

Bioweapons: The Myth of Man-Made Pathogens

[Bioweapons: The Myth of Man-Made Pathogens](#)

[Truth Comes to Light editor's note: For the convenience of our readers, we have prepared a transcript which can be found below the information shared by Immanuel Project. This video was originally recorded in German and a voice-over has been provided by Immanuel Project.]

[Immanuel Project – O.R.I., No. 01: bioweapons – the myth of man-made pathogens](#)

by [Immanuel Project](#) with **Dr. Stefan Lanka**
video uploaded to Odysee August 30, 2021

Video available at Immanuel Project [Odysee](#) & [BitChute](#) channels.

The first of our extra, contributory posts “ON RELATED ISSUES” examines explosive, critical questions, rumours and theories surrounding the topic of “Corona” and everything connected with it. When new reports do the rounds in public that have the potential to fuel (additional) fear, hatred and violence, and which above all spread dangerous misinformation from the field of medicine and science, we would like to publish a special feature on this.

In contrast to our main programme, this series offers first and foremost a statement. In order to be able to publish a comment relatively quickly, we do not go into great detail and refer you to our main programme for precise evidence of our

statements, where we publish a detailed list of sources for every contribution.

Since all the topics we deal with in Project Immanuel are directly related, all the contents of our special formats can also be substantiated with the sources from the main programme.

O.R.I., No. 01: "Bioweapons – the myth of the man-made pathogen"

In the first episode of our special format "On Related Issues" we deal with the topic of biological warfare/bioweapons. Due to the latest rumours surrounding the alleged "Wuhan virus" from the laboratory, we specifically address the issue of artificial "pathogens", i.e. those modified or created in a laboratory, and explain why these are and will continue to remain, a myth.

FURTHER LINKS

Ludwik Fleck : "Genesis and Development of a Scientific Fact" (Translated by Frederick Bradley and Thaddeus J. Trenn) <https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/G/bo25676016.html> – Publisher: University of Chicago Press (August 15, 1981)

Thomas Schnelle: "Ludwig Fleck – Leben und Denken. Zur Entstehung und Entwicklung des wissenschaftlichen Denkstils in der Wissenschaftsphilosophie", [Ludwig Fleck – Life and Thought. On the Origin and Development of the Scientific Style of Thinking in the Philosophy of Science] January 1982 (in German) –

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319630084Ludwig_Fleck_-_Leben_und_Denken_Zur_Entstehung_und_Entwicklung_des_wissenschaftlichen_Denkstils_in_der_Wissenschaftsphilosophie_Freiburg_1982

published on ResearchGate

The Ludwik Fleck Centre for Scientific Theory:
<https://www.fleckzentrum.ethz.ch/en/media-archive/fleck-archiv/e/>

“Project MKULTRA, the CIA’s Program of Research into Behavioral Modification – Joint Hearing before the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Subcommittee on Health and Scientific Research of the Committee on Human Resources, United State Senate, Ninety-Fifth Congress, First Session”, 03 August 1977 –
https://www.nytimes.com/packages/pdf/national/13inmate_Project_MKULTRA.pdf – published on the website of the New York Times

[Connect with Immanuel Project \(Projekt Immanuel\)](#)

[Connect with Dr. Stefan Lanka](#)

Transcript provided by [Truth Comes to Light](#):

The following video is not intended for entertainment. It’s not a documentary report or television program.

Rather, this is an attempt to approach an explosive scientific topic in a cinematic way that is objective and respectful as possible.

We deliberately avoid staging of scenes as we’ve no intention of causing emotion in the viewer. We would like to convey factual, verifiable information.

In addition, we hereby call on all viewers to question the contents of this video and not simply to believe any information presented here. Doubt, be critical and check everything.

Only that is scientific.

Immanuel Project – “On Related Issues” (ORI).

With this video we introduce you to the first in a series of extra videos that we’ll publish in addition to our regular program.

On Related Issues focuses on pressing questions, theories and theses that are making the rounds in the public sphere, and to which we would like to respond as promptly as possible.

