

CDC Confesses: Our DHCPP “Experts” Have Never Obtained Scientific Evidence of Any Alleged “Virus”... Including “Hantavirus”

[CDC Confesses: Our DHCPP “Experts” Have Never Obtained Scientific Evidence of Any Alleged “Virus”... Including “Hantavirus”](#)

by [Christine Massey, Christine Massey’s “germ” FOI Newsletter](#)
August 26, 2024

Greetings and Best Wishes,

April 12, 2024:

A FOIA request was filed with the **U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)** and the **Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)** (see [pages 1 & 2](#)) for all studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of the institutions:

- that **scientifically prove/provide evidence of the existence of any alleged “hantavirus”** (showing that the alleged particles exist and cause the illness/symptoms they are alleged to cause), or
- that even describe **purification of particles alleged to be “hantavirus”** directly from bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of “hosts”, with purification confirmed via EM imaging, or
- wherein the **purported genome of the alleged “hantavirus” was found intact** (as opposed to fabricated in silico aka

computer modeled), or

- that **scientifically demonstrate contagion** of the illness / symptoms that are allegedly caused by said purported “virus”.

As usual I asked that if records matching my request were held but were already publicly available, I be given citations so that I may identify and access each one. I also clarified that my request was not limited to records authored by the CDC or ATSDR but included records *authored by anyone, anywhere, ever*.

May 10, 2024, #24-00976-FOIA:

Roger Andoh acting as CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer in the Office of the Chief Operating Officer responded, citing only the first section of my request where I asked for studies providing **scientific evidence of the existence of the alleged virus**.

Before looking at Roger’s response, please review the exact wording of that section of my request. I hadn’t even asked for evidence of particles infecting cells, hijacking them and making copies of themselves, which according to “virus” dogma “viruses” do. I have never asked for such evidence in any of my requests. My requests have been much simpler than that.

Description of Requested Records:

1. All studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) that scientifically prove/provide evidence of the existence of any alleged “hantavirus” (showing that the alleged particles exist and cause the illness/symptoms that they are alleged to cause).

Note:

Scientific proof/evidence is NOT

- opinions, speculation, declarations, or
- review papers, or
- descriptive studies.

Scientific proof/evidence requires use of the scientific method to test falsifiable hypotheses through valid, rigorous, repeatable controlled experiments - which require a valid independent variable (suspected “virus” particles that were found in sick “hosts” and purified).

And yet, despite the simplicity of my request, not only did Roger confess that **“we do not have any documents pertaining to your request”** (written by anyone, anywhere, ever)...

... he also disclosed that the “experts” in the [Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology](#) have never obtained scientific evidence of purported “viruses” existing in “hosts” and causing the illness/symptoms that they are claimed to cause. Because virology was never a science.

See [pages 7 & 8](#):

*“The Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology has stated that **the procedure asked about in this FOIA request is not a methodology or procedure that the branch utilizes or has ever implemented. DHCPP routinely, per protocol, places samples on cell culture post sample receipt or conduct RT-PCR.**”* (emphasis added)



Centers for Disease Control
and Prevention (CDC)
Atlanta GA 30333
May 10, 2024

Ms. Christine Massey

[REDACTED]
Via email: cmssyc@gmail.com

Dear Ms. Massey:

This letter is in response to your Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (CDC/ATSDR) Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of April 15, 2024, for

All studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) that scientifically prove/provide evidence of the existence of any alleged "hantavirus" (showing that the alleged particles exist and cause the illness/symptoms that they are alleged to cause).

The Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology has stated that the procedure asked about in this FOIA request is not a methodology or procedure that the branch utilizes or has ever implemented. DHCPP routinely, per protocol, places samples on cell culture post sample receipt or conduct RT-PCR. Therefore, we do not have any documents pertaining to your request.

You may contact our FOIA Public Liaison at 770-488-6246 for any further assistance and to discuss any aspect of your request. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, e-mail at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

If you are not satisfied with the response to this request, you may administratively appeal to the Deputy Agency Chief FOIA Officer, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, via the online portal at <https://requests.publiclink.hhs.gov/App/Index.aspx>. Please mark both your appeal letter and envelope "FOIA Appeal." Your appeal must be electronically transmitted by August 11, 2024.

Sincerely,

Roger Andoh
CDC/ATSDR FOIA Officer

(The various problems with PCR "tests" and the use of cell cultures in virology have already been discussed by many at great length.)

