Germany Reports 31 Cases of Blood Clots in the Brain Following AstraZeneca COVID-19 Vaccine

<u>Germany Reports 31 Cases of Blood Clots in the Brain</u> <u>Following AstraZeneca COVID-19 Vaccine</u>

by <u>GreatGameIndia</u> April 1, 2021

Germany has reported <u>31 cases of blood clots in the brain from</u> <u>AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine</u>. The cases are associated with CSVT or sinus vein thrombosis as per the German regulator and 19 out of 31 cases are linked to thrombocytopenia or blood platelet deficiency.

Use of AstraZeneca covid-19 vaccine has already been <u>suspended</u> <u>in many European countries</u> due to its adverse side effects. German vaccine regulator also reported 31 cases of blood disorder after AstraZeneca jab in Germany.

The Paul Ehrlich Institute (Vaccine regulator of Germany) said it has recorded 31 cases of a rare blood clot in the brain after people were vaccinated against COVID-19 with an AstraZeneca jab. Nine of these cases have resulted in deaths, the <u>PEI added</u>.

The German registered cases are associated with CSVT or sinus vein thrombosis as per PEI. 19 out of 31 cases are linked to thrombocytopenia or blood platelet deficiency.

Two of Berlin's state hospital groups (Vivantes and Charite)

have also suspended administering this jab to women under the age of 55 in a separate development.

Vaxzevria: AstraZeneca Attempts to Save Its Experimental Shots by Changing the Name

Vaxzevria: AstraZeneca Attempts to Save Its Experimental Shots by Changing the Name

by TheCOVIDBlog.com March 31, 2021



The AstraZeneca COVID-19 shot is called "Covishield" in India. It is now called "Vaxzevria" in the European Union.

BRUSSELS, BELGIUM – The Nisour Square massacre took the lives of 17 Iraqi civilians on September 16, 2007. Six Blackwater employees faced murder and manslaughter charges. Four of them were sentenced to <u>30 years or life in prison</u>. The incident left a major stain on the U.S. military contractor's reputation and brand. No problem.

Blackwater changed its name to <u>Xe Services, LLC.</u> in 2009. It <u>changed its name again to Academi</u> in 2011, <u>before merging</u> <u>with Constellis Holdings</u> in 2014. Today "Blackwater" is still getting <u>multi-billion dollar government contracts</u> without anyone ever mentioning its real name and history. AstraZeneca is employing the same strategy hoping for the same results.

The Oxford-AstraZeneca COVID-19 "vaccine" has by far the worst reputation of all the experimental shots. We've covered at least <u>10 deaths related to the AstraZeneca shots</u>. Virtually every country using the experimental AstraZeneca

shots <u>suspended administration of them pending investigations</u>. Authorities in some of said countries seized the shots as part of criminal investigations.

The British-Swedish pharmaceutical company is desperate to save its signature COVID-19 product and salvage its brand in the pharmaceutical world. So it changed its name.

AstraZeneca COVID-19 shot is now Vaxzevria

The Swedish Medical Products Agency (Läkemedelsverket) announced that the <u>name change was approved</u> by the <u>European</u> <u>Medicines Agency</u> (EMA) on March 25. The product itself remains unchanged. But Vaxzevria has different labeling and packaging that is distinct from the old AstraZeneca branding. The whole idea is to deflect attention from the flawed and deadly shots and start anew with different marketing strategies.

AstraZeneca is betting on the same results it has obtained in India. The Serum Institute of India produces the AstraZeneca shot <u>under the brand name Covishield</u>. Thus there has been no AstraZeneca news in India. The trademark application for "Vaxzevria" commenced in December. So this was not a spontaneous reaction to the bad publicity for the AstraZeneca shots. The name was likely intended for another product. But it was already available for this "emergency use" if you will.

It's safe to say that most Europeans associate AstraZeneca with poison and danger. A <u>YouGov survey</u> released on March 21 found that 61% of French citizens view AstraZeneca/Vaxzevria shots as unsafe. A majority of Germans (55%) also believe the AstraZeneca/Vaxzevria shots are unsafe. Only 36% and 38% of Italians and Spaniards respectively, think the shots are safe. Interestingly, 77% of British survey participants think the AstraZeneca/Vaxzevria shots are safe.

The 'Covid' Vaccine Is a Weapon of Mass Destruction!

The 'Covid' Vaccine Is a Weapon of Mass Destruction!

by Gary D. Barnett March 31, 2021

> "Saying that a state or regime is a murderer is a convenient personification of an abstraction. Regimes are in realty people with the power to command a whole society. It is these people that have committed the kilo- and megamurders of our century, and we must not hide their identity under the abstraction of the 'state,' 'regime,' 'government,' or 'communist.'"

~ Rudolph Rummel (1994) "Death by Government"

Due to Rummel's book title, some might take this quote to be contradictory, but it is not. People murder or purposely allow murder; so only identifying the killers by way of identity abstraction is akin to blaming a gun for shooting and killing on its own instead of blaming the actual murderer that pulled the trigger. It is a way of hiding blame in the form of psychological trickery. This can only be an effective strategy when used by the ruling class as propaganda for fools.

Government's are made up of people, and these people called politicians come from the ranks of the general population, and they are the worst of mankind. Is this then an indictment of all humanity? I would not go quite that far, and those in government are murderers, but complicity by mass voluntary servitude and support of the state in the murder of others is a major factor in the persecution, genocide, and democide of innocents.

Today, we are witnessing the atrocious results of this dynamic, as people around the world are participating in the plot with their chosen governments to exterminate large numbers of society by their cooperation, support, indifference, and especially their silence. The 'state,' 'regime,' and 'government' are certainly murderers in whole, but it is important to attach names to all that take part in the wholesale slaughter of others.

The extermination of societies through genocide and democide is achieved in many ways, from war, forced starvation, psychological destruction, mass imprisonment, and sterilization; from chemical agents, bombs, nuclear weapons, and now the killing will be due to 'vaccination.' Surely I jest you say, but I do not, as the indiscriminate killing of hundreds of millions or billions of people around the world at the hands of the powerful is sought. Some call it population control, some call it depopulation, but it is simply planned mass murder to benefit the agendas of the few. The tool being used to accomplish this goal is the untested, experimental, mind-altering, gene-changing, toxic poison called the Covid-19 'vaccine,' and it is the newest weapon of mass destruction.

Many are having horrible effects due to these injections, and many are dying. Some are dying immediately after taking this shot, some are dying after a few hours or days, others after a few weeks, and the long-term effects at this point are virtually unknown. It is as if people are deaf, dumb, and blind when it comes to logic, as this falsely claimed affliction called Covid that supposedly has an survival rate of 99.98%, is being treated as a deadly pandemic, and the 'cure' recommended is a 'vaccine' that kills many more than the purposely created fake virus scam.

The agendas of the so-called claimed elites are clear, as evidenced in just these few quotes of many below. The desire to eliminate much of the population has been voiced over and over again, but the people still refuse to listen, and in fact, stand in line to take the state's poison for something that has never once been separated, purified, properly isolated, or identified, and has not even been proven to exist.

"A total population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal." ~ Ted Turner

"In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 per day." ~ Jacques Yves Cousteau

"If a Black Death could be spread throughout the world once in every generation survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full." ~ Bertrand Russell

"The most merciful thing a large family can do to one of its infant members is to kill it." ~ Margaret Sanger

"A part of eugenic politics would finally land us in an extensive use of the lethal chamber. A great many people would have to be put out of existence simply because it wastes other people's time to look after them." ~ George Bernard Shaw

"Population control will now become the centerpiece of U.S. foreign policy." ~ Hillary Clinton

"World population needs to be decreased by 50%". ~ Henry Kissinger

"The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's heading up to about nine billion. Now if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent." ~ Bill Gates

While the ruling segment of society, including all the political pawns in government, are behind this push to depopulate the world in order to gain total control, there are many aspects to this plan. Much of the focus has been on the deliberate destruction of the natural immune systems of populations at large, as this alone will be responsible for extreme sickness, dependability, the loss of functional existence, and large-scale death. This has already been essentially accomplished due to lockdowns, quarantines, dangerous mask wearing, job losses, isolation, distancing, lack of exercise, loss of vital vitamin D, and all the extreme stress caused by these absurd and evil mandates. In other words, the trap is set, and due to the much-weakened state of the general health and immunity of the 'public,' the deadly vaccine will be the driver of undue mortality, just as is desired by those attempting to take over the planet. A perfect storm has been devised in order to bring down this country and the world, and it is now going forward with little resistance.

All will be blamed on a 'virus' of course, but it will not be this bogus Covid strain being propagated by the political class, the medical establishment, and the media, it will be blamed on fabricated new strains mislabeled as 'mutant variants' of this bogus 'Covid-19.'

Thousands upon thousands are already dying due to taking this poisonous concoction, and many more have had horrible adverse reactions such as Bell's palsy, paralysis, loss of bodily functions and speech, anaphylactic shock, cardiac arrest and arrhythmia, deadly autoimmune reactions, and a myriad of other detrimental side effects. In Israel, the most highly vaccinated population to date, the Pfizer vaccine has killed "about 40 times more (elderly) people and 260 times more of the young than what the COVID-19 virus would have claimed in the given time frame." As more and more line up for this toxic lethal injection, the death counts will continue to rise, and every ensuing shot will cause more death. As time goes by, and as subsequent vaccines are claimed to be necessary, the death count will explode.

The government, all its enforcers, the medical establishment, the pharmaceutical cartels, and the mainstream media, are all to blame, but so are all of you that have voluntarily given them this power over you that you now refuse to take away. Without resistance and dissent, the blame for the coming carnage will lie at the feet of all who stood by and allowed this to happen without saying no.

This is a war against the people; the chosen tool to gain the submission of the masses has been a lie called Covid-19, and the weapon of mass destruction being used to accomplish the death of millions is the 'Covid vaccine!'

If you cherish your family, your freedom and your life, refuse to wear a mask, refuse all orders by the state, and refuse to take this murderous injection wrongly called a 'vaccine.'

Source links:

Deployment of Covid 'vaccine'

Covid vaccine destroys your immune system

Covid vaccine causes death

The Covid depopulation 'vaccine'

Depopulation agenda

Experimental 'vaccine' deaths in Israel

Death by government

CDC ignores vaccine deaths

The Vaccine Passport Propaganda Template

The Vaccine Passport Propaganda Template

by <u>Adam Dick</u>, <u>Ron Paul Institute</u> March 30, 2021

With reports that President Joe Biden's administration is planning for <u>imposing</u> a vaccine passport mandate in America, expect to see in the media a deluge of vaccine passport propaganda. What will that propaganda look like? A template illustrating several elements you can expect to see in the propaganda push was provided several weeks ago in a CNN interview.

In the first week of March, host Fareed Zakaria and his guest Arthur Caplan provided at CNN a textbook example of how to present vaccine passport propaganda to the American people. Let's look at some of the major elements of the propaganda template as demonstrated by Zakaria and Caplan.

1) Include some short expression that the idea of vaccine passports can be troubling, but make sure to only bring this up superficially. This is accomplished in the CNN segment by starting with a clip from a short scene from the movie Casablanca. In the clip, a policeman asks to see a man's "papers," the man says he does not have them, and the policeman responds, "in that case we'll have to ask you to come along." Not shown is the remainder of the scene in which the accosted man, after presenting apparently expired papers, attempts to flee only to be gunned down. Not showing the full scene demonstrates the care demanded in the propaganda to not allow any depiction of potential dire consequences from

imposing vaccine passports.

2) Frame the imposing of a vaccine passport mandate as something that is both inevitable and threatens only minimal, if any, harm. Zakaria accomplishes this task with the first sentence he utters to begin the media segment. Zakaria states: "From Casablanca to today, a demand to produce personal documents can be uncomfortable, but, post-pandemic, it's something we'll all likely have to get more and more comfortable with." Masterfully, Zakaria, in addition to minimizing the problems with passports as just causing discomfort, asserts that even that discomfort with time will disappear, suggesting objecting to vaccine passports is just an irrational or silly reaction.

3) Bring on a guest who, despite his description making him sound like someone who would be looking out for the interests of people concerned about vaccine passports, pretty much says that vaccine passports are the best thing since sliced bread. In the CNN interview the guest performing this role is Arthur Caplan, who Zakaria introduces as a "medical ethicist" and "professor at NYU." A medical ethicist will surely provide some warning about dangers from vaccine passports, right? Yes, in many cases. But, Caplan is not that sort of medical ethicists. He is the one picked to be interviewed in a media segment designed to promote acceptance of vaccine passports.

4) Reiterate that vaccine passports are inevitable, and that people should support them. Zakaria hits the nail on the head with this, presenting this first question to his guest: "So explain why you think, basically, that this is the future and we should be comfortable with it."

5) Declare that vaccine passports must be imposed on the American people because of coronavirus. Caplan accomplishes this task in his first words in the media segment. He states: "Well, I'm sure that the future holds vaccine passports for us, partly to protect against the spread of Covid." Of course, as coronavirus has turned out not to be a major danger to most people, imposing a vaccine passport mandate to counter it makes no more sense than doing it to counter any other of many diseases. But, this is not a topic to be brought up when selling people on vaccine passports. Fearmongering, no matter how ridiculously unjustified, is the name of the game. This is the fraudulent message people are encouraged to act on without much critical thought: Coronavirus is gonna kill us all unless we take the shots and show our papers!