Most importantly, topics are discussed that are only marginally dealt with, or not dealt with at all, in the main series of our project.

No. 1 – Bioweapons: The myth of man-made pathogens

Biological warfare is a fairly complex subject. The use of biological weapons is probably as old as humanity itself.

All kinds of animals or naturally occurring toxins can be used as weapons to either attack enemies directly or in some way to make it difficult for them to survive.

Throughout history humans have been devising all kinds of biological warfare that have been as effective as they have been cruel. Time and again they have been reports about the use of supposed pathogens.

As early as the Middle Ages, and even in antiquity, allegedly transmissible diseases were claimed to be a popular means of warfare.

For instance, corpses of dead humans and animals were hurled into cities with the intention to cause epidemics in these areas.

Such stories do have a kernel of truth. Hurling decaying cadavers at enemies was certainly a proven biological weapon,

but it had nothing to do with pathogens.

These days when people hear the term bioweapons, they usually think of artificial pathogens from the laboratory – bacteria and viruses that either genetically modified or even created in their entirety.

In the 21st century such ideas are more topical than ever due to the alleged progress in genetics and, equally assumed, improved understanding of biology.

Horror scenarios, wild rumors and theories, as well as adventurous novels, feature films, series and video games on this subject are dime a dozen.

But what has actually been researched and developed with regard to artificial pathogens?

Is it possible that something could really be brewed up in bioweapon laboratories that could prove dangerous to humans?

No, it's not possible. I mean, you can see now that fear is the best bioweapon there is. You simply show some photos of coffins and corpses.

That's the most powerful bioweapon we have: misinformation. But the most dangerous thing, of course, is the superstition associated with it.

People generally believe in the concept of dangerous viruses. Scientists also believe in them. And those working in related fields are proud that they're working on something so dangerous and important.

They don't see that they are being completely unscientific by not questioning the concepts in which they believe.

And it is the very first written duty of every scientist to constantly question their own findings and assumptions.

Nowadays, however, we are dealing with the reversal of science. Those who point out obvious contradictions are berated. This is really the reverse of all science.

Science is important and can contribute a lot to humanity if it's applied with integrity. But what is happening here is pseudoscience.

In his 1956 book, *Sociology Vol. 1*, [Eugen Rosenstock-Huessy](#) explains why people engage in pseudoscience. He shows that, because of how science now operates, we can no longer make new discoveries. As if science have been derived from Greek criminal law, what we observe we judge and explain exclusively on the basis of what we already know. And, of course, only material explanations are permitted.

We do not want to know about any other explanations. We then say 'they cannot be true', 'they are unscientific, wrong and dangerous'.

Rosenstock-Huessy clearly demonstrated that we can no longer make any developments in this way. We cannot discover anything new with our unscientific approach. And it's a typically-human characteristic that no one likes to see their achievements and findings being thrown overboard.

Rosenstock-Huessy also shows this: He demonstrates how these unscientific principles permeate academic life and how pseudo-research has been carried out in order to somehow maintain these very principles, the models which people adhere.

For example, by doing animal experiments without any control experiments. Or killing cells in a test tube, also without any control experiments. And then simply claiming that the results of these experiments have to come about because of some virus. That's how easy it is.

And we must not forget that this was already written in 1956.

In his book "Healing Power and Truth: Concordance of Political and Cosmic Time" – what a title – he describes how mountains of corpses, such as in genocides, can quickly pile up again if one misses the moment to recognize and correct mistakes.

Wrong decisions are made, wars escalate or whatever. This is the challenge we are facing right now – to recognize these mistakes in time. So it's very important to deal with these things. And bioweapons is a very good example of these things.

The Russians, for example, completely abandoned their bioweapons development in the 1970s because they realize that the concept of pathogens as weapons does not work.

But the claim that dangerous bioweapons exist is very effective in order to create fear. First and foremost, of course, it's effective in populations who are panic-stricken about contagion.

For example, historically the Israelis managed to empty the entire Palestinian refugee camps without firing a single shot. They simply claimed the wells are contaminated and soon the dangerous disease will break out here.