I followed up with Roger ([pg 9](#)) asking about the remaining sections of the request: records of the alleged “virus” simply being found and purified from bodily fluid/tissue/excrement of “hosts” (required for valid analysis and experiments), records of the alleged “viral genome” having been found intact anywhere, and studies providing scientific evidence of illness contagion.

Roger never responded. Based on my knowledge of the virology literature and the [100% failure rate](#) of 224 institutions in 40 countries responding to requests for foundational “virus” evidence I am confident that such studies do not exist, anywhere.

[Here](#) is the CDC’s web page on imaginary “hantaviruses”. Hmm, where have we seen those “signs and symptoms” before?

Signs and symptoms

Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome (HPS)

HPS is a severe and potentially deadly disease that affects the lungs. Symptoms of HPS usually start to show 1 to 8 weeks after contact with an infected rodent.

Early symptoms can include:

- fatigue
- fever
- muscle aches, especially in the large muscle groups like the thighs, hips, back, and sometimes shoulders

About half of all HPS patients also experience:

- headaches
- dizziness
- chills
- abdominal problems, like nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain

(Note: this information has been sent to [~200 people](#) who work

for “the state”, lamestream media, etc. at Canada, Isle of Man, England and the U.S., so that they can’t claim later that they didn’t know.)

More Official Confessions/Evidence Showing that Virology is Pseudoscience

Freedom of Information Responses reveal that **health/science institutions around the world (224 and counting!) have no record of SARS-COV-2 (the alleged covid virus) isolation/purification, anywhere, ever:**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

Excel file listing 224 institutions:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/Institution-list-for-website.xlsx>

FOI responses re other imaginary “viruses” (HIV, avian influenza, HPV, Influenza, Measles, etc., etc., etc.):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/>

FOIs re secretive and unscientifically “mock infected” cells (aka invalid controls) and fabricated “virus genomes”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-virologists-perform-valid-control-experiments-is-virology-a-science/>

3000+ pages of “virus” FOIs in 8 compilation pdfs, and my notarized declarations re the anti-scientific nature of virology:

<https://tinyurl.com/IsolationFOIs>

Failed freedom of Information responses re contagion:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/freedom-of-information-responses-re-contagion/>

Do health and science institutions have **studies proving that**

bacteria CAUSE disease?

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-health-authorities-have-studies-proving-that-bacteria-cause-disease-lets-find-out-via-freedom-of-information/>

Because “they” (HIV, influenza virus, HPV, measles virus, etc., etc., etc.) have never been shown to exist, clearly don’t exist and virology isn’t a science.

For truth, freedom and sanity,
Christine

[Connect with Christine Massey & support her work at substack](#)

Cover image credit: [kalhh](#)

Tom Cowan & Mark Bailey Issue a New Challenge for Virus- Pushers

[New Challenge for Virus-Pushers](#)

It Should Be So Easy for You, if “Viruses” Really Exist

by [Christine Massey](#), [Christine Massey’s “germ” FOI Newsletter](#)
March 7, 2024

Greetings and Best Wishes,

Drs. Tom Cowan and Mark Bailey have issued a new “virus” challenge ([here](#)).

“Please note that the requirements for submission can be found at the following timecodes in [this video](#): 2:16-11:20.”

Tom published a 5 minute follow up the next day: **You can do it, send us your videos** ([here](#)).

Please share this challenge with any/all prominent virus-pushers! Below is the email address for submissions:

*“If you or someone you know believe that viruses have been isolated and have a rebuttal or scientific study to show us, **please submit a short paper or a short video to conversationswithdrcowan@gmail.com** and we will review & address these in a future webinar. Please note that the requirements for submission can be found in [this video](#).”*

Background:

Scientific thinking applied to “virus” isolation – Tom and Mark, February 29, 2024 ([here](#)).

And let’s not forget:

[Samuel Eckert’s](#) long-standing offer of a [1.5 million Euro reward](#) for any:

“virologist who presents scientific proof of the existence of a corona virus, including documented control experiments of all steps taken in the proof”

Tip: Don’t attempt to collect without providing any evidence [like Professor Ulrike Kämmerer!](#)

And we still have the [Settling The Virus Debate Challenge](#) from Tom, Mark and 18 additional signatories including Professor Timothy Noakes and former Pfizer respiratory division VP, Michael Yeadon, issued in July 2022.

