

Dangerous Nanoparticles Hidden in Vaccines & Our Environment”: “Nanoparticles Are Extremely Reactive, Can Hardly Be Degraded, and Disrupt and Destroy All Tissues They Come in Contact With.”

[Dangerous Nanoparticles Hidden in Vaccines & Our Environment](#)

“Nanoparticles Are Extremely Reactive, Can Hardly Be Degraded, and Disrupt and Destroy All Tissues They Come in Contact With.”

TCTL editor’s note: As a service to our readers, [this article](#), written in German, has been translated to English with the aid of translation software. Please understand that this is not a perfect translation. I do not speak German. However, the key concepts come through very clearly.

The work of Stefan Lanka and ‘Next Level – Knowledge Reconsidered’ challenges the entire paradigm around western medicine’s understand of biology (and the make-believe “science” of virology).

It is vitally important that we come to understand the danger

of this long-planned, destructive transformation and total control of humanity and all living beings. We need to awaken to the truth about our own biology and the totality of our multi-dimensional existence. The quality of life for all who inhabit earth depends on sharing real knowledge, questioning the old paradigms built on lies, and the rise of empowered humanity. ~ Kathleen

Nanoparticles: So Small and Yet So Dangerous

by **Next Level – Knowledge Reconsidered**

As has already been learned, the new corona vaccines come with accompanying substances declared as “additives”, the so-called nanoparticles.

Although their high risk potential has already been sufficiently investigated in the past, this is accepted with approval. No consideration is given to the health of the individual, and even possible long-term consequences for those affected are accepted.

By means of continuously running the epidemic mind-frame through clever propaganda, expensive advertising and the generation of social pressure, one pulls out all the stops to get each individual to “roll up their sleeves”.

Yes – to indulge in this vaccine!

One protects even scarcity, in order to awaken needs in the people. And all this despite the fact that it cannot be called effective, let alone safe.

In this article, I share with you various information revolving around the issue of nanoparticles, and can only

appeal to your sanity to keep your hands (arm ☐) off this vaccine and let others know this as well.

In a nutshell:

Real biochemistry: nanoparticles are extremely reactive, can hardly be degraded and disrupt and destroy all tissues they come in contact with. The body reacts to this disruption for repair purposes by forming globulins, which are misinterpreted by conventional medicine as antibodies.

Why do those responsible claim that nanoparticles are necessary as an additive?

Of special importance are the RNA vaccines, which are additionally equipped with nanoparticles.

So it says in [Focus-Arztsuche](#):

Nanoparticles as mini-transporters. But making the right RNA molecule does not mean you have a working vaccine.

" It is difficult to get the RNA into the human body cells," says Cichutek.

Gene shuttles with nanoparticles are supposed to solve the problem. Measuring only a few millionths of a centimeter, they carry the packaged strands of genetic material through the cell wall and prevent the vaccine from degrading too quickly in the body.

One of the problems in the preparation and administration of mRNA vaccines is the natural instability of mRNA.

In order to prevent, or at least delay, the degradation of mRNA and to deliver the administered (e.g., injected) mRNA to the site of the claimed effect (i.e., into the cells where the ribosomes then carry out the desired protein synthesis), a variety of highly complex additives are used.

So far, meaningful safety studies are available for very few

of these additives (Roier S. 2019. [Trillium Immunology 3/2019](#). Retrieved 03.05.2020), and some of the most commonly used adjuvants are related to nanotechnology (e.g., lipid nanoparticles/LNPs), for which in any case only very limited and contradictory experience in human use is available.

The danger of nanoparticles used in food, vaccines and others

The fact that these nanoparticles are extremely controversial and known to pose a high risk is strangely swept under the rug.

But what shocks me personally the most: How can scientists, whose job it is to check how dangerous the use of these nanoparticles and other toxins is in a person's organism, completely play this down and even endorse it, as if we were dealing with the most normal thing in the world?

-In [Der Spiegel](#) it says:

Federal Environment Agency warns against nanotechnology, quote:

"In animal experiments, the particles have migrated right into the nucleus of body cells and damaged the genetic information there,"

or

"Their tininess, however, also poses the risk that they are much more likely to overcome natural barriers in the body – such as the blood-brain barrier."

-The [mdr – Nanoparticles can cause cancer](#) reported:

"It has already been established that when nanoparticles are inhaled, they cause inflammatory reactions in the lungs."

[Rolf Buschmann, Technical Environmental Protection

Officer, BUND]

[...]

"You always have to ask yourself the question: what happens to it in the organism then? That's why we are particularly skeptical."

[Rolf Buschmann, Technical Environmental Protection Officer, BUND]

-In a study published in the International Council on Nanotechnology (ICON).

["Exposure to nanoparticles is related to pleural effusion, pulmonary fibrosis and granuloma"](#) states:

"Using transmission electron microscopy, nanoparticles were observed to settle in the cytoplasm and karyoplasm of lung epithelial and mesothelial cells, but were also found in the mammary fluid. These cases raise concerns that long-term exposure to some nanoparticles can cause severe damage to human lungs without protective measures. Pulmonary fibrosis and foreign body granulomas of the pleura."

The [Federal Ministry of Education and Research – BMBF](#) knows about their dangerousness and writes itself:

"Can these substances also make us sick?"

"There is increasing evidence that nanoparticles in polluted air can have a negative effect on our brains."

"Observational studies have shown, for example, that people who live near busy roads and breathe this air permanently have an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease. Toxicological studies must now prove whether there is a direct causal relationship."

"We are currently investigating this at our institute. But we are also wondering whether nanoparticles in products can"

have harmful effects on our brains.”

[...]

“We have studied several nanomaterials. We were able to detect conspicuous features in nanosilver. This substance is used for detergents or toothbrushes, for example, because it kills bacteria.”

[...]

“Of course, we can’t yet say whether this can lead to illness.”

[...]

“Too little is known yet about whether nanoparticles are toxic to nerve cells and tissue. We would like to help close this knowledge gap.”

[...]

“Toxicological tests unfortunately cannot always provide one hundred percent certainty. We are dealing here with complex mechanisms of action, some of which have not yet been elucidated. So it can’t be ruled out that a new substance comes onto the market that only afterwards proves to be harmful to health.”

This article from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research is dated 04/06/2019.

The Federal Government has knowledge of all this and yet – without regard for just one single life – has approved the new mRNA vaccine and is vaccinating people with it at this very moment and intends to use it on our youngest children as well.

Please forgive me, but the deliberate ignoring of such clear facts, which are known to all involved, can only be glossed over with a heavy heart as an oversight on the part of those

responsible.

In this context, I would like to refer to the vaccine Pandemrix, which was used in the so-called “swine flu” pandemic and [caused considerable side effects](#). [Cf. WDR]

Dr. Stefan Lanka (molecular biologist, virologist and winner of the measles trial [[See our video](#)]), had already warned in 2009, before the use of the then vaccine Pandemrix, shortly before its market launch:

“The strong destructive power of cells by nanoparticles, such as the so-called “auxiliary substance” (adjuvant) MF59 in the flu vaccine for the elderly, is based on the known fact that transport between cells in organs and tissues occurs with particles of this size and the cell cannot distinguish between ‘foreign’ and ‘own’.

“The penetration of the nanoparticles into the cell envelopes damages them and destroys the cells.

“Due to the fact that these nanoparticles are also very stable in the body, it is known that, for a longer time, cells in the body are destroyed. And this reacts with the formation of globulins as a sealing substance of the cells. And this increase of the globulin concentration is claimed by vaccine [manufacturers], against better knowledge, as antibodies and as protection against freely invented pathogens.

“When globulins are present in greater concentration, their binding to all kinds of proteins is detectable.”

The Paul Ehrlich Institute suppressed as long as possible the devastating and inconceivable fact that nanoparticles were already present in other vaccines. Only after diverse pressure was exerted, the PEI had to admit this fact.

[The Paul Ehrlich Institute indirectly admits that this is the](#)

[case](#), stating there:

“Even if some of these components are located in a size range that is in the nanometer range, they are not technologically targeted nanoparticles, especially not nanoparticles made of metals or plastics.”

Regulatory agencies, including the German Paul Ehrlich Institute (PEI), completely ignore this issue.

[Measles vaccine genetically contaminated: PEI refuses to investigate](#)

Note: Amazing that they first denied that nanoparticles were present at all and then, caught, manipulatively tried to wriggle out of it by pretending that they were “not purposefully” manufactured.

Let me tell you something: The task of the Paul Ehrlich Institute is to check from the outset whether harmful substances are present in a vaccination and not to determine only after the child has fallen into the well!

How can we continue to believe such institutions when it comes to our precious health? It is best to leave it alone. I don't even want to mention the other outrages of the PEI, **such as the concealment of the many [dead vaccinated babies by the vaccine](#) Hexavac** (How safe are vaccines really? – Dr. med [medical doctor] Klaus Hartmann) ...

The BioNTech mRNA vaccine is a danger for mankind, for whose side effects including death. [Uğur Şahin](#) is personally responsible.

From the point of view of orthodox medicine, the vaccination should not be used.

- Because RNA is transformed into DNA by several mechanisms and damages chromosomes.
- Because it will hit the body's own enzymes, which are

misinterpreted as components of the virus.

Strictly speaking, the BioNTech RNA vaccine is even more dangerous than nanoparticles themselves, because the RNA to be vaccinated is encased in lipid nanoparticles, and here we find a double-reactive mixture that will accumulate mainly in the brain and cause much more narcolepsy than was the case with the swine flu vaccine.

2. QUALITATIVE UND QUANTITATIVE ZUSAMMENSETZUNG

Dies ist eine Mehrdosendurchstechflasche, deren Inhalt vor der Verwendung verdünnt werden muss.

Eine Durchstechflasche (0,45 ml) enthält nach dem Verdünnen 6 Dosen von je 0,3 ml, siehe Abschnitte 4.2 und 6.6.

1 Dosis (0,3 ml) enthält 30 Mikrogramm COVID-19-mRNA-Impfstoff **(eingebettet in Lipid-Nanopartikel).**

Einzelsträngige, 5'-gekapselte Boten-RNA (mRNA), die unter Verwendung einer zellfreien *in-vitro*-Transkription aus den entsprechenden DNA-Vorlagen hergestellt wird und das virale Spike (S)-Protein von SARS-CoV-2 kodiert.