Nothing happened at all. No shots were fired. And voila! The Palestinians were gone. That was the starting point of Israel – an act of fear.

The Israeli population also has one of the highest cancer rates in western societies. Certainly not in all parts of the country, but in many, people live in constant fear of death. There is a constant fear of terrorism, of rockets, of bombs. Of course, such an attitude to life is anything but conducive to good health.

Didn't the Nazis in the Third Reich also have a biological weapons program? What happened to it? Was it abandoned? The reason they never seriously worked on a bioweapons program is because nothing had ever worked in that direction. What they

did was try to protect themselves from alleged germs.

In this regard, I recommend reading the book by Ludwik Fleck, "Genesis and Development of a Scientific Fact". There are also many articles by him on the internet.

Ludwik Fleck was a bacteriologist and he was deported to Buchenwald concentration camp where he was forced to develop a vaccine for the SS. He wrote that he and his colleagues knew that all the assumptions about supposedly dangerous disease-causing bacteria were completely wrong.

He knew that things don't work like – that this idea is just a misinterpretation. Anyone can read that for themselves. You can find a lot of material by and about Ludwik Fleck freely accessible on the internet.

Nevertheless, Ludwik Fleck and his colleagues had the task of developing a vaccine against a supposedly dangerous bacterium for the SS. And they knew that if they tried to explain to them that it wouldn't work, they'd get their heads chopped off.

So they just made a vaccine for them as demanded. They let something or other decompose, added a few bacteria. And when the whole thing was bubbling away in the test tube, the poisonous mixture was filtered. Formaldehyde was added and that was it.

The vaccine was injected and the job was done. That's what he describes. And he also describes how science actually operates, because he himself has experienced these undesirable developments and seen how they come about.

After the second World War, the Americans were of the opinion that the national socialists, the Nazis, might have used some kind of secret drugs to take the soldiers' will away, so that they would happily go to war.

The Americans were investigating this to try to address the big problem that many of their soldiers who were supposed to bomb Korea deserted. Hardly any of the soldiers at that time had ever held a rifle, seen a tank, or had anything to do with weapons in the military. And suddenly they were supposed to drop bombs on another country.

Some biologists even suggested that the Russians had bred a socialist virus that could be used to render the American soldiers will-less. Such speculation really did exist. But, of course, it led no where.

What the USA did in the end, however, was to experiment with all the drugs that were available. This project, about which a great deal of information has come to light, was called MKUltra.

People were tortured and subjected to drugs to take away their will in order to program them. And this project existed solely because it was believed that at least some, if not all, of the German soldiers must have been given some kind of secret drug. It is frightening that even today speculation about bioweapons is used to scare people.

And here is another example. The virologist, professor Zhang from Shanghai, had received the order from Beijing to search for a coronavirus in bats that was harmless to humans and could then be used as a template for so-called sequence alignment.

He was under extreme time pressure because the panic of the people in Wuhan had to be brought under control. It was feared that the people there might storm the hospitals at some point, because anyone who had any kind of complaint such as asthma, cough, or fever immediately panicked and imagined that they had SARS.

Something like that can endanger public order very quickly. And it was going in that direction, triggered by the

ophthalmologist Li Wenliang, whose own fear spread very quickly via social media.

That's precisely why professor Zhang was given the task of producing a harmless bat virus as quickly as possible. It was already established that the few dozen cases of pneumonia that existed at the time had not infected anyone else.

From the beginning of December, when the first cases were tracked, until the 20th of January, no one was infected. No one else got you pneumonia.

So they assume that if, anything at all, it must be a virus that was difficult to transmit and could probably only be transmitted from animals to humans. So they looked for a virus in animals.

Then professor Zhang, from the Fan Wu et al. research group – these results were published in Nature, the first work on the so-called new coronavirus – created the genome strand of SARS-CoV-2, in the absolute record time of only 40 hours.