6) Say that mandating vaccine passports is really no big deal because of some other supposedly very similar restriction to which some people are already subjected. Caplan states: "And, you know, it's not a new idea, we have it for yellow fever; there are about more than a dozen countries that say you can't come in if you haven't been vaccinated against yellow fever, and many others require you to show proof of vaccination if you transit through those countries." Are the yellow feverrelated requirements justified? Caplan does not say more than that, because these somewhat similar restrictions exist someplace, the mandating of vaccine passports in America is fine. That's medical ethicist reasoning? Anyway, the yellow fever stuff, because most Americans have no experience with or knowledge of it, is a fine example for the propaganda. Few watchers of the segment will have any basis for questioning the current practice that is used to justify the new desired mandate. One big difference, though, jumps out on further consideration. Caplan explains that the yellow fever requirements apply for just coming to several countries. In contrast, Zakaria early in the interview says the vaccine passports that will, he claims, inevitably be imposed on Americans will be required for people "to get on an airplane, to go to a concert, or to go back to work." The vaccine passport mandate is, thus, much more troublesome for most Americans than yellow-fever-related requirements for entry into a few countries that most Americans never visit. But, the point is to quickly present the example as if it provides

conclusive support no matter how far that representation is from the truth.

7) Dismiss as insignificant people's concerns about being required, in order to go about their daily activities, to present a vaccine passport and to take a vaccine, or, really, an experimental coronavirus vaccine that is not even a vaccine under the normal meaning of the term. Assert instead that the only danger to freedom could be something theoretical that could be additionally required in the future. Here is how Zakaria puts it in a question to Caplan: "What about the concerns that many people have about privacy, about the privacy of their health data, that, you know, is there a slippery slope here - 'OK, I'm comfortable telling you whether or not I have Covid, but does that mean it becomes OK to ask about other things?'" Of course, many people are justifiably wary of being pressured to take the shots and then having their mandated vaccine passport used to track them as they go about their daily activities. That is why this media segment and others like it are being presented, after all.

8) Dismiss any concern that vaccine passports can in fact harm freedom. Instead, describe people as benefiting from and gaining freedom by their being mandated to take experimental coronavirus vaccines and present vaccination passports in order to go about their daily activities. Oh yeah, and keep quiet about all the mass surveillance facilitated by a vaccine the vaccinations-based caste passport program, system resulting from the mandate that will make people who do not take the shots suffer, and how the vaccine passport program can be expanded to advance many additional types of control over people. Here is how Caplan puts it: "With a Covid certification, you're going to gain freedom, you're going to gain mobility, and I'm going to suggest that you're probably going to be able to get certain jobs." Talk about turning things on their head. The mandate really means that people who do not comply will be barred from the mobility they already

have and fired from their jobs. Freedom is supported by rejecting the mandate, not by supporting it.

9) Insist that the vaccine passport mandate is fine because it will be applied equally to all people. This is something Zakaria and Caplan spend a long time talking about in the CNN segment. Come on guys, something bad does not become good because it is applied to the maximum number of people, irrespective of their race, sex, or whatever. We are dealing with a mandate here, not giving everyone a serving of his favorite dessert.

10) Declare that a vaccine passport mandate helps encourage people to take the shots. (Unlike the other nine elements of the vaccine passport mandate propaganda template, this one is likely true. Threats can yield compliance. Still, the threats could deter some people from taking the experimental coronavirus vaccine shots. It sure makes you wonder about shots' supposed safety when an extreme, and unprecedented, act of force is employed to ensure people take the shots.) States Caplan in the interview: "It also gives you an incentive to overcome vaccine hesitancy. Some people are not sure still whether they want to do the vaccine, but if you promise them more mobility, more ability to get a job, more ability to get travel, that's a very powerful incentive to actually achieve fuller vaccination." What Caplan is really talking about is coercion. He is saying that people who would otherwise refuse taking the shots will be forced to do so by the vaccine passport mandate severely restricting their activities and even depriving them of the ability to earn an income so long as they do not give in to the demand they take the shots. All this authoritarianism is dressed up in deceptive language. "Vaccine hesitancy" is substituted for "vaccine refusal" to disguise that the vaccine passport mandate is about stopping people from exercising free choice. "Incentive" is substituted for "coercive technique."

Watch Zakaria and Caplan's interview here:

Hopefully, many people will see through the deception and be able to prevent the implementation of the vaccine passport mandate Zakaria, Caplan, and others are promoting in the media.

What Does a Virologist Know About SARS-COV2 as of March 21, 2021?

<u>What Does a Virologist Know About SARS-COV2 as of March</u> 21, 2021?

by Dr. Tom Cowan March 30, 2021

A virus is a particle wrapped in a protein coating containing genetic material, either RNA or DNA. A virus is considered to be a physical thing.

How do virologists find a new virus, in this case, SARS-CoV-2?

Lay people and most medical providers assume virologists take fluid samples from the nose or lungs of many sick people with the same symptoms and examine them under a powerful microscope. They assume that the virologists actually see a virus that they've never seen before in these samples.

How do they know that virus causes the disease in

question, in this case, Covid-19?

Most people – again, including medical providers – would assume that virologists prove causation by exposing nothing but the pure virus to healthy animals in the normal way that viruses supposedly spread.

In fact, here's what they do, and here's what they did again with SARS-CoV-2. Virologists took bronchoscopy-guided lung samples (BAL fluid) from people with pneumonia from an unknown cause. They "washed" and filtered this fluid to remove large cellular debris, fungus and bacteria. Here's where people's assumptions of what happens and what actually happens diverge: They never examined this fluid under an electron microscope (the only type that can visualize something as small as a virus). In fact, virologists always skip examining this fluid under a microscope.

They then took this unpurified soluble fluid from the person with pneumonia of unknown origin and inoculated it onto tissue taken from an animal or human source. But first they added a variety of other fluids, including amniotic fluid, horse serum, bovine fetal serum, all of which are themselves rich sources of proteins and genetic material. They do this because the "virus" they're looking for won't grow otherwise. In addition, the nutrients supporting the growth of the tissue in the culture were withdrawn. In other words, the tissue was starved. Antibiotics, such as gentamicin and amphotericin, were added to the culture, both of which are known to be toxic to kidney tissue.

They then measured the ability of this unpurified mixture to lyse (or kill) the animal or human tissue in the culture. To date, the **only** tissue that was killed (called a cytopathic effect) came from Vero cells, which are taken from monkey kidneys. When the cultures contained only human or other animal-sourced tissues, little to no cytopathic effects were seen.¹

The Vero cell culture did, indeed, break down into millions of different sized and shaped particles. The virologists took an electron-microscope picture of it, saw particles they said were budding out from the Vero cells, and they called those particles isolated SARS-Cov-2.

How do they know those particles in the culture are the culprits?

Here's the problem: In reality, no accepted scientific protocol can distinguish a particle that emerges as a result of the breakdown of Vero cells or the other sources of genetic material added to the culture from a "virus" coming from the outside.²

It gets worse. As of today, no particle with the characteristics or appearance of SAR-CoV-2 (as seen in electron micrographs) has been found in the results of this "culture" procedure, until a protein-digesting enzyme called trypsin is added to the mix.³ This enzyme digests the outer protein coating of these particles, resulting in the characteristic "spike" protein appearance of the alleged SARS-CoV-2.

The next step for virologists is to do a genetic analysis of the results of this "viral culture." Virologists have NOT and can NOT find any complete sequence in that culture that would represent the entire genome of any known virus. Rather, the genome sequencing is performed inside a computer, which is called in silico genome.

In this culture, they find billions of various sized pieces of genetic material. They chop these pieces into smaller bits, and some are discarded if they are alleged to originate from human or other microbial origin. These small sequences are "aligned" inside the computer, meaning, they are reconstructed into a long genome that would be the size of a coronavirus genome, which has been previously published.⁴

In other words, a complete genome is sequenced based on the template of other such in silico genomes, thereby guaranteeing that the computer will "find" SARS-CoV-2 in this new sample. Inevitably, there is some divergence in the new genome sequence as compared to the template. This is called a variant. At no time has the virologist found the complete sequence of either of SARS-CoV-2 or the variant in the BAL fluid. It exists only in the computer.

The only reasonable conclusion that anyone examining this process would come to is that no evidence exists that a real particle in the real world that causes what they're calling Covid-19 has been found.

Sources:

1 Wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

2 Gianessi, et al Viruses 2020 May; 12(5): 571. The Role of Extracellular Vesicles as Allies of HIV, HCV, and SARS Viruses

3 Caly et al, Med J Aust 2020, June; 212 (10) p. 459-462 PMID 3223727. Isolation and Rapid sharing of the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) from the first patient diagnosed with Covid-19 in Australia.

4 Ibid

Connect with Dr. Tom Cowan

Wristbands and Dining Cards:

New Army Policies Exclude, Isolate Unvaccinated

<u>Wristbands and Dining Cards: New Army Policies Exclude,</u> <u>Isolate Unvaccinated</u>

The U.S. Army can't legally mandate COVID vaccines, but restrictive policies like those at Fort Drum and Fort Bragg make it increasingly difficult for service members to refuse.

by <u>**Pam Long**</u>, <u>The Defender</u> March 30, 2021

"Liberty is always dangerous but it is the safest thing we have." —<u>Henry Emerson Fosdick</u>

A March 17 memorandum from the commander at the U.S. Army's Fort Drum reservation in New York lists privileges withheld from service members who refuse to get the <u>COVID-19 vaccine</u> – an <u>experimental Emergency Use Authorization</u> product <u>not</u> <u>proven</u> to prevent infection or transmission of the COVID virus.

The memo, "Prohibited Activities for Personnel Within the Authority of the Commander, 10th Mountain Division and Fort Drum," states:

 Fully vaccinated service members do not have "restriction of movement" or quarantine after travel and return to post. Unvaccinated must quarantine for 10 days and can test out after seven days of quarantine. "Family members must be able to quarantine with the service member (i.e. spouse cannot go to work, children cannot go to school)."

- Vaccinated service members only require an 0-3 (captain or company commander) to approve their leave, while unvaccinated must request leave from a higher-ranking 0-5 (lieutenant colonel or battalion commander) with an additional procedural step of submitting an Exception to Policy (ETP). These ETPs will be tracked at the division level for additional scrutiny, and likely will be denied.
- Vaccinated service members may meet with or host any non-local visitor from outside the five states contiguous to New York (New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, Massachusetts and Vermont). Unvaccinated service members must obtain approval from an 0-5 in their chain of command, and then enter a 10-day quarantine to meet with or reside with any non-local visitor.
- Vaccinated service members have no limits on gatherings at a private residence. Unvaccinated have a limit of 12 guests at indoor gatherings, and 15 guests at outdoor gatherings. ETPs may be granted for larger on-post public social gatherings by an 0-5 commander, but If all personnel attending the gathering are vaccinated no ETP is required.
- Vaccinated service members can conduct outdoor physical training unmasked. Unvaccinated must wear masks during physical training. Vaccinated personnel may be authorized to conduct unmasked physical training at indoor facilities, "without unvaccinated personnel present."
- Face masks may be removed if all people in a room are vaccinated.

Perhaps the most shocking item in the new policy is this: Fort Drum authorized a COVID wristband for vaccinated service members.

What's happening at Fort Drum is bad enough, but maybe not as

bad as the civil rights violation occurring against the unvaccinated at Fort Bragg in North Carolina, where the commander of the 82nd Airborne Division has made a vaccination card mandatory to enter a dining facility.

Because a majority of lower enlisted soldiers don't have access to kitchens in the barracks, they are dependent on the dining facilities for most, if not all of their meals while on duty or in training rotations which don't provide access to public restaurants.

This mandate will disproportionately affect lower-income personnel who may have to trade accepting an experimental vaccine in order to have food.

These policies at Fort Drum and Fort Bragg are reminiscent of the fear and prejudice that led to policies of exclusion, removal and detention of loyal Japanese Americans to internment camps – or concentration camps, in corrected historical terminology, as the targeted people of Japanese descent were not enemies of the state.

The <u>Commission on Wartime Relocation and Internment of</u> <u>Civilians</u> concluded that U.S. government policies toward Japanese Americans were <u>based on</u> "race prejudice, war hysteria and a failure of political leadership" – not military necessity. That conclusion led to the <u>Civil Liberties Act of</u> <u>1988</u>.

Likewise, the Army's COVID policies are based on prejudice against the unvaccinated (personnel who do not put the vaccinated at risk) and hysteria concerning a virus with a <u>99.9% survival rate</u> for most military service members.

Has the U.S. Army forgotten its historical role in facilitating most of the internment camps that caused loss of income, lack of access to healthcare, psychological trauma and public hostility for thousands of Japanese Americans?

As COVID policies force quarantines upon healthy people and their entire families, have leaders deserted the <u>Ex parte</u> <u>Endo</u> U.S. Supreme Court decision of 1944 that declared loyal citizens of the U.S. cannot be detained without cause?

The policies at Fort Drum and Fort Bragg set the stage for a sequel to the shameful chapter in U.S. Army history detailed in the Commission on Wartime Relocation report, "<u>Personal</u> <u>Justice Denied</u>."

It is urgent that service members file complaints to the inspector general to halt this momentum towards medical fascism.