Vollständige Auflistung der sonstigen Bestandteile, siehe Abschnitt 6.1.

Auszug aus dem BioNTech-Impfstoff https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/comirnaty-epar-product-information_de.pdf

The vaccine from Mainz (mRNA) contains fats in their non-dissolvable and constantly-very-reactive nano-particle form, including the known allergen, the solvent PEG (polyethanol glycol).

In addition, the vaccine will cause chromosome strand breaks in an unknown number of people, resulting in energy depletion, infertility and disability of offspring if the chromosome breaks also happen in the "germ line" of males and females.

This is the shortest possible description of the vaccine damage for which Uğur Şahin is personally responsible. For sure, there will be an observable number of deaths, which will then be said to have happened as a result of the virus.

With the engrained belief in an evil biology (orthodox

medicine), coupled with the collective compulsion for return on investment, one might almost assume that medical professionals actually believe that vaccination could help.

Most practicing physicians have never studied this information and trust the responsible scientists themselves and [do so] completely blindly.

So our task should not be to demonize those responsible, but to point out to them their error.

One of the simplest ways is to look to see if any studies at all have been done on the so-called pharmacokinetic properties.

“Pharmacokinetics describes the totality of processes that a drug undergoes in the body. This includes the drug’s uptake (absorption), distribution in the body (distribution), biochemical conversion and degradation (metabolization), and excretion (excretion).”

In short, what happens to all toxins (disguised as additives) within the organism?

Dieses Arzneimittel wurde unter „Besonderen Bedingungen“ zugelassen. Das bedeutet, dass weitere Nachweise für den Nutzen des Arzneimittels erwartet werden.

Die Europäische Arzneimittel-Agentur wird neue Informationen zu diesem Arzneimittel mindestens jährlich bewerten und, falls erforderlich, wird die Zusammenfassung der Merkmale des Arzneimittels aktualisiert werden.

5.2 Pharmakokinetische Eigenschaften

Nicht zutreffend.

5.3 Präklinische Daten zur Sicherheit

Nichtklinische Daten zeigten auf der Grundlage konventioneller Studien zur Toxizität bei wiederholter Verabreichung sowie zur Reproduktions- und Entwicklungstoxizität keine besondere Gefahr für den Menschen.

We see that a simple “not applicable” was noted in the SUMMARY OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MEDICINE.

These studies are omitted for just about all vaccines. A statement about whether this vaccine potentially harms the body and how the mixture of the injected material behaves in the body is simply left to fate by those responsible!

If this information does not take your breath away, then I suspect you are not taking it very seriously in other respects either :).

New studies confirm: Various vaccines are contaminated by micro- and nanoscale particles and described as non-biodegradable and non-biocompatible.

Volume 4 Issue 1 - 2017

Antonietta M Gatti^{1,2*} and Stefano Montanari³

¹National Council of Research of Italy, Institute for the Science and Technology of Ceramics, Italy

²International Clean Water Institute, USA

³Nanodiagnosics srl, Italy

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Antonietta Gatti, National Council of Research of Italy, c/o Nanodiagnosics
Via E. Fermi, 1/L, 41057 San Vito (MO), Italy, Tel:
059798778; Email: gatti@nanodiagnosics.it

Received: November 30, 2016 | **Published:** January 23, 2017

Unknown to most people is the fact that today's

vaccines are already contaminated with nanoparticles, as random tests have shown:

[New Quality-Control Investigations on Vaccines: Micro- and Nanocontamination.](#) [This article was published in English. Download the PDF [here.](#)]

Among other things, it states:

“The quantity of foreign bodies detected and, in some cases, their unusual chemical compositions baffled us. The inorganic particles identified are neither biocompatible nor biodegradable, that means that they are biopersistent and can induce effects that can become evident either immediately close to injection time or after a certain time from administration. It is important to remember that particles (crystals and not molecules) are bodies foreign to the organism and they behave as such. More in particular, their toxicity is in some respects different from that of the chemical elements composing them, adding to that toxicity which, in any case, is still there, that typical of foreign bodies. For that reason, they induce an inflammatory reaction.”

[...]

“After injection, these microparticles, nanoparticles, and aggregates can remain at the injection site and form swellings and granulomas ... However, they can also be transported through the bloodstream, eluding any attempt to guess their final destination ... As with all foreign bodies, especially those so small, they trigger an inflammatory response that is chronic because most of these particles cannot be broken down. In addition, the protein corona effect can ... due to a nano-bio interaction ... generate organic/inorganic composite particles that can stimulate the immune system in undesirable ways...It is impossible not to add that particles of the size commonly observed in vaccines can enter cell nuclei and interact with DNA ...”

“After being injected, those microparticles, nanoparticles and aggregates can stay around the injection site forming swellings and granulomas.¹⁷ But they can also be carried by the blood circulation, escaping any attempt to guess what will be their final destination...

*“As happens with all foreign bodies, particularly that small, they induce an inflammatory reaction that is chronic because most of those particles cannot be degraded. Furthermore, the protein-corona effect (due to a nanobio-interaction can produce organic/inorganic composite particles capable of stimulating the immune system in an undesirable ways. It is impossible not to add that particles, **the size often observed in vaccines, can enter cell nuclei and interact with the DNA.**”*

Several important questions arise from the results of this 2017 study that demand answers:

- Are some of these nanoparticles intentionally introduced into vaccines?
- Does the standard manufacturing process for conventional vaccines UNFORTUNATELY lead to dangerous and destructive nano-contamination?
- New nanotechnology is already being used to manufacture several vaccines – ostensibly to “improve efficacy.” In fact, the upcoming COVID-19 vaccine may be a nano-vaccine. Does this manufacturing process bring with it the inevitable effect of a hurricane of nanoparticle contamination?
- How many cases of brain damage and autism in children can open the door to [seeing] nanoparticle contamination?
- Finally, where are these contaminated vaccines being manufactured?

The above study did not attempt to find out. It was outside the scope of the research. It is common knowledge that, for example, in the case of the U.S.,

vaccines or their ingredients are not domestically produced in many cases. Where does this lead to control safety? For example, in China, where there have been numerous pharmaceutical scandals related to product contamination?

- The vaccine company is not showing the slightest interest in answering any of these questions. They are busy pretending that the questions do not exist.
- It would be suicidal to trust the establishment.

Even more explosive in connection with RNA and nano-vaccines is the reference to the Gene Drive Files, which the Heinrich Böll Foundation uncovered a few years ago. These show that the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation commissioned a PR firm to secretly undermine an important UN process on [the subject of synthetic biology](#).

Although all this is well known, Christian Drosten (Berlin Charité) comes up with the following words: "[Gene-based vaccines have potential](#)".

The only conclusion can be: Prof. Drosten does not know what he is talking about!

The Medical Research Center for Prophylaxis and Health Protection in Industrial Workers confirms "nanotoxicity" on human health

Combined subchronic toxicity of aluminum (III), titanium (IV), and silicon (IV) oxide nanoparticles and their alleviation with a complex of bioprotectors

Summary

"The use of nanoparticles-including metallic nanoparticles-has exploded in industry, commerce, and medicine in recent decades. A Russian research team studied the 'nanotoxicity' of three types of metal nanoparticles (titanium, silicon, and aluminum oxide) alone and in combination. Repeated injections in rats showed that all three were "toxic to

multiple target organs.”

“For the majority of these effects,” however, the alumina nanoparticles were found to be “most harmful,” even though the aluminum dose was only half that of titanium and silicon. No other publications have reported on the combined toxicity of these metal nanoparticles, despite their ‘potentially hazardous nano-effects on human health’.”

Source: [IA Minigalieva, BA Katsnelson, LI Privalova et al. International Journal of Molecular Sciences , March 2018; 19 \(3\): 837.](#)

The HELMHOLTZ Center for Infection Research has been exploring other avenues for years: [Vaccination without a syringe](#) via nanoparticles through creams to be applied to the skin or application via nasal spray.

Quote:

“The nanoparticles penetrate the skin through the hair follicles and trigger an immune response in the body,” says Hanzey Yasar of HIPS. “Such a vaccine would be very easy to administer and would certainly be well received by the population.”

Veteran physician [Dr. Larry Palevsky confirmed to Connecticut’s Public Health Committee on Feb. 19, 2020](#), that the aluminum nanoparticles in vaccines cause massive damage.

In it, he describes not only how the safety claims of pharmaceutical manufacturers are made without any scientific study, but also how they can be completely refuted based on scientific evidence.

It is known from medicine that a high concentration of nanoparticles leads to fibrotic changes in lung tissue.

There is also evidence that these particles are associated with respiratory diseases as well as an increase in inflammatory markers and an increased tendency to blood clotting disorders, which can increase the incidence of cardiac arrhythmias, heart attacks and strokes.

Nanoparticles cross the blood-brain barrier and it is unexplored what may be triggered by this.

With the background knowledge that all vaccines are based on a false foundation and do little harm at best – adding to the fact that the dangers of the nanoparticles used are known to the entire science bench as well as to critical colleagues – these dangers must be addressed and cannot continue to be ignored, or suppressed.

Act. If not for yourself, then for the children!

The entire NEXT LEVEL – Knowledge Reconsidered team will support you and answer your questions.

NEXT LEVEL – Knowledge Reconsidered is present on various social media platforms, including. [Telegram](#), [Youtube](#), [Odysee](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#).

[Connect with Next Level \(German language\)](#)

Cover image credit: [waldryano](#)

‘No Virus’ Is International

[‘No Virus’ Is International](#)

“Our instincts led us to go beyond our medical textbooks and establishment microbiology training, and we were soon immersed in the second English edition of Virus Mania.

“The book shocked us. Biology wasn’t just a bit dodgy, it was fraudulent.

“Pathogenic viruses were invented boogeymen that had never been shown to exist in scientific experiments, let alone cause disease.”

