Reference Laboratory, University College Dublin   |
| 71 | Republic of Serbia       | Ministry of Health   |
| 72 | Republic of South Africa | Governmental COVID-19 Advisory Committee   |
| 73 | Republic of South Africa | Minister of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs  |
| 74 | Republic of South Africa | National Department of Health  |
| 75 | Republic of South Africa | National Institute for Communicable Diseases   |
| 76 | Republic of South Africa | President  |
| 77 | Scotland                 | Public Health Scotland   |
| 78 | Slovenia                 | Department of Health   |
| 79 | Slovenia                 | University of Ljubljana - Faculty of Medicine and Institute of Microbiology and Immunology (2 separate requests)     |
| 80 | Slovenia                 | Univerzitetni klinični center Ljubljana UKCLJ (University Medical Centre Ljubljana)                                  |
| 81 | Slovenia                 | National Laboratory for Health, Environment and Food (Nacionalnega laboratorija za zdravje, okolje in hrano - NLZOH) |
| 82 | Spain                    | Ministry of Health   |
| 83 | Sweden                   | Public Health Authority (Folkhälsomyndigheten)   |
| 84 | Ukraine                  | Ministry of Health   |
| 85 | United Kingdom           | Cabinet Office   |
| 86 | United Kingdom           | Department of Health and Social Care   |

|     |                          |   |
|-----|--------------------------|---|
| 87  | United Kingdom           | Government Office for Science   |
| 88  | United Kingdom           | House of Commons  |
| 89  | United Kingdom           | House of Lords  |
| 90  | United Kingdom           | Imperial College London   |
| 91  | United Kingdom           | Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency                               |
| 92  | United Kingdom           | Prime Minister's Office   |
| 93  | United Kingdom           | University of Warwick   |
| 94  | United States of America | Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry                                  |
| 95  | United States of America | Arkansas Department of Health   |
| 96  | United States of America | California Department of Public Health  |
| 97  | United States of America | Centers for Disease Control and Prevention  |
| 98  | United States of America | Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Health                                |
| 99  | United States of America | Commonwealth of Massachusetts Office of the Governor                              |
| 100 | United States of America | Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services                           |
| 101 | United States of America | Department of Health and Human Services   |
| 102 | United States of America | Metro Public Health Department, Nashville, Tennessee                              |
| 103 | United States of America | Michigan Department of Health and Human Services                                  |
| 104 | United States of America | Montgomery County, Pennsylvania   |
| 105 | United States of America | National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases - NIAID                     |
| 106 | United States of America | New York State Department of Health   |
| 107 | United States of America | Oregon Health Authority   |
| 108 | United States of America | Oregon Health & Science University  |
| 109 | United States of America | Pennsylvania Department of Health   |
| 110 | United States of America | Washington DC Department of Health  |
| 111 | Uruguay                  | Clemente Stabile Biological Research Institute, Ministry of Education and Culture |
| 112 | Uruguay                  | University of the Republic (Udelar) Faculty of Chemistry                          |
| 113 | Uruguay                  | Ministry of Public Health   |
| 114 | Wales                    | Public Health Wales   |

(Yes, we are aware of the many publications wherein authors



claim to have “isolated the virus”. We’ve looked at numerous such studies and have yet to see one where they actually did so. Claiming to have done something and actually doing it are sometimes 2 different things, even in peer-reviewed science.

And yes we are aware of the many published alleged “SARS-COV-2 genomes” – these were in fact manufactured, not discovered.

And yes we are aware that EM photos have been published, allegedly of “the virus”, however a photo of something does not tell you what the thing is, where it came from or what it does. One has to scrutinize the Methods used to “isolate the virus” / obtain said photos / obtain alleged genomes, and that is when absolutely **everything** falls apart with “COVID-19” (and virology in general).

[Read the full webpage with updates](#)

[Connect with Christine Massey](#)

*cover image credit: [janrye](#) / pixabay*