© March 2021 Children's Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children's Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children's Health Defense? <u>Sign up</u> for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. Your <u>donation</u> will help to support us in our efforts.

Vandana Shiva: Bill Gates Empires 'Must Be Dismantled'

Vandana Shiva: Bill Gates Empires 'Must Be Dismantled'

In an interview with Dr. Joseph Mercola, Vandana Shiva says, "... if In the next decade, if we don't protect what has to be protected ... and take away the sainthood from this criminal, they will leave nothing much to be saved."

by **Dr. Joseph Mercola** sourced from <u>Children's Health Defense</u> March 29, 2021

Story at-a-glance:

- <u>Bill Gates</u> is entering every field that has to do with sustaining life and, for over a decade, has undermined vitality in all its forms, in an effort to seize control over and profit from it.
- By funding research and financing public institutions, Gates is able to force those institutions down a path where they can only use his patented intellectual property.
- While pretending to save the world through philanthropy, Gates' solutions perpetuate and worsen the world's problems. They may even threaten the future of humanity, as they're driving us closer to extinction.
- Through his company, Gates Ag One, Gates is pushing for one type of agriculture for the whole world, organized top, down. This includes digital farming, in which farmers are surveilled and mined for their agricultural data, which is then repackaged and sold back to them.
- The answer to the environmental problems we face is not more of the very things that created the problems in the first place, which is what <u>Gates proposes</u>. The answer is regenerative agriculture and real food.

In this interview, Vandana Shiva, Ph.D., discusses the importance and benefits of regenerative agriculture and a future <u>Regeneration International</u> project that we'll be collaborating on.

We're currently facing enormously powerful <u>technocrats</u> who are hell-bent on ushering in <u>the Great Reset</u>, which will complete the ongoing transfer of wealth and resource ownership from the poor and middle classes to the ultra-rich. Perhaps the most well-known of the individuals pushing for this is Bill Gates who, like John Rockefeller a century before him, rehabilitated his sorely tarnished image by turning to <u>philanthropy</u>. However, Gates' brand of philanthropy, so far, has helped few and harmed many. While his PR machine has managed to turn public opinion about him such that many now view him as a global savior who donates his wealth for the good of the planet, nothing could be further from the truth.

Gates' stranglehold on global health

The magnitude of Gates' role over global health recently dawned on me. I believe the <u>COVID-19</u> catastrophe would not have been possible had it not been for the World Health Organization (WHO), which Gates appears to exert shadowcontrol over. Remember, it was primarily the WHO that facilitated this global shutdown and adoption of freedomrobbing, economy-destroying measures by virtually every government on the planet.

When then-President Trump halted U.S. funding of the WHO in 2020, Gates became the biggest funder of the WHO. As explained in "WHO Insider Blows Whistle on Gates and GAVI," the WHO has turned global health security into a dictatorship, where the director general has assumed sole power to make decisions that member states must abide by, but according to a long-term WHO insider, Gates' vaccine alliance GAVI actually appears to be the directing power behind the WHO.

The two – Gates and the WHO – have been working hand in hand pushing for a global vaccination campaign, and Gates has a great deal of money invested in these vaccines. We've also seen extraordinary efforts to censor natural alternatives and inexpensive, readily available and clearly effective drugs, such as <u>hydroxychloroquine</u> and <u>ivermectin</u>, and it appears the reason for this is probably because they're competitors to the vaccine.

Emergency use authorization for pandemic vaccines are only given when there are no other treatments, so vilifying alternatives has been a key strategy to protect vaccine profits.

The parallels between Rockefeller and Gates

As noted by Shiva, the comparisons between Rockefeller and Gates are quite apt. Rockefeller created not just <u>Big Oil</u> but also <u>Big Finance</u> and <u>Big Pharma</u>. He had intimate <u>connections</u> with <u>IG Farben</u>. There was a Standard Oil IG Farben company. Without the fossil fuels of Standard Oil, IG Farben couldn't have made synthetic fertilizers or fuels.

In 1910, Rockefeller and Carnegie produced <u>The Flexner Report</u>, which was the beginning of the end for natural medicine in the conventional medical school curriculum. They eliminated it because it saw natural medicine as a hugely competitive threat to the new pharmaceuticals that were primarily derived from the oil industry.

Much of Rockefeller's history has been <u>captured by Lily Kay</u>, who sifted through Molecular Vision of Life's archives. There, she discovered that the Nazi regime, which was a eugenics regime that thought some people were inferior and needed to be exterminated to keep the superior race pure, didn't vanish when Germany lost the war.

Eugenics simply migrated to the U.S., and was taken up by Rockefeller under the term of "social psychology as biological determinants." The word gene did not exist at that time. Instead, they called it "atoms of determinism." Rockefeller paid for much of the eugenics research, which ultimately resulted in the silencing and suppression of true health.

To be healthy means to be whole, and wholeness refers to the "self-organized brilliance of your integrated body as a complex system," Shiva says. That's what Ayurveda is based on, and even this ancient system of medicine has been attacked in recent times. The notion of genetic determination ignores this foundational wholeness, seeking instead to divide the human body into mechanical components controlled by your genes.

"Coming back to the parallels, Rockefeller was behind it

because he was driving the chemical industry. When the wars were over, they said, 'Oh my gosh, we have all these chemicals to sell.' And they invented the Green Revolution and pushed the <u>Green Revolution</u> on India.

"Rockefeller, the World Bank, the U.S. all worked together, and if the farmers of India are protesting today, it's a result of Rockefeller's initiative, the Green Revolution in India. Most people don't realize what high cost India has borne; what high cost the state of Panjon has born.

"Then you have Gates joining up with Rockefeller and creating the <u>Alliance for the Green Revolution in Africa</u> (AGRA) ... which pretends to be his solution to climate change. I say, "My god, what kind of stage has the world reached that absolute nonsense can pass the science?" I'll give you just three examples from his chapter on agriculture, in which he talks about how we grow things.

"First of all, plants are not things. Plants are sentient beings. Our culture knows it. We have the sacred tulsi. We have the sacred neem. We have the sacred banyan. They are sentient beings. So many people are awake to animal rights. I think we need more people awake to plant rights and really tell Mr. Gates, "No, plants are not things."

"He goes on to celebrate Norman Borlaug, who was in the DuPont defense lab, whose job it was to push these four chemicals by adapting the plants [to them]. So, he created the dwarf variety, because the tall varieties are free varieties … [Gates] says we're eating food because of Borlaug. No, people are starving because of Borlaug. The farmers are dying because of Borlaug."

Gates offers problems as solutions

Gates <u>hails synthetic fertilizer</u> is the greatest agricultural invention. "Doesn't he realize synthetic nitrogen fertilizers are creating desertification, dead zones in the ocean and

nitric oxide, which is a greenhouse gas?" Shiva says. In short, he's offering the problem as the solution. Gates also, apparently, does not understand that nitrogen-fixing plants can fix nitrogen. He incorrectly claims that plants cannot fix nitrogen.

Gates is equally wrong about methane production from livestock. "Have you smelt methane behind nomadic tribes?" Shiva asks. "Have you ever smelt methane behind our sacred cow in India? No, they don't emit methane." The reason cows in concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) emit methane that stinks to high heaven is because they're fed an unnatural diet of grains and placed in crowded quarters. It's not a natural phenomenon. It's a man-made one.

"You know what Mr. Gates wants to teach us? He says cows make methane because of their poor stomachs," Shiva says. "They call them containers. I think we should sue him for undoing basic biology 101. You've talked about how he controls the WHO. He's also trying to take control of the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO).

"[FA0] has recognized ecological agriculture is the way to go and supported [regenerative] agriculture up until last year, when Gates started to take charge. Now he's moving the food summit to New York. Five hundred organizations have said, 'This is no longer a food summit, it's a poison summit. The poison cartel and Bill Gates are running it to push more poisons, now under new names. So, we have a lot of work to do.'"

The answer to the environmental problems we face is not more of the very things that created the problems in the first place, which is what Gates proposes. The answer is <u>regenerative agriculture</u> and real food.

"When people are eating healthy food, there is no problem," Shiva says. "[Gates] wants to commit a crime against our gut microbiome, pushing more fake food through Impossible Food. And he wants to create conditions so that real food will disappear. That's why we all have to organize together and the scientists have to start being protected.

"There's an extinction taking place. They call it the sixth mass extinction. Most people think the sixth mass extinction is about other species. They don't realize large parts of humanity are being pushed to extinction. Food is health, as Hippocrates said, [and that requires] indigenous systems of learning, ecological agriculture, small farmers.

"In Bill Gates' design, all this that makes life, life, that makes society, society, that makes community, community, that makes healthy beings, he would like to push this to extinction because he's afraid of independence, freedom, health and our beingness. He wants us to be 'thingness,' but we are beings ...

"The worst crime against the Earth and against humanity is using gene editing technologies for gene drives, which is a collaboration of Gates with DARPA, the defense research system. Gene drives are deliberately driving [us] to extinction. Now he does it in the name of ending malaria. No. It's about driving to extinction.

"Amaranth is a sacred food for us. It's a very, very important source of nutrition ... There's an application in that DARPA-Gates report of driving the amaranth to extinction through gene rights. And when this was raised at the Convention on Biological Diversity, do you know what he did? He actually hired a public relations agency and bribed government representatives to not say no. Can you imagine?"

Gates' long-term play

Gates clearly had a long-term vision in mind from the start. His growing control of the WHO began over a decade ago. Over this span of time, he also started transitioning into Big Pharma and the <u>fake food industry</u>, which would allow his influence over the WHO's global health recommendations to really pay off.

While <u>fake foods</u> have many potential problems, one in particular is elevated levels of the omega-6 fat <u>linoleic</u> <u>acid</u> (LA). If you eat real food, you're going to get more than enough LA. Our industrial Western diet, however, provides far more than is needed for optimal health already, and engineered meats are particularly loaded with LA, as they're made with genetically modified soy oil and canola oil.

This massive excess of LA will encourage and promote virtually all degenerative diseases, thereby accelerating the destruction of human health. In addition to that, Gates is also <u>investing in pharmaceuticals</u>, which of course are touted as the answer to degenerative disease. Again, his solutions to ill health are actually the problem. Shiva says:

"Gates ... [is] entering every field that has to do with life. Our work in <u>Navdanya</u>, which means nine seeds, is basically work on biodiversity in agriculture. We started to bring together all the work that he's doing in taking over. I mentioned the Rockefeller Green Revolution, now the Gates-Rockefeller Green Revolution in Africa. The next step he wants to push is ... digital agriculture.

"He calls it <u>Gates Ag One</u>, and the headquarters of this is exactly where the Monsanto headquarters are, in St. Louis, Missouri. Gates Ag One is one [type of] agriculture for the whole world, organized top down. He's written about it. We have a whole section on it in our <u>new report</u>, 'Gates to a Global Empire.'"

Stolen farmer data is repackaged and sold back to them

What does digital agriculture entail? For starters, it entails the introduction of a digital surveillance system. So far, Shiva's organization has managed to prevent Gates from introducing a seed surveillance startup, where farmers would not be allowed to grow seeds unless approved by Gates surveillance system.

The data mining, Shiva says, is needed because they don't actually know agriculture. This is why Gates finances the policing of farmers. He needs to mine their data to learn how farming is actually done. This knowledge is then repackaged and sold back to the farmers. It's evil genius at its finest.

Through his funding, Gates now also controls the world's seed supply, and his financing of gene editing research has undercut biosafety laws across the world. As explained by Shiva, the only country that doesn't have biosafety laws is the U.S. "The rest of the world does because we have a treaty called the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety," she says.

"While he created the appearance of philanthropy, what he's doing is giving tiny bits of money to very vital institutions. But with those bits of money, they attract government money, which was running those institutions. Now, because of his clout, he is taking control of the agenda of these institutions. In the meantime, he's pushing patenting, be it on drugs, vaccines or on seeds."

Taken together, Gates ends up wielding enormous control over global agriculture and food production, and there's virtually no evidence to suggest he has good intentions.

The anatomy of monopolization

The company that collects patents on gene-edited organisms, both in health and agriculture, is Editas, founded by a main financial investor for the Gates Foundation. Gates is also a big investor in Editas.

"So, here's a company called Editas to edit the world as if it is a Word program. The two scientists who got the Nobel Prize this year have both been funded in their research by Gates. My mind went back to how Rockefeller financed the research, got the Nobel Prize, and then made the money.

"So, you finance the research. Then you finance the public institutions, whether they be national or international. You invest and force them down the path where they can only use what is your patented intellectual property. And, as he has said in an interview, his smartest investment was vaccines, because it is a 1-to-20 return. Put \$1 in and make \$20. How many billions of dollars have been put in? You can imagine how many trillions will be made.

"At the end of it, where does food come from? It comes from seed. He wants to control it. It comes from land. He's controlling that. He's become the <u>biggest farmland owner</u> [in the U.S.]. But you need weather [control]. You need a stable climate.

"So, what could be a weapon of control of agriculture? Weather modification. He calls it geoengineering. This is engineering of the climate. Again, making it look like he's going to solve global warming by creating global cooling."

As explained by Shiva, Gates is also heavily invested in climate modification technologies that not only will destabilize the earth's climate systems more, but also can be weaponized against the people by controlling rainfall and drought. In India, they've been having massive hail during harvest time, which destroys the harvest.