No Virus Is International

by Dr. Sam Bailey

March 7, 2023

People around the world are becoming more aware of the ‘no virus’ argument as the “science” of virology has been exposed. However, there is often a language barrier when it comes to reaching some countries. Many of the best-known critics of virology are in the English-speaking world and have developed strong alliances with large followings.

The good news is that the movement for truth is truly international and this video will look at some of the advancements being made in regions that are perhaps lesser known to most of our English-speaking audience.

And could the madness of COVID-19 end up bringing the world closer together?...

References

1. [Virus Mania](#), 3rd English edition, 2021
2. [‘HIV – A Virus Like No Other’](#) – The Perth Group
3. <https://viroliegy.com/>
4. [‘FOIs reveal that health/science institutions around the](#)

[world \(212 and counting!\) have no record of SARS-COV-2 isolation/purification, anywhere, ever](#)' – Christine Massey

5. [Spacebusters](#) – Steve Falconer
6. [The Viral Delusion](#) – Mike Wallach
7. '[A Farewell to Virology](#)' – Dr Mark Bailey
8. The '[Settling the Virus Debate](#)' statement – 14 Jul 2022

Transcript and related links prepared by [Truth Comes to Light](#):

I'll be the first to admit that those of us in the English-speaking world can be in the dark when it comes to literature and interviews and other languages. This affects all manner of topics, of course. But this video will focus on the international spread of the 'no virus' issue. And while English material is often translated into other languages – for example, Virus Mania is now available in seven languages, with more in development – translations are often less available in the other direction.

I'm also going to give a shout out to perhaps one of the lesser-known teams that has been hammering their country's government for years over the lack of evidence for SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19.

Let's find out who they are and how they have exposed their public office holders on every aspect of the alleged science of virology and pandemics.

For my husband Mark and I, our first introduction to the 'no virus' position was in early 2020. The COVID-19 production alerted us that something was badly wrong with virology. Our instincts led us to go beyond our medical textbooks and establishment microbiology training, and we were soon immersed in the second. English edition of Virus Mania.

The book shocked us. Biology wasn't just a bit dodgy, it was fraudulent.

Pathogenic viruses were invented boogeymen that had never been shown to exist in scientific experiments, let alone cause disease.

Virus Mania led us to the work of the Perth Group and their detailed scholarship and essays – such as HIV: A Virus Like No Other – showed us that the 'no virus' arguments had not only been put forward decades earlier, but were very advanced.

To us, the question became 'Why have we never seen this before?' and the inspiration to start our own work into the virus existence issue, as well as going wider into the flawed germ theory and allopathic medical models.

In 2020, my online platforms grew quickly, as did those of Tom Cowan and Andy Kaufman, across the ditch as we say in New Zealand.

Tom Barnett also called out the fraud in 2020 in Australia.

Seasoned campaigners such as Kevin Corbett, David Crowe, Jim West and Amanda Vollmer found a resurgence in interest in their work questioning viruses.

Meanwhile, Mike Stone's pent-up issues with germ theory and so-called viruses came flooding out in the Virology website.

Christine Massey paused her fluoride work and began publishing the FOIA requests, revealing that no institution in the world had isolated SARS-CoV-2 or any other "virus" for that matter.

Steve Falconer of Spacebusters, pivoted his channel in 2020, and his videos calling out the COVID fraud and contagion myth gained millions of views.

Documentary maker Mike Wallach had known for years that much of allopathic medicine was fraudulent and produced the massive

Viral Delusion series in the middle of the scamdemic.

Mark decided to write a fully-referenced, formal refutation of the entire virus model and published the 29,000 word essay, A Farewell to Virology.

These examples show we are spoilt for choice in the English speaking world.

But there are, of course, other prominent members around the world.

In Germany we have the incomparable Dr. Stefan Lanka, the trained biologist who worked out in the 1990s that there were no pathogenic viruses.

Also in Germany are my original inspirations, Torsten Engelbrecht and Dr. Claus Köhnlein, the first person to be on the Dr. Sam Bailey channel.

I was honoured when they asked me to become part of the Virus Mania team in 2020.

Then in Italy, we have the 4th Virus Mania co-author, the one and only Stefano Scoglio.

And the Spanish-speaking world La Quinta Columna have been at the forefront of investigating the contents of the COVID-19 vaccines. And as far as I know, also take the 'no virus' position.

When the "Settling the Virus Debate" statement was launched in July 2022, we had an international alliance of doctors and scientists.

For most of our audience, three of the lesser-known names were likely to be Mufassil Dingankar, Jitendra Banjara, and Sachin Pethkar. These are our friends from India and they have been doing an incredible amount of work with their team to show to India and the world that the Emperor has no virus when it

comes to COVID-19 or any other alleged viral disease.

They have collected hundreds of pages of documents with responses from so-called health institutions and politicians in India. They have documented the uncontrolled and unscientific experiments related to alleged virus isolation, electron microscopy and genome sequencing. Starting with Fan Wu, whose infamous 2020 paper they had pointed out that the PCR was not clinically validated, and couldn't be in any case, Because of the failed biological science upstream from the test.

They have even pointed out the financial fraud with the government of India taking out a loan of 1 billion U.S. dollars on the 2nd of April 2020, in the name of the pandemic, placing a further burden on Indian citizens.

Not only this, but the public purse has been used to fund the necessary medical drugs and vaccines and run marketing campaigns of fear.

They have pointed out that cases of COVID-19 are defined by preposterous circular reasoning, due to its non-specific symptoms and flawed testing kits.

Of major concern to the team has been the suppression of natural therapies for illnesses. I love the way they reject the allopathic medical system and state:

"A serious issue is, if any disease/symptom cannot be cured by Allopathy (or the alternate) Medical System, it is declared an incurable disease/symptom or epidemic or pandemic by ignoring the other mainstream medicinal systems such as Ayurveda, Yoga, Naturopathy, Homeopathy, Unani, Siddha etc. at the outset."

I think we should all take up this approach and describe Rockefeller and Pasteur medicine as alternative rather than true medicine.

Much of the overall strategy from our Indian colleagues has been to focus on the legal aspects of COVID-19 in their country. For example, under the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, the burden of proof is on the government to establish the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus in human samples, and its pathogenicity with that sample.

It has become clear that, like virologists around the world, the Indian medical authorities cannot deliver the evidence with any papers that follow the scientific method.

The conclusion from our Indian colleagues and their politely-worded statement:

“This is nothing but a serious medical experiment which is likely a crime against humanity and this act clearly shows that health authorities may have no intention of public health and welfare of citizens of India.”

And while the highest level institution, the Indian Council of Medical Research, claimed they have proof of existence of SARS-CoV-2, they have yet to provide any document to back this up. Hence a demand letter is now being sent to them, as well as the National Institute of Virology and various politicians. It calls on them to provide a public demonstration showing the existence of a virus.

They’ve even opened it up further and have suggested that the demonstration could involve providing the evidence for ANY alleged disease-causing microbe.

So here’s how their demand letter reads.

SUBJECT:

Demand to prove the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus (or disease-causing virus). In other words, to prove that the alleged SARS-CoV-2 and the alleged variants (or disease-causing viruses) are real physical entities that

are supported with real-time research via public demonstration and peer reviewed scientific papers. Additionally, to prove that there was a real scientific basis behind this COVID-19 pandemic.

Dear Public Servant,

At the outset, we are very disheartened and unsatisfied with your response. We are referring herewith to your response, which lacks sincerity and shows great negligence/disrespect towards the public. Moreover, it also lacks rationality and scientificity.

YOUR UNSATISFACTORY RESPONSE:

If you diligently read our Open Legal Notice, you would have noticed that we asked you for valid scientific experimental research papers/records/documents to prove the existence of alleged SAR-CoV-2 or disease-causing viruses. However, the scientific research papers that you provided against the RTIs and our correspondences fail to prove the existence of any 'disease-causing virus', including the alleged SAR-CoV-2 virus or its variants, that we showed in our Open Legal Notice (based on scientific principles/methods and rationality.

Furthermore, without providing any valid scientific papers/records/documents to prove your claim (i.e. existence of any disease-causing virus and/or the alleged SAR-CoV-2 virus), you have given a poor logical reply. This, to our surprise, was a kind of response never expected from an esteemed scientific institution such as yours. Therefore, we are now forced-obligated to mandate scientific, rational, and clear-cut answers to our questions."

Therefore, unless you can provide us with a valid scientific proof and/or research papers to prove the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 or its alleged

variants, we demand an explicit statement mentioning that you do not have any such evidence; as you clearly mentioned that you do not have any scientific evidence for disease-causing germs, i.e. disease-causing bacteria, fungi, protozoa, parasites, or any kind of disease-causing microorganism against the RTI attached.

ONE. Your Reply Failed the Scientific Temperament:

As per our present observation/experience and doubt, the absence of valid scientific evidence and the lack of submission of proper science principles/methods in the research work of Virology show that: Through imagination, theory and fear-generating tools like the PPE kit, as well as masks and heavy sophisticated machineries/tools etc, an atmosphere has been created by the virologists fabricating an imaginary entity to be a real one for others.

Virologists are the victim of a misconception (i.e. existence of disease-causing virus), which has been conceived by them and is further spread/propagated by the medical doctors/professionals across the society/public. As a result, people are now suffering from the fear of an imaginary entity. This misconception has been made the basis of almost all the alleged epidemic and pandemics since hundreds of years.

The truth revealed through proper scientific investigation has never been done yet.

Also, after interacting with various scientists/experts from over the world their statements further clarified that existence of disease-causing virus is a misconception:

For instance:

“When cells die, they are broken down into submicroscopic particles, some of which biologists arbitrarily label viruses.”

“Anyone who closely analyzes what virologists actually do in the laboratory to ‘prove’ that these particles they call ‘viruses’ cause disease will easily see the absurdity of their conclusions”

~ Dr. Stefan Lanka, virologist

[mention of paper COVID-19 the virus does not exist. It is confirmed. by Dr. Saeed A. Queshi, PhD.]

Secondly, regarding the PCR/RT-PCR, we already showed in our Open Legal Notice why and how the test is totally irrelevant for the purpose and it’s a misuse of this test that was/is being used for the alleged COVID-19 pandemic purpose. However, you could not clarify our inquiry in your response.

