

# Rising Severe Weather Disasters

## [Rising Severe Weather Disasters](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [The Giza Death Star](#)

June 5, 2024

If you're a member of this website [[The Giza Death Star](#)] or a regular follower on its forums, you will know that over the past couple of years there has been an increasing inability for me to schedule regular events such as vidchats. The reason for this is very simple: weather, and, to be more precise, the possibility of severe weather. I live in an area where, quite literally, severe weather can "spin up" very quickly and with little warning, leaving me but few precious seconds to scoop up my dog and my radio, and scramble to the storm shelter in the back yard. As this procedure is alone somewhat risky, if there is a probability of severe weather – massive hail or winds or tornadoes – I will cancel events to allow me to monitor weather radars and to take shelter long before the sirens are blown. Indeed, if you're hearing the sirens and are not in your shelter when they blow, it's probably too late. And over the years, as the storms seem to become more intense, I've adopted the "better safe than sorry" philosophy.

But this year has been the absolute worst. During last month (May, 2024), the cycle of storms seemed to hit with weekly regularity, and virtually every time they did, there were warnings of severe weather and tornadoes. We were in our storm shelter no less than five times in four weeks, for a total of about 15 hours spent in it. From Texas to Ohio the country has been pummeled with storms, and as I've remarked, we seem to be incapable of having a simple *rain* with a rumble of thunder

every now and then. Every storm now becomes severe. Other people, when I talk about the weird weather, are perceiving the same thing. But before we get to today's high octane speculation, we must take notice of the following article kindly shared by E.G., because there is a telltale *financial and economic* indicator that this perception is not merely some sort of "group subjective phenomenon"; it is *very real*:

### [The Rise In America's Billion-Dollar Extreme Weather Disasters](#)

This article says it all, and it's best to begin at the beginning:

Since 1980, there have been 383 extreme weather or climate disasters where the damages reached at least \$1 billion. In total, these disasters have cost more than \$2.7 trillion.

Created in partnership with the [National Public Utilities Council](#), this chart, [via Visual Capitalist's Jenna Ross](#), shows how *these disasters have been increasing with each passing decade.*(Emphasis added)

But note carefully the following important points: adjusting for inflation, *the costs of weather disasters has been steadily rising* (and note also that benchmark date of 1980; we'll get back to that):

The [U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration \(NOAA\)](#) tracks each disaster and estimates the cost based on factors like physical damages and time losses such as business interruption. They adjust all costs by the Consumer Price Index to account for inflation.

Decade	Total No. of Events	Total Inflation-Adjusted Cost
1980s	33	\$216B
1990s	57	\$330B
2000s	67	\$611B
2010s	131	\$978B
2020s*	95	\$568B

\* Data is as of May 8, 2024.

Both the number and cost of extreme weather disasters has grown over time. In fact, not even halfway through the 2020s the number of disasters is **over 70%** of those seen during the entire 2010s.

Note that according to the above table, we are not even halfway through the 2020s, but are well over halfway to the previous inflation-adjusted cost of weather disasters in the 2010s, and notice the steady increase of the costs.

In other words, *measured by the monetary costs, the weather is, indeed, getting worse, **much** worse.* It is *not* merely a “group subjective impression.”

And that brings me to today’s high octane speculation: the question is *why*? Of course, we know that the “climate change” nitwits will trot out their usual sauce of whining claptrap and nonsense: cow farts, methane, greenhouse gases, farmers, too many people. But nary a peep will ever cross their ideologically blinded eyes and mute lips about weather modification *technologies*, from the simple procedures of cloud seeding, to Baal Gates’ (and others’) kooky and insane idea about blotting out the sun with chemical spraying, to the use of ionospheric heaters to actually alter and engineer the ionosphere and magnetosphere, and hence, to be able to manipulate the weather.

Remember, the original patents for HAARP *included weather modification and missile defense as one of the uses of the technology*, and *that* was in the late 1980s! Let us add to this the *chemical spraying* that began with the Reagan

Administration to increase the electrical conductivity of the atmosphere as a component of its Star Wars program (and of course, that would make those ionospheric heaters more efficient). In other words, that 1980s date is important, because it is an indicator, a symbol, that mankind is indeed causing the climate change, but for none of the “reasons” offered by the climate change advocates. *Their* version of it is merely a cloak for the military-industrial manipulation of the weather, and all the blowback happening as a result of that interference and manipulation.

In the very apt words of Elana Freeland, and to put it country simple, there is no longer any such thing as purely natural weather; the use and deployment of these technologies has made that impossible. But that does not mean they have total or complete *control* either. They can “steer” systems (look at the HAARP patents again, and think of them in connection with the strange behavior taken by Hurricane Katrina before its landfall at New Orleans), and perhaps even *increase or attenuate* the strength of weather systems, and perhaps even create them.

But they have not mastered 100% control; *part* of what we’re experiencing is, I maintain, the blowback from a complex of interlocked systems they have yet to master. However, that same increase of severity over the years also means that they must continue to experiment with these technologies of the apocalypse in order to learn the basic laws of their functioning and systemic blowback, *much like they did with the first nuclear bombs, and the inability to calculate yields without considering wider systemic energy transduction into the reaction.*

Why do this at all? Well, to return to our speculation in last Monday’s blog about the saturnian moon Pan and the Norman Bergrun scenario of *The Ringmakers of Saturn*, if one wants to *deter* a potentially hostile extraterrestrial intervention, especially from what looks to be Saturn-sized technology, a

Kardashev Class One civilization type technology literally right next door in our own celestial neighborhood, then one must demonstrate a similar ability to engineer systems of a planetary scale: enter ionospheric heaters and the types of weather and geophysical systems manipulation they entail.

*In other words, the experimentations are also exercises in deterrence; they are the planetary demonstrations of an ability for "others" to observe, just as nuclear tests were to demonstrate to the Soviets (or the Americans, or the British, or the French, or the Chinese, or the Pakistanis &c) that "we can do this; don't mess with us."*

And along the way, they can literally destroy property, throw people out of their homes, and pick up land and assets for pennies on the dollar. It's called disaster capitalism, or as I like to call it, disaster crapitalism. Or to put this point differently in case it is being missed or overlooked: the pattern of increasing disaster is also a pattern similar to the escalation of a war, a weather war being waged on its own people. And while we're talking about weather war, the technologies are known to more than just the USA, and thus the very real possibility arises that one party might be using this technology to modify an adversary's weather, and that adversary is attempting to defend against that, and to retaliate, thus inducing even more factors into the equation which may result in unintended blowback.

The end result of all of this is that Mr. Globaloony wants you to blame your local cattle rancher or farmer, or fossil fuels, or what have you, when in reality the types of systems they are playing with are way beyond the ability of methane gas emissions to manipulate. There's an old adage whose truthfulness in this context goes way beyond the humorous circumstances in which it was offered: "the smeller is the feller." In this case, the people raising the most noise about climate change are the only people with enough power to access the technologies that can actually do it.

That's why, when I'm huddling with my dog in our storm shelter and hoping and praying I have a home to return to when we emerge, I'm not thinking of my local farmer or cattle rancher. I'm thinking of John "Ketchup" Kerry, of Baal Gates, of der Hochklaus, of Ronald Reagan and Mikhail Gorbachev discussing mutual planetary defense in case of an ET invasion, and of the whole miserable and rotten political class, of the whole bloviating mass of the Davos, Bilderburgers, and the Bohemian Grove set.

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

*Cover image credit: [KELLEPICS](#)*

---

# Hailstones by Acme?

[Hailstones by Acme?](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

originally published May 15, 2024

Every now and then I receive a story – or in the very rare instance, a video – that is so bizarre and “out there” that I just *have* to blog about it, even if it means violating my own “no videos” rule. That's the case with today's blog about a video that was shared by V.T., because this is so out there that I simply *have* to add my two cents' worth of extremely high octane speculation.

Indeed, today's speculation is a sprint to the end of the twig of the speculation tree and altogether off the twig into a Wile E. Coyote nosedive into a very deep canyon. In this case, the "Anvil by Acme" is the hailstone itself.

As most of you are aware, much of this country has been having what seems like wave after wave of unstable atmospheric conditions, with accompanying wave after wave of severe storms. I can remember a time when the weather forecasts distinguished between thunder *showers* and *thunderstorms*, and even a time when not every *thunderstorm* was more often than not going to be a severe weather event. Not so any more: if there's a *thunderstorm* headed your way, the likelihood is that – these days – it will be severe. And as for thunder *showers*, they just don't seem to happen any more. In the past two weeks, for example, Shiloh and I have had to take shelter in our storm shelter five times, and each time for more than three hours. This is, decidedly, not fun. In Oklahoma and Tennessee whole towns have been wiped off the map and in other states, like today's example from North Carolina, we've seen bombardments by hail...

... hail it seems that has been made and manufactured and distributed by Acme:

<https://truthcomestolight.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/hailstone.mp4>

[source:] <https://www.facebook.com/1022328449/videos/2341084309420265/>

Now there's just plain odd behavior here. Clearly, for a moment, the hail appears to melt, but, as one can see from the video, there are *scorch* marks on the hail, and this implies that there is a chemical composition to this hailstone that goes beyond mere water. In fact, to my eyes, it looks like the hailstone is, at least to some extent, *plastic*.

So I have two very high octane speculations to advance here: am I the only one, or do others genuinely have the same impression that the *thundershowers* that I recall from my youth and its weather predictions on the news, are all but vanished? When was the last time you remember a weather prediction for thunder showers? Did the category disappear? Or is something else going on? Are “they”, for example, out of an abundance of caution, deciding to treat every thunder shower as if it is a thunderstorm and capable of becoming a severe weather event? or is the weather, in fact, becoming more severe? I suspect the latter, but it’s a question I genuinely have. I cannot think of any rationale for changing categories and definitions of those categories out of an abundance of caution, for such an effort would seem to be self-defeating in the long run; we all know the scenario: the more the boy cried wolf, the less seriously he was taken, and then the wolf really showed up, but the help did not; no one wished to be taken in any more.

So now we have (apparently) a hailstone in North Carolina that does not appear to want to melt in an ordinary fashion, and after a little dripping under a flame, appears to take on scorching marks. As I noted above, this suggests some sort of chemical composition to me, beyond mere water, and I am bold to suggest that perhaps we are looking at the weather equivalent of the nanotechnology alleged to have been covertly placed in some of the cofib planscandemic clot shots: a nanoplastic that can be activated and agglutinated under certain conditions of electrical stress, such as within the human body, or such as within the plasma conditions of a thunderstorm and its electrical events.

Now if that wild and woolly idea has any traction at all, then it leads us to yet another implication, one even more disturbing: *is the purpose or motivation in creating such things* quite literally to create mimicry of biblical plagues of hailstones? *is the purpose, literally, to create a means of kinetic bombardment by making “hailstones” that will fall from*



*the sky and damage or destroy crops, or homes, or livestock, or people?* Spray enough crud into the atmosphere, and by itself it is merely a bunch of particulate crud, that, with the right recipe, might fall to earth and be absorbed into the food supply and thence into the body for whatever nefarious purpose one has cooked up. But turn on the electricity, let it congeal into big hailstones, and *commencer le bombardement*.

I don't know, but what I *do* know is that under the doctrine of *mens rea*, the globaloneyists have made their murderous intentions quite clear, so bombardments of hailstones? I put nothing past them...

...See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

Cover image credit: [DerTobiSturmjagd](#)

---

### **See Related:**

[More Evidence That All Of Life Is Contaminated With Plastic Polymers: "UNM Researchers Find Microplastics in Canine and Human Testicular Tissue" – And Associated Lower Sperm Count](#)

[Smart Dust Biosensors and Chemtrail Dispersal](#)

[Our Geoengineered Skies: Evidence Points to the MITRE Corporation & New Manhattan Project](#)

[The Polymerization \(Clotting\) of Blood : A Model](#)

[Polymer Rain, 90 Second Alert](#)

---

# Russia: NATO and Individuals Under Investigation, and the Rumor of Der ...

[Russia: NATO and Individuals Under Investigation, and the Rumor of Der ...](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

April 19, 2024

You may have noticed I've been following the messaging coming out of Russia for some time, and today's article submitted by so many of you is no exception. Except, today, I'm going to dive off the end of the twig of speculation and tie something else into it as a speculation.

Firstly, Russia is now being crystal clear as to whom its regards as being under suspicion for involvement in the Crocus terrorist attacks in Moscow:

[Russia launches terrorism probe into US and NATO officials](#)

There are a number of things to note about this article, not

the least is the specific and explicit mention of who is under investigation in Russia for involvement in the Crocus terrorist attack:

Investigators are currently looking at the potential involvement of *“specific individuals from among government officials, people with civic and commercial organizations of Western countries,”* said committee spokeswoman Svetlana Petrenko.

It has already been established that funding for terrorist attacks inside Russia has been funneled through Ukrainian companies, including the notorious Burisma Holdings – former employers of US President Joe Biden’s son Hunter – Petrenko added.

The Prosecutor General’s Office of Russia has also said it would follow the evidence leading to *“persons and structures located in the US, Germany, France and Cyprus.”*

In addition to last month’s Crocus City Hall attack, the investigation is looking at other terrorist acts, including the assassinations of prominent public figures and the bombing of the Nord Stream gas pipelines in international waters.

What is of interest to me today is not the explicit mention of Burisma nor its connections to the Bai Den Dzhaio mafiosi in the USSA.

Rather, I am more concerned about that cryptic statement that the subjects of investigations are *“persons and structures located in the US, Germany, France, and Cyprus.”*

Persons and structures covers a lot of ground. For example: in addition to NATO (a structure), one could have various governments and their agencies (The USA, Germany, France, Cyprus!?!? and presumably all their financial and intelligence agencies), but also *“structures”* like corporations and private

security and intelligence services, or even “structures’ like the World Health Organization (are they involved in the Ukrainian biolabs?), and the World Economic Forum.

In other words, Russia is painting with a very broad brush. That contains some implications that we’ll come back to.

But there’s another message contained in this article, and that message is in the form of the *picture* which heads it:



If one scrutinizes this picture closely, there is an image displayed on the shield that any Russian Orthodox (or for that matter, Greek or Serbian or Arab or Romanian or Bulgarian Orthodox, and many many Roman Catholics) will recognize, and that is the horse-riding figure of St. George wielding a spear and slaying the dragon, or serpent. This on a shield which is boldly emblazoned on the old Romanov double-headed imperial eagle. The choice of such a backdrop to accompany the text of the article is nothing less than deliberate, and a very clear warning that, as Mr. Medvedev recently put it, the old immunities will *not* protect the perpetrators *nor their financiers and organizers*. The dragon – which in this case I suspect is meant to represent Mr Globalooney and all his miserific institutions and agendas – will be slain.