Is the UN subservient to Gates?

According to Shiva, Gates is also corrupting the UN system, just like he's corrupted world governments and the WHO, and in so doing, he's destroying the efforts built over the last three decades to protect the global environment.

"Whether it be the climate treaty, the biodiversity treaty or the atmospheric treaties, he is absolutely behaving as if the UN is his subservient institution," Shiva says. "[He thinks] governments and regulatory bodies should not exist ... and that people in democracy have no business to speak. [If they do], they're conspiracy theorists."

Taking down Gates' empires

As it stands right now, ordinary people are forced to fight battles that are in actuality rooted in institutional, structural and societal crimes. These crimes really need to be addressed the way Rockefeller's Standard Oil empire was addressed. In the case of Gates, his empire is actually multiple empires, and they all need to be dismantled. To that end, I will be collaborating with Shiva and Regeneration International, which she co-founded, on a project to boycott Gates' empires.

"I've noticed that no matter what the movement, they're using the word regeneration now. It could be a health movement, a democracy movement, a peace movement, a women's movement – everyone has realized that regeneration is what we have to shift to," Shiva says.

"So, what do we need to be doing in the next decade? For me, the next decade is the determining decade, because these petty minds' insatiable greed want to go so fast that if, in the next decade, we don't protect what has to be protected, build resilient alternatives and take away the sainthood from this criminal, they will leave nothing much to be saved.

"The poison cartel is also big pharma. People think agriculture is here, medicine is there. No. The same criminal corporations gave us agrichemicals. They gave us bad medicine that creates more disease than it solves. So, <u>Big Pharma</u>, <u>Big</u> <u>Ag</u>, <u>Big Poison</u> – it's all one. And Bill Gates is holding it all together even more, and trying to make them bigger because he has investments in all of them ...

"I think [seeds] is where we have to begin ... I'm hoping that we will be able, together, to launch a global movement soon to take back our seeds from the international seed banks. The strategy is we need to remind the world that these are public institutions [and] that they're accountable to the farmers whose collections these [seeds] are ...

"On the food question, I think that's the big one because food and health go [together]. In Ayurveda, it says food is the best medicine, and if you don't eat good food, then no medicine can cure whatever disease you have. The best medicine is good eating. And Hippocrates said 'Let food be thy medicine.' So, I think this is the time to really grow a very big global campaign for food freedom.

"Food freedom means you cannot destroy our right to grow food. Secondly, you cannot destroy our governments' obligations to us to support regenerative agriculture rather than support degenerative agriculture and subsidize it. And third, I think we should call for a worldwide boycott of lab foods ...

"Another part of this should be, don't let <u>Big Tech</u> enter our bodies. Let big tech not enter life sciences ... These guys will make life illegal. Living will be illegal except as a little piece in their machine through their permission."

Each year, Navdanya holds a two-week campaign on food freedom starting October 2, which is nonviolence day. We now need to take that campaign to the global stage, and I will do my part to aid this effort. So, mark your calendar and prepare to join us in a global boycott of food that makes you sick – processed food, GMO foods, lab-created foods, fake meats, all of it.

More information

You can learn more about Shiva's work and her many projects on <u>Navdanya.org</u>. During the first week of April every year, Navdanya gives a five-day course called <u>Annam, Food as Health</u>, via Zoom. In this course, you'll learn about soil and plant biodiversity and healthy eating for optimal health. You can also learn more by reading the report "<u>Earth Rising</u>, <u>Women Rising: Regenerating the Earth, Seeding the Future</u>," written by female farmers. And, again, mark your calendars and plan your participation in the food freedom campaign, starting October 2, 2021.

"When all the spiritual forces, all of nature's forces and most of people's forces are aligned together, what can [a few] billionaires, technocrats — who want to be richer than they are, greedier than they are, more violent than they are — do?" Shiva says. "They don't count in the long run, really. It's just that we cannot afford to not do the things that we can do."

Originally published by <u>Mercola</u>.

© March 2021 Children's Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children's Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children's Health Defense? <u>Sign up</u> for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. Your <u>donation</u> will help to support us in our efforts.

Israel – Pfizer's Chosen People

Israel - Pfizer's Chosen People

by **Freedom Israel** March 29, 2021 Original video available at Freedom Israel YouTube channel.

Israel's agreement with Pfizer

Israel's segregation of citizens via Medical Apartheid

Israel's citizens who object and protest

[As a service to protect truth from censorship and to share widely, mirrored copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light <u>BitChute</u>, <u>Brighteon</u>, and <u>Odysee</u> channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original source of this video. Please follow links provided to support their work.]

The "Unvaccinated" Question

The "Unvaccinated" Question

by <u>CJ Hopkins</u>, <u>Consent Factory, Inc.</u> March 29, 2021

So, the New Normals are discussing the Unvaccinated Question. What is to be done with us? No, not those who haven't been "vaccinated" yet. Us. The "Covidiots." The "Covid deniers." The "science deniers." The "reality deniers." Those who refuse to get "vaccinated," ever.

There is no place for us in New Normal society. The New Normals know this and so do we. To them, we are a suspicious, alien tribe of people. We do not share their ideological

beliefs. We do not perform their loyalty rituals, or we do so only grudgingly, because they force us to do so. We traffic in arcane "conspiracy theories," like "pre-March-2020 science," "natural herd immunity," "population-adjusted death rates," "Sweden," "Florida," and other heresies.

They do not trust us. We are strangers among them. They suspect we feel superior to them. They believe we are conspiring against them, that we want to deceive them, confuse them, cheat them, pervert their culture, abuse their children, contaminate their precious bodily fluids, and perpetrate God knows what other horrors.

So they are discussing the need to segregate us, how to segregate us, when to segregate us, in order to protect society from us. In their eyes, we are no more than criminals, or, worse, a plague, an infestation. In the words of someone (I can't quite recall who), "getting rid of the Unvaccinated is not a question of ideology. It is a question of cleanliness," or something like that. (I'll have to hunt down and fact-check that quote. I might have taken it out of context.)

In <u>Israel</u>, <u>Estonia</u>, <u>Denmark</u>, <u>Germany</u>, <u>the USA</u>, and other New Normal countries, they have already begun the segregation process. In <u>the UK</u>, it's just a matter of time. The WEF, WHO, EU, and other transnational entities are helping to streamline the new segregation system, which, according to the WEF, "<u>will</u> need to be harmonized by a normative body, such as the WHO, to ensure that is ethical."

Here in Germany, <u>the government is considering banning us from</u> working outside our homes. We are already banned from flying on commercial airlines. (We can still use the trains, if we dress up like New Normals.) In the village of Potsdam, just down the road from Wannsee (which name you might recall from your 20th-Century history lessons), we are banned from entering shops and restaurants. (I'm not sure whether we can still use the sidewalks, or whether we have to walk in the gutters.) In Saxony, we are forbidden from attending schools. At the Berliner Ensemble (the theater founded by Bertolt Brecht and Helene Weigel, lifelong opponents of totalitarianism and fascism), we are banned from attending New Normal performances.

In the USA, we are being banned by universities. Our children are being banned from public schools. In New York, the new <u>"Excelsior Pass"</u> will allow New Normals to attend cultural and sports events (and patronize bars and restaurants, eventually) secure in the knowledge that the Unvaccinated have been prevented from entering or segregated in an "Unvaccinated Only" section. The pass system, designed by IBM, which, if history is any guide, is pretty good at designing such systems (OK, technically, it was *Deutsche Hollerith Maschinen Gesellschaft*, IBM's Nazi-Germany subsidiary), was launched this past weekend to considerable fanfare.

And this is only the very beginning.

<u>Israel's "Green Pass"</u> is the model for the future, which makes sense, in a sick, fascistic kind of way. When you're already an apartheid state, what's a little more apartheid? Here's a peek at what that looks like ...



OK, I know what the New Normals are thinking. They're thinking I'm "misleading" people again. That I'm exaggerating. That this isn't really segregation, and certainly nothing like "medical apartheid."

After all (as the New Normals will sternly remind me), no one is forcing us to get "vaccinated." If we choose not to, or can't for medical reasons, all we have to do is submit to a "test" — you know, the one where they ram that 9-inch swab up into your sinus cavities — within 24 hours before we want to go out to dinner, or attend the theater or a sports event, or visit a museum, or attend a university, or take our children to school or a playground, and our test results will serve as our "vaccine passports!" We just present them to the appropriate Covid Compliance Officer, and (assuming the results are negative, of course) we will be allowed to take part in New Normal society just as if we'd been "vaccinated."

Either way, "vaccine" or "test," the New Normal officials will be satisfied, because the tests and passes are really just stage props. The point is the display of mindless obedience. Even if you take the New Normals at their word, if you are under 65 and in relatively good health, getting "vaccinated" is more or less pointless, except as a public display of compliance and belief in the official Covid-19 narrative (the foundation stone of the New Normal ideology). Even the high priests of their "Science" confess that <u>it doesn't prevent you</u> <u>spreading the "plague."</u> And <u>the PCR tests are virtually</u> <u>meaningless</u>, as even the WHO finally admitted. (You can <u>positive-PCR-test a pawpaw fruit</u> ... but you might want to <u>be careful who you tell if you do that</u>.)

In contrast to the "vaccine" and the "test" themselves, the forced choice between them is not at all meaningless. It is no accident that both alternatives involve the violation of our bodies, literally the penetration of our bodies. It doesn't really matter what is in the "vaccines" or what "results" the "tests" produce. The ritual is a demonstration of power, the power of the New Normals (i.e., global capitalism's new face) to control our bodies, to dominate them, to violate them, psychologically and physically.

Now, don't get all excited, my "conspiracy theorist" friends. I haven't gone full QAnon just yet. Bill Gates and Klaus Schwab are not sitting around together, sipping adrenochrome on George Soros' yacht, dreaming up ways to rape people's noses. This stuff is built into the structure of the system. It is a standard feature of totalitarian societies, <u>cults</u>, churches, self-help groups, and ... well, human society, generally.

Being forced to repeat a physical action which only makes sense within a specific ideology reifies that ideology within us. There is nothing inherently diabolical about this. It is a basic socialization technology. It is how we socialize our children. It is why we conduct weddings, baptisms, and bar mitzvahs. It is how we turn young men and women into soldiers. It is how actors learn their blocking and their lines. It is why the Nazis held all those rallies. It is why our "democracies" hold elections. It is also basic ceremonial magic ... but that's a topic for a different column.

The issue, at the moment, is the Unvaccinated Question, and the public rituals that are being performed to make the New Normal ideology "reality," and what to do about those of us who refuse to participate in those rituals, who refuse to forswear "old normal" reality and convert to New Normalism so that we can function in society without being segregated, criminalized, or <u>"diagnosed" as "sociopathic"</u> or otherwise psychiatrically disordered.

For us "conspiracy-theorizing reality deniers," there is no getting around this dilemma. This isn't Europe in the 1930s. There isn't anywhere to emigrate to … OK, there is, temporarily, in some of the US states that have been staging rebellions, and other such "old normal" oases, but how long do you think that will last? They're already rolling out the "<u>mutant variants</u>," and God only knows what will happen when the long-term effects of the "vaccines" kick in.

No, for most of us denizens of the global capitalist empire, it looks like <u>the New Normal is here to stay</u>. So, unless we are prepared to become New Normals, we are going to have to stand and fight. It is going to get rather ugly, and personal, but there isn't any way to avoid that. Given that many New Normals are our friends and colleagues, or even members of our families, it is tempting to believe that they will "come to their senses," that "this is all just a hysterical overreaction," and that "everything will go back to normal soon."

This would be a monumental error on our parts ... very possibly a fatal error.

Totalitarian movements, when they reach this stage, do not simply stop on their own. They continue to advance toward their full expressions, ultimately transforming entire societies into monstrous mirror-images of themselves, unless they are opposed by serious resistance. There is a window at the beginning when such resistance has a chance. That window is still open, but it is closing, fast. I can't tell you how best to resist, but I can tell you it starts with seeing things clearly, and calling things, and people, exactly what they are.

Let's not make the same mistake that other minorities have made throughout history when confronted with a new totalitarian ideology. See the New Normals for what they are, maybe not deep down in their hearts, but what they have collectively become a part of, because it is the movement that is in control now, not the rational individuals they used to be. Above all, recognize where this is headed, where totalitarian movements are always headed. (See. e.g., Milton Mayer's <u>They Thought They Were Free: The Germans 1933-45</u>.)

No, the Unvaccinated are not the Jews and the New Normals are not flying big Swastika flags, but totalitarianism is totalitarianism, regardless of which Goebbelsian Big Lies, and ideology, and official enemies it is selling. The historical context and costumes change, but its ruthless trajectory remains the same.

Today, the New Normals are presenting us with a "choice," (a)

conform to their New Normal ideology or (b) social segregation. What do you imagine they have planned for us tomorrow?

Photos: (header) Tel Aviv Municipal Government; (gallery, clockwise from lower left) Freedom Israel (x2), The National, CBS News, The Spectator

Poisonous Experimental 'Covid' Injection Trials on Infants Have Begun: They Should All Be Imprisoned!

<u>Poisonous Experimental 'Covid' Injection Trials on</u> <u>Infants Have Begun: They Should All Be Imprisoned!</u>

by <u>Gary D. Barnett</u> March 28, 2021

> "The victimization of children is nowhere forbidden; what is forbidden is to write about it."