Additionally, we also present herewith excerpts of the laboratory experiment conducted by Dr. Biswaroop Roy Chowdhury and his team to validate the government- approved RT-PCR test for COVID-19.

Excerpts:

Recently from June 15-17, 2022, I and my medical team conducted an experiment wherein we took some fruits, some vegetables, and some animals like rabbit and dog and a few birds like chicken and pigeon. We collected samples of each of them and went to a government- authorised COVID Test Laboratory to run them through the test to understand which of the samples are COVID positive and which of them are COVID negative.

Dr. Biswaroop Roy Chowdhury demonstrated and explained the invalid and irrelevant usage of RT-PCR test kit to detect the unclear nucleic acid and proved that the RT-PCR testing is non-specific for the purpose of diagnosis.

Now it is your responsibility to prove your claim via

practical demonstration. You have claimed in your response that you can prove practically the existence of the alleged SARS-CoV-2 virus (or disease-causing viruses). Therefore, we are eager to participate and witness your practical demonstration, as it is the only way to prove your claim.

If you claim the existence of the disease-causing germ (microbes) we demand to prove your claim via practical demonstration by providing us with the below.

– Date, time and schedule of your practical experimental demonstration.

– Name and location of the laboratory (including wet and dry lab).

-Names of the virologist/scientist/committee who will perform and participate in the demonstration.

Please mention all details of the procedure(s)/steps that you will perform and demonstrate during the practical demonstration.

So, there you have it.

Rest assured that the 'no virus' arguments are being advanced in many countries around the world, including by our friends in India.

Keep the conversation going in the comments. And if you know of other individuals or teams around the world that are doing this work, then let us know.

One of the best things to come out of the plandemic was linking up with people around the world to make new alliances and often friendships.

Let's see if waking more of the world up to the virus fraud might bring even more of us together.

[Connect with Sam Bailey](#)

Cover image based on two creative commons works by [geralt](#)

See related:

[Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions](#)

Reiner Fuellmich & Hans Tolzin on the Shady History of Virology: Have Viruses Ever Been Isolated or Purified?

[Reiner Fuellmich & Hans Tolzin on the Shady History of Virology: Have Viruses Ever Been Isolated or Purified?](#)

[Isolation vs. Filtration](#)

by [International Crimes Investigative Committee \(ICIC\)](#)

January 8, 2022

German with English subtitles:

[Video available at [ICIC-Net](#) and mirrored at TCTL [Odysee](#) and [BitChute](#) and channels.]

In this episode of ICIC, Dr. Reiner Fuellmich and medical journalist Hans Tolzin explore the history virology, the studies upon which modern science relies, and the alleged “evidence” supporting the existence of invisible and dangerous viruses.

Hans Tolzin details the medical historical background of virology starting with the first trials and publications by scientists at the beginning of the 20th century. He reveals serious gaps in field research and points out that there are numerous significant insufficiencies that have never (officially) been pursued or corrected, such as the gross neglect of differential diagnosis.

It is not only the virus theory that gives rise to major criticism. The apparent lack of care and ignorance in professional circles is also worrying. For it is on these assumptions that organizations and institutions are based which are responsible for the health of the world’s population, which propagate medicines, therapies and vaccinations, and which people blindly trust and believe.

After these findings, valid questions arise: Is there really a so-called “isolate” of a virus, especially a “corona” virus or do the available images show something completely different, and if so what? Why is no attention paid to this question and why are discrepancies in the definition of terms tolerated in science?

Mr. Tolzin speaks not of an “isolate”, but rather, of a “high purification” in order to obtain indisputable proof of a “virus”. His findings raise further, controversial questions and have the potential to shed light on the shady history of

virology.

[Connect with International Crimes Investigative Committee](#)

Cover image credit: [geralt](#)

See Related:

[Challenging the Foundations of Virology: Corona Investigative Committee With Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman](#)

[The Path Paved by Dr. Lanka: Exposing the Lies of Virology](#)

[Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions](#)

[Mark Bailey With Jeremy Nell on Virus Hunting](#)

[A Farewell to Virology \(Expert Edition\)](#)

[The Viral Delusion \(2022\) Docu-Series: The Tragic Pseudoscience of SARS-CoV2 & the Madness of Modern Virology](#)

[‘The End of Germ Theory’ Documentary: An Easy-to-Understand, Step-by-Step Analysis of the History of Germ & Virus Theory, the Erroneous “Science” Behind Vaccination & a Close Look at What Really Makes Us Sick – The Big Pharma Cartel & the Deep Deception of Viral Pandemics](#)

The Path Paved by Dr. Lanka: Exposing the Lies of Virology

[The Path Paved by Dr. Lanka: Exposing the Lies of Virology](#)

[The Path Paved by Dr. Lanka](#)

by [Mike Stone](#), [ViroLIEgy](#)

August 16, 2022

I remember early on in 2017, when I first started unraveling the “virus” lie through the examination of HIV/AIDS, to being introduced to the work of Dr. Stefan Lanka. If memory serves me correctly, my first encounter was through the brilliant [House of Numbers](#) documentary by Brent Leung. I was simply amazed that Dr. Lanka, an ex-virologist, was actually calling out the methods of his own profession. His testimony, along with that of Kary Mullis, the inventor of the misused and abused [PCR technique](#), carried much weight with me in those early days. Their words lent credibility to the argument that the evidence for the [existence of HIV](#) and other “viruses” was entirely absent and fraudulent.

During that time of intense research where I was desperately seeking out any and all information that I could find, I fortunately stumbled onto a few of Dr. Lanka’s articles through the [VirusMyth.com](#) website. I was engrossed in his work and absorbed much of what he had to say on the subject, especially in regards to the [lack of purification and](#)

[isolation](#) of any “viruses,” the faults of the [cell culture method](#), and the problems related to [electron microscope imagery](#). As it did for many others, Dr. Lanka’s work formed much of the foundation for my understanding of the lies of virology. It is rare to gain such critical insight from someone who was involved in the industry. It is even more rare for someone in his position to set out and actually prove what he was saying correct yet that is exactly what Dr. Lanka has done numerous times.

Without Dr. Lanka’s enormous contributions to unraveling the lies of germ theory, many of us speaking out today may not have been doing so. As his work was instrumental in helping me along on my own journey towards uncovering the truth, I want to highlight what I consider Dr. Lanka’s three biggest contributions to proving the fraud of virology along with many of the papers he has written on the subject. My hope is that you will be able to come away with a greater appreciation for Dr. Lanka’s monumental work as well as a clearer understanding of the deceptive practices used by virologists.

1. The Measles Trial

Early on in my journey, I found my way to the infamous measles trial saga while researching Dr. Lanka’s work. Back in 2017, it was difficult to find out much accurate information on what had really transpired. For those who are unaware, Dr. Lanka set forth a challenge in his own magazine calling upon anyone to come forward with a single paper providing the scientific evidence which proved the existence of a measles “virus.” If this challenge was met, the person would receive a \$100,000 financial reward. A physician named David Bardens came forward with six papers spanning six decades which he claimed together proved the existence of the measles “virus.” Dr. Lanka refused to pay as he specifically requested one publication providing the entire proof necessary. Dr. Bardens sued and while Dr. Lanka lost the initial case in the lower courts, he won on appeal in the higher courts. At the time I originally came

upon this story, the internet was (and still is) full of stories claiming that Dr. Lanka lost the case. However, to anyone interested in the truth, it is obvious that those lies do not hold up under scrutiny. Presented below is a great overview of how the events actually played out:

“On November 24, 2011, Dr. Lanka announced on his website that he would offer a prize of € 100,000 to anyone who could prove the existence of the measles virus. The announcement read as follows: “The reward will be paid, if a scientific publication is presented, in which the existence of the measles virus is not only asserted, but also proven and in which, among other things, the diameter of the measles virus is determined.

In January 2012, Dr. David Bardens took Dr. Lanka up on his pledge. He offered six papers on the subject and asked Dr. Lanka to transfer the € 100,000 to his bank account.

The six publications are:

1. Enders JF, Peebles TC. Propagation in tissue cultures of cytopathogenic agents from patients with measles. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.* 1954 Jun;86(2):277–286.
2. Bech V, Magnus Pv. Studies on measles virus in monkey kidney tissue cultures. *Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand.* 1959; 42(1): 75–85
3. Horikami SM, Moyer SA. Structure, Transcription, and Replication of Measles Virus. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 1995; 191: 35–50.
4. Nakai M, Imagawa DT. Electron microscopy of measles virus replication. *J Virol.* 1969 Feb; 3(2): 187–97.
5. Lund GA, Tyrell, DL, Bradley RD, Scraba DG. The molecular length of measles virus RNA and the structural organization of measles nucleocapsids. *J Gen Virol.* 1984 Sep;65 (Pt

9):1535–

6. Daikoku E, Morita C, Kohno T, Sano K. Analysis of Morphology and Infectivity of Measles Virus Particles. Bulletin of the Osaka Medical College. 2007; 53(2): 107–14.

Dr. Lanka refused to pay the money since in his opinion these publications did not provide adequate evidence. Subsequently, Dr. Bardens took Dr. Lanka to court.

On March 12, 2015, the District Court Ravensburg in southern Germany ruled that the criteria of the advertisement had been fulfilled ordering Dr. Lanka to pay up. Dr. Lanka appealed the ruling.

On February 16, 2016, the Higher Regional Court of Stuttgart (OLG) re-evaluated the first ruling, judging that Dr. Bardens did not meet the criteria since he failed to provide proof for the existence of the measles virus presented in one publication, as asked by Dr. Lanka in his announcement. Therefore, Dr. Lanka does not have to pay the prize money.

On January 16, 2017, the First Civil Senate of the German Federal Court of Justice (BGH) confirmed the ruling of the OLG Stuttgart.

Critics of the judicial verdict argue that Dr. Lanka's victory is solely based on how he had formulated the offer of reward, namely to pay the € 100,000 for the presentation of a single publication of evidence (which Dr. Bardens was unable to provide). This argument, however, distracts the attention from the essential points.