The key to my interpretation here is *terrorism*, and its deliberate mention by these figures in the Russian government,

not only prior to the Crocus attacks, but with increasing frequency since then. The use of that term in connection with Russian warnings – like the iconic picture – is also deliberate, and here's why: recall that in the wake of the 9/11 attacks the USA and its allies (but principally the USA), claimed the right to try, convict, surveille and even to execute foreign leaders if they were involved in acts of terrorism. Simply recall the alleged capture and execution of Osama Bin Laden, or the many drone attacks on various individuals accused of terrorism, or (equally important and probably more so for our purposes of analysis here) involved in *planning or supporting* terrorist acts. To put a finer and simpler point on it, *Russia is claiming the same right and precedent the USA claims and utilized in its "war on terror," and Russia is saying that the West, by being involved in the planning, financing, support, and execution of such acts, is itself subject to its own "rules based order" and that Russia will therefore respond similarly respond, whether that response comes in the form of drones, Spetznaz teams or other elite military teams sent in to kidnap or literally execute a suspect, and so on.*

In short, Russia is announcing that it intends to play by *America's* rules.

With that high octane speculative interpretation of the article in mind, consider, briefly, the following story submitted by K.M., which some say is a rumor. But let's assume just for the sake of argument for a moment that it's true:

*Did you hear the heartbreaking news???*

*Allegedly, Dear Leader Herr Klaus Schwab has been admitted to the Hospital last night in critical condition, and is seriously ill.*

*We all wish him the best! [pic.twitter.com/R2AmxkwT8F](https://pic.twitter.com/R2AmxkwT8F)*

*– The Patriot Voice (@TPV\_John) [April 14, 2024](#)*

If one assumes this is true, then I for one hope der Hochklaus Freiherr von Blohswab und Blovation does, indeed, have a change of heart from the path he has been on, and changes course. But let's be realistic too: such massive and sincere repentances, while they do occur, are for that very reason rare. I can hope, because every individual that "changes sides" is important, but in the case of someone like this, would be a serious blow to the other side. I retain the hope, therefore, but I also retain reality, and therefore, skepticism.

But *hope or skepticism aside*, in the context of all that is going on in the world, and especially in the context of the statements in this article and the timing of both the article and the tweet on "X", I have to wonder if such events, when reported, might represent something else perhaps, and that "sudden hospitalizations" and the "subsequent denials" might have hidden aspects, and if, in fact, we might be looking at a very public example of "horizontal escalation" with correspondent attempts at narrative control. Time will tell, of course.

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

Cover image credit: [GDJ](#)

---

# The Eclipse Obscured, & and

# Other Goings-On

## [The Eclipse Obscured, & and Other Goings-On](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

April 15, 2024

It's been a week since the solar eclipse, and just as I sat down today (Sunday) to sort through all the emails in my inbox and schedule blogs, I received the following intriguing article on Substack from a "new" contributor, whom we'll just call D.S.G., who also happens to be an old friend. Before we get to that, I have to confess that I was not one of those that spent a lot of time outdoors looking at the eclipse. Where I live, the totality was not visible anyway. What happened was simply that the day visibly "dimmed", not that I could have seen much anyway, since it was an overcast day. As the days approached, I began to get emails from a few of you telling me that your weather forecast was not favorable to viewing, and that of this small group, a few of you were even going to travel a fair distance to be able to view the totality. But then, as we shall see, *after* the eclipse, a few odd stories began to roll in, and this one tops the list:

### [Solar Eclipse CHEMTRAILS: What Were They Trying to Hide?](#)

The beginning of this article says it all:

I haven't been on Twitter much these days. After hitting 20,000 followers I became so shadow-banned that the purpose of it has become pointless. With that being said, on April 8th, 2024, the day of the Eclipse, I shared some photos of my Michigan sky, which started off as beautiful blue then, only two hours before the eclipse, filled the infamous *lines*. I then scrolled a bit to see if anyone else was noticing the same; I was quite surprised at how many

posts there were. I began bookmarking the posts, downloading lots of videos and logging the locations the reports were coming from so I could plot them on a map.

Today, we are first going to check out many of the posts (there are way more than I can possibly document), then I am going to provide some theories regarding why this excessive spray campaign was ran, then we will look at other interesting stuff that happened on April 8th, 2024.

This, I think you'll grant, is very intriguing, and given the scale and breadth of the selection the article's author has gathered, is hardly accidental or coincidental. In my case, as most regular readers here know, I tend to go to sleep between 4 and 5 AM, and to wake up very late in the morning, usually around noon. Eclipse day was no different for me, and as a result, I have no idea if, where I live, the day began clear and later clouded over due to the spraying that "they" deny that they're doing, even while Baal Gates, in his busybody billionaire insanity, wants to blot out the sun. But if the reader looks at the assembly of videos and pictures in the article, it becomes evident that much chemtrail activity was reported on the day of the eclipse, raising the question of what "they" were trying to accomplish with it. The article's headline says it: "They" were trying to hide "something", rather like the old fable about ostriches hiding their heads in the sand when confronted by something they don't like. Removing it from view and pretending it does not exist will make it go away.

The author notes that NASA was warning people *not* to try to take pictures of the event with cellphones. The author then notes that satellite photos show the cloudy cover that resulted from the spraying, and the "coincidence" that it lies right along the path of the eclipse. He also notes that NASA announced that it would launch rockets to study the eclipse (studying *what*, exactly?), and indeed it did so. And on top of all of this, there was "eclipse sickness":



But the media isn't reporting on poison air or lines covering the sky, instead they are publishing "[Eclipse Sickness](#)", which is causing Americans **"weird feelings"** in addition to **headaches, fatigue, changes in menstrual cycle and insomnia.**

Much of the article then deals with an alleged psychological operation to make everyone feel sick in connection with the eclipse, and then the author gets right down to his thesis: a second "sun" or light source in the sky:

Interestingly, in January 2024, I published two articles. The first was called [2 Suns in the Sky: Optical Illusion or Military Operation?](#). In this article we looked at all kinds of evidence people have recorded, clearly showing two sources of light in the sky (and no, it isn't lens flare, a "Sun Dog", reflections, *camera tracking*, etc.). In the second part of that series, [Sun Simulators Deployed Worldwide? Shocking Evidence of a Fake Sun](#), we looked at all kinds of crazy stuff regarding the patenting and manufacturing of new suns. Both of those pieces are worth your time, especially if you spend a lot of time outdoors and have been feeling suspicious about what has been going on around you for the past couple years. Anyway, in those pieces, it really looks like *they* have been practicing with their sun simulators.

As mentioned, I published those pieces in January. Ever since January, here in Michigan, our sun has been blocked. I detailed this in [Gov Using Frequency to Move Clouds to Block the Sun: 2024 Arctic Freeze was Manmade, 100% Proof](#). No matter where the sun has been in the sky, it is always blocked by a cloud. You can literally watch them shift the clouds, using frequency (I know it sounds crazy, but [read the article](#)). All along I have wondered if the real purpose of this is to continue to hide their sun simulator testing.

So there you have it: “sun simulators” or other major light sources in the sky. In aid of this very wild hypothesis, we get interesting videos that appear to show more than one Moon eclipsing more than one Sun, some very strange reflections, a low rumbling sounds (which, incidentally, I myself have heard on occasion including on eclipse day), and then the article just sort of peters out, leaving one with a hypothesis of “sun simulators”, rumbles, and strange reflections.

So before we get to my own contribution of high octane speculation (and perhaps silly nonsense), let us not forget that in addition to NASA launching three rockets to “study something” that day, that CERN was going to fire up its large hadron collider on that precise day. As E.E. said in the email that accompanied the article: “Just follow the ritual...er... science”:

[CERN to test world's most powerful particle accelerator during April's solar eclipse to search for 'invisible' matter that secretly powers our universe](#)

At least in the case of the CERN-collider-eclipse business, they're at least being consistent with their narrative that they're still looking at particles in the quantum particle pantheon zoo, and for dark matter and all of that. Regular readers who've been following me for a while know that I think what they're really looking for are hyper-dimensional effects, including *torsion* effects, which in my hack-from-South-Dakota thinking, would be very likely to manifest during eclipses, provided one has a sufficiently accurate means of measuring them, and a circular collider with its built-in implied precessional wobbles might be just the ticket. (And for those *really* paying attention, remember Richard Hoagland's Bullova accutron watch experiments?)

There were other, possibly related, events, like the fact that the northern terrestrial polar vortex was spinning backward recently (thanks to M.D. and T.S. for this catch):

## [The Polar Vortex Is Suddenly Swirling Backwards](#)

And just for kicks and giggles, let's not forget the South Atlantic anomaly, and NASA's satellites:

## [NOAA Satellites View Total Solar Eclipse](#)

## [Weird dent in Earth's magnetic field is messing with auroras in the Southern Hemisphere](#)

And let's add to this "anomalies stew" those claims of "UFOs near the Sun" and even that there are UFO reports in connection with the eclipse.

So... what is going on?

Answer: I have no answer. Not. A. Clue. Nothing. Nichts, Nadda.

Do I think that "they" might have been deliberately trying to cover up the existence of UFOs, other "light sources" and so on, with the spraying? Very possibly. Could there even be such "light sources" or "sun simulators"? Possibly. Are "they" looking for torsion effects, perhaps even torsion effects that could, so to speak, "roll up the heavens like a curtain"? Sure "they" could be. After all, I put *nothing* past "them." Baal Gates wants to blot out the sun because of "climate change" and in so doing seeks an apocalyptic, godlike power that could possibly end mankind itself. But what if, indeed, the whole climate change narrative is a convenient cover story for an operation that is really designed to keep certain things veiled and secret behind a cloud screen and fog?

Clearly "they" are hiding something, and when you boil my high octane speculations of the day down to their quintessence (yes, the alchemical term is deliberate), they amount to this: there are aspects of celestial mechanics – astrophysics and cosmology – that might be dramatically different than the "public physics" models taught in the schools, and something

is threatening to break through those models, and dash them to the ground like so many Tinker Toys and Lincoln Log constructions, and “they” are trying desperately to keep those models and their favored narratives intact.

Someone else, in other words, may be entering the game...*Ringmakers of Saturn*, anyone?

...See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

Cover image credit: [Vilkasss](#)

---

# Coincidence? Ship Loses Power Just Before Verrazano Narrows Bridge

[Coincidence? Ship Loses Power Just Before Verrazano Narrows Bridge](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

April 10, 2024

Ok, when K.M. sent along this story, I knew I’d have to share it and blog about it, because this is one that I’m about 50/50 with, for the simple reason that “two is a coincidence, three is a pattern,” but also because the story is about one of my favorite bridges in the world, the elegant Verrazano Narrows suspension bridge in New York City, the massive structure

connecting the Brooklyn and Staten Island boroughs.

The story concerns a French shipping company's ship that lost power just before the Verrazano Bridge, and required the assistance of three additional tug boats to stop the ship. Here's the story:

[Massive Ship Reportedly "Lost Power" in NYC Harbor, Right Before Verrazzano-Narrows Bridge](#)

Coming as it does mere weeks – days really – after the disastrous collision of the Dali with the Francis Scott Key Bridge and the latter's dramatic collapse, this incident does leave one wondering. The Dali clearly was losing power repeatedly, and the ship's systems appeared not to be functioning properly. And then, the last minute turn out of the main channel and the ship headed directly for the south pylon, and we know the rest.

But not to worry here! *The Wall Street Journal* is on duty and reassures us a similar incident here would be impossible:

While the Verrazzano shares some characteristics with the Key Bridge, there are also important differences," an opinion piece in the [Wall Street Journal](#) recently read, adding:

*"First, its massive vertical supports are positioned much closer to land than the Key Bridge's—1,000 feet away from the harbor's navigation channel—making them considerably less likely to be hit by an errant vessel. The towers also are surrounded by rock islands, which would force any ship heading toward the supports to run aground before striking the tower. Additional safety projects have further hardened protections, even adding an air gap sensor system that detects vertical clearances between the bridge and large vessels passing underneath."*

And then *Zero Hedge* adds it's own high octane speculation in the form of a recommendation:

The collapse of the Baltimore bridge has sparked discussions in corporate media about America's vulnerable infrastructure. Within government, high-level officials are likely concerned about terrorists crippling the nation through a series of infrastructure attacks. Maybe it's time the US government vet all foreign crews of commercial vessels entering America's waters...

Perhaps it *is* time for such vetting, but perhaps it is also time to start taking seriously the possibility of *technological* hijacking of such systems, even of the types of redundant systems one finds on ships.

And while we're at it, I don't know about you, but I for one am less than reassured by *The Wall Street Journal's* glib dismissal of any idea that this incident might have been a repeat of the Baltimore disaster, for a large cargo ship running aground on the islands surrounding the the Verrazzano-Narrows Suspension towers might do so in such a way to form an impediment or for that matter a complete blockage of the main channel, which could have disrupted normal shipping traffic for several days, and possibly weeks, until the ship could be freed.

So one can colour me moderately skeptical that this incident is *not* unrelated to the Baltimore disaster: it's a bit *too* coincidental and too close in time to the Baltimore bridge collapse to be ignored, but on the other hand, two is still just a coincidence...

... unless, of course, one wants to locate the two incidents in a wider, and apparently "disconnected" context like the USS *Donald Cook*, *Fitzgerald*, and *John McCain* incidents. Perhaps even the Havana Syndrome incidents. *Then* things become much more interesting.

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

Cover image credit: [Glabb](#)

---

**See Related:**

[A Bridge in Baltimore: A Speculative Analysis](#)

---

# A Bridge in Baltimore: A Speculative Analysis

[A Bridge in Baltimore, Part Two: A Speculative Analysis](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

April 3, 2024

On Monday last [I attempted to gather](#) together some significant and representative articles about the collapse of the Francis Scott Key Bridge in Baltimore harbor after one of its load-bearing pylons was struck by the container ship *Dali* as it was attempting to leave the harbor. I indicated then that I would offer, in Part Two of the blog, a speculative analysis of what I think might have happened, and, by implication, who might have been behind the incident.

I say “incident” because I do not, as of this writing, believe

for a moment that this was a simple and sad accident as the goobernment and the F.I.B. (Federal Investigation Bureau) would have us believe. The government and its spokesmen are inveterate liars, and judging by the articles so many of you gathered and sent and which composed our article "round-up" in Part One, there are many out there who had the same initial reaction to the F.I.B.'s announcement as I: we simply don't believe the "it-wasn't-terrorism" narridigm.

But such events require more than just skepticism of government "obfuscations" in order to argue for the incident having been deliberately planned.

In Monday's blog, I briefly mentioned that in order to understand the event, one might have to seek to situate it in a much wider context than even current geopolitical events such as the Moscow terrorist attacks. I did not, however, specify what that wider context might be, other than to include in the "round-up" of articles an article noting that global positioning systems in the Baltic region of Europe appeared to have been subject to massive jamming and spoofing over a 63 hour period, interfering with aircraft and ship positions during that period. Most assessments agree that this is the result of Russian electronic warfare measures, and on that score, I would concur.

