

# A Vital Paper: David Crowe Challenges the Discovery of the COVID-19 Virus

[A Vital Paper: David Crowe challenges the discovery of the COVID-19 virus](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#)

April 24, 2020

Canadian author and independent researcher, David Crowe, has spent several decades analyzing and torpedoing SPECIFICS of conventional medical research. At the deepest level.

I'm talking about, for example, the mainstream claims of discovering new viruses.

Crowe doesn't lay on vague brushstrokes. He goes to the core of fabrications and exposes them, chapter and verse.

His new paper, which he continues to update and expand, is: ["Flaws in Coronavirus Pandemic Theory"](#).

Here I quote from the section of his paper where he takes up the question of discovery—have researchers actually found a new virus which they assert is the cause of a new pandemic, COVID-19?

At the end of this article, I list the published papers Crowe refers to by number, as he takes apart the very basis of the COVID illusion.

David Crowe: "Scientists are detecting novel RNA in multiple patients with pneumonia-like conditions, and are assuming that the detection of RNA (which is believed to be wrapped in

proteins to form an RNA virus, as coronaviruses are believed to be) is equivalent to isolation of the virus. It is not, and one of the groups of scientists was honest enough to admit this”:

“‘we did not perform tests for detecting infectious virus in blood’” [2]

“But, despite this admission, earlier in the paper they repeatedly referred to the 41 cases (out of 59 similar cases) that tested positive for this RNA as, ‘41 patients...confirmed to be infected with 2019-nCoV’.”

“Another paper quietly admitted that”:

“‘our study does not fulfill Koch’s postulates’” [1]

“Koch’s postulates, first stated by the great German bacteriologist Robert Koch in the late 1800s, can simply be stated as”:

“\* Purify the pathogen (e.g. virus) from many cases with a particular illness.

\* Expose susceptible animals (obviously not humans) to the pathogen.

\* Verify that the same illness is produced.

\* Some add that you should also re-purify the pathogen, just to be sure that it really is creating the illness.”

“Famous virologist Thomas Rivers stated in a 1936 speech, ‘It is obvious that Koch’s postulates have not been satisfied in viral diseases’. That was a long time ago, but the same problem still continues. None of the papers referenced in this article have even attempted to purify the virus. And the word ‘isolation’ has been so debased by virologists it means nothing (e.g. adding impure materials to a cell culture and seeing cell death is ‘isolation’).”

“Reference [1] did publish electron [microscope] micrographs,

but it can clearly be seen in the lesser magnified photo, that the particles believed to be coronavirus are not purified as the quantity of material that is cellular is much greater. The paper notes that the photos are from 'human airway epithelial cells'. Also consider that the photo included in the article will certainly be the 'best' photo, i.e. the one with the greatest number of particles. Lab technicians may be encouraged to spend hours to look around to find the most photogenic image, the one that most looks like pure virus."

"There is no way to tell that the RNA being used in the new coronavirus PCR test is found in those particles seen under the electron micrograph. There is no connection between the test, and the particles, and no proof that the particles are viral."

"A similar situation was revealed in March 1997 concerning HIV, when two papers published in the same issue of the journal 'Virology' revealed that the vast majority of what had previously been called 'pure HIV' was impurities that were clearly not HIV, and the mixture also included microvesicles that look very similar to HIV under an electron microscope, but are of cellular origin." [5][6]

#### References:

1. Zhu N et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. 2020 Jan 14.

\* <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2001017>

2. Huang C et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020 Jan 24.

\*

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(20\)30183-5/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)30183-5/fulltext)

3. Chan J F-W et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. Lancet.

2020 Jan 24.

\*

[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(20\)30154-9/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(20)30154-9/fulltext)

4. Rivers TM. Viruses and Koch's Postulates. J Bacteriol. 1937 Jan; 33(1): 1-12.

\* <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC545348/>

5. Gluschkof P et al. Cell membrane vesicles are a major contaminant of gradient-enriched human immunodeficiency virus type-1 preparations. Virology. 1997 Mar 31; 230(1): 125- 133.

\*

<http://davidcrowe.ca/SciHealthEnv/papers/277-Microvesicles-Gluschkof.pdf>

6. Bess JW et al. Microvesicles Are a Source of Contaminating Cellular Proteins Found in Purified HIV-1 Preparations. Virology. 1997 Mar 31; 230(1): 134- 44.

\* <http://davidcrowe.ca/SciHealthEnv/papers/278>

—end of Crowe article excerpt—

In a half-sane world, David Crowe's analysis would provoke an open honest discussion and debate among all sorts of scientists and researchers, and the repressed truth would tumble out and be confirmed.

Of course, we do not live in that world.

Instead, we have mistake-prone researchers and outright liars welcomed into the hallowed pages of medical journals. They are enabled by editors who look the other way.

The consequences, of course, aren't merely academic.

A planet can be placed on lockdown.

Do I really need to say this at this late date—without the discovery of an actual disease-causing virus, the whole

“pandemic” falls apart. The whole “spreading virus” assertion falls apart.