According to the minutes of the court proceedings (page 7/ first paragraph), Andreas Podbielski, head of the Department of Medical Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene at the University Hospital in Rostock, who was one of the appointed experts at the trial, stated that even though the

existence of the measles virus could be concluded from the summary of the six papers submitted by Dr. Bardens, none of the authors had conducted any controlled experiments in accordance with internationally defined rules and principles of good scientific practice (see also the method of “indirect evidence”). Professor Podbielski considers this lack of control experiments explicitly as a “methodological weakness” of these publications, which are after all the relevant studies on the subject (there are no other publications trying to attempt to prove the existence of the “measles virus”). Thus, at this point, a publication about the existence of the measles virus that stands the test of good science has yet to be delivered.

Furthermore, at the trial it was noted that contrary to its legal remit as per § 4 Infection Protection Act (IfSG) the Robert Koch Institute (RKI), the highest German authority in the field of infectious diseases, has failed to perform tests for the alleged measles virus and to publish these. The RKI claims that it made internal studies on the measles virus, however, refuses to hand over or publish the results.”

[Click to access Lanka_Bardens_Trial_E.pdf](#)

For an even more in-depth analysis of what really occurred during the trial, I always recommend this article by Feli Popescu, who was actually present during the proceedings:

<https://feli-popescu.blogspot.com/2018/09/still-no-proof-for-measles-virus.html?m=1>

When I think of Dr. Lanka’s work, the measles trial stands out as the most significant moment and the most pivotal accomplishment. We had an epic head-to-head clash between the medical establishment and an ex-virologist taking place in a court of law over the legitimacy of the evidence for the measles “virus.” It was determined through this trial that the

foundational paper claiming the existence and isolation of the measles “virus,” [the 1954 paper by John Franklin Enders](#), was unworthy by itself for proving the existence of the “virus.” As all other papers and virology itself owe their evidence to the cell culture methods developed by Enders in that paper, it is an astonishingly damning admission that the evidence presented by virology is invalid.

2. The 7 Steps Proving “Viruses” Don’t Exist

More recently, Dr. Lanka put together what he felt were the main points that bring the house of cards known as virology tumbling down. These 7 steps were formulated over many years of painstaking research into the faults of virology. As he did with the measles trial, Dr. Lanka compiled a very convincing case for why “viruses” do not exist and why virology is a pseudoscience built upon fraudulent foundations.

The 7 steps to prove “viruses” do not exist:

1. Virologists interpret the death of cells in the laboratory as viral. Due to the lack of control attempts (experiments), they overlook the fact that they kill the cells in the laboratory themselves and unintentionally by starving and poisoning the cells. This misinterpretation is based on a single publication by John Franklin Enders and a colleague from June 1, 1954. This publication was ruled by the highest court in Germany in the measles virus trial that it contained no evidence of a virus. This publication became the exclusive basis not only for measles virology, but for all virology since 1954 and corona hysteria.

2. Virologists mentally assemble the shortest pieces of so-called genetic information from dying cells to form a very long genetic strand, which they output as the genetic strand of a virus. This conceptual/computational process is called alignment. In doing so, they did not make the control attempts, the attempt to conceptually/computationally construct the desired genetic

strand even from short pieces of so-called genetic information from non-infected sources.

3. For the alignment of a virus, virologists always need a given genetic strand of a virus. For this, however, they always use a genetically/computationally generated genetic strand and never a real one, one found in reality. In doing so, they never attempt to check whether or not so-called genetic information could also be constructed from the existing data set, including "viral" genetic material strands of completely different viruses.

4. Virologists have never seen or isolated "viruses" in humans, animals, plants or their fluids. They only did it seemingly, indirectly, and only ever by means of very special and artificial cell systems in the laboratory. They never mentioned the control attempts or documented whether they succeeded in depicting and isolating viruses in and from humans, animals, plants or their fluids.

5. Virologists have never isolated, biochemically characterized or obtained their supposed genetic material from the supposed viruses that they photograph using electron microscope images. They have never conducted or published control experiments as to whether, after isolating these structures, it was actually possible to detect "viral" proteins (the envelope of the virus) and, above all, the viral genome, which is supposed to be the central component and characteristic of a virus.

6. Virologists report typical artifacts of dying tissue/cells and typical structures that arise when the cell's own components such as proteins, fats and the solvents used are swirled, as viruses or viral components. Here, too, there are no control experiments with cells/tissues that were not infected but were also treated.

7. The so-called transmission attempts that virologists

make to prove the transmission and pathogenicity of the suspected viruses refute the entire virology. Obviously, it is the experiments themselves that trigger the symptoms, which animal experiments provide as evidence of the existence and effectiveness of the suspected viruses. Here, too, there are no control attempts in which exactly the same thing is done, only with non-infected or sterilized materials.

<https://nateserg808.wixsite.com/my-site/post/the-controls>

Dr. Lanka explained the 7 steps himself in this short excerpt from an interview with Dr. Tom Cowan where he offered additional insight:

3. The Control Experiments

During this current “pandemic,” Dr. Lanka decided to carry out and recreate for “SARS-COV-2” the control experiments he had done during the measles trial. The experiments were conducted in three phases:

Phase 1 – The cytopathic effect

In the first control experiment, Dr. Stefan Lanka showed that what virologists attribute to the presence of a pathogenic virus can be achieved without infectious material.

Phase 2 – Construction of the SARS-CoV-2 genome

In the second control experiment, Dr. Lanka showed that what virologists call “viral genetic material” actually comes from a healthy human tissue.

Phase 3 – Structural analysis of sequence data in virology

In the third control experiment, we show that with the same technique that virologists use and using nucleic acids, which are not from supposedly infectious material but from

healthy human tissue, animals and plants, can construct the genome of any “virus.”

[Kontrollexperiment Phase 1 – Mehrere Labore bestätigen die Widerlegung der Virologie durch den cytopathischen Effekt](#)

Phase 1: The Cytopathic Effect

Phase 1 of Dr. Lanka’s experiments was designed to show that the [cytopathogenic effect](#), the very criteria used to determine a “virus” is present in a cell culture, can be caused by the experimental conditions themselves without “infectious” material present. The article linked above contains the study by the independent laboratory testing the cytopathogenic effect for Dr. Lanka. It is in German but it can be easily translated into English. However, as it is a rather long study, I wanted to provide my favorite breakdown of the CPE experiments from Dr. Tom Cowan’s excellent book [Breaking the Spell](#):

“Here is the essence of Lanka’s experiment, **done by an independent professional laboratory that specializes in cell culturing**. As seen in this series of photographs, each of the four vertical columns is a separate experiment. The top photo in each column was taken on day one, and the bottom photo was taken on day five.

In vertical column one, normal cells **were cultured with normal nutrient medium and only a small amount of antibiotics**. As you can see, on neither day one nor day five was any CPE found; the cells continued their normal, healthy growth.

In vertical column two, normal cells were again grown on normal nutrient medium and a small amount of antibiotics, **but this time, 10% fetal calf serum was added to enrich the medium**. Still, the cells in the culture grew normally, both on day one and day five.

The third vertical column shows what happened when Dr. Lanka's group used the same procedures that have been used in every modern isolation experiment of every pathogenic virus that I have seen. **This included changing the nutrient medium to "minimal nutrient medium"—meaning lowering the percentage of fetal calf serum from the usual 10% to 1%, which lowers the nutrients available for the cells to grow, thereby stressing them—and tripling the antibiotic concentration.** As you can see, on day five of the experiment, the characteristic CPE occurred, "proving" the existence and pathogenicity of the virus—except, **at no point was a pathogenic virus added to the culture.** This outcome can only mean that the CPE was a result of the way the culture experiment was done and not from any virus.

The fourth and final vertical column is the same as vertical column three, except that to this culture, a solution of pure RNA from yeast was added. **This produced the same result as column three, again proving that it is the culture technique—and not a virus—that is causing the CPE."**

For Dr. Lanka's own breakdown of the phase 1 results, please see this interview with Dean Braus:

Phase 2: Construction of the "SARS-CoV-2" genome

Phase two of the control experiments looked to show that the "viral" material in the "SARS-COV-2" genome actually comes from healthy human tissue. Dr. Lanka joined Kate Sugak to discuss the findings in the below video:

Phase 3: Structural analysis of sequency data in virology

Phase 3 was designed to show that by using materials from many different sources (healthy humans, animals, plants, and synthetic nucleic acids), the PCR amplification process can create the genomes for any "virus." I've provided the abstract

from the study performed by the independent researchers working with Dr. Lanka to give a short overview of what was found:

Structural analysis of sequence data in virology: An elementary approach using SARS-CoV-2 as an example

“De novo meta-transcriptomic sequencing or whole genome sequencing are accepted methods in virology for the detection of claimed pathogenic viruses. In this process, no virus particles (virions) are detected and in the sense of the word isolation, isolated and biochemically characterized. In the case of SARS-CoV-2, total RNA is often extracted from patient samples (e.g.: bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) or throat-nose swabs) and sequenced. **Notably, there is no evidence that the RNA fragments used to calculate viral genome sequences are of viral origin.**

We therefore examined the publication “A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China” [1] and the associated published sequence data with bioproject ID PRJNA603194 dated 27/01/2020 for the original gene sequence proposal for SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank: MN908947.3). A repeat of the de novo assembly with Megahit (v.1.2.9) showed that the published results could not be reproduced. We may have detected (ribosomal) ribonucleic acids of human origin, contrary to what was reported in [1]. **Further analysis provided evidence for possible nonspecific amplification of reads during PCR confirmation and determination of genomic termini not associated with SARS-CoV-2 (MN908947.3).**

Finally, we performed some reference-based assemblies with additional genome sequences such as SARS-CoV, Human immunodeficiency virus, Hepatitis delta virus, Measles virus, Zika virus, Ebola virus, or Marburg virus to study the structural similarity of the present sequence data with the respective sequences. **We have obtained preliminary hints that some of the viral genome sequences we have**

studied in the present work may be obtained from the RNA of unsuspected human samples.”

