

5G Protests Worldwide

[5G Protests Worldwide](#)

by [Environmental Health Trust](#)

December 24, 2020

Worldwide Action

Over [600 cities in Italy](#) have passed resolutions to halt 5G, as have cities throughout Europe, such as [Trafford, United Kingdom](#), [Lille, France](#), [Ormidia, Cyprus](#), [Balchik, Bulgaria](#).

The Pancyprian Medical Association and Cyprus National Committee on the Environment and Child Health sent Parliament their position paper "[The Risks to Public Health from the Use of the 5G Network.](#)"

Bermuda has halted 5G pending a report on safety and hosted a 2020 Consultation. Switzerland's report on 5G health effects resulted in the [Parliament's refusal](#) to loosen their radiation limits despite heavy industry lobbying efforts.

The Netherlands issued a [5G report](#) that recommended measuring radiation levels and also recommended against using the 26 GHz frequency band for 5G "for as long as the potential health risks have not been investigated." [Read more about 5G policy actions worldwide here.](#)

The New Hampshire Commission to Study the Environmental and Health Effects of Evolving 5G Technology released its [final report](#) with 15 recommendations to reduce public exposure, increase transparency and strengthen federal regulations. Their [5G Report](#) recommends US federal agencies coordinate "to protect people, wildlife, and the environment from harmful levels of radiation" and states "until there is Federal action, New Hampshire should take the initiative to protect

its environment.” The Commission recommendations include a public education campaign on reducing exposure, replacing Wi-Fi with wired (not wireless) networks in schools and independently funded health studies.

Oregon’s [SB 283](#) requires the Oregon Health Authority to review the peer-reviewed, independently funded scientific studies of the health effects of exposure to microwave radiation, particularly children’s exposure from wireless in schools.

[Hawai’i County](#), [Easton Connecticut](#), [Keene New Hampshire](#) and [Farragut Tennessee](#) have passed resolutions to halt 5G. The Coconut Creek Florida Commission adopted a [Resolution on 5G and radiofrequency radiation](#) “imploring the US Congress to allocate funding and direct a cross discipline federal agency study of the effects caused by exposure to current and proposed electromagnetic spectrum and radiofrequency commissions on human health and the environment in light of the recent implementation of fifth generation technology and to use those findings to create science based laws or rules regarding limiting human and environmental exposure.”

Several cities are passing resolutions calling for local control of the placement of 5G and “small cell” towers as federal FCC and new state 5g streamlining laws have stripped their local authority. Greendale Wisconsin passed a [Resolution R2018-20](#) referring to the FCC’s actions stripping local authority as “an unprecedented attack on local control” which “threaten the Village of Greendale’s responsibility to protect the health, safety and welfare of its residents.”

Oak Brook Illinois’ [Resolution 2020-ITGL-R-1891](#) and the City of Jersey City, New Jerseys [Resolution 20-362](#) both call for local control. The [Hallandale Beach Florida Resolution](#) urges the federal government to initiate independent health studies on 5G. The [Carmel City, Indiana Council](#) approved a resolution asking state lawmakers, the Federal Communications Commission

and Congress to limit 5G technology deployment in Indiana until the health effects are fully understood. Crown Castle withdrew applications after Western Springs Illinois [sent this letter.](#)

Numerous US cities and towns are passing ordinances to restrict 5G and the proliferation of wireless antennas near homes and schools with the authority they have. For example Los Altos, California passed an ordinance that does the following:

- Prohibits installation of small cells on public utility easements in residential neighborhoods
- 500 foot setbacks for small cells for multi-family residences in commercial districts
- 500 ft separation from schools
- 1500 ft separation between nodes

Other communities that have passed ordinances to restrict cell antennas near homes and schools include numerous cities in California such as Petaluma, Mill Valley, Malibu, Santa Barbara, Encinitas, Fairfax, Palo Alto, Walnut City and San Diego County as well as Bedford New Hampshire, Mason Ohio and more.