

Bloodless Medicine and Surgery: The Case Against Blood Transfusions

[Bloodless Medicine and Surgery: The Case Against Blood Transfusions](#)

by [Brian Shilhavy](#), *Vaccine Impact*

December 10, 2022

I used to think that religious groups, like the Jehovah's Witnesses, were the only ones against blood transfusions.

But did you know that blood transfusions are a fairly recent medical practice, mainly becoming popular with the start of allopathic medicine and the pharmaceutical industry in the 1800s here in the U.S.? How did the human race survive for thousands of years before that without blood transfusions?

I was actually surprised to learn that there are mainstream medical facilities in the U.S. that practice "Patient Blood Management" and discourage the use of blood transfusions, due to the risk of contaminated blood, long before the current COVID vaccine blood contamination controversy.

There are actually hospitals and doctors in the U.S. who perform surgeries today, even open heart surgeries, without using blood transfusions.

One of those hospitals is *Englewood Hospital and Medical Center* in Englewood, New Jersey, which claims to be the first hospital in the U.S. to offer bloodless surgeries and medicine.

They claim that the scientific data supports the practice of

bloodless medicine and surgery with much better patient outcomes than patients who receive blood transfusions.

Watch this short 5-minute video to learn more:

Here is a longer, 53-minute documentary, about how Englewood progressed from offering bloodless medicine and surgery only for specific groups of people requesting it, in this case the Jehovah's Witnesses, to making it the standard care of practice for ALL patients, because science and ethics demanded it. They have now trained many doctors from around the world, and other hospitals and medical centers in the U.S. now also offer bloodless medicine and surgery.

[Connect with Vaccine Impact](#)

Cover image credit: [AhmadArdity](#)