Download

PDF:

[structural_analysis_of_sequence_data_in_virology \(1\)](#)

To hear Dr. Lanka’s explanation of this phase, please see this excellent interview once again with Kate Sugak:

Drs. Sam and Mark Bailey’s Tribute to Dr. Lanka

For an even greater in-depth look at the brilliant work of Dr. Lanka, please see [this excellent video tribute](#) by the Baileys. From an outline provided by Dr. Mark Bailey, in this 30 minute video they cover:

- Dr. Lanka’s early discoveries that bacteriophages and giant “viruses” are able to be truly isolated but are not pathogenic
- Dr. Lanka’s path as a virologist and the realization that the model was wrong
- How Dr. Lanka spoke out from the very early stages against the HIV/AIDS dogma
- Dr. Lanka’s discovery that the germ theory and disease entity models are incorrect
- A look at Dr. Lanka’s 7 points that refute virology on their own terms
- The 3 phases of the “SARS-CoV-2” control experiments performed in 2021 that were used to refute the “virus” hypothesis
- And the optimism for the future as many of us are now standing on his shoulders to spread the knowledge he has given us

[Stefan Lanka: “Virus, It’s Time To Go.”](#)

The Road Less Traveled

Sadly, it is often a lonely road for anyone willing to break away from tradition and speak out about the troubling state of their chosen profession, especially in a field with ties to a highly lucrative pharmaceutical conglomerate. More often than not, anyone who is willing to sound the alarm has their work smeared and their reputations tarnished by colleagues and the mainstream media in order to discredit the information and the charges that have been brought forth. We are fortunate enough that there were a few brave men and women who were able to see through the indoctrination of their training and push through the often painful cognitive dissonance which comes with having to change long held beliefs ingrained from birth.

Dr. Lanka helped to pave the path against virology and many of us are walking in his footsteps today. His refutation of the germ theory paradigm using their own history and methods was highly influential to myself and others. His status as an ex-virologist not only gave him an invaluable insiders look at the fraud the field is entrenched in but also the clout necessary for those hesitant about the information shared to actually listen up and to start asking the hard questions themselves. We are greatly indebted to Dr. Lanka for his trailblazing work. Without his herculean efforts, I highly doubt that we would be able to attack this fraudulent field as successfully as we are able to do so now.

Essential Reading:

I wanted to provide a list of Dr. Lanka's work which I consider essential reading for anyone questioning the germ theory lies and/or looking to gain more knowledge of the foundational problems that the field of virology is built upon. Many of these were sources I read initially in my own journey which I found extremely helpful in broadening my own understanding. I am positive that this list will be a benefit to others as well:

[Dr. Stefan Lanka Debunks Pictures of Isolated “Viruses”](#)

[HIV Pictures: What They Really Show](#)

[HIV: Reality or Artefact?](#)

[INTERVIEW STEFAN LANKA: Challenging BOTH Mainstream and Alternative AIDS Views](#)

[Virologists](#)

[The Virus Misconception Part 1](#)

[The Virus Misconception Part 2](#)

[The Virus Misconception Part 3](#)

[The Misinterpretation of Antibodies](#)

[Connect with Mike Stone](#)

cover image is screenshot from [Kate Sugak video](#)

**‘The End of Germ Theory’
Documentary: An Easy-to-
Understand, Step-by-Step
Analysis of the History of**

Germ & Virus Theory, the Erroneous “Science” Behind Vaccination & a Close Look at What Really Makes Us Sick – The Big Pharma Cartel & the Deep Deception of Viral Pandemics

[‘The End of Germ Theory’ Documentary: An Easy-to-Understand, Step-by-Step Analysis of the History of Germ & Virus Theory, the Erroneous “Science” Behind Vaccination & a Close Look at What Really Makes Us Sick – The Big Pharma Cartel & the Deep Deception of Viral Pandemics](#)

[The End of Germ Theory](#)

by [Spacebusters](#)

June 22, 2022

00:01:21 Dr Rosenau / US Public Health Service failed Spanish Flu contagion experiments

00:08:14 Goat Island / US Public Health Service failed Spanish Flu contagion experiments

00:10:32 Johns Hopkins / Dr Sellard failed Measles contagion experiments

Dr. Alfred F Hess failed Chicken Pox varicella contagion experiments

00:12:25 NY State Health Department / US Public health Service failed Polio contagion experiments

00:15:13 Dr. Eleanor McBean vaccination caused Spanish Flu pandemic research

00:16:06 Dr Frederick Lamont Gates / US Army Antimenigitis vaccination fiasco

00:17:00 Black Death, Spanish Flu outbreak follows 14-25 vaccinations per person

00:21:30 Unvaccinated doctors and families did not catch the Spanish Flu from patients

00:23:05 Masha & Dasha, conjoined twins who never caught flu, colds, measles from eachother

00:24:17 What is Polio really? Lead Arsenate and DDT trends vs outbreaks

00:27:35 False vaccine disease eradication claims and trends

00:28:44 7 common causes of Polio

00:29:14 What is a "virus particle"?

00:32:47 What is Cytopathic Effect "Theory"?

00:33:22 What is Viral Replication "Theory"?

00:38:28 What is a virology cell or tissue "Culture"?

00:42:00 Cytopathic Effect Theory debunked

00:42:40 Autolysis and Apoptosis

00:44:48 Virus particle Isolation and Purification

00:55:38 PCR test fraud and misuse

01:06:54 CDC Covid PCR diagnostic test fraud

01:08:50 "Insilico" imaginary genomes

01:16:50 John Enders' debunked Measles experiments

01:23:34 Studies admitting virus particles are indistinguishable from cellular debris

01:29:18 Fraudulent Australian failed Covid isolation experiments

01:32:13 Fetal Bovine Calf Serum RNA

01:34:28 Dr Stefan Lanka control experiments debunk virus theory once and for all

01:47:20 1947 fraudulent Polio isolation experiments debunked

02:01:28 Virology fails Koch's postulates

02:02:59 Antibodies, Antigen test fraud, HIV

02:11:35 Antibody vaccine theory debunked

02:16:38 Big Pharma re-name disease game

02:16:54 Monkeypox fraud

02:22:51 Real causes of Pox diseases

02:24:28 1957 Monkeypox failed contagion experiments and controls debunk virology

02:31:49 Why do some but not all people sometimes but not always seem sick together?

Connect with Spacebusters at [Odysee](#) or [Bitchute](#)

Related:

Read & download PDF of [Eleanor McBean's 1957 book 'The Poisoned Needle'](#), as referenced in the documentary

Also by Spacebusters, as referenced in the documentary:

cover image based on creative commons work of [geralt](#)

**Challenging the Foundations
of Virology: Corona
Investigative Committee With
Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew**

Kaufman

[Challenging the Foundations of Virology: Corona Investigative Committee With Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman](#)

Truth Comes to Light editor's note:

This clip is from the Corona Investigative Committee's "The Virus of Power" series of interviews , Session 90, which took place on February 4, 2022. [See the entire Session 90 here](#) (6+ hours long).

In this interview, Dr. Kaufman and Dr. Lanka challenge "germ theory" and the "science" at the foundation of virology. Of course, this is highly controversial because it shakes the foundation of modern medicine, questions the endless stream of vaccines and toxic medicines produced by big pharma – not to mention the generations of doctors, scientists and medical professionals who have dedicated careers to this "science".

Committee member Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg, who has been invaluable in exposing the pandemic fraud and is a key source of medical insight for the committee, challenges Dr. Kaufman and Dr. Lanka, and vehemently defends the prevailing view regarding viruses as cause of disease. [See Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg's presentation during Session 90 here](#). His presentation occurred earlier in the session, prior to this conversation with Drs. Kaufman and Lanka.

Below the Corona Investigative Committee video, we are providing documents, videos and articles for understanding the work of Dr. Lanka, Dr. Kaufman, Dr. Tom Cowan and others.

Please do your own research and come to your own conclusions.

Video by Oval Media can be found at Corona Investigate

Committee [Odysee](#) channel.

Documents by Dr. Stefan Lanka:

[Dismantling the Virus Theory](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

[The Causes of Corona Crisis Are Clearly Identified – Virologists Who Claim Disease-Causing Viruses Are Science Fraudsters and Must Be Prosecuted](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

[How Dead Are Virus Anyway? All Claims of Virus Existence Refuted](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

Related document by Harold Hillman:

[A Serious Indictment of Modern Cell Biology and Neurobiology](#) by Harold Hillman ([download PDF](#))

Video on the work of Dr. Lanka:

[The Final Refutation Of Virology](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka

Related articles:

[Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions](#)

[Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself](#)

[Dr. Stefan Lanka 2020 Article Busts the Virus Misconception](#)

[Dr. Tom Cowan on the “Spiked Protein Toxin” & “Virus Created in a Lab” Stories](#)

[The Contagion Fairy Tale](#)

[The Non-Existent Virus; an Explosive Interview With Christine Massey](#)

[The Contagion Myth: No Virus Has Ever Caused Disease](#)

[The Fraudulent Use of PCR / RT-PCR Techniques for the Manipulation, Harm and, Ultimately, the Destruction of Humanity](#)

[Warning Signs You’ve Been Tricked by Virologists](#)

[Jon Rappoport: My Bottom Line on the Existence of the Virus, Its Isolation and Sequencing](#)

[Exposing the Lie – Hippocratic Hypocrisy: A Tale of Two Snakes](#)
[A collaborative film by Spacebusters and Dr. Andrew Kaufman about how authentic medicine was hijacked by the power elite and turned into a deadly, sickness-for-profit industry.]

Connect with Dr. Stefan Lanka: <http://wissenschafftplus.de/>

Connect with Dr. Andrew Kaufman: <https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/>

Connect with Dr. Tom Cowan: <http://drtomcowan.com/>

[Connect with Corona Investigative Committee](#)

The Emperor Has No Corona

[The Emperor Has No Corona](#)

by [ThoughtCrimes7](#)

August 11, 2021

Available at ThoughtCrime7 [BitChute](#) and [Odysee](#) channels.

An investigation into the “Isolation” of the SARS-COV2 “virus”.