But it is the capability *itself* that I wished to highlight by including that article, because that capability alludes to three other episodes in recent years that have also exercised my attention, and long-time regular readers here might recognize what they are: the *USS Donald Cook* Incident, the *USS Fitzgerald* Incident, and the *USS John McCain* Incident. In each of these incidents, a US Navy warship was involved. In the last two incidents, merchant ships collided with the warships under circumstances that I regard as peculiar and unusual, and while time and space do not permit me to review all those details here, the explanations of the Navy and the press at the time of the latter two incidents explained them as



accidents and the result of poor decisions and training on the part of the officers and crews of the warships, in addition to the poor training on the part of the merchant vessels' crews that collided with them. Aiding in these explanations are the fact that they occurred in some of the busiest shipping lanes in the world: the Malacca straits near Singapore (in the case of the *McCain*) and the busy waterways near Tokyo and Yokohama, Japan (in the case of the *Fitzgerald*). At the time of both incidents, I argued the behavior of the merchant ships that actually did the colliding with the US navy warships was suggestive of those ship's steerage having been technologically (and perhaps remotely) accessed and controlled, and that the collisions may have been deliberate. At the time, some friends who had spent time in the Navy assured me that my speculations were nonsense, and that the accidents were, indeed, accidents. However, I remained then, and remain now, unpersuaded, and continue to believe that my speculations in this regard may be viable explanations. The relevance of those speculations to the Francis Scott Key Bridge Incident will be immediately apparent to the reader. We'll return to that point in a moment.

What convinced me that such speculations were a possibility were the two *USS Donald Cook* Incidents. The first Incident, if the reader does not recall, occurred while the Aegis-class frigate was in the Black Sea in the tense weeks' and months' aftermath of the Maiden coup. An "obsolescent" Russian Sukhoi-22 fighter jet approached the ship on a very low altitude attack trajectory, and then apparently engaged some sort of electronic warfare technology *that completely shut down* the *Donald Cook's* sophisticated electronics, leaving the vessel essentially a helpless bit of floating metal in the Black Sea.

Message received: the *Donald Cook* managed to restore enough of its electronics to limp to the Romanian port of Constanza (for "rest of the crew" as we were told at the time). A few months

later, in the Baltic, the Incident was repeated, again with the *Donald Cook* and, once again, a Russian Sukhoi-22 fighter jet which approached at very low altitude on a mock attack run, and again, interfered with the warship's electronics. Apparently the "crew rest" in Constanza, Romania had not fixed the problem. Message *not* received. (And sorry, no, I am not buying the idea that the US navy deliberately provoked the incidents to learn about Russian electronic capabilities, and that the US Navy is perfectly capable of countering them.)

This technological ability implies the possibility that a ship's systems might be remotely accessed, interfered with, and possibly even commandeered to the extent that a crew no longer has control of the ship. And this in turn implies the possibility that such an event may have occurred with the *Dali's* collision with the pylon of the Francis Scott Key Bridge in Baltimore. That *something* was happening on the *Dali's* bridge and engine rooms is apparent from the video that, by now, we have all seen: the ship makes its way down the channel, loses power, regains it, loses it again, regains it, smoke begins to pour out of a funnel toward the stern, the ship then appears to turn out of the main channel and to starboard and thus toward the southern pylon of the bridge, power goes out and on again, the ship hits the pylon, and the bridge collapses, taking the lives of some pot hole repair crews with it. Notably, as all of this is occurring, road traffic on the bridge continues until it suddenly stops in time for the bridge to be vacant of road traffic just before the collision. This is also a crucial point, for it means that there was communication between the ship and the shore, and that authorities were alerted to a problem on the ship, and to stop traffic from entering the bridge. This in turn means that the crew *knew there was a problem, and that a collision was possible*. This implies, in its turn, that the crew recognized either that they had lost control of the ship or were about to.

So what do we have? We have (1) power losses, (2) smoke indicating that the ship's big diesel engines might be being restarted, or some other possibility, (3) a probable notification of shore personnel that controlled access to the bridge by someone in the ship's crew, or possibly by the harbor pilot, to shut the bridge down, and finally (4) a starboard turn of the ship directly toward the southern pylon. We must not assume that the communication from the ship to the shore to alert the bridge controllers to shut down traffic occurred on the ship's communications systems. If there was interference with the ship's electronics (suggested by the power outages), other systems – cell phones, radios, &c – in the possession of the crew might not have been effected and hence might have been used. You'll note that, in the articles gathered thus far, we have heard little to nothing about the composition of the crew other than that the ship's master was, suggestively, Ukrainian. We do not know who the harbor pilot(s) was or were, and we know nothing about the crew nor what they might have observed on the ship as all this was transpiring. I find this point to be extremely suspicious, for it might indicate that the crew has been sequestered and deliberately kept from public view in order to insure "they are able to read their lines correctly." I suggest that these peculiar facts align with the idea of a commandeering of at least some of the ship's systems by technology, and a crew seeking to regain control of the ship (hence the power outages and warning of shore personnel). Technology is indicated for a very different reason, namely, the requirements of Lloyd's of London and other major insurers that such ships have redundant systems for steerage and so on. For a ship to collide with a bridge pylon *after* a perfectly executed starboard turn might indicate not only the failure of redundant systems to work to avoid collision; it might also mean that the steerage *was* operating, but the warnings from the ship to shore to shut the bridge down might further indicate that the crew lost *control* of it.

This type of argumentation makes the *other* indications of a well-planned and executed infrastructure attack even more compelling: (1) the fact that, by collapsing the bridge, US military sealift capability is affected by stranding two sealift ships in the Baltimore harbor, (2) the possible collapse of the bridge onto a major underwater energy pipeline, (3) the closure of the harbor itself, (4) the symbolic nature of collapsing a bridge named for the composer of the lyrics to the USA's national anthem, and finally, and not least (5) the timing of the attack for a time *when the least possible collateral loss of life* would occur. Imagine if the attack had occurred at 5PM, or 7AM, at the height of the evening or morning rush hours, and you get the idea: the loss of life would have been much much higher. If one argues, as I am, that the Incident was a deliberate attack and not an "accident", then this factor of timing indicates the type of player or agency involved: one (1) capable of planning and executing such an event, (2) in possession of the technology to do it (if indeed a technology was involved), and (3) someone deliberately trying to inflict serious damage while minimizing loss of life.

In this respect the speculations of Tom Luongo, whose article I included in Monday's blog, are well worth pondering. Was it Russia? Are we looking at a potential retaliation by that country for the Moscow terrorist attack? Possibly. Certainly the attempt to minimize collateral loss of life might indicate this. The one key factor that would argue against this being a Russian operation is the timing: there would not appear to be enough time between the Moscow attacks and the Francis Scott Key Bridge Incident to organize such a complex operation, and to position the personnel and (if I am correct) the technology to do it. On the other hand, arguing in *favor* of a Russian operation is the simple fact that only Russia has demonstrated a technological electronic capability that might include the ability to commandeer a ship's control systems. (Here, I must make mention of those UFO incidents over Malmstrom Air Force

Base, and in Wyoming, where UFOs allegedly remotely and electronically interfered with the electronics of a flight of Minutemen ICBMs, changing their targeting data entirely remotely. As has been noted by Richard Hastings in his book *UFOs and Nukes*, the Air Force contracted with Boeing to find out what had happened, and Boeing was able to reproduce the incident remotely, implying both that such technology is not ipso facto “extraterrestrial”, and that remote electronic commandeering of such systems is possible). So if it was Russian, what about the timing? We must not rule out the possibility that if this *is* a Russian response or “horizontal escalation” for the West’s activities in the Ukraine since 2014, that this might be a response to *older* incidents than the Moscow terrorist attacks, such as the West’s attacks on the Kerch Strait bridge. Viewing it in this fashion removes the problem posed by the timing of it as a retaliation for the Moscow Terror attacks. It might be retaliation for some other, older attack on Russia.

Might this be someone *else’s* attack? Luongo makes an intriguing case that it may have been, up to and including the sclerotic powers of Europe like the U.K. or France, whose “leaders” like Boris Johnson or President Macron have given ample public testimony to their desire to see the Ukrainian war linger on and on, with the US’s continuing financial support, a support which has obviously wavered in recent months. Could it even be a third party, a non-state actor, intent on sewing enough chaos and confusion – and ill-will – into Russo-American relations? Again, possibly.

In the final analysis, a reasonable case can be made for all these possibilities, but in my opinion, not enough information is yet known to indicate which of these possibilities might be the most likely.

But one thing seems to emerge clearly from the collapsed wreckage, the bent and twisted girders, of the namesake bridge of the author of the USA’s national anthem lyrics: this was no

accident. If you believe the F.I.B., then I have a bridge in Baltimore to sell you. So let's call it what it is: it isn't the Francis Scott Key Bridge Incident. It's the Francis Scott Key Bridge Attack. So why the fib of the F.I.B.? Think geopolitics... and insurance... and independent insurance investigators ...

See you on the flip side...

### [Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

*Cover image credit: Adm. Linda L. Fagan, commandant of the Coast Guard, Vice Adm. Peter W. Gautier, deputy commandant for operations, Governor Wes Moore, governor of Maryland, and Mayor Brandon Scott, mayor of Baltimore, transit by Coast Guard boat to assess the Francis Scott Key Bridge collapse in Baltimore, Maryland, March 29, 2024. The Key Bridge was struck by the Singapore-flagged cargo ship Dali early morning on March 26, 2024. (U. S. Coast Guard photo by [Petty Officer 1st Class Brandon Giles](#))*

---

# Too Many Humans? Forget That. There's Too Many Animals.

[Too Many Humans? Forget That. There's Too Many Animals.](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

March 29, 2024

The Malthusian madness that's been gripping the "elite" of the west ever since the Most Serene Republic of Venice first

proposed the idea of “maximum carrying capacity” of human population (long before Malthus popularized the notion) has taken a step toward the truly insane, according to this PDF document that was shared by “D.J.” (no, not Dark Journalist):

[AVMA Guidelines for the Depopulation of Animals: 2019 Edition](#)

Now, I readily admit that I’m still wading through this monstrosity, but I thought I’d share just a few quotations illustrative of how insane, diabolical, and anti-life the so-called experts of the West truly are.

Take a gander of the table of contents alone, and you get the idea: under various headings of types of animals, from “Companion” animals to “Laboratory animals” to “Aquaculture” and along the way dragging in “bovine”, “swine” and “equids” in its train, these sections all contain a subsection titled “Events Necessitating Depopulation.”

Under the heading of Companion Animals, which incidentally, heads the list of animal populations to be “depopulated”, we read the following about the “Events Necessitating Depopulation” on page 19:

Emergency events that may necessitate the consideration of depopulation of companion animals may include the widespread loss of essential survival resources during natural disasters such as earthquakes or floods; non-natural disasters such as incidents involving terrorism, bioterrorism, conventional or nuclear attack or accidents, or toxic chemical spills; contamination of food and water supplies; zoonotic or pandemic disease that threatens public health and the food supply; and contagious veterinary disease in a single locality or species. Public perceptions, political and legal interventions, logistic difficulties, and compliance issues are likely to complicate depopulation efforts directed at companion animals, as was seen with the euthanasia of an Ebola virus

victim's pet dog in Spain in 2014.

Think of all of this as a kind of "template for action": claim that there is a planscamdemic deadly to humans and transmitted through your pet cat, dog, turtle, bird, or whatever, and voila, one might be able to rid whole areas of the pesky fur-, feather-, or shell-bearing useless eaters. The reason that companion animals may be heading this bizarre list might have something to do with what is said under the "Bovine" offering of the document, again under the sub-header of events requiring depopulation (from pages 29-31):

Fortunately, animal health incidents that require the use of depopulation methods to eradicate or prevent disease, protect public health, or maintain a secure food supply are rare. Every animal health situation involving depopulation as part of the response is unique and should be evaluated individually to determine optimal response methods.

Whew! What a relief! I thought for a minute there we were out of the woods, with only companion animals being the number one concern. But no, there's always "agro-terrorism":

Agroterrorism may be defined "as the deliberate introduction of an animal or plant disease with the goal of generating fear, causing economic losses, and/or undermining social stability."<sup>13</sup> Lists of potential agroterrorism pathogens by species<sup>14,15</sup> may be found on the Center for Food Security and Public Health website along with an overview of potential bioterrorism diseases' effects on humans and animals.<sup>16</sup>

Now so far, I'll bet the reader is thinking, "Well, yea, but there is nothing here that really could nor should be taken as diabolical. Granted, the 'elite' often uses or sponsors such emergency planning studies in ways they did not inform their hired experts about when they commissioned the study." For the hired experts, such studies are just that, contingency



planning in case of an emergency, not a template for widespread action. And that argument is indeed true and often the case.

But I suspect that may not be the case here, when one considers what is said about “animal depopulation” under the “aquatic” section (page 66); note the language and terminology:

There are a number of potential situations that could result in a decision to depopulate a captive population of aquatic animals. Depopulation of captive aquatic animals may be necessary for disease control, for alleviating animal suffering, for biosafety and human safety issues, or any combination of these. Additionally, *depopulation may be necessary for food safety issues or elimination of undesirable species*. The method of depopulation should also take into consideration the containment of infectious materials, the zoonotic risk of the pathogen involved, and carcass disposal options. On the basis of these considerations, the type of depopulation chosen may be a preferred method, a method allowable in constrained circumstances, or a method that is not recommended. Examples of particular situations follow to demonstrate general scenarios, but are not intended to represent a comprehensive list of all potential rationales for depopulation. (Emphasis added)

What I found intriguing with this passage (particularly in the context of Wednesday’s blog about undersea mining), is the language about “elimination of undesirable species,” which species are, of course, unspecified. Under such a broad umbrella, and notwithstanding all the subsequent speculations and caveats in the article, this is alarming. What, exactly, constitutes undesirable?

There is a hidden and implicit premise here and it is the same implicit and hidden premise that haunts – yes my choice of

words is deliberate – all such Malthusian arguments, and which the few attempts to exorcise have proven to be unsuccessful, and that is the premise of “staticness”, particularly of the staticness of science and technology. Consider: what may be an “undesirable species” today may subsequently come to be understood by science to be a key component of the ecosystem supporting human life, or indeed, all life, such as to eliminate it would be to ultimately doom mankind to a death by “cascading consequences” of the “depopulation” (unless of course one managed so keep DNA samples of such species in an ark, for cloning and “resurrection” in case we “guess wrongly”, and, cross your fingers, we’re able to clone enough to avert the catastrophe in time). Indeed, I have pointed out that when the Venetian Republic’s banksters first proposed the idea of a maximum human population carrying capacity for the planet, their “learned and scientific estimation” was one billion people. True enough, with the agricultural and medicinal science of their day, that estimate may have been accurate. But the trouble is, science and technology do not stand still. There may indeed be maximum carrying capacities, but we do not have a sufficiently developed science of dynamic change in complex macrosystems to know what it is, and I strongly suggest that anyone claiming to know what an “undesirable species” is, is subject to the same problems of the implicit staticness and hence falsity of all such Malthusian proposals.

And that, I submit, is why we’re not looking simply at “emergency contingency planning” with this document. We’re looking at some sick individuals’ template and plan of action.

See you on the flip side...