Source document: <https://drsambailey.com/resources/settling-the-virus-debate/>

14 July 2022

SETTLING THE VIRUS DEBATE

*"A small parasite consisting of nucleic acid (RNA or DNA) enclosed in a protein coat that can replicate only in a susceptible host cell."*¹

It has been more than two years since the onset of the "corona" crisis, which changed the trajectory of our world. The fundamental tenet of this crisis is that a deadly and novel "virus", SARS-CoV-2, has spread around the world and negatively impacted large segments of humanity. Central to this tenet is the accepted wisdom that viruses, defined as replicating, protein-coated pieces of genetic material, either DNA or RNA, exist as independent entities in the real world and are able to act as pathogens. That is, the so-called particle

*Tip: Don't disqualify yourself as "scientist" [Kevin McCairn](#) did, by **publicly** insisting that your lab participate in a challenge where the labs must be **blinded** to each other's identities!*

FOIs Summary

Freedom of Information Responses reveal that health/science institutions around the world (220 and counting!) have no record of SARS-COV-2 (the alleged covid virus) isolation/purification, anywhere, ever:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

Excel file listing 220 institutions:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Institution-list-for-website.xls>

FOI responses re other imaginary viruses (HIV, avian influenza, HPV, Influenza, Measles, etc., etc., etc.):

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/>

FOIs re secretive and unscientifically “mock infected” cells (aka invalid controls) and fabricated “virus genomes”:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-virologists-perform-valid-control-experiments-is-virology-a-science/>

3000+ pages of “virus” FOIs (updated as of December 31, 2022) in 8 compilation pdfs, and my **notarized declaration** re the anti-scientific nature of virology:

<https://tinyurl.com/IsolationFOIs>

Failed freedom of Information responses re contagion:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/freedom-of-information-responses-re-contagion/>

Do health and science institutions have **studies proving that bacteria CAUSE disease?**

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-health-authorities-have-studies-proving-that-bacteria-cause-disease-lets-find-out-via-freedom-of-information/>

Because “they” (HIV, influenza virus, HPV, measles virus, etc., etc., etc.) have never been shown to exist, clearly don’t exist and virology isn’t a science.

For truth, freedom and sanity,

Christine

[**Connect with Christine Massey**](#)

Cover image credit: Abhi_Jacob

The Non-Existent Virus; an Explosive Interview With Christine Massey

[The Non-Existent Virus; an Explosive Interview With Christine Massey](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), *No More Fake News*
October 7, 2021

With a background in biostatistics, Christine Massey has been using Freedom of Information (FOIA) requests as a research tool, as a diamond drill, to unearth the truth about SARS-CoV-2. As in: Does the virus exist?

Her approach has yielded shocking results.

In a half-sane world, Christine's work would win many awards, and rate far-reaching coverage. In the present world, more and more people, on their own, are waking up to her findings and completely revising their perception of the "pandemic."

Here is my recent interview with the brilliant relentless Christine Massey:

Q: You and your colleagues have made many FOIA requests to public health agencies around the world. You've been asking for records that show the SARS-CoV-2 virus exists. How did you develop this approach?

A: In 2014, a lady in Edmonton submitted a freedom of information request to Health Canada asking for studies relating to the addition of hydrofluorosilicic acid (industrial waste fluoride acid) to public drinking water (water fluoridation). HealthCanada's response indicated

that they had no studies whatsoever to back up their claims that the practice is safe or effective.

A few years later, some high quality government-funded studies showed that common fluoride exposure levels during pregnancy are associated with lower IQs and increased ADHD symptoms in offspring. Nevertheless, dentists and the public health community continued to promote and defend the so-called "great public health achievement" of forcing this controversial preventative dental treatment onto entire communities, and were dismissive of those studies. So I used freedom of information requests to show that various institutions promoting and defending water fluoridation in Ontario, Alberta and Washington State could not provide or cite even one primary study indicating safety with respect to those outcomes.

So once I learned from people like David Crowe, Dr. Andrew Kaufman, Dr. Stefan Lanka and Dr. Thomas Cowan that the alleged [COVID] virus had never been isolated (purified) from a patient sample and then characterized, sequenced and studied with controlled experiments, and thus had never been shown to exist, I realized that freedom of information (FOI) requests could be used to verify their claims.

Most people are not going to take the time to check all of the so-called "virus isolation" studies for themselves, so FOIs were a way to 1) ensure that nothing had been overlooked, and 2) cut to the chase and back-up what these gentlemen [Kaufman, Cowan, Crowe, Lanka] were saying, if they were indeed correct.