SOURCES:

Dr. Andrew Kaufman:

<https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/>

SOVI – Statement on Virus Isolation – Add your name:

<https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/sovi/>

Dr. Tom Cowan:

<https://drtomcowan.com/>

Only Poisoned Monkey cells Grew the Virus, article by Dr Tom Cowan:

<https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

Virus Mania, by Dr. Sam Bailey and Torsten Engelbrecht:

<https://www.kobo.com/us/en/ebook/virus-mania-1>

Torsten Engelbrecht:

<https://www.torstenengelbrecht.com/en/virus-mania-in-the-media/>

Isolate the Truth Fund, 1.5 Million Euro Prize:

<https://www.samueleckert.net/isolate-truth-fund/>

Christine Massey, Global FOIA Requests:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

Dr. Stephan Lanka – CPE Produced without Virus:

<https://odysee.com/@CosmicEvent:5/CPE-Control-Experiment-21-April-2021-English-version:0>

Dr. Vincent Racaniello:

<https://microbiology.columbia.edu/faculty-vincent-racaniello>

Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6988269/>

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

GenBank:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>


In-Silico PCR:

<http://noncode.org/cgi-bin/hgPcr>


See related:

[**Turning Virology & Modern Medicine on Its Head: Dr. Andrew Kaufman w/ Brian Young**](#)

[**Drs. Tom Cowan, Andy Kaufman & Stefan Lanka: On the Myth That Virology Is Real Science & What We Don't Yet Know About These Highly Toxic Covid "Vaccines"**](#)



[*Virus Mania: Corona/COVID-19, Measles, Swine Flu, Cervical Cancer, Avian Flu, SARS, BSE, Hepatitis C, AIDS, Polio, Spanish Flu. How the Medical... Making Billion-Dollar Profits At Our Expense*](#) by Torsten Engelbrecht, Claus Köhnlein, Samantha Bailey, Stefano Scoglio



[*The Truth About Contagion: Exploring Theories of How Disease Spreads*](#) (2021) by Thomas S. Cowan MD and Sally Fallon Morell

cover image credit: [Dieterich01](#) / pixabay

Drs. Tom Cowan, Andy Kaufman & Stefan Lanka: On the Myth That Virology Is Real Science & What We Don't Yet Know About These Highly Toxic Covid "Vaccines"

[Drs. Tom Cowan, Andy Kaufman & Stefan Lanka: On the Myth That Virology Is Real Science & What We Don't Yet Know About These Highly Toxic Covid "Vaccines"](#)

Truth Comes to Light editor's note:

In the video below Dean Braus brings together Dr. Stefan Lanka, Dr. Tom Cowan and Dr. Andy Kaufman to discuss mRNA vaccines, nanoparticles in vaccines and related topics. Dean begins the conversation with discussion of the following quote:

"No man ever steps in the same river twice, for it's not the same river and he's not the same man."

~ Heraclitus of Ephesus

There is an audio issue with much of Dr. Lanka's contribution to the conversation, which included some discussion of Dr. Lanka's CPE (Cytopathic Effect) experiment and ongoing research, as well as the refusal of mainstream medical science to give his research consideration. To understand more about his work, please see links to related articles, videos and pdf files that I've provided at the end of this article.

Below are a few brief excerpts from the wide-ranging

conversation:

Tom Cowan:

...The theory that we base our entire science and our entire medicine on – which we are only made of substance – it is simply incorrect.

...We are forced into a way of seeing the world – forced into saying that must be true. And yet we all know it's not.

...At some point we have to acknowledge that the theory of medicine science is just wrong and we should abandon it.

Andy Kaufman:

...We are living in a time when science is not interested in finding the truth. It's basically motivated by...political goals and and other purposes – financial incentives.

...As Tom alluded to, we've known that virology is not a valid science for a long time. And it's very important – the control experiments – because they provide the empirical evidence that disproves the dogmatic virology theory.

...An average person can understand the significance of this control experiment because we're saying that the alleged proof of the existence of a virus, and everything that is based upon that, comes from the experimental procedure itself – and not from the presence of anything real.

...I think there are a lot of unknowns right now. Like, we certainly know that these so-called vaccines – or these gene therapies – that they're quite toxic. And we have incredible numbers of people experiencing very serious adverse effects and we have incredible numbers of people dying. But the truth is that we don't really even know what's in these injections.

We know from the past that undisclosed ingredients make it into vaccine products... We know that there is recent evidence that has perhaps not been validated but it's still out there from La Quinta Columna about graphene. We know that in the past there has been DNA from fetal cell lines that's been added to vaccines without being disclosed. And then we have observed some unusual phenomenon with respect to magnetism. And then if you look in the literature you see that there is an extreme amount of research published on nanotechnology for biomedical applications. And many of those are specifically for infectious disease and specifically for vaccine technology.

...And then we have this story about you know the gene therapy. And we know that this is been unsuccessful so far in other clinical pursuits.

...What we have seen as an explosion in GMO technology in other industries – in manufacturing, nutraceuticals and pharmaceuticals and, as well, in agriculture and food especially, where we know that they alter the genotype and phenotype of organisms. So we know that they can hack our system in some ways that can change our physiology.

...So we don't really know if this product actually integrates into the genetic machinery of the host or the protein manufacturing machinery of the host. And we don't really know if the spike protein is actually made in our body. So, in other words, there's evidence that the spike protein is toxic .. You can buy a commercial preparation... it's an actual substance. Now whether it's found in nature or not is a separate discussion. But it has been bought from those manufacturers and sold for research studies and shown to have a certain toxicity. But we don't know if the toxicity from these products is from that spike protein because we don't even know if it's really made.

...We also don't know with certainty if there is

nanotechnology in addition to the lipid nanoparticles that are said to deliver the mRNA or the so-called adenovirus vector that's in the Johnson & Johnson product. But we don't know if there's other nanotechnology. Like are their magnetic nanoparticles? Are there graphene nanoparticles? Is there hydrogel?

Tom Cowan:

...An RNA vaccine is trying to take over that which is basically the interaction of the human being with the world of light and sound and spirit and thoughts and emotions – and translate that into a living being.

Who's ever doing this wants "them" to be the ones who determine what protein you make.

...The bad news is they're trying to make us make what they want. The good news is – it doesn't work like that, so they may not ever be able to do it.

...Like Andy said, nobody even has measured really whether they actually can get them to make spike proteins. My guess is they can't really.

[Freedom Talk 3](#)

by **[Dean Braus](#)**, **[@DeansDanes](#)** Odysee channel
July 14, 2021

Impact of CPE Control Experiment. mRNA Vaccines, nanoparticles, outlook on projects.

Original video available at **[Dean's Danes Odysee](#)** channel.

Also found at Dean's Danes Odysee channel, see a brief video

on [Dr. Lanka's CPE experiments.](#)

See related:

Documents

[Dismantling the Virus Theory](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

[The Causes of Corona Crisis Are Clearly Identified – Virologists Who Claim Disease-Causing Viruses Are Science Fraudsters and Must Be Prosecuted](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

[How Dead Are Virus Anyway? All Claims of Virus Existence Refuted](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka ([download PDF](#))

[A Serious Indictment of Modern Cell Biology and Neurobiology](#) by Harold Hillman ([download PDF](#))

Video on Dr. Lanka's work mentioned by Dean Braus

[The Final Refutation Of Virology by Dr. Stefan Lanka](#)

Related articles

[Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions](#)

[Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself](#)

[Dr. Stefan Lanka 2020 Article Busts the Virus Misconception](#)

[Dr. Tom Cowan on the “Spiked Protein Toxin” & “Virus Created in a Lab” Stories](#)

[The Contagion Fairy Tale](#)

Connect with Dr. Stefan Lanka: <http://wissenschafftplus.de/>

Connect with Dr. Tom Cowan: <http://drtomcowan.com/>

Connect with Dr. Andrew Kaufman: <https://andrewkaufmanmd.com/>

Connect with Dean Braus: <https://odysee.com/@DeansDanes:1>

Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions

[Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions](#)

“I think the bottom line here, Stefan, if you agree, is that the chemistry and the structure follows consciousness. Not the other way around.”
~ Dr. Tom Cowan

[My Discussion With Stefan Lanka About Virology](#)

by [Dr. Tom Cowan](#) with [Dr. Stefan Lanka](#)

March 24, 2021

Original video available at [Dr. Tom Cowan BitChute](#) channel.

On Wednesday I had an hour-long discussion with German biologist and virologist Stefan Lanka.

Stefan spoke about the history of virology, helped us to understand the many wrong turns virologists have taken over the years, and updated us on his ground-breaking study that will disprove the basic tenets of virology.

Stefan Lanka's papers on the virus misconception:

[The Virus Misconception – Measles As an Example Part I](#)

[The Virus Misconception – Measles As an Example Part II](#)

Stefan Lanka's interviews and articles:

[How Dead Are Virus Anyway? All Claims of Virus Existence Refuted](#)

[The Causes of Corona Crisis Are Clearly Identified – Virologists Who Claim Disease-Causing Viruses Are Science Fraudsters and Must Be Prosecuted](#)

Interview: Measles Virus Process:

<http://wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/wissenschaftplus-won-measles-virus-process.pdf>

<https://wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/Dismantling-the-Virus-Theory.pdf>

Stefan Lanka's website: <http://wissenschaftplus.de/>

Dr. Tom Cowan's channels:

<https://gab.com/DrTomCowan>

<https://rumble.com/c/c-568333>

<https://odysee.com/@Dr.TomCowan:8>

Tom Cowan's website: <https://drtomcowan.com>

Support Dr. Tom Cowan at SubscribeStar:
<https://www.subscribestar.com/dr-tom-cowan>

Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself

[Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself](#)

by [Dean Braus](#), [Dean's Danes YouTube channel](#)

March 4, 2021

[Freedom Talk 1 – 4 March 2021](#)

Original video available at [Dean's Danes YouTube](#) channel.

Tom, Stefan, Andy & Dean talk about individual responsibility and personal commitment.

[As a service to protect truth from censorship and to share widely, mirrored copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light [BitChute](#), [Brighteon](#), [Lbry/Odysee](#) channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original source of this video. Please follow links provided to support their work.]