**[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)**

*Cover images credit: [JoeBreuer](#) & [Joenomias](#)*

---

# Antarctica Strangeness: Hess, Apollo Astronauts, Ketchup Kerry, Patriarchs of Moscow, and ...

[Antarctica Strangeness: Hess, Apollo Astronauts, Ketchup Kerry, Patriarchs of Moscow, and ...](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

January 17, 2024

Well, there's yet another Antarctica strangeness story to relate. If you're a regular reader here, you know the long (and very strange) list of people associated with, or who have actually visited, the place. For those of you who are relatively new to this website and its blogs, however, it would be helpful to have a brief review and resume of that list of people associated with the southern continent in modern times:

1. Reichmarschall Hermann Goering, who among other "achievements" founded both the Gestapo and the *Luftwaffe*, and co-sponsored the 1938-39 Nazi expedition to that continent, along with
2. *Stellvertreter* Rudolf Hess, "Deputy" Fuehrer of Nazi Germany,
3. American Admiral Richard Byrd, who helped brief the Nazi expedition on what conditions they would encounter, and who was later chosen to lead the post-war Operation High Jump expedition to the continent in 1946-1947. We

were *told* that the operation was necessary because the Arctic might become a theater of war, and that it was necessary to test our equipment and tactics in Arctic conditions. Yes, but we had *Alaska and Canada* available for that, and they were easier to reach. We even built the Alcan Road during World War Two to be able to ship supplies by road through Canada to Alaska. We did not need to send an entire flotilla to Antarctica. Initial tentative conclusion: there was some *other* reason for our (and the Nazis') interest in the continent. Oh.... did I mention that the planning for Admiral Byrd's expedition involved Fleet Admiral Nimitz, and more importantly, Secretary of Defense James Forrestal? So you can add

4. Fleet Admiral Chester Nimitz, and
5. Secretary of Defense James Forrestal to the list of people strangely associated with the place. More recently this list has come to include:
6. King Juan Carlos, who actually visited the place;
7. Apollo 11 Astronaut Buzz Aldrin, who not only visited the place, but who had to be medically evacuated from it, after allegedly tweeting that what he had seen there was "absolutely evil", or words to that effect;
8. All sorts of British royals beginning with Prince Philipp;
9. Secretary of State John "ketchup" Kerry, who took time during a diplomatic junket in 2016, during the height of the presidential election, to divert to the polar continent, ostensibly to get a first-hand look at "global warming" (q.v. my frozen water pipes of Monday). The fact that this occurred during a diplomatic junket led me to speculate that perhaps the real purpose of the diversion was to conduct high level secret diplomacy, perhaps with a world leader, or perhaps with "someone else", away from prying public eyes. Or was "Ketchup" Kerry summoned there?
10. Russian Orthodox Patriarch of Moscow Kirill III, who,

fresh from a meeting with “*Novis Ordo* Roman Catholic” Pope Francis in Havana, travelled to Antarctica. Again, there was a “story” that was put out that the Patriarch of Moscow and All the Russias went there to bless the Orthodox chapel that had been built. Indeed, the Russians did build a chapel there, but basically any hierarch could have blessed it. But the *Patriarch*? At approximately the same time, Turkey announced that it wanted a “share” in Antarctica and would be starting its own outposts there, and there was a strange story from Saudi Arabia that they had found a “something” while excavating parts of Mecca, and that the “something” was so dangerous they contacted the infidel Patriarch of Moscow to take it and deal with it, a story that I found, and still find, to be incredible and highly unlikely, but I mention it here for completeness’ sake. The blessing and consecration of the chapel done, the Patriarch then posed for some photo-ops with the penguins, and returned to balmy Moscow.

11. Oh... and in case you’d forgotten, Colonel Fletcher Prouty, one time Pentagon-CIA-White House liaison officer under president John F. Kennedy, was dispatched by his boss, none other than General Ed Lansdale of Yamashita’s gold-Three-tramps-in-Dallas-on-the-day-of-the-assassination fame, to accompany some dignitaries to and from Antarctica during the time period of the assassination. You’ll remember Prouty from his book *The Secret Team* and from Donald Sutherland’s portrayal of him in Oliver Stone’s movie *JFK*.

Now, that’s just the *people*. There has been a veritable *litany* of other oddities concerning the polar continent, from glaciers breaking off the ice shelf (and touted as proof of global warming), which “broken off pieces” somehow managed to be almost perfectly rectangular, as if they had been *cut* off, to google maps searches that allegedly showed massive fossils of “something” peeking out from under

the snow, to mountains that look perfectly pyramidal, claims of Nazi era U-Boat bases, the presence of Raytheon and Lockheed-Martian on the continent...

...in short, the place is a veritable magnet of high strangeness, not the least of which are the people associated with it. (For a complete review of all my blogs on Antarctic strangeness, just type "Antarctica" into the search engine on [this website \[gizadeathstar.com\]](http://gizadeathstar.com)).

Now you can add *this* to the list:

### [A Papal Visit to the South Pole? Pope Francis Looks to New Peripheries](#)

Yes you read that correctly: there is some chatter that Pope Francis (or else, some group within the Curia) wants the Pope to go to Antarctica. Francis apparently made the announcement last October:

**Pope Francis, who is known as "the Pope of the peripheries," has suggested the possibility of traveling to somewhere no pope has gone before – the South Pole.**

**In a new interview published on Oct. 17, the Pope said that he plans to visit his native Argentina, adding: "Somebody said that if I go to Argentina, I should stop at Rio Gallegos, then head to the South Pole, land in Melbourne, and visit New Zealand."**

**"It would be a rather long journey," he joked.**

**The 86-year-old Pope does not currently have any international trips on his official schedule, but he recently made a 4,000-mile journey to Mongolia and visited the French city of Marseille.**

And we have the mention of the trip of Patriarch Kirill:

**Pope Francis would not be the first religious leader to visit Antarctica. Russian Orthodox Patriarch Kirill visited**

**an Antarctic research station – [and walked with penguins](#) – in 2016 as part of a trip to Latin America, which included a historic meeting with Pope Francis in Cuba.**

I suspect that we're meant to take from this mention that if the Patriarch can do it, the Papacy should not be "one-upped" by the Orthodox, and assert its own presence there. Who knows? The article goes on to mention that there have been Catholic chapels on the continent under the jurisdiction of Argentina's military bishopric. Bottom line: whether Francis or his successor goes to Antarctica, we may reasonably expect that sooner or later a Pope will visit the place, walk with the penguins, and have his picture taken among them.

As one might expect, I have some high octane speculation to advance, and it concerns this very strange and now potentially papal list of visitors and strange people associated with the place. Even by *mentioning* a potential visit, Francis has now become a member of that list, at least by associating himself and the institution he occupies in connection with the place. So again: I pose the question: *what could possibly explain the association of such a strange list of people with the place?* Rudolf Hess? John Kerry? King Juan Carlos? Buzz Aldrin? Kirill? Goering? Francis?

No, I don't think it has anything to do with neutrinos, or any of the other things about the place. All the strange goings on there I suspect are related, or tied together, somehow, by the list of people visiting the place. I suspect that the moment it became clear that there was lots of land down there, and that the moment it became clear that the Piri Reis map showed the coastline of the continent, that a small group of people realized its significance: an entire continent, under ice, that is to say, under *water*. Atlantis. And I suspect that the moment this was realized, that the hunt was on for its lost technology, archives, and corroborating evidence.

But most importantly, I think the hunt was on for *survivors*... In short, I strongly suspect that at the core of the Antarctic

high strangeness is not a “what”, but a *who*... And Whoever It is, It is an *important* Who, important enough to attract the attention of Nazi Reichmarschalls, American Fleet Admirals and Secretaries of Defense and State, and of Kings, Princes, Patriarchs, and Popes...

...See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

*Cover image credit: Gallagher's Bar – [PublicDomainPictures](#)*

---

# OK, What's Really Going On With Bunny the Talking Dog?

[OK, What's Really Going On With Bunny the Talking Dog?](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

November 27, 2023

Last weekend in the “honourable mentions” I included a fascinating article about Bunny, the talking dog. Bunny is a dog that uses a special apparatus that consists of buttons on the floor that she can press with her paws. Pressing a particular button will produce a particular word. The disconcerting thing is that Bunny has a tendency to push buttons that make coherent sentences or questions that she's never heard before, and that she has done so so many times in contexts that make eminent sense, that it is clear she is trying to communicate. It would appear even that she is asking



some pretty “metaphysical” questions, not only about herself, but why she’s “here.” We’ll get back to this whole subject, because it’s the center of today’s high octane speculation.

I suppose that talking about a dog pressing buttons might seem a bit “indulgent”, but in a world where the leadership class of the West appears to have *lost* all self-awareness and to have become incapable of coherent speech (q.v. Bai Den Dzhaio, any recent public statement), a dog apparently forming sentences and asking “Platonic” questions I think is pretty newsworthy, and worth some high octane speculation time. And besides, most regular readers of this website are well aware of my fascination with animals and the apparent intelligence and emotional life in higher beasts: dogs, cats, horses, dolphins, birds (corvids, parrots, &c), and of course, one of my favorites, octopuses. I’ve recounted, for example, the incident with my friend Dr. Scott D. DeHart’s African Gray parrot, Murray. During a period when we were sharing an apartment, I would drive his youngest son to school (Scott left early as he was teaching school). Murray’s cage was right next to the front door, and on the way out, I used to always say, “Ok Murray, I’ll be right back; you be a good bird,” or something similar.

One day, as we were leaving, I turned to Murray as Scott’s son was looking on, but before either of us could say anything, Murray said (I kid you not), “I’ll be a good bird.”

Our jaws were on the floor, and for very good reason. This was a sentence Murray had never heard before. He simply composed it on the spot for the occasion, and used it in the proper context. I tried to put the whole unnerving incident out of my mind, until another incident with Murray brought me up short. Being a smoker, I would also step outside to smoke a cigarette. Shortly after this incident, something began to happen: I’d get outside, light my cigarette, and take that first wonderful drag, and... the phone would ring. Angrily, I’d snuff out the cigarette, run back into the apartment as fast

as my overweight frame could waddle, and answer the phone, only to have the line go dead. This went on for a couple of days, and then something else: As soon as I'd enter the bathroom to relieve myself, again, as regular as clockwork, the phone would ring. And it would continue to ring until I came tearing out of the bathroom trying not to severely injure myself as I zipped myself up and waddled to the phone... only to find the line dead once again.

I would probably have gone on like this, until the telltale laughter from Murray after one such incident gave his whole sinister game away:

Parrot: 2    Supposedly Intelligent Human Being: 0

Since then I've really had my eyes peeled for similar stories of animal intelligence and, in this case, of an animal playing a game. There are endless videos on YouTube of such things, of crows solving intricate puzzles (using tools no less); of gorillas speaking sign language, of octopuses learning by simply watching other octopuses solving problems, and, yes, of African Gray Parrots forming their own sentences and talking.

And now along comes Bunny the dog, who is asking the sorts of metaphysical questions one does not normally associate with canines (or even, as we've come to learn to our chagrin in the past couple of centuries, some humans... this article courtesy of MANY of you who read last week's honourable mentions):

[Bunny "The Talking Dog": Self-Aware Canine Asks About the Meaning of Life](#)

Here is the "problem", and see if you can spot what caught my eye:

**Bunny the Dog is famous on social media because of her ability to talk by using buttons, as reported by [Insider](#). But recently, the talking dog blurted out a surprising**

question about her existence. [Salon](#) reports that Bunny looked into the mirror and asked who herself is.

People were astonished as the [Sheepadoodle](#) breed asked about the meaning of life through her augmentative and alternative communication (AAC) device.

Through the development of her communication, Bunny seemed to be interested in her sense of self. Since then, the AAC helped her and other dogs exchange words with humans, but this time, she asked a different one from her usual conversation.

Pressing the AAC button consecutively, Bunny constructed a sentence: "Dog what dog is?" Amazed, Bunny's human parent Alexis Devine said that the question pushed her to add more buttons for the words "same", "different", and "animal."

...

A combination of over 3,000 dogs and cats are enrolled in this project, including Bunny. Most of the results from the observation suggest that cats and dogs, in fragments, can talk.

OK, I suppose that in itself is not really big news to anyone who has owned a cat or a dog, and seen first hand their ability to understand words. Why should we be surprised that – given appropriate tools – they would use them to communicate to us? But there's more:

According to Rossano, self-awareness is not the initial target of the study. But considering the development from various subjects, language and self-awareness have added curiosity to research. With that said, Rossano shared that the new concern of the study is whether dogs can recognize a sense of time, such as the past, present, and future.

...

For example, even though dogs have difficulty identifying themselves in the popular mirror test, a recent study published on [Scientific Reports](#) entitled "*Dogs (Canis*

***familiaris*) recognize their own body as a physical obstacle"** suggests that dogs have the capability to identify self-awareness through considering their own bodies as an obstacle.

As one might have guessed, it is *this* which caught my attention, plus the fact that Bunny at least appears to be asking "the Platonic questions": what am I, why am I here, who am I?" and perhaps the most important question, "What is an 'I'?" In some past blogs and in some vidchats I've remarked often on the need to break out of this post-Cartesian binary world of the equations we've all absorbed from the wider (and mostly western) culture of "human=intelligent & self-aware, everything else=not intelligent nor self-aware". I've remarked that St. John of Damascus once used the term "hypostasis" not only to denote individual human persons, but also particular animals (this horse and that horse), and so on. The story of Noah's ark is not simply the story about the survival of humanity, but of animals.

All this brings me to my two off-the-end-of-the-twig high octane speculations of the day: firstly, what if our tests of "self-awareness" in dogs, cats...whatever... are simply the wrong test? What if our obsession with mirrors and so on is more of a comment on the human predisposition toward narcissism rather than self-recognition and awareness? What if it is a pathology as much as it is an indicator of self-awareness? What if animals do not respond to the "mirror test" because they think it's rather silly and uninteresting?

Don't laugh, because in my Shiloh's case, I noticed exactly this behaviour. The big mirror in my master bathroom is visible from my bed. The first few nights I had her, she would bark at her reflection in the mirror. On one occasion, as she sat on the bed barking at her reflection, something amazing happened: she cocked her head in that wonderful way that dogs do, and then, cocked it in the opposite direction. And immediately the barking at her reflection stopped, and has

not resumed. It's as if, in that moment, she understood that the dog in the mirror was her. At that moment, she lost interest, and has not barked at herself since.

This leads me to my second high octane speculation of the day, one with much more profound implications, one sparked by Bunny's metaphysical questions, and by all those videos of octopuses watching each other solve problems, of crows solving intricate multiple-step puzzles (and using tools to do it), of African Gray Parrots forming sentences and asking questions, of gorillas using sign language, and add to this all those stories of saints with their bears, or befriending lions, or surrounded by wild birds who seem to flock to them: or of those videos of pigeons attacking 5G towers and removing the wires: *something is going on* in the animal world, but what? And my speculative answer is, what if something is causing them to "wake up" for whatever reason, to take the next big "cosmic leap" in intelligence? What if, in response to humanity's insanity in trying to develop artificial intelligence, this is nature's way of balancing things out? What if, indeed, armies are being raised right under our noses, and we're too stupid to see it?