> ~ Alice Miller (1998). "Thou Shalt Not Be Aware: Society's Betrayal of the Child", p.235, Macmillan

I will write about this government's efforts to not only victimize children, but to purposely cause them irreparable

harm and even death, so as to control their bodies, minds, and spirits in the quest to achieve power over all in the future.

The hope in life is that it will be filled with joy and happiness, but often this is not the case. We strive to achieve a better way, but still life can be consumed by misery and evil. The normal expectation of parents is that their children are healthy, happy, and free of strife, and have a better chance at life than they did. Children, especially when they are first born and very young, are not only totally helpless, but they are completely innocent beings fully dependent on others to survive and remain unscathed. The protection we provide for them is vital, and nothing is more important than protecting the innocent, especially our children. We have reached a stage where this government that has been voluntarily allowed to exist by this population of fools, is attempting to destroy children in order to control humanity. This is an immoral abomination.

If the children are destroyed, so goes the rest of the world, but if the powerful and their government pawns succeed in this plot to mutilate the bodies and minds of children, those that allowed such a travesty will deserve no life of joy.

There are many forms of abusive and tyrannical governing systems simultaneously at work in this now mentally deficient country, but this is certainly a fascist oligarchy, where the government and the corporate state are partnered at most every level. Maybe the worst aspects of these partnerships are those between the two most abhorrent entities of corruption and abuse, this government and the murderous pharmaceutical industry. They are right before our eyes attempting to harm every child in America, and have no conscience whatsoever concerning their efforts to do so. They see only dollars, control, and power over all, and the children be damned.

At this point in time, there are several Covid 'vaccine' trials going on that are using children as guinea pigs. This

is total insanity, but what is happening now is even worse, as trials have begun on infants as young as 6 months old. The past trials, which are all atrocious, have been on children above the age of 11, but now these murderous pharmaceutical companies are targeting children from 6 months to 11 years old, all with the blessing of "your" government. Both Pfizer and Moderna have been testing on older children. Pfizer has been using 12 to 15 year olds, while Moderna has been using 12 to 17 year olds. Now both are experimenting on children from 6 months to 11 years. Johnson & Johnson has also announced that it would be testing their "Covid-19 vaccine" on infants as well as newborns!

"Pfizer's trial will test three different vaccine doses: 10, 20 and 30 micrograms per shot, according to the Times. (The Pfizer dose for adults is 30 micrograms per shot, according to CNBC.) The doses will first be tested in children ages 5 to 11, then 2 through 4 and finally 6 months to 2 years, the Times reported." Pfizer's second trial is set to begin soon with 4,500 children; two-thirds will receive the real shot, and one-third will get a placebo.

This is all a plotted set up for the mass injection of all children, and I expect that this will become mandated for all children in schools across the country at every age. The most evil monster Fauci said "he projects that U.S. high school students could be vaccinated in the fall and elementary and middle school students in the first quarter of 2022, according to CNBC." So the stated plan is to inject every single child in America with this toxic, poisonous, gene altering, and mind controlling concoction.

People are dying worldwide due to these deadly "Covid" injections, the side effects have been horrendous, and sickness has been rampant due to these shots. Now they are coming after your children. What sane parent would allow their children to be used as experimental rats by this evil and corrupt government and its partners in murder? What kind of parent would allow their newborn or infant child to go through virulent exploratory testing by giant corporate whores? What parent would allow the use of aborted fetal cell lines into their own child? These are not parents; they are uncaring predators!

Much has been written about 'vaccine' trials on children, but if one looks at the mainstream, he would come away thinking that every trial is a godsend, and is being done to protect the children. Everything is for the children after all, but is it really? In this case it is meant for alteration and damage in order to achieve another part of this global takeover, which is based on total control over all of society.

Children are not fodder for the government and its criminal partners in the pharmaceutical industry to use for experimentation. Newborns, infants, and young children cannot protect themselves at all, they cannot opt out, they cannot fight back, and they cannot defend themselves from evil and immoral parents.

As I have said over and over again, government cares nothing about you, your children, or your grandchildren, unless it benefits their desire to harm and kill in order to stay in power. I care not that any consenting adult decides voluntarily to agree to these fake 'vaccine' experiments, regardless of the consequences, because that is their choice, and stupidity is no crime.

All of us should do whatever is necessary to stop this abuse and slaughter of young children being used by this government and all its criminal partners for their own benefit. Any parent using their young children for government experimentation should be confronted, they should be investigated, and they should be prosecuted for child abuse.

What in the hell has happened to the people of this country that they would use their own babies as tools for the state?

What has happened to society when they do not question such atrocities? What will be the fate of those that stand by and allow this abuse against children at the hands of tyrants?

The souls of humanity are at stake here, as nothing could be more depraved than this exhibition of allowed abuse against the most innocent of all mankind. A child's life is precious, it is the epitome of innocence, and all those that touch a child leave a mark. All should protect the innocent, so that the mark left is one of love and not the mark of the beast that is this evil that is before us today.

"If we don't stand up for children, then we don't stand for much."

~ Marian Wright Edelman, Reading Between the Lines of Arne Duncan's 'Major' Speech" by Valerie Strauss, www.washingtonpost.com. January 12, 2015.

Source links:

Pfizer starts Covid-19 vaccine trial in young kids

Pfizer vaccine trial on infants

Johnson & Johnson vaccine morally compromised

The virus that isn't there

What is in the Covid shot?

Rutgers' Vaccine Apartheid — The Persecution Worsens Unless We Stop It Now

<u>Rutgers' Vaccine Apartheid – The Persecution Worsens</u> <u>Unless We Stop It Now</u>

by <u>Christian Westbrook</u>, <u>Ice Age Farmer</u> March 27, 2021

Rutgers U announces students are required to receive vaccine, as senators call "anti-vaxxers" domestic terrorists. Legislators call for banning 'conspiracy theorists' from government.

The push for vaccine apartheid and censorship of critical thought is accelerating, and the state is creating a subhuman class of "untermensch" who are dangerous. This is happening rapidly.

Christian from the Ice Age Farmer broadcast stresses how urgent the situation is in this bitchute exclusive.

ice.age.farmer BitChute channel

My Rooster Earl Is More of an

Expert Than Dr. Fauci

My Rooster Earl Is More of an Expert Than Dr. Fauci

by **Dr. Pam Shervanick**, *America's Frontline Doctors* March 26, 2021

I live on a farm in the middle of nowhere. When we first started raising hens, they would not last long. Foxes would hunt them, and owls would swoop down and steal them. My hens were scared. Then we got our rooster, Earl. Earl is not a nice creature; he bosses the hens around and occasionally tries to attack me. I bring a boat oar to the henhouse for personal protection. But he keeps the predators away, so the hens put up with him because they are no longer afraid. I have not lost a hen since Earl arrived on the scene.

Why all this talk about Earl the ornery rooster? By observing animal behavior, I have clarity and understanding of the root cause of the current global mass psychosis. Our problem is fear, not Covid-19.

Psychosis is defined as impaired contact with reality. Educated, rational people have stopped using critical thinking. Acting out of fear, many blindly cling to the words of a government bureaucrat. Dr. Fauci has been wrong more times than he has been right. Under the misleading guise of "following the science," we comply with his whims, like my hens comply with Earl's temper. He crows the loudest – Dr. Fauci, not Earl – and is very authoritative. He makes us feel protected and authority makes us feel safe, or does it? When does authoritative behavior become authoritarian rule?

We are collectively facing a 'complex trauma', that is a state of prolonged exposure to multiple and interrelated traumas that override our initial fight or flight mechanism. A prolonged state of fear triggers a response known as 'fawning'. Fawning behaviors are people-pleasing. Merging the wishes, needs and demands of others, we look to reduce conflict to achieve safety. When a person enters this state, they are under the control of their reptilian brain where lies our primitive drives. Obvious empirical evidence fails to be computed accurately and it becomes impossible to make a balanced decision.

Freedom cannot survive in an obedient, chronically fearful, and delusional society. This is an open invitation for government overreach. We must face our fear and seek truth. We cannot follow instructions out of fear without consideration. Act out of love for yourself, your family, and humankind. People are not dangerous vectors of disease but lies are. It's time to take control back. In this series of articles, I will discuss how to control our fear responses so we can remain grounded.

Geert v. Bossche: Whistleblower or Trojan Horse? COVID Vax Enhance Zoonotic Risk

<u>Geert v. Bossche: Whistleblower or Trojan Horse? COVID</u> <u>Vax Enhance Zoonotic Risk</u>

by <u>Christian Westbrook</u>, <u>Ice Age Farmer</u> March 15, 2021 Geert Vanden Bossche has made a splash by coming out against the current COVID-19 vaccinations — but is he genuine, or revealing the next leg of the agenda?

Either way, his explicit warning about the enhanced risk of zoonotic spread is worth discussing.

Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions

<u>Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess –</u> <u>The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions</u>

"I think the bottom line here, Stefan, if you agree, is that the chemistry and the structure follows consciousness. Not the other way around." ~ Dr. Tom Cowan

My Discussion With Stefan Lanka About Virology

by <u>Dr. Tom Cowan</u> with <u>Dr. Stefan Lanka</u> March 24, 2021

Original video available at <u>Dr. Tom Cowan BitChute</u> channel.

On Wednesday I had an hour-long discussion with German biologist and virologist Stefan Lanka.

Stefan spoke about the history of virology, helped us to understand the many wrong turns virologists have taken over the years, and updated us on his ground-breaking study that will disprove the basic tenets of virology.

Stefan Lanka's papers on the virus misconception:

<u>The Virus Misconception – Measles As an Example Part I</u>

The Virus Misconception – Measles As an Example Part II

Stefan Lanka's interviews and articles:

How Dead Are Virus Anyway? All Claims of Virus Existence Refuted

<u>The Causes of Corona Crisis Are Clearly Identified –</u> <u>Virologists Who Claim Disease-Causing Viruses Are Science</u> <u>Fraudsters and Must Be Prosecuted</u>

Interview: Measles Virus Process:

http://wissenschafftplus.de/uploads/article/wissenschafftpl
us-won-measles-virus-process.pdf

https://wissenschafftplus.de/uploads/article/Dismantling-th e-Virus-Theory.pdf

Stefan Lanka's website: <u>http://wissenschafftplus.de/</u>

Dr. Tom Cowan's channels:

https://gab.com/DrtomCowan https://rumble.com/c/c-568333 https://odysee.com/@Dr.TomCowan:8

Tom Cowan's website: <u>https://drtomcowan.com</u>

Support Dr. Tom Cowan at SubscribeStar:

Rejecting Rockefeller Germ Theory Once and for All

<u>Rejecting Rockefeller Germ Theory Once and for All</u></u>

by **Jon Rappoport**, <u>No More Fake News</u> March 25, 2021

Note: In a number of articles, I've offered compelling evidence that the deaths attributed to COVID-19 can be explained without reference to a virus. Furthermore, whatever merits "alternative treatments" may have, I see no convincing evidence their action has anything to do with "neutralizing a virus."

The entire tragic, criminal, murderous, stupid, farcical COVID fraud is based on a hundred years of Rockefeller medicine—a pharmaceutical tyranny in which the enduring headline is:

ONE DISEASE, ONE GERM.

That's the motto engraved on the gate of the medical cartel.

-Thousands of so-called separate diseases, each caused by an individual germ.

"Kill each germ with a toxic drug, prevent each germ with a toxic vaccine."

In the absence of those hundred years of false science and

propaganda, COVID-19 promotion would have gone over like a bad joke. A few sour laughs, and then nothing, except people going on with their lives.

The overall health of an individual human being has to do with factors entirely unrelated to "one disease, one germ."

As I quoted, for example, at the end of a recent article-

"The combined death rate from scarlet fever, diphtheria, whooping cough and measles among children up to fifteen shows that nearly 90 percent of the total decline in mortality between 1860 and 1965 had occurred before the introduction of antibiotics and widespread immunization. In part, this recession may be attributed to improved housing and to a decrease in the virulence of micro-organisms, but by far the most important factor was a higher host-resistance due to better nutrition." Ivan Illich, Medical Nemesis, Bantam Books, 1977

And Robert F Kennedy, Jr.: "After extensively studying a century of recorded data, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Johns Hopkins researchers concluded: 'Thus vaccinations does not account for the impressive declines in mortality from infectious diseases seen in the first half of the twentieth century'."

"Similarly, in 1977, Boston University epidemiologists (and husband and wife) John and Sonja McKinlay published their seminal work in the Millbank Memorial Fund Quarterly on the role that vaccines (and other medical interventions) played in the massive 74% decline in mortality seen in the twentieth century: 'The Questionable Contribution of Medical Measures to the Decline of Mortality in the United States in the Twentieth Century'."

"In this article, which was formerly required reading in U.S. medical schools, the McKinlays pointed out that 92.3% of the mortality rate decline happened between 1900 and 1950, before

most vaccines existed, and that all medical measures, including antibiotics and surgeries, 'appear to have contributed little to the overall decline in mortality in the United States since about 1900 – having in many instances been introduced several decades after a marked decline had already set in and having no detectable influence in most instances'."

How the immune system (if it is a system) actually operates is beyond current medical hypotheses.