See related:

[The Misconception Called Virus](#) by Dr. Stefan Lanka (download PDF)

[Statement of Virus Isolation | Conclusion: The SARS-CoV2 Virus Does Not Exist](#)

[Dr. Tom Cowan w/ Dr. Andrew Kaufman: We Have No Scientific Proof That Viruses Cause Disease – A Response to Dr. Judy Mikovits](#)

[The Contagion Fairy Tale](#) by Dr. Tom Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell

Dr. Stefan Lanka 2020 Article Busts the Virus Misconception

[Dr. Stefan Lanka 2020 Article Busts the Virus Misconception](#)

by [Makia Freeman](#), [The Freedom Articles](#)

July 21, 2020

The virus misconception is at the heart of Operation Coronavirus, because without the concept of germ theory and without the horror story of the killer virus, most people would not buy the NWO-directed official narrative of COVID propaganda.

In a previous article on the nature of the virus, I have discussed the heroic efforts of German virologist Dr. Stefan Lanka, who won a landmark case in 2017 which went all the way to the German Supreme Court. Lanka proved in the highest court of the land that measles was not caused by a virus, and that there was in fact no such thing as a measles virus.

Lanka is still busy working, and he wrote this article earlier this year (translated into English here) entitled "[The Misconception called Virus](#)" in which he explains the history of how mainstream science went horribly wrong with its conclusions (really assumptions) to demonize the humble virus and to falsely ascribe pathogenicity to it when there is none.

The Virus Misconception: The Killer Virus Story vs. Deficiency and Toxicity

Lanka's main point throughout the article is this: when modern scientists are working with diseased tissue, they think the presence of a virus is causing the disease, instead of realizing that the tissue in question has been cut off and isolated from its host, then doused with antibiotics, and that this separation and poison make it diseased and kill it, rather than any virus. Lanka writes:

"All claims about viruses as pathogens are wrong and are based on easily recognizable, understandable and verifiable misinterpretations ... All scientists who think they are working with viruses in laboratories are actually working with typical particles of specific dying tissues or cells which were prepared in a special way. They believe that those

tissues and cells are dying because they were infected by a virus. In reality, the infected cells and tissues were dying because they were starved and poisoned as a consequence of the experiments in the lab."

" ... the death of the tissue and cells takes place in the exact same manner when no "infected" genetic material is added at all. The virologists have apparently not noticed this fact. According to ... scientific logic and the rules of scientific conduct, control experiments should have been carried out. In order to confirm the newly discovered method of so-called "virus propagation" ... scientists would have had to perform additional experiments, called negative control experiments, in which they would add sterile substances ... to the cell culture."

"These control experiment have never been carried out by the official "science" to this day. During the measles virus trial, I commissioned an independent laboratory to perform this control experiment and the result was that the tissues and cells die due to the laboratory conditions in the exact same way as when they come into contact with alleged "infected" material."

In other words, the studied cells and tissues die with or without the presence of a virus in exactly the same way; therefore, the virus cannot be the cause of the morbidity and mortality. Interestingly, this exactly what many health experts have stated, namely that there are only 2 causes of disease: deficiency and toxicity.

For instance, Charlotte Gerson (who took over running the Gerson Clinic from her brilliant father Max) said this about disease and cancer. Removing cells or tissue from the body and thus cutting them off from their energy/nutrient supply will quickly lead to deficiency; injecting antibiotics into the mixture is toxicity; thus there is no solid proof a virus is

causing disease when there is already deficiency and toxicity present. This is the key point of the virus misconception.

How the Virus Misconception Has Roots in 1858 and Became Entrenched in 1954

Lanka traces back the development of the virus misconception to 1858 and to the 'cell theory' of Rudolf Virchow, who proposed a theory that all disease and all life originates from a single cell, which is somehow hijacked by a virus that weakens it and propagates itself. Lanka points out 2 problems with this:

"The cell theory was only originated because Rudolf Virchow suppressed crucial discoveries about tissues. The findings and insights with respect to the structure, function and central importance of tissues in the creation of life, which were already known in 1858, comprehensively refute the cell theory and the subsequently derived genetic, immune and cancer therapies.

"The infection theories were only established as a global dogma through the concrete policies and eugenics of the Third Reich. Before 1933, scientists dared to contradict this theory; after 1933, these critical scientists were silenced."

By "infection theories" Lanka means germ theory, the prevailing theory of modern Western Medicine. Lanka then describes how a paradigm shift in the perception of the virus occurred during 1952-1954:

"Until 1952, a virus was defined as a pathogenic poison in the form of a protein, which as an enzyme caused damage in an unknown manner, which could cause disease and be transmissible. After 1953, the year in which the alleged DNA in the form [of] an alleged alpha helix was publicly announced, the idea of a virus became a malignant genotype wrapped in proteins. Thus, a paradigm shift took place

between 1952 and 1954 regarding the image of a virus.”

He talks about how theory become dogma in the Church of Mainstream Science (aka Scientism):

“This completely unscientific approach originated in June 1954, when an unscientific and refutable speculative article was published, according to which the death of tissue in a test tube was considered ... possible evidence for the presence of a virus. Six months later, on 10 December 1954, the main author of this opinion was awarded the Nobel Prize for Medicine for another equally speculative theory. The speculation from June 1954 was then raised to a scientific fact and became a dogma which has never been challenged to this date. Since June 1954, the death of tissue and cells in a test tube has been regarded as proof for the existence of a virus.”

Returning to Koch's Postulates: No Isolation, No Purification

As I covered in [COVID-19 Umbrella Term to Operate a Fake Pandemic: Not 1 Disease, Not 1 Cause](#), today's mainstream scientists are skipping the all important 2nd step of Koch's postulates: the isolation and purification of the virus. This isn't something you can just gloss over or forget to do, like accidentally forgetting your umbrella on a rainy day and getting a bit wet. This is the absolutely quintessential part of determining if there is a new virus and if it causing causing disease. It's the sine qua non. If you can't isolate it, you have FAILED to prove anything, because the budding offshoot you think is an invading virus could easily be a exosome or particle being produced by the body itself. This is why all the COVID propaganda has conveniently glossed over the fact that there are no electron microscope images of SARS-CoV-2, since the electron microscope is an extremely important tool in the 1st step of Koch's postulates, the identification.

Lanka continues:

"... a virus has never been isolated according to the meaning of the word isolation, and it has never been photographed and biochemically characterised as a whole unique structure. The electron micrographs of the alleged viruses show in reality quite normal cellular particles from dying tissues and cells, and most photos show only a computer model (CGI – computer generated images)."

So What Does All This Have to Do with COVID?

So to bring this back to the current plandemic, all of the same assumptions and lack of evidence are in play when it comes to COVID:

"Individual molecules are extracted from the particles of dead tissue and cells, they are misinterpreted to be parts of a virus and are theoretically put together into a virus model ... The consensus-finding process for the measles "virus", in which the participants debated in order to determine what belonged to the virus and what didn't, lasted for decades. With the apparently new China Coronavirus 2019 (2019-nCoV, meanwhile renamed), this consensus-finding process lasts only a few mouse clicks.

With only a few mouse clicks as well, a program can create any virus by putting together molecules of short parts of nucleic acids from dead tissue and cells with a determined biochemical composition, thus arranging them as desired into a longer genotype which is then declared to be the complete genome of the new virus ... in this process of theoretical construction of the "viral DNA", those sequences that don't fit are "smoothed out" and missing ones are added. Thus, a DNA sequence is invented which doesn't exist in reality and which was never discovered and scientifically demonstrated as a whole."

So basically, mainstream Chinese scientists who work under the same theory as mainstream Western scientists invented a new theoretical model for SARS-CoV-2, and proclaimed a novel coronavirus, but all without the electron micrographs to actually back it up.

This entire process has extremely interesting parallels with the theme of space fakery, whether it's propagated by NASA or the space agencies of other nations. We don't have verifiable images of viruses; we don't have verifiable whole (non-composite) images of the Earth, or many other space bodies such as moons, planets, etc. Instead we are fed CGIs and told not to question authority. Is this science or is this faith-based Scientism? To what extent are we being manipulated when we are denied real and true photographs of the world around us, both on a micro and macro level? I would argue to a massive extent.

Lanka on the Danger of Vaccines

Our lack of understanding about viruses, disease, the immune system, terrain theory and much more is exploited by Big Pharma to push dangerous medical interventions such as vaccines. Here's what Lanka has to say about the danger and ineffectiveness of vaccines:

"[A] concoction consisting of dying tissue and cells from monkeys, bovine foetuses and toxic antibiotics ... is being used as a "live" vaccine, because it is supposed to consist of so-called "attenuated" viruses ... [this] toxic mixture full of foreign proteins, foreign nucleic acids (DNA/RNA), cytotoxic antibiotics, microbes and spores of all types is being labelled a "live vaccine." It is implanted in children through vaccination mainly into the muscles, in a quantity which if it were injected into the veins would immediately lead to certain death ... The verifiable facts demonstrate the danger and negligence of these scientists and politicians, who claim that vaccines are safe, have little or no side-

effects, and would protect from a disease. None of these claims is true and scientific, on the contrary: upon precise scientific analysis, one finds that vaccines are useless and the respective literature admits to the lack of any evidence in their favour.”

Final Thoughts

The virus misconception has been with us a long time. As insane as the current fear-based, mask-wearing, social-distancing submission is, there are those people who are using Operation Coronavirus as a chance to wake up. While some go deeper into unconsciousness and look to new protective products (“upgrade your mask for our patent-pending powered air-filtration protective shield (N95)”), others have seen the coronavirus coup for what it truly is: a chance to roll out all kinds of control architecture while people sleepwalk in fear. It is always a good idea to question the base assumptions of any governmental pronouncement, because almost always, it can open up a portal that leads to the truth.

Sources:

*<https://thefreedomarticles.com/deep-down-virus-rabbit-hole-question-everything/>

*<https://davidicke.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Paper-Virus-Lanka-002.pdf>

*<https://thefreedomarticles.com/covid-19-umbrella-term-fake-pandemic-not-1-disease-cause/>

*<https://thefreedomarticles.com/toxic-vaccine-adjuvants-the-top-10/>