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

Cover image credit: [Ihtar](#)

---

# China Sends Flotilla to Antarctica

## [China Sends Flotilla to Antarctica](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

November 8, 2023

It's been a while since we've heard of any strange happenings on planet Earth's strangest place, Antarctica, but just when you think everyone has forgotten about that continent's strange connections to Herman Goering, Rudolf Hess, Buzz Aldrin, Patriarch of Moscow Kirill III, or Obama Secretary of State (and current Bai Den Dzhaoh "Climate Change Tsar") John Ketchup Kerry, something comes along that makes one think that, once again, something is "up" down there. Indeed, there is in this article shared by S.D. something that caught my attention, and makes me go "hmmm"; see if you can spot it:

### [China Sends Fleet to Build Antarctic Research Station](#)

Now granted, China has been in Antarctica for a while, and like everyone else "down there," it has been conducting all sorts of research. One can only assume that they have an interest in the neutrino detector down there, and a host of other things as well. But I have difficulty imagining that the Chinese Communist Party's interest is purely scientific, just as I have a tremendous difficulty that Herman Goering's interest was purely scientific and that he was just sponsoring a glitzy science project. Of course, we had the "commerce and lubricants" explanation for Goering's interest (and the British raising the subject of Antarctica with Rudolf Hess after the latter had flown to Britain on his infamous and ill-

fated peace mission, a topic unfortunately too long and weird to get into here, but if you're interested, see my book *Hess and the Penguins*). Then there was Admiral Byrd's *Operation High Jump*, which was quite literally a fully-fledged combined arms operation which invaded the continent, but oddly, did so by landings everywhere *but* where the Nazis had explored.

And now the Chinese are sending a little flotilla of two icebreakers and a cargo ship to build yet another research facility there. So what is it about the place that attracts the attention of fascist Germany, communist China, and capitalist America, and why are the cover stories explaining that interest so laughably transparent? From "we need lubricants and can get it from whaling" (Nazi Germany), to "we need to test our new military equipment and combat capability under Arctic conditions, and we need to do it down there even though our access to the Arctic via Alaska and Canada is so much easier" (the Americans and Operation High Jump), to "we need to monitor neutrinos" (pretty much everyone), to "what I saw down there was pure evil" (allegedly from Buzz Aldrin), to "I need to personally check out climate change" (Ketchup Kerry, when interrupting a diplomatic junket in 2016), and now to Communist China, which, according to the article in search of its own explanation, is offering this one for your consideration:

*Work on the first Chinese station in the Pacific sector began in 2018. It will be used to conduct research on the region's environment, state television reported.*

*China has four research stations in the Antarctic built from 1985 to 2014. A U.S.-based think tank [estimated](#) the fifth could be finished next year.*

*The facility is expected to include an observatory with a satellite ground station, and should help China "fill in a major gap" in its ability to access the continent, the Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) said in*

a [report](#) this year.

*The station is also well situated to collect signals intelligence over Australia and New Zealand and telemetry data on rockets launched from Australia's new Arnhem Space Centre, it said.*

*China rejects suggestions that its stations would be used for [espionage](#).*

Oh those clever, nasty, sneaky Chinese! Why, they're building a *fifth* station in Antarctica because they need to spy on arch-rivals Australia and New Zealand!

As explanations go, this one is right up there with Reichsmarschall Goering's "we need lubricants from whales" and Admiral Byrd's "we need to test equipment in Arctic conditions" explanations for sheer brassy and ultimately nonsensical chutzpah. Now, I have no difficulty imagining that the Chinese would want to spy on Australia and New Zealand. They spy on everyone else, so why should Aussies and Kiwis get an exemption? And I have no doubt that Antarctica would be an ideal place from which to listen in. But my problem is that the Chinese probably already have that capability to listen in, and that building yet another base in Antarctica seems to be a rather cost-ineffective way to do so: why not just launch another geo-synchronous satellite in orbit over those recalcitrant Aussies and Kiwis, and save some money? So, assuming that the "environment" and "listening in" explanations offered by the article are *true*, then what about the south pole gives such a unique perspective on the planet's environment, and more importantly, who is really the target of those eavesdropping efforts? Since the American corporation Raytheon announced its own presence on the continent, that would be a possible target. Or maybe it is "someone else"? Is someone sending messages in the aurora australis?

Who knows? But it is perhaps telling that the Chinese,



whatever their motivations, appear to be in a hurry to complete that fifth Chinese Antarctic “research station.”

Of course, there’s a final possibility, namely, that an Antarctic research station, as the article avers, could help monitor Australian space launches... but it could just as easily monitor comings and goings from and to the planet that might be taking place down there....

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

*Cover image credit: [zhrenming](#)*

---

# Dr. Joseph P. Farrell: Strange Wildfire Incidents Move to Maui

[Joseph P. Farrell: Strange Wildfire Incidents Move to Maui](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

August 14, 2023

By now we’ve all heard of the disastrous, and sad, fires on Maui that have wiped out hundreds of people’s homes, livelihoods, and tragically ended the lives of many.

If you’re wondering why I’ve not commented until now, it is

because like many of you, I'm shocked and saddened that these fires caused so much damage, and seemingly struck without much of a warning. Unlike the propatainment media, I did not want to comment in my usual fashion about my suspicions about these fires, because it was just not "right" nor the time to do so.

Needless to say, such attempt to maintain some tact was utterly absent from the propatainment media which wasted no time invoking its nutty climate change narrative, beating even the Bai Den Dzhaio misadministration to that punch. Indeed, in a rare display of sensitivity and tact, and to his rare credit, the "president" refraining from making any reference to that narrative.

But comment and speculation *is* necessary, particularly for anyone paying attention to the strange resemblance of what has happened in Maui, to what happened in California years earlier with equally strange fires, particularly those in Paradise, California.

We all recall the strange pictures from those fires: homes and businesses completely burned to the ground, while next to them, bushes, trees, and shrubs remained alive and unburned.

Perhaps these strange results are the bizarreries one comes to expect from plasmas, i.e., perhaps they are "firestorm equivalents to Leidenfrost layers".

Whether or not that wild and "out there" speculation makes any sense is really irrelevant, because my point is that one can contrive almost any "naturalistic" explanation to account for the strangeness of what we saw. It becomes more difficult when one remembers the pictures of melted roadbeds on bridges, buckled and melted guard rails, right next to abundant – and unburned – underbrush.

Again, naturalistic explanations are possible: the metal in the roadbed or guard rails acted as heat conductors and

actually channeled heat *away* from the underbrush. Maybe (but did they channel the *fires* away from the trees and underbrush? if so, how?).

Then from Paradise, CA, there were the pictures of homes with burned out electrical power boxes where, apparently, some of the fires had started. Pictures from earlier fires showed clear evidence of vorticular behavior in the heat flows, reminiscent of the “fire vortices” reported by Germans and Japanese that survived the firebombings of Hamburg, Dresden, and Tokyo during the Second World War.

The bottom line is, naturalistic explanations are possible, though highly speculative, and a bit of a stretch.

Thus, the fires can also be explained in other, equally speculative but much more sinister ways. For example, initially I advanced the idea that one possible way to explain why trees and shrubs survived the ambient heat of fires right next to them that burned down homes and businesses was perhaps that the fires were triggered and initiated in conductive materials by very powerful microwave interferometry.

Others produced alleged pictures of the fires being initiated by lasers as “proof” that some sort of directed energy started the fires.

Pictures can, of course, be doctored and photoshopped, but their production indicates many others were at least thinking in similar terms.

My other “sinister speculation” was that the fires were initiated by the power grid itself. Every electrical circuit is also a small broadcast circuit, and the electricity in the wires in one’s home could literally be modulated and pulsed by transients in such a fashion that they might possibly be used to initiate fires. Of course I’m guessing, and my speculations are an attempt to rationalize what makes no sense.

With that in mind, however, there is already a bit of significant testimony by Dr. Kathy Forti, who was there when the fires began. I am grateful to our friend Catherine Austin Fitts for spotting this, and passing it along; it's worth your consideration:

### [What Really Happened on Maui – I Was There](#)

Note the following from Dr. Forti's story:

*A friend called me early on the morning of Tuesday, August 8th and told me he had a strong urge to visit Lahaina that day. Tempting me with lunch at Cool Cats, one of my favorite eateries in Old Lahaina, I said "Okay. Let's go!"*

*The 30 minute drive was without incident, without winds, and without any warning advisories. As soon as we reached Lahaina, all power got zapped. Cell phone, internet, traffic lights, GPS, the 911 emergency system (which is never supposed to go down) and the power outage caused every store and shopping mall to immediately close. Nothing was open.*

*Then the winds came in, bringing with it a rare rainless hurricane with gusts from 60-80mph. Trees became uprooted and branches were coming down everywhere, along with power poles. I heard a crash behind me and where I was less than 30 seconds prior was a crashed and uprooted tree. It was time to get out of there.*

Now first of all, I can empathize with what Dr. Forti is recording here, as it sounds suspiciously like the 100mph + winds I experienced just this last June where I live, which put Shiloh and I in our shelter for an hour and a half: the constant roar of the wind and the unbelievable lightning storm were like nothing I've ever seen or experienced before. My only advantage is that our warning system was *not* suspiciously "non-functional" prior to the event.

Hawaii's system appears to have been "down", and I'm reminded like many of you of that strange incident during the Trump administration when the warnings went off, and then were retracted. Now the system was completely down during a major weather emergency.

I'll just come right out and say it: to me these incidents betoken some massive security problems, and possible deliberate interference with those systems. And yes, I realize that means I'm stating that the whole sad Maui episode might have been a deliberately engineered event.

But just wait, because I'm not the one saying it: Dr. Forti is, and she was *there*:

*No evacuation sirens ever went off that day when the fires began. They failed as well. My friend and I had to escape through the back road going all the way around the island as all traffic was blocked in the other direction. Many might not have known about this back route since GPS was down.*

*These fires were indeed strange. The night before both my friend and many others claimed to have had very restless sleep. I personally experienced a strange flooding wave of energy in my head, almost like seizure activity, which I have come to identify as dark energy coming in. This flooding energy continued on and off the next morning, more so when I was in Lahaina. This told me there was directed energy involved in what was occurring.*

*This was not just a random act of mother nature. It reminded me of the strange fires in Paradise, California a few years back which swept through and wiped it off the map. Their only crime was getting in the way of a high speed railway project slated to come through the town.*

*A little bit of history to draw your own conclusions: Historic Lahaina is the key harbor seaport on the island. The Lanai Ferry goes back and forth from there, all the*

*commercial snorkeling and scuba diving firms set sail from there and tourists flock there in daily droves.*

*The problem with Historic Lahaina was that it had a large old Hawaiian community that was in the way of the developers. Now it's like ground zero, declared a disaster area, and federal and states rules are probably going to be tossed aside. It's a toxic mess. They will undoubtedly blame it all on climate change and welcome in the developers to totally bull doze and level it.*

*All over the island tracts of land are being bought up by corporations and no one knows who is behind them. Foreign interests? The people on this island are beginning to put the pieces of the puzzle together and suspect this was a major power land grab.*

*In January 2023 there was a Smart City Conference where they discussed turning Maui into a 15-minute "smart island". Next month there is a Digital Summit to discuss turning the island digital and all AI. I kid you not. (Emphasis added)*

What intrigues me most about this story are the remarks I've highlighted by italics: prior to the fires, Dr. Forti experienced a "strange flooding wave of energy" in her head, an energy that she describes as "almost like seizure activity."

This, too, struck very close to home, for the very day of the big storm that hit here where I live, I was listening to the weather radio, and tracking the storms that began way out on the western edge of the state and followed an almost perfect straight line all across the state until it finally arrived here and struck right about midnight. That whole day I had this very strange sensation of energy, vague and "in the background" and amorphous, but unsettling and causing a kind of anxiety and unfocussed mental state.

All I can say is that I knew that this storm was not normal, and I had the absolute distinct impression hours before it actually struck that when it struck here, it would cause massive damage and power outages, which it did. Similarly, my little dog Shiloh was also anxious and nervous all day, and constantly begging me for attention.

Dr. Forti notes that corporations are buying up all the land on Maui, and that “they” have plans to turn the island into an artificial intelligence/fifteen minute island prison. That may be one motivation behind the firestorm. Others have pointed out the presence of certain populations there they want removed. Still others have written me and pointed out the concurrence of the Maui fires with the release of the film *Oppenheimer*.

The point is, many people are sensing something is “not right” about these fires, and you can number me among them. If so, and if someone has deliberately caused them as part of some sick agenda, or for personal or corporate or political gain, then amid our prayers for Mauians, we might also pray for the exposure of the perpetrators, and for them to face justice.

Some might complain that we don’t really know who “they” are. I would aver, on the contrary, that we do... the doctrine of *mens rea* is a very powerful thing, and some of them have already openly declared their “real mind”...

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

Cover image credit: [US Coast Guard Station Maui](#)

---

# Of Ukrainian (and Uranium?) Explosions, and Missing Explosives in ...

## [Of Ukrainian \(and Uranium?\) Explosions, and Missing Explosives in ...](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

May 24, 2023

Regular leaders here probably all saw that explosion of the munitions storage dump in the western Ukraine last week, and the firey roiling mushroom clouds that accompanied them. There has been the usual chatter on the internet and various sites that the explosions may have been of a storage facility where some of the munitions were depleted uranium, and that hence, the explosions may have been “nuclear” in some form or fashion. I have to be honest: I have seen two starkly different recordings of the same explosions, and to my eyes, they show two very different events. In the version that *most* people have seen, the explosions occur in a massive fireball, and *very tellingly*, as the fireball rises to form a mushroom cloud, there is continued fire and burning of material in the cloud, a typical signature of a nuclear detonation. Continued combustion in the cloud as it rises is a typical signature of a nuclear explosion, and the burn continues until the material is burned out. The *other* video – much rarer – shows the initial explosion, but little to no burn immediately thereafter in the mushroom cloud. We were then treated to a variety of experts on various YouTube channels talking about the question that the depleted uranium allegedly in storage at the facility had some sort of burn, and we were assured by these experts that there was no such



possibility.

I'm not so sure. Under normal conditions of use, the kinetic impact of a depleted uranium round is so fast and therefore energetic, that the uranium literally burns inside the target, killing the people within. After all, the mechanism of the Little Boy uranium bomb was precisely a 5" naval rifle – a cannon once again – that fired a projectile of uranium-235 into a target, which itself consisting of more uranium-235. It was the sheer speed and kinetic energy of the impact that initiated the reaction. So for those who know their ordnance, a depleted uranium round is like a hollow charge HEAT round, **on steroids**. Think of your standard HEAT round as the old actor Wally Cox, and a depleted uranium round as Arnold Schwarzenegger, and you get the idea. Why depleted uranium? because it is an extremely *dense* material in its metallic form(much more so than gold or lead) and therefore much heavier per unit of volume than gold or lead. As for a burn under explosive conditions, it is to be remembered that smashing two bits of highly enriched uranium-235 together in one's hands can literally cause a kind of sub-critical nuclear "fizzle", and indeed, such an incident *actually happened by accident* during the wartime American Manhattan Project. So I am not entirely convinced by the experts on YouTube calmly assuring us that we are not watching any sort of nuclear combustion in the vast majority of the videos of the incident. Indeed, perhaps a fuel air explosive would have enough brisance to create the kinetic conditions for rounds of depleted uranium to respond with a burn.