"T-cells, B-cells, neutrophils, monocytes, natural killer cells, proteins," are welded into a breathless story about a military machine that attacks germ invaders. Push-pull. Search and destroy.

The notion that THIS is what creates health is fatuous.

Positive vitality is what keeps us healthy.

A few factors of positive vitality are on the tyrannical COVID list of what-should-be-squashed: financial survival; open mingling of friends and family; people looking (unmasked) at people; open communication without fear of censorship.

Nutrition and basic sanitation are key vitality factors, of course.

And then we have Purpose in Life: where are people pouring their creative energies?

Obviously, freedom from harmful medical treatment is necessary for vitality to flourish.

Suppression of LIFE, in order to stop a purported germ, is institutionalized death.

Modern medicine is sensationally exposed in a review I've mentioned dozens of time over the past 10 years: Authored by the late famous public health doctor at Johns Hopkins, Barbara Starfield, it is titled, *"Is US Health Really the Best in the* *World?"* It was published in the Journal of the American Medical Association on July 26, 2000.

It found that, every year in the US, the medical system kills 225,000 people.

Per decade, the death toll would come to 2.25 million people.

You won't find that in CDC reports.

In 2009, I interviewed Dr. Starfield. I asked her whether the federal government had undertaken a major effort to remedy medically caused death in America, and whether she had been sought to consult with the government in such an effort.

She answered no to both questions.

3,964 DEAD – 162,610 Injuries: European Database of Adverse Drug Reactions for COVID-19 "Vaccines"

<u>3,964 DEAD – 162,610 Injuries: European Database of</u> <u>Adverse Drug Reactions for COVID-19 "Vaccines"</u>

by **Brian Shilhavy**, *Health Impact News* March 24, 2021

The European database of suspected drug reaction reports, <u>EudraVigilance</u>, is now tracking reports of injuries

and deaths following the experimental COVID-19 "vaccines."

Here is what <a>EudraVigilance states about their database:

This website was launched by the <u>European Medicines Agency</u> in 2012 to provide public access to reports of suspected side effects (also known as suspected adverse drug reactions). These reports are submitted electronically to <u>EudraVigilance</u> by national medicines regulatory authorities and by pharmaceutical companies that hold marketing authorisations (licences) for the medicines.

EudraVigilance is a system designed for collecting reports of suspected side effects. These reports are used for evaluating the benefits and risks of medicines during their development and monitoring their safety following their authorisation in the European Economic Area (EEA). EudraVigilance has been in use since December 2001.

This website was launched to comply with the <u>EudraVigilance</u> <u>Access Policy</u>, which was developed to improve public health by supporting the monitoring of the safety of medicines and to increase transparency for stakeholders, including the general public.

The <u>Management Board of the European Medicines Agency</u> first approved the EudraVigilance Access Policy in December 2010. A revision was adopted by the Board in December 2015 based on the 2010 pharmacovigilance legislation. The policy aims to provide stakeholders such as national medicines regulatory authorities in the EEA, the European Commission, healthcare professionals, patients and consumers, as well as the pharmaceutical industry and research organisations, with access to reports on suspected side effects.

Transparency is a key guiding principle of the Agency, and is pivotal to building trust and confidence in the regulatory process. By increasing transparency, the Agency is better able to address the growing need among stakeholders, including the general public, for access to information.
(Source.)

Their report through March 13, 2021 lists 3,964 deaths and 162,610 injuries following injections of three experimental COVID-19 shots:

<u>COVID-19 MRNA VACCINE MODERNA (CX-024414)</u>, <u>COVID-19 MRNA</u> <u>VACCINE PFIZER-BIONTECH (TOZINAMERAN)</u>, and <u>COVID-19 VACCINE</u> <u>ASTRAZENECA (CHADOX1 NCOV-19)</u>.

There is also data for a fourth experimental COVID "vaccine," <u>COVID-19 VACCINE JANSSEN (AD26.COV2.S</u>). We have not included data from the Johnson and Johnson COVID shot in this report, but will do so in future reports.

A *Health Impact News* subscriber in Europe ran the reports for each of the three COVID-19 shots we are including here, and here is the summary data through March 13, 2021.

Total reactions for the experimental mRNA vaccine **Tozinameran** (code **BNT162b2**, **Comirnaty**) from **BioNTech/ Pfizer: 2,540 deaths** and 102,100 injuries to 13/03/2021

- 7,604 Blood and lymphatic system disorders incl. 15 deaths
- 4,636 Cardiac disorders incl. 276 deaths
- 22 Congenital, familial and genetic disorders incl. 2
 deaths
- 2,683 Ear and labyrinth disorders
- 52 Endocrine disorders
- 2,941 Eye disorders incl. 2 deaths
- 23,074 Gastrointestinal disorders incl. 125 deaths
- 72,072 General disorders and administration site conditions incl. 957 deaths
- 102 Hepatobiliary disorders incl. 12 deaths
- 1,928 Immune system disorders incl. 11 deaths

- 6,020 Infections and infestations incl. 275 deaths
- 2,198 Injury, poisoning and procedural complications incl. 32 deaths
- 4,565 Investigations incl. 111 deaths
- 1,567 Metabolism and nutrition disorders incl. 49 deaths
- 37,365 Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders incl. 22 deaths
- 55 Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps) incl. 3 deaths
- 44,993 Nervous system disorders incl. 185 deaths
- 81 Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions incl. 2 deaths
- 57 Product issues
- 3,742 Psychiatric disorders incl. 28 deaths
- 525 Renal and urinary disorders incl. 37 deaths
- 545 Reproductive system and breast disorders
- 8,788 Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders incl. 294 deaths
- 10,808 Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders incl. 18
 deaths
- 229 Social circumstances incl. 6 deaths
- 69 Surgical and medical procedures incl. 4 deaths
- 4,820 Vascular disorders incl. 74 deaths

Total reactions for the experimental mRNA vaccine mRNA-1273 (CX-024414) from Moderna: 973 deaths and 5,939 injuries to 13/03/2021

- 330 Blood and lymphatic system disorders incl. 9 deaths
- 501 Cardiac disorders incl. 96 deaths
- 1 Congenital, familial and genetic disorders
- 116 Ear and labyrinth disorders
- 6 Endocrine disorders
- 181 Eye disorders incl. 2 deaths
- 1,283 Gastrointestinal disorders incl. 40 deaths
- 4,198 General disorders and administration site conditions incl. 393 deaths

- 21 Hepatobiliary disorders
- 219 Immune system disorders incl. 1 death
- 515 Infections and infestations incl. 57 deaths
- 236 Injury, poisoning and procedural complications incl. 16 deaths
- 411 Investigations incl. 36 deaths
- 165 Metabolism and nutrition disorders incl. 18 deaths
- 1,727 Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders incl. 23 deaths
- 12 Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps) incl. 3 deaths
- 2,324 Nervous system disorders incl. 111 deaths
- 15 Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions
- 4 Product issues
- 271 Psychiatric disorders incl. 14 deaths
- 93 Renal and urinary disorders incl. 10 deaths
- 34 Reproductive system and breast disorders incl. 1
 death
- 817 Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders incl. 93 deaths
- 740 Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders incl. 11 deaths
- 48 Social circumstances incl. 3 deaths
- 40 Surgical and medical procedures incl. 4 deaths
- 368 Vascular disorders incl. 32 deaths

Total reactions for the experimental vaccine AZD1222 (CHADOX1 NCOV-19) from Oxford/ AstraZeneca: 451 deaths and 54,571 injuries to 13/03/2021

- 1,180 Blood and lymphatic system disorders incl. 11 deaths
- 2,080 Cardiac disorders incl. 63 deaths
- 17 Congenital, familial and genetic disorders
- 1,237 Ear and labyrinth disorders
- 41 Endocrine disorders
- 1,977 Eye disorders incl. 1 death

- 17,491 Gastrointestinal disorders incl. 15 deaths
- 42,367 General disorders and administration site conditions incl. 198 deaths
- 32 Hepatobiliary disorders incl. 1 death
- 578 Immune system disorders
- 3,340 Infections and infestations incl. 46 deaths
- 853 Injury, poisoning and procedural complications incl. 2 deaths
- 2,384 Investigations incl. 3 deaths
- 2,676 Metabolism and nutrition disorders incl. 5 deaths
- 22,858 Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders incl. 4 deaths
- 19 Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (incl cysts and polyps) incl. 2 deaths
- 32,490 Nervous system disorders incl. 41 deaths
- 22 Pregnancy, puerperium and perinatal conditions
- Il Product issues
- 3,105 Psychiatric disorders incl. 3 deaths
- 560 Renal and urinary disorders incl. 4 deaths
- 266 Reproductive system and breast disorders
- 4,293 Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders incl. 33 deaths
- 6,815 Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders incl. 2
 deaths
- 99 Social circumstances incl. 2 deaths
- 138 Surgical and medical procedures incl. 4 deaths
- 1,656 Vascular disorders incl. 11 deaths

This is public information funded by the European Medicines Agency (EMA), but it is obviously being censored by the corporate media.

cover image credit: pixabay

Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions

<u>Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This</u> <u>Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical</u> <u>Deceptions</u>

"I think the bottom line here, Stefan, if you agree, is that the chemistry and the structure follows consciousness. Not the other way around." ~ Dr. Tom Cowan

My Discussion With Stefan Lanka About Virology

by <u>Dr. Tom Cowan</u> with <u>Dr. Stefan Lanka</u> March 24, 2021

Original video available at <u>Dr. Tom Cowan BitChute</u> channel.

On Wednesday I had an hour-long discussion with German biologist and virologist Stefan Lanka.

Stefan spoke about the history of virology, helped us to understand the many wrong turns virologists have taken over the years, and updated us on his ground-breaking study that will disprove the basic tenets of virology.

Stefan Lanka's papers on the virus misconception:

<u>The Virus Misconception – Measles As an Example Part I</u>

<u>The Virus Misconception – Measles As an Example Part II</u>

Stefan Lanka's interviews and articles:

How Dead Are Virus Anyway? All Claims of Virus Existence Refuted

<u>The Causes of Corona Crisis Are Clearly Identified –</u> <u>Virologists Who Claim Disease-Causing Viruses Are Science</u> <u>Fraudsters and Must Be Prosecuted</u>

Interview: Measles Virus Process:

http://wissenschafftplus.de/uploads/article/wissenschafftpl
us-won-measles-virus-process.pdf

https://wissenschafftplus.de/uploads/article/Dismantling-th
e-Virus-Theory.pdf

Stefan Lanka's website: <u>http://wissenschafftplus.de/</u>

Dr. Tom Cowan's channels:

https://gab.com/DrtomCowan https://rumble.com/c/c-568333 https://odysee.com/@Dr.TomCowan:8

Tom Cowan's website: https://drtomcowan.com

Support Dr. Tom Cowan at SubscribeStar: <u>https://www.subscribestar.com/dr-tom-cowan</u> [As a service to protect truth from censorship and to share widely, mirrored copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light <u>BitChute</u>, <u>Brighteon</u>, and <u>Odysee</u> channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original source of this video. Please follow links provided to support their work.]

We're Suing the Trudeau Government Over COVID Jails

<u>We're Suing the Trudeau Government Over COVID Jails</u>

by <u>Keean Bexte</u>, <u>Rebel News</u> March 23, 2021

I am suing Justin Trudeau's government. Enough is enough.

If you have been following along, you'll have seen my recent detention at a "government sanctioned COVID-19 isolation facility." That is Liberal Party-speak for government gulag.

I was recently on a work assignment in Florida, covering <u>Canadian vaccine refugees</u> fleeing Trudeau's procurement failure and heading stateside for a poke in the arm. Upon returning, I was threatened with a \$750,000 fine and six months in jail if I did not submit to an illegal throat inspection and subsequently present myself to a local gulag.

Not only does this program trample over the fundamental rights and freedoms of thousands of Canadians every week — it is causing irreparable <u>financial</u>, <u>emotional</u>, and in some

cases, physical harm to countless vulnerable citizens.

Specifically, our lawsuit argues that this government program is a flagrant and ongoing violation of sections 7, 8, and 9 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* (along with a few other laws and freedoms).

Make no mistake, this lawsuit and the program itself are leaving a black eye on the government. The people who Trudeau is interning as political scapegoats — leaving them mobbing hotel employees for food — are the very same people who would typically be voting Liberal. Canadians who are members of ethnic minorities and have family members abroad — that is Trudeau's bread and butter. This program is such a stain on Trudeau that the government issued a state-sanctioned comedy sketch on the *CBC's This Hour Has 22 Minutes*, mocking my coverage of the inner workings of these facilities.

Of course, the state comedians laughed at my disgust with the <u>cold toaster waffles</u>, but neglected to mention the women who have allegedly been assaulted and molested in Trudeau's "isolation facilities." They forgot to mention the families who can't cover rent after Trudeau extorted \$2,000 from them as a political punishment for going to see their dying relatives abroad.

Rebel News and I are suing Trudeau because it is the right thing to do. It is not just to get justice for ourselves – we are applying to a federal judge to strike down this illegal and shameful program nationwide. If you want to see that happen, please consider helping us crowdfund our legal bills. It is the only way we can keep going.