He got the fluid from a lung wash, obtained some nucleic acid out of the fluid, sequenced it, and then ran the puzzle called sequence alignment. But he didn't have time to apply all the rules of virology. That's why the genome from these 40 hours of record time looks more than bumpy.

Normally one takes at least three weeks for this process. And then a genome sequence appears really polished. But anyone who knows a bit about biochemistry can see that the genome of SARS-CoV-2 really does look very bumpy.

And this is exactly the argument. The people who claim that the virus must have come from a laboratory: 'It must, therefore, be a bioweapon.'. Of course this then circulates on the internet again and fuels people's primal fear of infection and of viruses.

Therefore, anyone who claims such a thing, has to be asked the question: Where has a virus ever been isolated? Where? Show me a relevant publication and show me the exact passages in which this is described.

Show me where this is described in the methods section of any scientific paper. These are only very short paragraphs. And if it cannot be shown, it must be rejected because it spreads unnecessary and dangerous fear.

Fear is always dangerous. Spreading fear is not justifiable.

The theory that there are pathogens and transmissible diseases have been perpetuated to the present day with this kind of assertion. But if you go into detail, you immediately see that none of this is tenable.

What one could say, perhaps at this point, is – what was done again and again in the so-called Middle Ages, or actually in all wars, is to make survival impossible for the enemy, and also for the civilian population, by destroying the crops, destroying the fields, killing the animals, so that simply scorched earth remains.

And by throwing carcasses into the wells. Then the water was heavily polluted with decomposing products of the proteins, that is with nitrates.

Every mother understands that. If a bottle of mineral water says it contains more than 50 milligrams of nitrates, no child is allowed to drink it because otherwise it would turn blue. And if a child were to drink this water all the time, it would get the type of buboes that were called the plague in the Middle Ages.

From 1981 onwards it was called immune deficiency in homosexuals or GRID (gay related immune deficiency) for short. And from 1983 onwards, it was called AIDS.

It's as simple as that. It's massive poisoning from nitrates in drinking water. It has nothing to do with pathogens producing any disease toxins.

Such toxins are produced when something decomposes, i.e. the proteins break down and turned into putrefaction. It is quite clear that it is not healthy to drink water with corpse poisons or to eat rotten food.

Already at the beginning of the corona crisis, rumors circulated very quickly that the alleged new coronavirus was not of natural origin, but came from a bioweapons laboratory.

Of course, China was first accused of having developed the virus. Later, the USA was accused. And then China again.

And, at some point in between, the French scientist Luc Montagnier, who was awarded the Nobel Prize in 2008 for the alleged proof of the HIV virus, among others, spoke out. He claimed that the virus was definitely of artificial origin because it had genetic similarities to the alleged HIV virus.

Most of these theories were dismissed after some time. And many scientists declared that there was no reason to assume that the alleged SARS-CoV-2 was a bioweapon.

In January 2021 however, the rumor of the Wuhan virus flared up again when an international group of researchers claimed to have found new evidence that strengthen the suspicion of the bioweapon. The scientists' conclusion sounded alarming, but were also based only on suspected elements in the alleged genetic strand of SARS-CoV-2.

These observations immediately lose their significance and, above all, their threatening nature when one realizes that the same genetic genome strand of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 is, in any case, only a man-made theoretical construct. No wonder then that some of it looks artificial and man-made.

One could say SARS-CoV-2 did indeed originate in a laboratory in Wuhan, but not in the way many people believe. The genetic material of SARS-CoV-2 comes from a computer and has never left it. It is a theoretical mental construct.

The ideas of pathogens made in laboratories are all scientifically untenable. Moreover, they even contradict principles of biology that have been known for many, many years.

It's irrelevant whether they're supposed to be killer bacteria or killer viruses. The terrorist attack in the USA in 2001, with allegedly genetically modified anthrax pathogens, is just as unlikely as the Wuhan virus of 2020.

To understand why biological weapons in the form of pathogens have never existed in this way and never will, one must know the following:

With regard to viruses, disease-causing viruses – i.e. a dangerous genetic substance – are, to this day, nothing more than mere theory.