So in May 2020 I began submitting FOI requests for any record held by the respective institution that describes the isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus" from an unadulterated sample taken from a diseased patient, by anyone, anywhere on the planet.

Q: How many public health and government agencies have you queried with FOIA requests?

A: I have personally queried and received responses from 22 Canadian institutions. These are public health institutions, universities that claim to have “isolated the virus”, and 3 police services – due to their enforcement of “COVID-19” restrictions. I have also personally received responses from several institutions outside of Canada including the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Anthony Fauci’s National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID). I await responses from a number of additional institutions.

Many people around the world have obtained responses to the same/similar, or related, [FOIA] requests, from institutions in their own countries. One person who has done a lot of work on this in New Zealand and other countries is my colleague Michael S. Also a fellow named Marc Horn obtained many in the UK. A handful of other people obtained several responses, and lots of people have obtained 1 or 2.

I have been compiling all of the responses that are sent to me on my [FOI page](#), and as I type this (October 4, 2021) we have FOI responses from 104 institutions in well over 20 countries all relating to the purification/existence of the alleged virus. Additionally, there are court documents from South Africa and Portugal. In total, 110 instructions are represented at this moment on my website. There are FOI responses from more institutions that I haven’t had a chance to upload yet.

Q: How would you characterize the replies you’ve gotten from these agencies?

A: Every institution without exception has failed to provide or cite even 1 record describing purification of

the alleged virus from even 1 patient sample.

Twenty-one of the 22 Canadian institutions admitted flat out that they have no such records (as required by the Canadian legislation). Many institutions outside Canada have admitted the same, including the CDC (November 2, 2020), Australia's Department of Health, New Zealand's Ministry of Health, the UK Department of Health and Social Care...

And in some cases, silly excuses were provided. For example, the Norwegian Directorate of Health's response was that they do not own, store or control documents with information about patients. Public Health Wales told Dr. Janet Menage that they have not produced any such records, and that while they would normally be willing to point her towards records that are in the public domain it would be too difficult in this case.

Brazil's FDA-like injection-approver, the Health Regulatory Agency (Anvisa), told Marcella Picone that they have no record of virus purification and are not required to by law, thus it is (in their minds) not their obligation to make sure that the virus actually exists.

Q: What is the exact text of your FOIA requests?

The text has varied somewhat over time. For example, in the beginning I used the word "isolation". But since that term gets abused so badly by virologists, I now stick to "purification".

In all requests I specified exactly what I meant by isolation/purification (separation of the alleged virus from everything else), and that the purified particles should come directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first adulterated with any other source of genetic material (i.e. the monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells and the fetal bovine serum that

are typically used in the bogus “virus isolation” studies).

I always clarified that I was not requesting records where researchers failed to purify the alleged virus and instead cultured something and/or performed a PCR test and/or sequenced something. I also clarified that I was requesting records authored by anyone, anywhere – not simply records that were created by the institution in question. And I requested citations for any record of purification that is held by the institution but already available to the public elsewhere.

The latest iteration [of the FOIA request] is posted on a page of my website where I encourage others to submit requests to institutions in their own country: [Template for “SARS-COV-2 isolation” FOI requests.](#)

Q: These agencies are all saying they have no records proving SARS-CoV-2 exists, but at the same time some of these agencies sponsor and fund studies that claim the virus does exist. How do you account for this contradiction?

I will address this by way of an example.

The Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) is the only Canadian institution that failed to provide a straightforward “no records” response thus far. Instead, they provided me with what they pretended were responsive records.

The records consisted of some emails, and a study by Bullard et al. that was supported by PHAC and their National Microbiology Laboratory, and by Manitoba Health and Manitoba’s Cadham Provincial Laboratory.

Neither the study nor the emails describe purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample or from anything else. The word “isolate” (or “isolation” / “purify” /

“purification”) does not even appear, except in the study manuscript in the context of isolating people, not a virus.

...in the Materials And Methods section we find that these researchers performed PCR “tests” for a portion of the E gene sequence (not a virus), and they incubated patient samples (not a virus) on Vero cells (monkey kidney cells) supplemented with fetal bovine serum, penicillin/streptomycin, and amphotericin B, and they monitored for harm to the monkey cells.

No virus was looked for in, or purified from, the patient samples. No control groups of any kind were implemented in the monkey cell procedures. No virus was required or shown to be involved anywhere in the study, but “it” was blamed for any harm to the monkey cells and “it” was referred to repeatedly throughout the study (I counted 26 instances).