<u>Read the full lawsuit at Rebel News</u>

Teachers Sue LA School District Over COVID Vaccine Mandate

<u>Teachers Sue LA School District Over COVID Vaccine</u> <u>Mandate</u>

Groups representing teachers, counselors and employees say the Los Angeles Unified School District's vaccine mandate violates federal law and basic human rights.

by <u>Megan Redshaw</u>, <u>The Defender</u> March 22, 2021

Employees of the second-largest school district in the U.S. filed suit last week to prevent the district from mandating <u>COVID-19 vaccines</u> as a condition of employment.

California Educators for Medical Freedom, with assistance from the <u>Health Freedom Defense Fund</u> (HFDF), <u>filed a federal</u> <u>lawsuit</u> March 17 against the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD).

In a <u>press release</u>, HFDF said LAUSD's vaccine mandate violates federal law and basic human rights by requiring employees to take an experimental vaccine in order to remain employed.

All COVID vaccines available in the U.S. – <u>Pfizer</u>, <u>Moderna</u> and <u>Johnson & Johnson</u> – are approved under the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's <u>Emergency Use</u> <u>Authorization</u> (EUA). By the FDA's own definition, that makes the vaccines "<u>experimental</u>" until or unless the FDA licenses them. School employees <u>alleged in their complaint</u> that the statute granting the FDA power to authorize a medical product for emergency use, <u>21 U.S.C. § Section 360bbb-3</u>, requires that the person being administered the unapproved product be advised of the benefits and risks, and of his or her right to refuse the product.

The FDA issued a Fact Sheet for Health Care Providers and a Fact Sheet for Recipients and Caregivers for each of the three vaccines approved for emergency use. The fact sheets state, among other things, that a provider must communicate information to the recipient prior to administering the vaccine — including that the recipient has the option to accept or refuse the vaccine.

In their lawsuit, employees allege that Section 360bbb-3 recognizes the "well-settled doctrine" that medical experiments, or "clinical research," may not be performed on human subjects without the express, <u>informed consent</u> of the individual receiving treatment.

According to <u>HFDF</u>, the fundamental right to avoid <u>imposed</u> <u>human experimentation</u> has its roots in the <u>Nuremberg Code</u> of 1947, which was later ratified by the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, further codified in the United States Code of Federal Regulations and adopted by the California Legislature. It says that "no person subject to this state's jurisdiction may be forced to undergo the administration of experimental medicine without that person's informed consent."

Since adoption of the <u>Nuremberg Code</u>, free nations <u>have</u> <u>recognized</u> that forced medical experimentation of any kind is both inhumane and unethical. "There is no "pandemic exception" to the law or the Constitution," plaintiffs <u>stated in their</u> <u>complaint</u>.

"This is a very important case for educators all across America and is likely to set a precedent for all of us," said <u>Michael Kane</u>, New York City teacher and founder of <u>NY</u> <u>Teachers for Choice</u>.

"Sometimes all you need is someone to stand up and say 'No' to remind everyone that we are completely within our rights to resist government overreach. And that is what this is – government overreach."

Kane said the LAUSD teacher's union "definitely plays a role in all of this" and that LA teachers need to lobby their union and threaten to pull their money from supporting the union if it doesn't support their right to choice. "Rank-and-file union members must hold their union leadership accountable and force them to represent those who are pro-choice for all medical procedures," Kane said.

The <u>complaint</u> states that employees of LAUSD last month began to receive communications from Superintendent Austin Beutner and other representatives of LAUSD instructing them to make appointments to get vaccinated.

None of the communications to employees included the information from the fact sheet required by the FDA to be given to vaccine recipients under EAU.

On March 4, guidance from LAUSD human resources was given to employees that stated: "The <u>Moderna</u> vaccine is currently being administered by Los Angeles Unified nurses and other licensed healthcare professionals to Los Angeles Unified employees. You will schedule your appointment [...]. You will provide proof of vaccination via the DailyPass for time reporting purposes."

As <u>The Defender</u> reported March 10, <u>Daily Pass</u> is a <u>COVID</u> tracking system developed by Microsoft that will scan employees and students using a barcode before they can enter school each day. LAUSD is the first school district to announce that it will require every student and employee to get the Daily Pass, which school officials said will coordinate health checks, COVID tests and vaccinations. Data collected will be reported to public health authorities and other LAUSD healthcare collaborators.

According to the <u>employees' lawsuit</u>, the process for developing a vaccine normally takes place over a period of years with <u>many different stages</u> of testing, as it may take years for the <u>side effects</u> of a new vaccine to manifest themselves. "No one knows the short, medium or long-term effects of this medical intervention over 1, 5, 10 or 50 years," <u>HFDF said</u>.

By mandating experimental COVID vaccines, LAUSD is "forcing employees to choose between providing for their families and being the victim of human experimentation," <u>said HFDF</u>. "Forced vaccination is not only unethical, it violates the tenets fundamental to a free society and must stop."

In December 2020, <u>Children's Health Defense</u> published "Vaccine Mandates: An Erosion of Civil Rights?" which examines the history and consequences of vaccine mandates, and what you can do to protect yourself and your family members. The <u>Vaccine Mandates e-book can be downloaded here</u>.

©March 2021 Children's Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children's Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children's Health Defense? <u>Sign up</u> for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. Your <u>donation</u> will help to support us in our efforts.

Kids, Schools and COVID Tests — What Are the Risks?

Kids, Schools and COVID Tests - What Are the Risks?

Researchers warn of brain injury risks for millions of children who are now going to routinely undergo nasal swab tests administered by nonexperts — in some cases, by schoolchildren themselves.

by <u>Alliance for Natural Health International</u> March 22, 2021

Kids in the UK returned to school this month – the first step in a painfully slow easing of restrictions <u>tabled to last</u> <u>months</u>. However, this is only on the grounds that children undergo regular <u>COVID-19</u> testing and follow mask mandates now extended into classrooms as well as common areas. All of which comes at a cost, both to the health and wellbeing of the children as well siphoning a <u>whopping £78 million</u> from taxpayers money.

But are these restrictions necessary? Research scientists from the Department of Infectious Disease at Imperial College, London, suggest not, because of the <u>extremely low</u> <u>SARS-</u> <u>CoV-2</u> transmission rates in schools.

Kids — fancy poking your brains?

Some people are rightly concerned that the improper use of nasal swabs used in <u>PCR</u> or lateral flow testing may result in damage to the delicate membrane protecting the brain. But if you were to <u>believe the fact-checkers</u>, you'd assume this is nothing more than a conspiracy theory. But as has been demonstrated in many other instances, the fact checkers have got it wrong again.

There is a risk — and that risk gets greater as more and more untrained people (now including children) administer their own swabs. In October 2020, <u>a case report</u> was published in JAMA Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery detailing the case of a woman whose brain membrane was pierced by a COVID test swab resulting in the leakage of cerebrospinal fluid. A second woman in the U.S. recently had <u>a similar experience</u>. Assessing the use of nasal swabs, <u>researchers publishing in JAMA</u> <u>Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery</u> issued warnings about the risks for the millions who are now routinely going to undergo tests, especially from inexpertly administered nasal swabs.

And who's administering the nasal swabs in schools? The <u>schoolchildren themselves</u>! Let's hope that when kids do it wrong, it's because they don't go deep enough — not too deep. What no one seems to be being told is that the cribriform plate, the significant part that separates the brain from the nasal cavity is a delicate, soft, honey-comb or sieve-like structure that is thin and narrow with tiny perforations. This is why it's a perfect site for endoscopic transnasal skullbased surgery. But clearly it's proven nonsense to suggest someone inept at wielding a nasal swab can't push their swab into their brain.

Why lateral flow testing in schools is deeply misleading – and a waste of public money

Nearly 57 million <u>lateral flow test (LFT) kits</u> have been delivered to schools in the UK.

Concerns have been leveled at their accuracy due to the number of <u>false positive results</u> they return. Conversely, there are also concerns over the high levels of false negatives, although this is less of an issue as true negative rates are far lower than is generally thought. Views around the use of mass testing to detect SARS-CoV-2 are mixed with concerns that mass testing <u>does not prevent transmission of the virus</u>. Confusion <u>reigns</u> in terms of positive tests. If a child tests positive at home and a subsequent PCR test comes back negative that child can return to school. However, if a positive LFT test has been undertaken on school premises the pupil and their contacts <u>will have to self-</u><u>isolate</u> whether or not they have a negative PCR test as the government considers the chances of the LFT test being a false positive as minimal.

We have previously discussed the problems with <u>PCR testing</u> and the significantly increased likelihood of a test returning a positive result when prevalence of the virus in the community is low. The same problem applies to lateral flow tests. To illustrate this we plugged in the sensitivity and specificity data (77.8% and 99.68% respectively) from the <u>Innova rapid</u> flow test into the <u>MedCalc Diagnostic test evaluation</u> calculator. The aims were to calculate the positive predictive value (PPV) (i.e., the probability that SARS-VoV-2 is present when the test is positive) and the negative predictive value (NPV) (i.e., the probability that SARS-CoV-2 is not present when the test is negative) taking into account the low prevalence (amount) of infection in a given population.

This is important because, as we explained in our <u>'Casedemic'</u> <u>piece that critiqued PCR tests</u>, Bayesian theory makes it essential that we take into account prevalence when considering the false positive and negative rates of any diagnostic test. A key fact that the UK's health minister, Matt Hancock, just <u>can't seem to wrap his head around</u> – or chooses not to.

We've used three prevalence rates: 0.14% (taken from <u>NHS Test</u> <u>& Trace data</u>), then halved that (0.07%) and doubled it (0.28%), to take into account variation, as prevalence is something of a moving feast.

At these prevalences, you'll find much lower positive predictive values (PPVs) than claims around accuracy being made by the government.

For the three prevalence rates, 0.07%, 0.14% and 0.28%, the

chances of a positive test successfully indicating the presence of the infection is 15%, 25% and 41%, respectively. That's something school kids, parents and their teachers are just not being told.

The Royal Statistical Society's COVID-19 taskforce has <u>also</u> <u>raised concerns</u> over the risk of 'positive' tests when infection prevalence is low. Isn't it odd that no one seems to be listening? We'd ask again: where is the cost/benefit analysis showing that this massive purchase using taxpayers money of 57 million lateral flow test kits was justified for schools?

Spit or swab?

Nose and throat swab testing can not only potentially harm the person being tested, it's also downright unpleasant. Is there a better way of testing? Actually there is, but we're not hearing much about it. It involves the plethora of saliva tests that appear to be as effective as the lateral flow tests – and far easier to administer. A recent study published in the New England Journal of Medicine found that a far higher number of saliva samples were positive for up to 10 days following diagnosis of COVID-19 compared to swab samples.

Saliva tests are becoming increasingly available, but have yet to be utilized by governments.

Here are some details:

USA

- <u>SalivaDirect</u> developed by Yale School of Public Health was <u>approved for use</u> by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration in August of last year.
- Scientists at Washington University have <u>announced the</u> <u>development</u> of a saliva test they hope could be used at scale.
- DxTerity has added a saliva based SARS-CoV-2 test to its

portfolio.

UK

- <u>Covguard saliva tests</u> are available to UK citizens.
- Vatic Health is another UK based offering created by a team of scientists, engineers and designers.
- And if you're looking for saliva tests that also fulfill 'Fit to Fly' requirements then take a look at <u>Fitness</u> <u>Genes</u> and <u>Hydro-x</u>.

Australia

• A team at the <u>University of Technology, Sydney</u> has developed a very sensitive saliva test for SARS-CoV-2 antigens that can deliver results in under 15 minutes.

Originally published by <u>Alliance for Natural Health</u> <u>International</u>.

Children's Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children's Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children's Health Defense? <u>Sign up</u> for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. Your <u>donation</u> will help to support us in our efforts.

Water is Not for Sale

<u>Water is Not for Sale</u>

Interview with Maude Barlow, world-leading expert on water supply issues

by <u>Manlio Masucci</u>, <u>Navdanya International</u> March 22, 2021 Is it even possible to think of privatizing a major common resource, such as water, essential for life on earth? To the point that it becomes the object of financial speculation on the market? The answer to this seemingly surreal question comes to us, as it often does, from the United States and, needless to say, it is yes.

The entry of water in the futures market, the so-called 'forward market', is almost certain to mark a momentous step in the history of humanity. This news from the United States is accompanied by other recent developments that cannot fail to raise alarm, especially for Italy. These include the latest <u>Ispra report</u> that documents the state of degradation of Italian water sources and the same conclusion regarding the health of its lakes, which are threatened by the phenomenon of eutrophication, caused by the excessive use of fertilizers in agriculture and their disposal in lake basins.

In September 2020 specifically, the European Commission filed an <u>infringement procedure</u> on the state of water in Lake Vico, in northern Lazio. We have to add the notorious statistic about bottled water to this data. Italy continues to be its biggest European Consumer.

All in all, there is more than enough material here to merit an opinion from Maude Barlow, who is internationally renowned for having obtained the recognition of water access as a human right in her role as UN Special Rapporteur. Barlow, a founding member of the International Forum on Globalization and of the Council of Canadians, was awarded the alternative Nobel Right Livelihood Award in 2005 and is considered one of the world's most authoritative voices on all water-related issues.

We interviewed her to understand what is happening internationally and what to do to protect a resource that is vital to humanity's present and future. Maude Barlow, let's start with the latest news. The CME Group – the world's largest financial derivatives exchange company – has launched the world's first water futures market, opening up speculation from financiers and investors. What are the immediate consequences and potential dangers of this act?