But my chief explosive concern here today is this story shared by E.G. and many other regular readers out there, about 30 *tons* of ammonia nitrate that appears to have gone missing from a freight train on its way from Wyoming to Looneyfornia:

[30-Ton Shipment Of Explosive Chemical Disappears Between California And Wyoming](#)

Now this story just has “TROUBLE” written all over it, and if you’re like me – and you probably are otherwise you probably wouldn’t be reading this website – you’re going to find the “narrative” here a bit disturbing:

*A 30-ton shipment of ammonium nitrate, a chemical used as both fertilizer and as a component in explosives, **has gone missing during a rail shipment between Wyoming and California** last month, resulting in four separate investigations.*

*A railcar loaded with some 60,000 pounds of the chemical left Cheyenne, Wyoming on April 12, only to be found **empty two weeks later at a rail stop in the Mojave Desert**, according to a short incident report from the firm which shipped the ammonium, [KQED](#) reports.*

And of course, there’s the usual-and-to-be-expected reference to ammonia nitrate and the Oklahoma City Bombing:

*Ammonium nitrate is commonly used as fertilizer. It’s also an ingredient in high explosives **and was used in the homemade bomb detonated in the 1995 attack on the Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City.** (All emphases in the original)*

However, the dirty little secret of the dirty and not-so-little ammonia nitrate bomb used in the Oklahoma City Bombing is that the brisance of ammonia nitrate is very low as explosives go, and thus, the mere 4,500 pounds of fertilizer was *insufficient in brisance to bring down the B-3 column in the Murrah building by stress loading on that column*. It was a retired U.S. Air Force brigadier general, Benton K. Partin, whose specialty was explosive ordnance and damage assessment, who crunched the numbers and came to that conclusion. And that discomfiting physics fact means that there had to have been demolition charges on that column (and probably on the others that failed), in order to account for the failure of the

columns. The ammonia nitrate bomb simply didn't have the "oomph" to do it. But it does have enough "oomph" to do some damage, particularly to non-reinforced structures (which the Murrah building was not).

Now comes what to me appears to be the narrative part, because I'm just not buying the explanation given in the article for the disappearance of the ammonia nitrate:

*According to Dyno Nobel, the Ammonium – transferred in pellet form in a covered hopper car similar to those used to ship coal – must have fallen from the car on the way to a rail siding (where a short track connects with the main track) around 30 miles from the town of Mojave in eastern Kern County, in a city called Saltdale.*

***"The railcar was sealed when it left the Cheyenne facility, and the seals were still intact when it arrived in Saltdale. The initial assessment is that a leak through the bottom gate on the railcar may have developed in transit," said the company, adding that the two-week trip included multiple stops. They report having had "limited control" over the railcar operated by Union Pacific.***

*The railcar is being transported back to Wyoming for inspection.*

*Meanwhile, a representative for the Federal Railroad Administration says the investigation points to **an improperly closed hopper car gate.***

Now, wait just a minute... if the car was sealed in Cheyenne, if it had a leak, wouldn't the leak have been visible even in the yard in Cheyenne? And if the leak was sprung later while the train was en route, which, incidentally, "included multiple stops" on its two week journey, were no inspections done along the way? Wouldn't the Union Pacific officials have noticed a leak from the hopper car while it was sided in their yards?

Union Pacific is a rather efficient railroad and they are, after all, the railroad that has been restoring steam locomotives to functionality and even using them on freight hauls. This expertise and expense does not, to me, signal the kind of lackadaisical attitude necessary for a hopper car full of ammonia nitrate to spring a leak, and then go unnoticed for two weeks. Sorry, as of this moment and without further information, I'm not buying the narrative. Colour me Highly Skeptical.

And the same goes for the "improperly closed hopper gate." Presumably the hopper gate was improperly closed in Cheyenne, for the stops along the way would have no reason to open it. And again, we face the same problem here as well, because we're being asked to believe that the yardmasters and crews along the Union Pacific route taken by the hopper car over two weeks would never have noticed a leak and an improperly closed hopper gate. Even in modern dumbed-down thoroughly quackcinated Amairikuh, I find it difficult to believe that NO one noticed nor raised an alarm or alert.

So we end with an empty hopper car in a hamlet in Looneyfornia and a missing 30 tons of ammonia nitrate.

I do not know about you, but my high octane antennae are pulsing with suspicion. I just cannot get it out of my mind that somewhere out there there's another "op" being planned with another McVeigh and another rented truck. Oklahoma City's ammonia nitrate bomb was only about two tons. Thirty *tons* of the stuff would be very bad, and if the two tons of the Oklahoma City bomb was a cover for some serious demolition charges, one has to wonder if, indeed the thirty tons was stolen by nefarious actors, and a if cover-narrative is being concocted ahead of whatever planned event they have in their evil diabolical heads, then what sort of real explosion might thirty tons of the stuff be a cover for?

... Unfortunately, none of my answers to that question are very

good...

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

*Cover image credit: [Myriams-Fotos](#)*

---

# Indiana Outlaws Central Bank Digital Currency

[Indiana Outlaws Central Bank Digital Currency](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), PhD, [Giza Death Star](#)

May 17, 2023

This article comes from one of our regular article-sifters-and-sharers, and it's more grist for the mill as you consider what is behind the moves of so many states to pass various currency laws. Last week, for example, I offered a cautionary caveat on Texas's recent bills regarding the "backing" of digital "currencies" with gold or silver, and their apparent "convertibility." My caveat remains what it was: if you're going to have truly convertible digital currency with silver and gold backing, then certificates of deposit that one can carry in one's wallet – we know them as gold and silver certificates – must be issued and useable as currency. Otherwise, I smell a plot simply to hook people on digital and cashlessness via the hook of "bullion backed." Colour me a curmudgeon, because I'm not buying.

But this article about the Hoosier state's banning of Central Bank Digital Currencies has me wondering if this push back of the states against fiat money, the fed, and fedgov overreach, has real traction:

Consider what this article says very carefully:

*Last week, Indiana Gov. Eric Holcomb signed a bill into law to remove a central bank digital currency (CBDC) from the definition of money in the state.*

*Sen. Chris Garten and a bipartisan coalition of 11 cosponsors introduced Senate Bill 468 ([SB468](#)) in January. The law makes a number of changes to Indiana's Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) including explicitly excluding a CBDC from the definition of money in Indiana, effectively banning its use as such in the state.*

*The law amends the definition of money to specify, "The term does not include a central bank digital currency that is currently adopted, or that may be adopted, by the United States government, a foreign government, a foreign reserve, or a foreign sanctioned central bank."*

*...*

*Digital currencies exist as virtual banknotes or coins held in a digital wallet on your computer or smartphone. The difference between a central bank (government) digital currency and peer-to-peer electronic cash such as bitcoin is that the value of the digital currency is backed and controlled by the government, just like traditional fiat currency.*

*Government-issued digital currencies are sold on the promise of providing a safe, convenient, and more secure alternative to physical cash. We're also told it will help stop dangerous criminals who like the intractability of cash. But there is a*

*darker side – the promise of control.*

*At the root of the move toward government digital currency is “the war on cash.” The elimination of cash creates the potential for the government to track and even control consumer spending.*

*Imagine if there was no cash. It would be impossible to hide even the smallest transaction from the government’s eyes. Something as simple as your morning trip to Starbucks wouldn’t be a secret from government officials. As [Bloomberg put it](#) in an article published when China launched a digital yuan pilot program in 2020, digital currency “offers China’s authorities a degree of control never possible with physical money.”*

*The government could even “turn off” an individual’s ability to make purchases. Bloomberg described just how much control a digital currency could give Chinese officials. (Emphasis added)*

Now, I applaud Indiana’s step here, and I think, were my paternal grandparents still alive in their little house in Lafayette, they would be applauding too. But the problem I still have with the Texas bill is that convertibility issue because this article highlights it: the absence of the mediating step of certificates of deposit. While the Texas bill does recognize gold and silver specie as legal tender, imagine trying to buy a car with it... and waiting while the sales force counted out your bags of silver coins. Unless Texas is planning to issue gold or silver coins in denominations of hundreds and even thousands of dollars (in terms of current bullion prices), that scene is unlikely. If in gold at current prices, then an ounce of gold – not a very big physical lump at all – will be worth about two thousand dollars, give or take a couple of hundred. That’s not a heavy amount of gold to lug around, but trying to use gold for an

actual specie dollar would be microscopic in size, a mere flake or speck. Two thousand dollars of silver would have you lugging around about 80 ounces of silver coin if face and intrinsic value were more or less the same and at today's price of about \$25.00 per ounce, or about five pounds of coins.

Thus the difficulty is that the Texas bill does not specify the valuation: given current prices-per-ounce of gold, a gold coin whose intrinsic value content is equal to the stamped value of one dollar would be either a very miniscule and probably undetectably small coin, or the gold content in, say, a physical quarter would be so diluted that the process of trying to recover it would be prohibitively expensive and not worth the effort of actually minting such a coin, *or* one has to arbitrarily redefine the dollar as such and such a weight of gold or silver, and so on. My point is, for those who know this history of money (and as cursory and amusing as my little example may be), this isn't a small issue, as face (declared or stamped value) and actual intrinsic value, as everyone knows, are two different things, and this difference between the tell and the count can lead to some interesting "bookkeeping" practices if one isn't careful. A teller, incidentally, began as someone who was more like an *assayer*, determining the purity of a metal and its intrinsic worth. Notably, they have become mere *counters* of coins, bills, notes, or certificates, which are not the same things as each other, nor are their face values similar to intrinsic value.

My point is that thus far, in blogging about these types of news articles and the attempts of several states to address the issue of specie as money, and the prohibition of central bank digital currencies, one notices a distinct *lack* of mention of these issues (face or stamped value versus intrinsic value), and through such omissions, whole truckloads of mischief – Globaloney central bannkster mischief – can be driven. An illustration might help, if the reader is still



having difficulty with this difference between stamped, or face, value, and intrinsic value.

Imagine you own a proof condition Morgan silver dollar minted in 1880. That dollar, being in proof (virtually unused) condition would weigh in at almost exactly one ounce of pure silver. You get hungry for a taco, and realize you're just one dollar short of enough money in the drive through to get your taco (which costs five dollars and you only have four and some loose change), and your new debit card has not arrived yet from the bank, and you've really got to have that taco. Then you remember your Morgan silver dollar, and use that along with the federal reserve notes to buy your taco. The cashier takes your silver dollar because it is still legal tender and currency, but accepts it at its stamped value: one dollar. Except that when it was minted, that dollar went a *lot* father than it does now, and moreover, the *silver content* of that Morgan silver dollar is now worth about \$20-25 of your newer federal reserve notes. In other words, you just spent about \$29 tell dollars for a \$5 count dollars taco. Tha cashier, meanwhile, recognized the value of that strange coin, and immediately exchanged it for the federal reserve note in her purse, and later sold the silver dollar to a coin collector for \$25 count dollars. She could (here it comes) *tell* the difference.

So I'm left applauding these state efforts, but also issuing my caveats and warnings that *a lot more thought* that needs to be given to these specie money bills *and to the twin issues of legal valuation and convertibility*. Otherwise, when we try to convert our Texdigicoins to real gold bullion, we might have to wait a while for the clerk to find the microtweezers to give us our small microdot of gold worth one dollar, at current market prices of gold. It's *this* issue that has me concerned that Texas – and some other states – and playing Mr. Globaloney's and Mr. Central Bankster's game.

Of course, there's another monkey wrench here... and that is

that there *is* historical precedent in our republic for the stated and legal definition of the value of a dollar in terms of bullion and more particularly, specie ... but that story goes way back there, and neither the digital currency advocates nor the central bankers will like it. But that's another story for another time perhaps.

One thing I think we can perhaps all agree on, though, and that is using cash is a way to fight serfdom.

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

*Cover image credit: [Frantisek Krejci](#)*

---

# Giza Death Star Hypothesis: Time Reversed Waves Detected

[Giza Death Star Hypothesis: Time Reversed Waves Detected](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

March 29, 2023

This very important story was spotted and shared by T.S., and when I saw it I knew I'd be passing it along to everyone, with my profound gratitude to T.S. for doing so.. It's of particular and signal importance to those of you who have purchased and have been reading my latest book, *The Giza Death*

*Star Revisited* or who are familiar with my speculative hypothesis that the Great Pyramid was once a very powerful weapon, made possible by some very sophisticated crystals. In that book I speculated about the possibility of a weapon that could be “*temporally tuned*” to a target as well as spatially tuned, and which could thus target something in the future or past. That’s a tall order and, from the standpoint of present knowledge and art, an impossibility.

But here, once again, it’s not so much the *general concept* that we lag behind, but merely *the technological prowess to pull it off*. With that in mind, consider the following story:

### [Time Reflections Of Electromagnetic Waves Have Been Demonstrated For The First Time](#)

As the article’s subtitle notes, the idea has been around for quite awhile. It’s *not* the idea that is unusual or strange, it’s the fact that technology finally caught up to the extent that *the idea has finally, apparently, been demonstrated*:

*By switching the dielectric constant of a metamaterial, physicists have time-reflected electromagnetic waves being carried within it. The didn’t turn back time Cher-style, but the signal carried by the waves underwent both a reversal in order and frequency lengthening. Besides confirming a possibility theoreticians have been toying with for 60 years, the work could allow greater control over the way waves and matter interact. It could prove useful in photonics, the quest to replace electricity in information technology with light, allowing for an astonishing increase in speed.*

*Ordinary wave reflection off a suitable boundary is a familiar part of life. We witness it every day when we look in the mirror, hear it in echoes, and can watch ocean waves bounce off a breakwater if we want to see the process on a more graspable scale.*

Time reflections, also known as temporal reflections, are something different, requiring an abrupt shift not just in the wave, but in the medium through which it is traveling. As occurred so often in the mid-20th century, theoretical physicists ran ahead of their experimental counterparts, discussing the workings of the phenomenon before it had been observed. In *Nature Physics*, a team at City University of New York announce they have caught up.

Time reflections produce a shift in frequency, which is relatively easy to understand, and a wave reversal in time, which is not. The first involves something like the [Doppler shift](#), where wavelengths are stretched or contracted, most familiar in the [redshift](#) seen from distant galaxies. Light that is blue before the reflection becomes yellow, green light becomes red, and so on. Harder to get one's head around is the way the end of a signal is reflected first, so that we hear the signal backwards, like old-style conspiracy theorists who thought they could detect satanic messages by spinning rock records the wrong way.

The phenomenon of time reflection is associated with [time crystals](#), whose atoms form patterns that repeat in time as ordinary crystals do in space. The [surprising discovery](#) of examples of these objects has raised interest in related concepts. However, time reflection requires the properties of the medium to change at more than twice the frequency of the wave. The exceptionally high frequencies of visible light, let alone anything in the UV or X-ray part of the spectrum, make this a challenge, although it has been [demonstrated](#) in water waves.

For those who have read my most recent book *The Giza Death Star Revisited*, or who have listened to my interviews with Walter Bosley or Kelly Em on this subject, you'll note several intriguing things that tend to confirm some of my more outlandish speculations from years ago when the Giza Death

Star trilogy first appeared: (1) the ability to “tune” the weapon to any target in space and/or time, (2) the use of meta-material “time crystals” – or what I called “phi crystals” in the original *Giza Death Star* book – to do it. In such special crystals, the frequency of a wave is shifted, *and any modulated information being **carried** by that wave is reversed*, and for those paying attention, *this is one of the precise keys to the weapon function*, for mixing any such wave with its original could, *under special circumstances dealing with **phase***, be an exact opposite. We’re not there yet, of course, because the article notes the reversal occurred with frequency and the (frequency) modulated information, but that phase cancellation possibility looms large from what has been stated in this article.

