A Farewell to Virology (Expert Edition)

A Farewell to Virology (Expert Edition)

[Truth Comes to Light editor's note: Below you will find the abstract & the postscript for Dr. Mark Bailey's essay entitled "A Farewell to Virology (Expert Edition)". Use the links provided to view the entire 67-page report at Mark & Samantha Bailey's website.]

Read & Download the Full 67-Page Essay in PDF Format

A Farewell to Virology (Expert Edition)

by **Dr. Mark Bailey**

September 15, 2022

Abstract

Virology invented the virus model but has consistently failed to fulfil its own requirements. It is claimed that viruses cause disease after transmitting between hosts such as humans and yet the scientific evidence for these claims is missing. One of virology's greatest failures has been the inability to obtain any viral particles directly from the tissues of organisms said to have "viral" diseases. In order to obfuscate this state of affairs, virologists have resorted to creating their own pseudoscientific methods to replace the longstanding scientific method, as well as changing the dictionary meaning of words in order to support their anti-scientific practices. For instance, an "isolated" isolate does not require the

physical existence of the particles in order to be afforded "isolation" status.

A viral particle must fulfil defined physical and biological properties including being a replication-competent intracellular parasite capable of causing disease in a host such as a human. However, "viruses" such as SARS-CoV-2 are nothing more than phantom constructs, existing only in imaginations and computer simulations. In this paradigm, cases of invented diseases like COVID-19 are nothing more than the detection of selected genetic sequences and proteins purported to be "viral." The existence of a virus is not required in this loop of circular reasoning and thus entire "pandemics" can be built upon digital creations and falsely sustained through in vitro ("test tube") molecular reactions.

This essay contains three parts. Part One outlines some of the history of virology and the failures of the virologists to follow the scientific method. The many and far-reaching claims of the virologists can all be shown to be flawed due to: (a) the lack of direct evidence, and (b) the invalidation of indirect "evidence" due to the uncontrolled nature of the experiments. The examples provided cover all major aspects of the virological fraud including alleged isolation, cytopathic effects, genomics, antibodies, and animal pathogenicity studies.

Part Two examines the fraud used to propagate the COVID-19 "pandemic." A breakdown of the methodology relied upon by the original inventors Fan Wu et al., shows how the fictional SARS-CoV-2 was "created" through anti-scientific methods and linguistic sleights of hands. It is part of an ongoing deception where viruses are claimed to exist by templating them against previous "virus" templates. Using SARS-CoV-2 as an example, the trail of "coronavirus" genomic templates going back to the 1980s reveals that none of these genetic sequences have ever been shown to come from inside any viral particle — the phylogenetic trees are fantasies. The misapplication of

the polymerase chain reaction has propagated this aspect of virology's fraud and created the 'cases' to maintain the illusion of a pandemic. Part Three provides an analysis of how some key participants, "health" institutions, and the mainstream media maintain the virus illusion through information control and narratives that parrot virology's claims. By way of happenstance, the virological fraud now finds itself front and centre of the COVID-19 fraud. From here, however, it can be critically appraised by those outside virology and the pseudoscientific paradigm virology has built around itself can finally be dismantled and laid to rest.

The aim of this essay is to provide refutations to various claims that pathogenic viruses exist and cause disease. SARS-CoV-2 has been used as the main example but the principles all alleged viruses. What follows addresses apply to virology's often arcane literature on its own terms, which, it should be said, may make parts of this essay somewhat heavy reading. However, it is hoped that this contribution will fill a niche for the reader seeking a more technical understanding of the virus hypothesis as it seeks to expose the very foundation of purported pandemics and fraudulent medical practices. The threat of virology to humanity is increasing so time we bid farewell to these destructive pseudoscientific practices and free ourselves from unnecessary fears.

Postscript

No matter how long an essay covering this topic may be, there will always be more questions in the form of, "but what about...?" The desire to fit observed phenomena to the virus model is strongly programmed on many levels. It was not the intention of this essay to explain peripheral observations or the cause of various illnesses in organisms such as humans. As has been detailed, it only needs to be demonstrated that the

viral hypothesis has refuted itself on its own terms. The virologists have provided no direct evidence of pathogenic viruses and instead have resorted to indirect observations that are invalided due to the uncontrolled nature of the experiments. Additionally, adhering to the scientific method places us under no obligation to provide an alternative explanation for these phenomena — when a hypothesis has been falsified, even once, it is done for. Tragically, the explanations to many of the "but what about...?" questions have already been answered elsewhere but the seduction of the "virus" and the juggernaut of surrounding interests have formed an artificial knowledge barrier for many people. In this light, I have endeavoured to serve the highest purpose I know and hope that my contributions will help humanity throw off the imaginary viral shackles once and for all.

Progress consists, not in the increase of truth, but in freeing it from its wrappings. The truth is obtained like gold, not by letting it grow bigger, but by washing off from it everything that isn't gold. — Leo Tolstoy

Read & Download the Full 67-Page Essay in PDF Format

Connect with Drs Mark & Sam Bailey

image credit: <u>nicolasdebraypointcom</u>