No scientist in the whole world has ever succeeded in providing tangible proof of such a virus.

Even if one or the other has ever been awarded the Nobel Prize for alleged proof, their work never stands up to scientific scrutiny.

So how do you make an artificial virus when you can't even find a natural one?

The topic of viruses is dealt with in detail in the main program of Immanuel Project.

With regard to bacteria – bacteria cannot make organisms sick in the sense that we believe they can. And they are not the parasites they are made out to be. Bacteria, which are always found in our bodies, can, under certain circumstances, be

involved in ailments – some of which can even be life-threatening.

But that does not mean that they are parasites and harm us in that sense. Moreover, bacteria in living bodies either produce no toxins at all or only in such small amounts that it's impossible to become ill from them.

One must bear in mind the conditions under which ... and cadaveric toxins are produced.

So how do you grow killer bacteria? By reversing their biology? That would really be a scientific sensation.

The complex topic of bacteria is not dealt with in our main program but we will return to it in one or two special formats.

Conclusion.

There are a variety of possible biological weapons. However, pathogens are definitely not one of them. All claims about genetically modified, or even artificially created, pathogens contradicts biological principles and are, therefore, inevitably doomed to failure.

There may well still be stray scientists in the world who aim to produce the ultimate killer microbe in their laboratory, but they will fail just like the people who try to make gold in earlier times.

Their scientific basis is simply incorrect, in both the cases of the alleged viruses and bacteria. All allegations, speculations, rumors and accusations revolving around artificial pathogens, therefore, only generate fear and enemy images. And we definitely do not need to either.

There is already more than enough fear and hatred, especially in this time of corona. Prolonged fear can lead to serious health problems, particularly for people who already have

respiratory difficulties.

Rather than creating more fear with unfounded claims of killer viruses from a lab, we should stick to verifiable facts. Then all open questions about the alleged Wuhan virus, its latest mutations, its similarity to HIV, and other theories about bioweapons and killer viruses, will take care of themselves.

In order to complete the control experiments on SARS-CoV-2, we are still urgently looking for bioinformaticians to repeat and document the original sequence alignment. If you are a bioinformatician, are proficient in the De Novo alignment on "viruses" and have an opportunity to access the raw sequence data from Fan Wu and his colleagues please get in touch fragen @ wplus-verlag.de

See related information:

Documents by Dr. Stefan Lanka:

[Dismantling the Virus Theory](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

[The Causes of Corona Crisis Are Clearly Identified – Virologists Who Claim Disease-Causing Viruses Are Science Fraudsters and Must Be Prosecuted](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

[How Dead Are Virus Anyway? All Claims of Virus Existence Refuted](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

Related document by Harold Hillman:

[A Serious Indictment of Modern Cell Biology and Neurobiology](#) by Harold Hillman ([download PDF](#))

Video on the work of Dr. Lanka:

[The Final Refutation Of Virology by Dr. Stefan Lanka](#)

Related articles:

[Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions](#)

[Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself](#)

[Dr. Stefan Lanka 2020 Article Busts the Virus Misconception](#)

[Dr. Tom Cowan on the “Spiked Protein Toxin” & “Virus Created in a Lab” Stories](#)

[The Contagion Fairy Tale](#)

[The Non-Existent Virus; an Explosive Interview With Christine Massey](#)

[The Contagion Myth: No Virus Has Ever Caused Disease](#)

[The Fraudulent Use of PCR / RT-PCR Techniques for the Manipulation, Harm and, Ultimately, the Destruction of Humanity](#)

[Warning Signs You’ve Been Tricked by Virologists](#)

[Jon Rappoport: My Bottom Line on the Existence of the Virus, Its Isolation and Sequencing](#)

[Exposing the Lie – Hippocratic Hypocrisy: A Tale of Two](#)

Snakes [A collaborative film by Spacebusters and Dr. Andrew Kaufman about how authentic medicine was hijacked by the power elite and turned into a deadly, sickness-for-profit industry.]

cover image credit: [geralt](#) / pixabay