And of course, for those really paying attention, what we’re getting close to is a very powerful kind of phase conjugate mirror that is reliant upon fast switching within the crystal (making the crystal also resemble a computer chip, or vice versa). For those also paying close attention to other details about other matters that have emerged in the alternative media over the years, also consider the theory advanced for the Philadelphia Experiment that frequency modulation (FM) was the method of choice.

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

---

***See related:***

---

*Joseph P. Farrell broke new ground in alternative history with the 2001 publication of his book, [The Giza Death Star](#). The book was unique in several ways. It blended reviews of ancient texts while remaining grounded in modern scientific thinking. Was the Great Pyramid a weapon of immense destructive power? Why were the ancients so afraid of it? In this two hour interview, Kelly Em of [The Common Surface](#) gets into the details of the function of this amazing device.*

*Cover image credit: [Papafox](#)*

---

# FAA Alerts and Air Incidents and the You-Know-Whats

## [FAA Alerts and Air Incidents and the You-Know-Whats](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

March 31, 2023

Those who know me well know that I have this love-hate relationship with flying. In fact, the last time I was on an airplane was in 1986, coming back from the United Kingdom where I had just finished my doctorate and was gearing up for the final rewrite and submission. I vowed then and there never to set foot on nor travel by airplane again, and I haven't and

won't. I'm just one of those people that is afraid of the whole danged process, and all those arguments about it being statistically the safest way to travel fall on my very unsympathetic ears. Suffice it to say my experience with flying has been less-than-optimistic, and I'll be happy to recount those experiences for anyone willing to listen to them. I have a couple of friends who are instrument rated pilots, and they haven't convinced me, either. Yet, I cannot avoid being fascinated by all the things that pilots or air traffic controllers must know and be able to do, flawlessly, time after time.

One of the things that one of them told me about was the sudden rise of very strange post-planscamdemic conversations making their appearance on youtube, of cockpit-to-Air traffic control conversations. I listened to one of these involving a Canadian commercial jet flying into LaGuardia in New York City, and it was like a badly written script from one of those 1970s – 1980s era disaster movies. More to the point, it was more like the comedy send-up of such movies, *Airplane*, but without the comedy. The conversation was about ten minutes long, and the pilot of the airliner was trying to declare an emergency landing because one of his engines had apparently flamed out and he was unable to get it restarted. The air traffic controller, as a response to this, was trying to “vector” the aircraft to a landing in Newark, New Jersey, and not LaGuardia. The back-and-forth between the pilot and the air traffic controller was almost surreal, since neither party seemed capable of even understanding the other.

Fast forward to today. There are increasing reports of in-flight medical emergencies involving the cockpit crew, and most recently, a spate of “incidents” on runways. Here's a sample of the former:

[Off-Duty Pilot Enters Southwest Cockpit To Help After Pilot Suffers Medical Emergency](#)

Consider what happened during this incident:

*“The captain became incapacitated while enroute. He’s in the back of the aircraft right now with a flight attendant, but we need to get him on an ambulance immediately,” said a crew member in a communication to air traffic control, according to [LiveATC.net](https://www.liveatc.net).*

*A nurse who happened to also be onboard helped care for the pilot, the airline said, adding*

*“It’s standard procedure for our Flight Crews to request assistance from traveling medical personnel during in-flight medical events involving Customers, this situation just so happened to involve one of our Employees.”*

Now you may recall that Southwest was one of those airlines that tried mandating the planscamdemic injections for its employees, and that its pilots launched a huge backlash against the plan. You’ll notice a distinct lack of detail therefore about what, exactly, caused the pilot of this flight to “become incapacitated” while in flight to the extent that another pilot who was fortunately travelling on the flight was able to lend assistance to the co-pilot in the cockpit.

Nothing to see here, folks, move along...

...except I’m willing to ask that unasked and unanswered question, which I wager pretty much everyone reading [this blog](#) is already thinking: What was the planscamdemic injection status of the pilot?

Then we’ve also seen or heard about a rash of incidents on runways and taxiways, and some disturbing near misses. I found the following story on CNN:

[FAA issues industrywide call to action following runway close calls](#)



In this article, I draw your attention to the following final paragraphs:

*One report of a [close call on a US runway](#) involving a commercial airliner has only recently come to light.*

*An airliner and emergency vehicle came less than a football field's length away from colliding on a runway at the Baltimore airport earlier this year, according to an FAA report.*

*The National Transportation Safety Board told CNN it is not investigating this incident.*

*The FAA determined the emergency vehicle crossed a runway at the Baltimore/Washington International Thurgood Marshall Airport on January 12 without air traffic controller permission.*

*An FAA incident report says the driver of the vehicle read back incorrect instructions to the controller but that the controller "did not catch the incorrect read-back." The report classifies the incident as category B, which is considered less severe than category A incidents. (Emphasis added)*

This confusion is exactly the type of thing that I heard in my youtube listen of the cockpit-to-air traffic control conversation of the Canadian flight coming into La Guardia: confusion, brain "fog", lack of communication and understanding. And like the *Zero Hedge* article, the unasked question – it's CNN so of course the question goes unasked – what was the injection status of those involved in the runway incidents?

Again, I suspect that most readers here already have their suspicions about what may be going on. And it may *or may not be* going on, but we will never know, *until we ask, and*

*voice, the question.*

The sad fact is that in their rush to approve the planscandemic narrative and to follow “The Scientism” and “Mr. Scientism” (Dr. Fausti), they vilified those who refused the injections. Now, as adverse reactions pile up, and lawsuits loom, eventually the demand will come that people know the injection status of those flying their flights, or who are parts of the cabin crew, or driving the locomotives, or crewing the dispatch railyard, or driving their busses or taxicabs. And sadly, those who took those injections in good faith stand in danger of being equally vilified. There *are* people responsible for this mess, but they’re not in the cockpits or radar rooms. They’re in the board rooms and laboratories that concocted these witches’ brews.

As for me, yea, I admit it. When I hear a plane overhead, I always look up... but now I do so because I want to make sure it will keep on flying...

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

*Cover image credit:* [rauschenberger](#)

---

# China Sees... Well... “Something” on the Moon

[China Sees... Well... “Something” on the Moon](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#)

April 13, 2020

Once upon a time there was a big country of lots of talented and brilliant, but very oppressed, people called China.

The people doing the oppressing came from a very long and ancient tradition of oppressors, but then they decided to become more modern and up-to-date, and they quit calling themselves war lords and emperors, and decided to call themselves things like “central committee” and “politburo” and “communists” and “general secretaries.” But they didn’t quite shed the oppressor image, because their paper money still had pictures of The Great Oppressor, a loathsome and murderous man by the name of Mao-Tse-Dung (emphasis on the dung), whose picture also hangs in the World Villains Hall of Fame along with a bunch of other folks by the name of Castro, Pol Pot, Hitler, Stalin, and so on.

Nevertheless, to show the world how modern and up-to-date they were, they decided to import and steal a lot of foreign technology and food so their people wouldn’t have to eat things like bats, but could eat things like GMOs instead. While all of this was going on, the oppressors decided to make everyone feel better and good about themselves, so they decided to have a space program. Using their bought, borrowed, and stolen technology, they managed to stun the world with a feat of space engineering and operational complexity: that of sending a landing robotic probe to the Far Side of the Moon.

But then, Something Happened, because the Oppressors crawled into bed with Harvard chemists and the world’s top computer man who designed the world’s worst operating system, who just happened to be experts in nano-technology and vaccines, and who wanted to vaccinate everyone. Well, you won’t believe what happened next! The Oppressors decided to open a big laboratory in one of China’s biggest cities, called Wuhan, where the Harvard professor occasionally worked. And then someone ate some bat stew or soup or something, and came down with a virus

that the local laboratory just happened to be researching, and all sorts of people suddenly became sick and it spread all around the world, and became a pandemic the likes of which Dr. Anthony Fauci and the computer expert who designed the world's worst operating system could only have dreamed of, while many people outside of China wondered about the irony that the computer guy, whose operating system was easily riddled with viruses, was presuming to speak out about how to protect people from viruses.

Meanwhile, many doctors inside of China who weren't part of the oppressors heroically risked their lives to warn everyone about what was happening, but they disappeared for some reason, and then were never heard from again, while doctors elsewhere were challenging the numbers behind the Fauci crisis, and were being ignored by what was called the Propagation "media".

While all of this was going on, T.M. spotted an article in an American newspaper and passed it along, that indicated that the robotic probe the Oppressors had sent to the far side of the Moon had spotted something back in September 2019, just as the Fauci crisis was getting underway, that was just a little problematical and "sticky":

China has new images of bizarre substance on dark side of moon

What the article said was truly astonishing, and momentarily made everyone forget the Fauci crisis:

Earlier this month, the Chinese space agency revealed that the Yutu-2 rover it sent to the far side of the moon months back found something it didn't expect. The rover's handlers spotted something strange in a crater just as the rover was preparing to power down for the lunar night.

It was a strange, glossy substance that Chinese researchers said had a "gel-like" appearance. Nobody can say for certain what it is, but early theories suggested it may be lunar glass

created by whatever impact created the crater itself. Now, China has released some additional images of the crater and the material inside, but the mystery is no closer to being solved.

But what was really unusual and had everyone – including the oppressors – scratching their heads, was that there was a picture which went along with the article:



And there in almost the center of the picture was the sticky problem, an almost perfectly rectilinear feature that was presumably the glassy or gel-like substance, that had everyone snickering and chuckling at the explanation being offered, that it was the result of the rover's scanning tools, or a glassy substance created by an impact.

But the Oppressors felt good about that explanation, because they had finally caught up with their chief rival, a strange agency well-known for its fabrications, obfuscations, and explanations that made no sense, called NASA, which had once

called a Face a Trick of Light and Shadow. But after NASA took some pictures of a moon of Saturn called Iapetus, with three parallel ridges on its equator, and which looked uncomfortably like objects called the Death Star from the movies, no one was buying that explanation either. But everyone quit questioning narratives when the Propatainment Ministry came along, and sponsored a new TV show called “Corona Virus, starring Dr. Anthony Fauci,” and everyone stayed home, wore masks, and was entertained by the doctor and his amazing feats of sleight of hand with numbers.

The End.

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

---

# Australia Burning: Disaster Capitalism or Something Else?

[Australia Burning: Disaster Capitalism or Something Else?](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

January 13, 2019

By far and away this past week, the biggest story flooding my email inbox were the fires in Australia. That’s partially understandable, as many members and readers of this website live in Australia. When these first started, though, I was

skeptical of the explanations – what few *there* were – on the media. I did manage to hear a story from the Australian media that in one instance they had arrested two young men committing arson, who somehow managed to get the uniforms of a fire department in New South Wales. But the lack of details thus far has been the most suspicious thing. Note that I said “thus far”, because details *are* beginning to emerge...

...but *not* in the media, but rather, by Australians taking pictures and making videos.

And, yes, this in itself fits a pattern we’ve seen before, in California and *its* fires, and the patterns in Australia and California are remarkably similar, right down to the fact that lamestream corporate controlled media will not report on, much less ask the questions, raised by the apparent anomalies. But in Australia’s case, there’s something new (This article shared by B.H.):

### [OPERATION TORCH AUSTRALIA: A Special Report on the Geoengineered Firestorms and DEW-triggered Arson Fires](#)

What concerns me here is the map at the beginning of this article, for a glance at it shows something that to my mind is mighty peculiar: the entire *continent* is ringed with these fires, and that means they have become an environmental disaster. In fact, *one* thing my local talk show radio station *did* report about the Australian fires is the massive loss of wildlife, to the tune of hundreds of millions of animals. Then ask yourself this question: if these fires *were* deliberately planned, what kind of intelligence would ring the inhabitants – human and otherwise – of an entire continent with fire?

I suspect most of us would imply something toward the “diabolical” end of the spectrum.

Notably, in that article, there are pictures of what appear to be normal fires burning the things that fires burn: trees,

brush, houses, cars, and so on.

But wait, there's more, much more; several people, P.J., V.T., and many others, sent articles with videos or pictures, and an old theme, one we've seen before in California, is being repeated: burned houses while nearby trees and brush is unburned; burned wheel rims and engine blocks, and pictures that – if not photoshopped and the genuine article – are suggesting that there is some sort of exotic technology in play, perhaps a directed energy technology:

[Houses Explode and Burn While Nearby Trees Remain Untouched in Australia–Same DEW signatures as California arson fires](#)

[Are the unheard of “roaring fireballs” in Australia the product of Directed Energy Weapons?](#)

[Directed Energy Weapons Being Used in Operation Torch Australia](#)

Explanations are few and far between, but similar to the California fires, one of them is the plans for high speed rail: burn out the people, buy up the land on the cheap, and develop:

[Five new cities and a high-speed rail network are a step closer](#)

For those who've been following these strange fires, from Greece to California, Siberia, Canada, Brazil, Bolivia, and now the fires surrounding Australia, the patterns are there for all to see. The *only* pattern we've not seen yet anywhere else but in California are the curious pictures of fires having been started in the junction and meter boxes connecting power lines to homes. Otherwise, we're looking at the same thing: anomalous burning and destruction of homes, while nearby shrubbery and trees appear to be untouched, wheel rims and engine blocks literally turned into puddles, while other parts of vehicles remain relatively unscathed, and most



importantly, pictures showing collimated air or fire, suggesting directed energy.

So what's going on? Thus far, I like many others have been speculating that we may have been watching some sort of "disaster capitalism" at work, and there is a certain amount of coincidental evidence to suggest that: the clustering of fires in California in regions or land slated for special projects, like the expansion of Silicon Valley northward across the bay, or high speed rail, and so on. And as seen above, we have a similar suggestion implied for some of the regions impacted in the Australian fires. But I'm unaware of similar claims or arguments in the case of fires in Greece, Canada, Bolivia or Siberia.

There is, however, another explanation, one so obvious and yet so horrible in its implications that I do not really want to mention it, but I do so because perhaps other people have been thinking the same thing, or better, because perhaps other people have some *evidence* or argument to present in support of the following high octane speculation: Could it be that what we're watching is not disaster capitalism, but a *war*? If so, is this the "preliminary bombardment" softening up the target before the troops are sent in and the bridgehead is established? If it *is* a war, who is it between? Who's doing the shooting? Are we living in some real life version of H.G. Wells' *War of the Worlds*, where Martians show up suddenly and use *heat weapons* to burn down whole cities? Or are we fighting some terrestrial group?

I don't know about you, but between the two high octane speculations, the one I find more tolerable is the disaster capitalism scenario. At least there we have an idea of who's behind it, and why.

See you on the flip side...