Nevertheless, this was the sole paper provided by the Public Health Agency of Canada.

And although the researchers did not claim to have “isolated” the alleged virus in this paper, they performed the same sort of monkey business / cell culture procedure that is passed off as “virus isolation” by virologists in country after country. (Because virology is not a science.)

...Note the admission in the [study] Abstract: “RT-PCR detects RNA, not infectious virus”.

...So I wrote back to the Public Health Agency of Canada and advised them that none of the records they provided me actually describe separation of the alleged virus from everything else in a patient sample, and that I require an accurate response indicating that they have no responsive records.

In their revised response, the Agency insisted that the gold standard assay used to determine the presence of

intact virus in patient samples is visible cytopathic [cell-killing] effects on cells in a cell culture, and that "PCR further confirms that intact virus is present".

...As you have pointed out to your readers again and again: No one has isolated/purified "the virus". They simply assume that patient samples contain "it" (based on meaningless PCR tests). They adulterate patient samples with genetic material and toxic drugs, starve the cells, then irrationally blame "the virus" for harm to the cells. They point to something that has never been purified, characterized, sequenced or studied scientifically, in a cell culture and insist "that's the virus". They fabricate the "genomes" from zillions of sequences detected in a soup. It's all wild speculation and assumptions, zero science.

So the people responsible for the blatantly fraudulent claims made by these institutions are either wildly incompetent or intentionally lying.

—end of interview—

To bolster Christine's final comments, these agencies will respond to FOIA requests with: "we have no records of virus purification"—and then sponsor studies that claim the virus HAS BEEN purified and discovered, because...

The standards for purifying the virus in the studies are no standards at all. They're entirely irrational.

However, because Christine is very precise and accurate in her FOIA requests, when it comes to what purification means, the agencies are compelled to reply...

"Well, in THAT case, we have no records of virus purification..."

Meaning: There are no records showing the virus has been

isolated; there are no records showing the virus exists.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [ErAnger](#) / pixabay

The Emperor Has No Corona

[The Emperor Has No Corona](#)

by [ThoughtCrimes7](#)

August 11, 2021

Available at ThoughtCrime7 [BitChute](#) and [Odysee](#) channels.

An investigation into the “Isolation” of the SARS-COV2 “virus”.

SOURCES:

Dr. Andrew Kaufman:

<https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/>

SOVI – Statement on Virus Isolation – Add your name:

<https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/sovi/>

Dr. Tom Cowan:

<https://drtomcowan.com/>

Only Poisoned Monkey cells Grew the Virus, article by Dr Tom Cowan:

<https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

Virus Mania, by Dr. Sam Bailey and Torsten Engelbrecht:

<https://www.kobo.com/us/en/ebook/virus-mania-1>

Torsten Engelbrecht:

<https://www.torstenengelbrecht.com/en/virus-mania-in-the-media/>

Isolate the Truth Fund, 1.5 Million Euro Prize:

<https://www.samueleckert.net/isolate-truth-fund/>

Christine Massey, Global FOIA Requests:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

Dr. Stephan Lanka – CPE Produced without Virus:

<https://odysee.com/@CosmicEvent:5/CPE-Control-Experiment-21-April-2021-English-version:0>

Dr. Vincent Racaniello:

<https://microbiology.columbia.edu/faculty-vincent-racaniello>

Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6988269/>

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

GenBank:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>


In-Silico PCR:

<http://noncode.org/cgi-bin/hgPcr>


See related:

[Turning Virology & Modern Medicine on Its Head: Dr. Andrew Kaufman w/ Brian Young](#)

[Drs. Tom Cowan, Andy Kaufman & Stefan Lanka: On the Myth That Virology Is Real Science & What We Don't Yet Know About These Highly Toxic Covid "Vaccines"](#)



[Virus Mania: Corona/COVID-19, Measles, Swine Flu, Cervical Cancer, Avian Flu, SARS, BSE, Hepatitis C, AIDS, Polio, Spanish Flu. How the Medical... Making Billion-Dollar Profits At Our Expense](#) by Torsten Engelbrecht, Claus Köhnlein, Samantha Bailey, Stefano Scoglio



[The Truth About Contagion: Exploring Theories of How Disease Spreads](#) (2021) by Thomas S. Cowan MD and Sally Fallon Morell

cover image credit: [Dieterich01](#) / pixabay