# Geoengineering, Toxic Skies & Plastic Rain in the US Rockies

## [Plastic Rain in the US Rockies](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

August 16, 2019

This article is one to ponder carefully for a number of reasons, which we'll get to. But the basic story is – surprise surprise! – scientists in the US Rocky Mountains region have been finding microscopic plastic filaments and globules in the rain (say it isn't so!!!), according to this story shared by S.D.:

[It's raining plastic: microscopic fibers fall from the sky in Rocky Mountains](#)

What's interesting about the article isn't so much its statement of the obvious – that “there's plastic in that thar rain!” – but how it *got* there:

*Plastic was the furthest thing from Gregory Wetherbee's mind when he began analyzing rainwater samples collected from the Rocky Mountains. “I guess I expected to see mostly soil and mineral particles,” said the US Geological Survey researcher. Instead, he found multicolored microscopic plastic fibers.*

The discovery, published in a recent [study](#) (pdf) titled “It is raining plastic”, raises new questions about the amount of plastic waste permeating the air, water, and soil virtually everywhere on Earth.

“I think the most important result that we can share with the American public is that there’s more plastic out there than meets the eye,” said Wetherbee. “It’s in the rain, it’s in the snow. It’s a part of our environment now.”

...

“My results are purely accidental,” he said, though they are consistent with another [recent study](#) that found microplastics in the Pyrenees, suggesting plastic particles could travel with the wind for hundreds, if not thousands, of kilometers. Other studies have turned up microplastics in the [deepest reaches of the ocean](#), in [UK lakes and rivers](#) and in [US groundwater](#).

A major contributor is trash, said Sherri Mason, a microplastics researcher and sustainability coordinator at Penn State Behrend. More than 90% of plastic waste is not recycled, and as it slowly degrades it breaks into smaller and smaller pieces. “Plastic fibers also break off your clothes every time you wash them,” Mason said, and plastic particles are byproducts of a variety of industrial processes.

It’s impossible to trace the tiny pieces back to their sources, Mason said, but almost anything that’s made of plastic could be shedding particles into the atmosphere. “And then those particles get incorporated into water droplets when it rains,” she added, then wash into rivers, lakes, bays and oceans and filter into groundwater sources. (Emphasis added)

There you have it: it’s all the plastic waste we’re producing,

which breaks down into small particles, then combines in the atmosphere in water droplets, to fall to earth again in the rain. Case closed, message received: we've got to curb human activity to save the planet. (This is, after all, *The Guardian* we're dealing with.)

Now, don't get me wrong, I have no difficulty that this is true, but not nearly to the extent that is being carefully alleged here. What disturbs me about the article is its blatant material omission, i.e., the complete *absence* of any mention of the chemtrail-spraying phenomenon, and this after Bill Gates and Harvard were recently caught "red handed" (pun intended) talking about how to spray stuff into the atmosphere to "dim the sun." For those of us who've been following the geoengineering phenomenon, or for that matter, the strange case of Morgellon's disease and its plastic fibers growing in the lesions of its sufferers, it is this spraying itself, *not* the normal human activity and use of plastics, that is the real culprit: spray a bunch of gunk into the atmosphere, and it's going to fall to the earth and cause environmental problems.

Which brings me, not to my speculation of the day, but to my rant of the day: until these so-called environmentalists show *genuine* concern about the geoengineering phenomenon and its environmental effects, then I turn a jaundiced and cynical eye on their so-called concern for the environment. I'm back to the basic proposition: if you are unwilling to acknowledge and discuss the very plans of the "sprayers and spewers" like Mr. Gates and *its* effects on the environment, then you're simply not to be taken seriously.

Or to put it country simple: it is the geoengineers who are behind the bulk of the problem, not us.

And sadly, in the interim, the plastic rains down on the Colorado Rockies...

# Joseph P. Farrell: An Odd Thing About Those Fires in Greece...

[Joseph P. Farrell: An Odd Thing About Those Fires in Greece...](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [Giza Death Star](#)

July 30, 2018

Remember those fires in California? You know, the ones that mysteriously appeared seemingly from nowhere, and burned down buildings and cars and rather strangely left nearby trees and shrubs ...well... “unburned”? And that left property values in the tank and easy to pick up for pennies on the dollar? And which, incidentally, there are now reports of people suffering strange rashes, headaches, breathing problems and so on? And, oh yea, that the military was called in to deal with?

Yes, *those* fires...

Well, oddly enough, this week my inbox contained a few emails of pictures that people that had sent me, pictures taken by Greeks of those recent fires in and around Athens and a few nearby resort communities. And, oddly enough, these emails contained pictures, and oddly enough, the people sending them could not find any links for them. What the pictures showed,

however, was the strange “California” pattern all over again: completely melted cars, while nearby trees and shrubs are...well... “unburned”. So needless to say, I went “looking” and found a few suggestive pictures like these contained in an article at CNN:

### [Cars turn to molten metal as Greek wildfires wipe villages off the map](#)

Granted this is not much, and the cars depicted are hardly puddles of molten metal. But there is that very odd picture – the third major picture insert – taken near the town of Mati, which shows some burned out houses, a few burned trees, but oddly enough, some trees near the burned out houses that were not burned at all, at least, to my eye.

Well, needless to say, I find that “interesting,” especially since (here comes a bit of high octane speculation) Greece as we know was hit by Mad Madam Merkel’s – and Goldman-Sachs’ – “austerity” plan, with Greece’s assets in the crosshairs; “Parthenon, for sale, cheap.” Of course, countries like France, Spain and so on have offered assistance and sent firefighters and so on, and many of the pictures of these fires were taken by drones (gee, I wonder who might be involved with *that?*), and as in the case of the California fires, the Greek military was called out to assist.

But still, nothing much to go on. But Mr. B.H. found one photo on Facebook that resembles the other photos people sent me (the ones without links): <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=2258157387532148&set=a.219302648084309.67599.100000137271778&type=3&theater>

Curiously, the cars seem to have been the victims of some high heat, as well as a nearby telephone pole, but the trees and nearby buildings are oddly and seemingly unscathed. The problem here, of course, is there is no public provenance for the picture... we don’t know if this is Athens or its environs or not. But I can say, that the picture looks very much like

the others sent to me. And of course, our Facebook poster has already jumped to the conclusion that the culprit was a directed energy weapon of some sort. Well, too early for that conclusion, and based only on one picture. After all, one can find plenty of pictures from the fire where shrubbery and trees were burned. Nothing to see here. Move along...

... maybe, because there have been reports throughout the lamestream media that the Greek government is suspecting arson. For example:

### [‘Serious indications’ deadly Greece wildfire was arson, official says](#)

It seems Public Order Minister Nikos Toskas thinks the fire may have been arson:

*Public Order Minister Nikos Toskas told reporters that satellite image analysis and ground inspections suggest the fire that broke out in multiple places over a short period of time Monday likely resulted from arson.*

*“We have serious indications and significant findings of criminal activity concerning arson,” Toskas said. “We are troubled by many factors, and there have been physical findings that are the subject of an investigation.”*

The Hellenic Fire Service’s special arson section, which probes all major fires, is investigating to determine the exact cause of the blaze. *The mayor of the area where it broke out previously said it might have been sparks from a severed electricity pylon cable. (Emphasis added)*

So we have

1) “physical findings” that are “the subject of an investigation,” the nature of which physical findings are unspecified;

2) except the Hellenic Fire Service is indicating that “it

might have been sparks from a severed electricity pylon cable", which, in the context of Minister Toskas' remarks, would seem to imply that someone had *deliberately* severed the electrical pylon cable, a difficult and dangerous thing, and one requiring some expertise; but wait, one severed cable will not explain

3) the fact that Minister Toskas indicated that the fires broke out in several places more or less simultaneously.

So, were several electrical cables severed? Not likely, but if so, that would raise the stakes considerably, since that would imply *teams* of experts to sever said cables. Perhaps the fires were simply started the "old fashioned way" by more or less simultaneous ignition, but that would again, require a few teams or individuals to do so, and that again, implies some sort of "arson conspiracy". And as in any crime, the real questions are not simply who has the means and opportunity to do so, but the motivation. The means here is the important question, for *if* the pictures that people sent me should showing anomalous "California-fires-style" damage, then that narrows the means down to unconventional and "exotic" forms of arson, and implicates some very big players. And of course, the motivation for doing so remains conveniently unexplained in the Greek government's public explanations so far as I can tell.

So for the moment, I'm not entirely convinced of the "directed energy weapons" hypothesis, even though, privately, I've received a number of suggestive photos which have no provenance. There are some suggestive photos in the lamestream corporate media, but they're only that. Nor am I willing to discount the hypothesis entirely either. There *is* something suspicious about those fires in Greece, as the Greek government has admitted.

Time will tell... it always does when "narratives" are involved, and begin to unravel.



See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

---

# The Antarctica Strangeness List Just Became Even Stranger

[The Antarctica Strangeness List Just Became Even Stranger](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#)

June 19, 2018

By now, regular readers here are well aware of the high strangeness surrounding our planet's southern continent, Antarctica. Much of that high strangeness surrounds the very weird list of people associated with the place. Since the last time I posted this list, there have been some "revisions", some of which have been brought to my attention by our friend and colleague, Daniel Liszt a.k.a. the Dark Journalist, and some of which I mentioned in my last book, *Hess and the Penguins*. So, here's the very strange list of people connected to Antarctica, as far as I know it and can recall (and many thanks to all of you who've helped expand and document this list: (1) Reichsmarschall Hermann Goering, creator of the *Luftwaffe* and the Gestapo, sponsor of the 1938-39 German Antarctic expedition (as pointed out in some of my books, beginning with *Reich of the Black Sun*); (2) Deputy Fuehrer (*Stellvertreter*) Rudolf Hess (as pointed out in *Hess and the*

*Penguins*); (3) US Navy Rear Admiral Richard Byrd, leader of the 1946-1947 US "High Jump" expedition (*Hess and the Penguins*); (4) U.S. Navy Admiral-of-the-Fleet Chester Nimitz (*Hess and the Penguins*); (5) U.S. Secretary of the Navy John Forrestal (*Hess and the Penguins*); (5) Apollo 11 astronaut Buzz Aldrin; (6) Kiril III, Russian Orthodox Patriarch of Moscow; (7) U.S. Secretary of State John Kerrey; (8) the late King Juan Carlos of Spain; (8) the recently-married Prince Harry of Antarctica...

...Well, the list goes on and on, but you get the idea. Oh, did I mention, that Admiral Byrd's nephew was one of the owners of the Texas School Book Depository building in Dallas when President John F. Kennedy was murdered there? Well, if not, here's the reference (courtesy of Daniel Liszt a.k.a. the Dark Journalist, who first made me aware of *that* strange connection):

**When we began to look a little closer at D. Harold Byrd (nephew of the famous Admiral Byrd), there was one major detail that jumped out at us. It wasn't the fact that he was a saunch right-winger and a personal friend of Lyndon Johnson. Nor was it the fact that he founded the Civil Air Patrol. (An organization to which Lee Harvey Oswald once belonged). What intrigued us was the fact that D. Harold Byrd, Dallas millionaire and oil tycoon, was the owner of the Texas School Book Depository Building. (Glen Sample and Mark Collom, *The Men on the Sixth Floor* [Garden Grove, CA: Sample Graphics, 2011], p. 178.)**

This above-cited source also goes on to show D Harold Byrd's close association with the Texas oilmen that many researchers believe were involved in some manner in the JFK assassination, Murchison and Hunt. (See the same source, pp. 178-180.)

Now, if Russian Orthodox Patriarchs of Moscow, Nazis, US admirals, Secretaries of State, moon astronauts, British and Spanish royals aren't enough to convince you that something very strange and serious is going on in connection with the polar continent(oh, did I mention that you can add US defense

contractor and well-known black projects company Lockheed-Martin to the list?), then perhaps this newest addition to the list will convince you (and a big thank you to all of you who spotted this story):

[Turkey set to create own Antarctic research station next year – president](#)

[‘Time of the Dawn of Turkey’: Erdogan Announces Construction of Antarctic Base](#)

Really? Ottomaniac Erdogan wants a Turkish scientific base in Antarctica, while he has significant problems at home? What’s even weirder is the way that the Sultan decided to announce the project, in a tweet on Twitter. Even weirder than this “Trumpism” is the actual *wording* of the Sublime Porte’s announcement:

**“In 2019, we will create a research station in Antarctica. Through this station, we will join *the victorious group of 30 countries who already have a presence there*. This is a time of global vision. It is the time of the dawn of Turkey!”(Emphasis added)**

The rhetoric here – “the victorious group of 30 countries” – is reminiscent of the Rococo language of the Porte, and could thus be written down to the politics-as-usual sort of thing that politicians like to indulge in, in this case minus the “bey effendis” that characterized the Porte of yesteryear.

But I *have* to indulge in my trademark High Octane Speculation™ here: what if it *isn’t*? What if Mr. Erdogan *means* what he says when referring to a “victorious group of 30 countries who already have a presence there”? Viewed that way, I cannot but help recall the words attributed to Rear Admiral Byrd by the *El Mercurio de Santiago* when the Admiral terminated the High Jump expedition months ahead of schedule, and warned that the USA would have to prepare to defend itself against “enemy” aircraft capable of flying from pole to pole.

Byrd returned to the USA, and we can only presume that he then briefed his superiors – Fleet Admiral Nimitz and Secretary Forrestal – about the expedition. He then wrote a very lengthy article about the expedition for *National Geographic* magazine, did a television appearance on the old Longines CBS talk show, and then more or less laid low. As readers of my book *Hess and the Penguins* will recall, his son was invited to Washington on an anniversary of that *National Geographic* article, but on the way, disappeared, only to be found dead in a Baltimore warehouse. The Baltimore police treated it as a homicide and assigned a detective to the case, but nothing more, to my knowledge, was ever done about the case. Within the alternative research community, the hypothesis has been advanced that Admiral Byrd and the High Jump expedition ran afoul of post-war surviving Nazis and their advanced high tech in Antarctica, was whipped into submission, and beat a hasty retreat, a view to which I do *not* subscribe. Other versions have it that he encountered aliens and UFOs, or found the entrance into the “hollow earth”(also stories to which, needless to say, I most emphatically do *not* subscribe), and so on. None of these stories has much, if any, evidence to back them up. But *something* happened down there that caught the attention of Herman Goering and Richard Byrd, and it probably wasn't just whale oil, lubricants, resources, or rich fishing fields.

So along comes Mr. Erdogan in the Sublime Porte, and announces that Turkey intends to join the “victorious group of 30 countries who already have a presence down there.” Victory implies a conflict of some sort, and conflict of some sort implies someone with whom one is in conflict, an enemy, an opposition. So taking Mr. Erdogan's strange remark in a more literal and less rhetorical sense, one has to wonder, how long was this conflict? Against whom was it waged? Was the presence of Secretary of State Kerry, King Juan Carlos, Prince Harry and so on related to this possible conflict? Does the presence of Apollo Moon astronaut Buzz Aldrin – and for that matter,

Kiril III – in this list indicate some off-planet opposition? Or does the presence of Goering and Hess- or again, Kiril III – in this impossibly strange list indicate that – indeed – there may be some kernel of truth to all those Nazi “last battalion” legends that have so persistently continued against the end of the war?

I don't know, but the bottom line is, Mr. Erdogan's comment *is* capable of more than one interpretation, and in the context of all the other high strangeness associated with Antarctica, my money is actually on the second interpretation.

See you on the flip side...

**[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)**

*Cover image credit: [mynzman](#)*