There is a massive race going on between those who see the world's dwindling clean water sources as a commodity to be put on the open market like oil and gas, and those who believe water is a human right, a common resource, and an essential public service. There are many ways in which water is commodified: the privatization of water services; the growing bottled water industry; water trading and water pollution trading (the exchange of fees between polluting agents); land and water grabs in developing countries; and now the creation of a water futures market where wealthy speculators will bid on and profit from drought and suffering, driving the price of water even higher in a world where billions endure a lack of access. While this is a terrible new development, I am not remotely ready to regard it as a finality. We are recovering many municipalities from privatization experiments. We are getting bans on bottled water through municipalities and universities converting to Blue Communities, which pledge to protect the human right to water access. There is a growing understanding that nature has rights and we need a legal framework of protection for the water itself. We are already putting together a movement to stop the creation of a water futures market.

Your role was central to making the United Nations declare that access to clean water and sanitation is a human right. Do you think this right has been violated? And if this is the case, are there any steps that the UN can take?

There have been many positive developments since the UN recognized the right to water. Almost four dozen countries have either amended their constitutions or adopted a new law to protect the human right to water access. The UN resolution

has been used in a number of legal cases around the world and is widely quoted in legal and political circles. Many governments have set targets for the fulfilment of this obligation, which are included in their Sustainable Development Goals. There is no doubt in my mind that creating a water futures market is a violation of the commitment to the human right to water and that it would certainly be worth trying to take this issue to the General Assembly, although, of course, the 'free' market would insist that it is exempt from any rules set by that body. I think political pressure on governments to stop this practice and declare water as a common resource is probably the best next step.

You have pointed out that the water crisis is particularly dangerous today, as we live through the COVID pandemic. What are the connections between the pandemic and the environmental crisis?

COVID has shone a spotlight on the human water crisis. At least half of the world's population does not have a place to wash their hands with soap and warm water — the first thing we were taught to do when the virus surfaced last year. Three quarters of households and nearly half of the health care facilities in the Global South lack access to clean water on site.

But the crisis is not restricted to developing countries. The World Health Organization reports that 57 million people in Europe do not have piped water at home and 21 million still lack access to basic drinking water services.

The silver lining, however, may be that aid money and funding coming from wealthy countries to assist the COVID struggle in poor countries, is going to establish permanent sanitation facilities. We need to protect the planet's water and more just access to it if we have any hope of dealing with such pandemics in the future. You recently released a joint statement with the renowned environmentalist Vandana Shiva, underlining that the chemical and water-intensive model of industrial agriculture in California and many other parts of the world is a major driver of the water crisis. Agriculture (including irrigation, livestock and aquaculture) is by far the largest water consumer, accounting for 69% of annual water withdrawals globally. (FAO, AQUASTAT). How can we overcome this unsustainable production model?

You raise a very important point here. All over the world, traditional farming methods are being replaced by large industrial and corporate farming operations and factory farms. Not only are they producing massive chemical-laden waste that is dangerous for our waterways, creating deadly blue green algae,but they are also using water indiscriminately and not practising the water- saving techniques ingrained in the knowledge of Indigenous, peasant and family farmers all over the world. A few corporations own and control almost all aspects of food production, from meat to wheat, and they hold great sway with elected officials over agriculture policy. To truly deal with upcoming water shortages, we must address the way in which we grow food to stop the destruction of groundwater sources caused by industrial agriculture.

A recent report by Ispra (Superior Institute for Environmental Protection and Research – Italy), detected 299 different polluting substances in surface-level Italian waters. Pesticides and herbicides are the most prevalent among them but fertilizers, which are the root of the eutrophication process, especially in many national lakes, are also present . In many villages around some of these lakes, the water is no longer potable. Is it an uniquely Italian problem or do you consider it to be global?

Eutrophication – the over-enrichment of lakes, rivers and oceans by nutrients – is rampant all over the world. The resulting oxygen depletion can create algal blooms and even

'dead zones' where life cannot survive. Many countries, even in the so-called developed world, have little or no regulation for dealing with the runoff from factory farms and industrial food production, even though they may have regulations for human waste. Here in my country, Canada, a study found that 246 major lakes are seriously compromised by eutrophication. Thisincludes Lake Winnipeg, the 10th largest lake in the world, which is suffering badly because of hog farming on its shores. In some poor countries where much of the world's consumer goods are produced, local water bodies are completely contaminated. Changing these practices has to come first in our plan for water protection.

With a production of 14 billion litres per year and an annual per capita consumption of 206 liters, <u>Italy is the largest</u> <u>European consumer of bottled water</u> (29 litres per capita more than Germany, +16.4%; 84 litres more than France, +68.9%). What do you think about this?

Bottled water started in Europe and spread to the rest of the world. It used to be packaged in glass in Europe but it is increasingly plastic these days. We are a planet drowning in plastic and we humans are now ingesting it in our food. We must break our bottled water habit and we need Italians to help this happen. Italy is the major consumer of bottled water in Europe and could lead the way in changing this practice and helping to save the planet.

Which steps can civil society, farmers and consumers take to protect their right to water as a common good?

We must all demand that everything our governments do – every policy, every action – takes its effect on water into account. If that effect is harmful to water, we return to the drawing board. Trade agreements that protect corporate water abuse and over-exploitation must be challenged. Governments must legislate to protect the planet's threatened water supplies and human access to them. Clean, safe, public water for all, everywhere, has to be our goal and the only way to get there is to start truly protecting our precious water sources and stop seeing water as a resource for our profit and convenience.

Can you walk us through the Blue Communities project?

We first introduced the concept of a Blue Community wherever a local municipality, university, or even a religious community, is committed to protecting water as a common resource. The pledge to become a Blue Community is really three-fold: to protect water as a human right; to promise to keep water services public; and to phase out bottled water on municipal premises and events. Many European cities added a fourth: to promote public-public partnerships rather than public-private in their dealings with the Global South.

The whole concept started in Canada when we had a right wing government promoting the privatization of water services and we wanted to reach municipalities, getting them to promote public services, before the government did. It has been very successful here. To my surprise, the concept got picked up in other places, especially in Europe, and some universities, faith-based communities, etc. Paris, Berlin, Brussels, Munich, Montreal, Vancouver and Los Angeles are among the cities who have already signed up. So I would love to see Italy embrace the project. We worked with the coalition that undertook the successful referendum against privatization in 2011 and we are still in close touch with the various water justice movements.

cover image credit: Tama 66 / pixabay

Why I've Come to Suspect That Covid-19 Doesn't Even Exist

Why I've Come to Suspect That Covid-19 Doesn't Even Exist

by <u>Richie Allen</u> March 22, 2021

Until recently I believed that I may have had covid-19. Shortly after Christmas 2019 I became very ill. I spent the first three weeks of January 2020 in bed. I thought I was a goner. My GP was bewildered. He knew my lungs weren't getting oxygen, but hadn't a clue as to why.

There was no mucus on my chest and no fluid on my lungs, but I was turning blue. Steroids made little difference. Sitting up in bed alongside Caroline one night when it was really bad, I told her I was sorry and to take care of herself.

I came out of it. I still don't know how. There's a video of me on The Richie Allen Show Facebook page. I recorded it to explain my absence. I can't watch it. I look like a corpse. I dropped nearly a stone and a half (20 lbs) and haven't managed to put it back on.

Then Covid. Then lockdown. I wondered. Maybe I had it. I eventually satisfied myself that I must have had it. What else could it have been? I've had pneumonia in my lifetime and some very severe chest infections. Antibiotics and physiotherapy got me out of those jams. This felt different. It wasn't worse than pneumonia, it just felt like there was nothing that could relieve it. Like I said, fortunately I pulled through. I never smoked and I am very fit. I put it down to that.

Now though, I am not so sure that I had covid-19 or that it even exists. I'm saying I am not sure. I'm no expert. There's a reason they call these opinion pieces.

The thing that bugs me most is the disappearance of the flu. I can't get my head round that one. Governments all over the world have told the same story this Winter. Flu has been eradicated.

Public Health England (PHE) announced last month that not a single case of flu had been found in the UK in January/February 2021. PHE analyses thousands of swab samples every week for the prevalence of different respiratory viruses in the population.

685,243 samples were analysed over a seven week period from the second week in January. Guess what? There wasn't a single case of the flu, or so they claimed. I don't believe them. Asked to account for that, they said it was down to coronavirus restrictions.

I don't believe that either. That's a little too convenient. Flu has been eradicated because we worked from home, socially distanced and wore masks? No way. If they'd claimed to have found 500 flu cases, or 1000, I might have believed them. They found none. Something is up. Maybe flu is being diagnosed as covid-19. Maybe.

Writing in The Daily Mail today, NHS Consultant Pathologist Dr. John Lee challenged the UK's covid death count. Lee writes:

"Some point to the Covid death toll, now over 125,000 in Britain, which is almost double the number of British civilians who died in the Second World War.

But we should remember that this represents two winter peaks (a time of year when it is not unusual for tens of thousands of Britons to die from respiratory diseases).

And that some of the total is due to the manner in which we record Covid deaths: there is a big difference between dying directly from Covid, and dying after testing positive for Covid within the last 28 days, where other illnesses may well be responsible.

Notably, we have never recorded respiratory deaths this way before."

I've highlighted the points germane to my argument. Respiratory illnesses carry off thousands of our most vulnerable each and every Winter. But we are told that the only respiratory killer in town at the moment is coronavirus. That's surely impossible?

Why did the government and Public Health England adopt a brand new method for recording covid deaths? As Lee says, there's a very important distinction between dying of something and dying four weeks after testing positive for something. It's tantamount to anti-science.

I've eliminated all the obvious possibilities as to the reason for making such a change in the method of recording deaths. I have concluded that the change was made to drive up the numbers. There can be no other explanation. It's classic Occam's razor.

Now why would anyone want to make it look like far more people are dying from an illness than actually are? There aren't too many possibilities here. Someone is working to an agenda.

Maybe that agenda is to convince the public that they are in the midst of a deadly pandemic and therefore must accept radical changes to their lives, sacrifice their livelihoods and take experimental medicines? Sound far fetched? I would have thought so once upon a time.

Throughout most of 2020, people were diagnosed as having coronavirus, after having a PCR test. The test is redundant. The man who invented it, Dr. Kary B. Mullis, said it wasn't made to detect any type of infectious disease.

A Portuguese Court ruled late last year that the PCR test is "unable to determine, beyond reasonable doubt, that a positive result corresponds to the infection of a person by the SARScov-2 virus." The UK media ignored this.

Has SARS-cov-2 been isolated then? Does it even exist? Is the PCR test picking up fragments of other viruses in people, which testers are then labelling covid-19? The answer is yes and remember, this has been happening since day one.

I don't know for sure that covid-19 doesn't exist, but weighing up everything I know now, I must concede that it is a possibility. The question is, does it matter at this point?

Millions have already had the experimental "vaccines." The damage done to children is immeasurable. The totalitarian tiptoe has become the totalitarian stampede. Vaccine passports are here. Social crediting is on the horizon. It hardly matters now, whether covid-19 is real or just the flu rebranded.

Connect with Richie Allen

Want a Job? Get a Shot!

Want a Job? Get a Shot!

by <u>Ron Paul</u>, <u>Ron Paul Instittute</u> March 22, 2021

Mask tyranny reached a new low recently when a family was kicked off a Spirit Airlines flight because their four-yearold autistic son was not wearing a mask. The family was removed from the plane even though the boy's doctor had decided the boy should be exempted from mask mandates because the boy panics and engages in behavior that could pose a danger to himself when wearing a mask.

Besides, four-year-olds do not present much risk of spreading or contracting coronavirus. Even if masks did prevent infections among adults, there would be no reason to force children to wear masks.

Mask mandates have as much to do with healthcare as Transportation Security Administration (TSA) screenings have to do with stopping terrorism. Masks and TSA screenings are "security theater" done to reassure those frightened by government and media propaganda regarding coronavirus and terrorism that the government is protecting them.

Covid oppression will worsen if vaccine passports become more widely required. Vaccine passports are digital or physical proof a person has taken a coronavirus vaccine. New York is already requiring that individuals produce digital proof of taking a coronavirus vaccine before being admitted to sporting events.

Imagine if the zealous enforcers of mask mandates had the power to deny you access to public places because you have not "gotten your shot." Even worse, what if a potential employer had to ensure you were "properly" vaccinated before hiring you? This could come to pass if proponents of mandatory E-Verify have their way.

E-Verify requires employers to submit personal identifying information — such as a social security numbers and biometric data — to a government database to ensure job applicants have federal permission to hold jobs.

Currently, E-Verify is only used to assure a job applicant is a citizen or legal resident. However, its use could be expanded to advancing other purposes, such as ensuring a potential new hire has taken all the recommended vaccines.

E-Verify could even be used to check if a job applicant has ever expressed, or associated with someone who has expressed, "hate speech," "conspiracy theories," or "Russian disinformation," which is code for facts embarrassing to the political class.

Many employers will be reluctant to hire such an employee for fear their businesses will become the next targets of "cancel culture." Those who doubt this should consider how many businesses have folded under pressure from the cultural Marxists and fired someone for expressing an "unapproved" thought.

Politicians and bureaucrats have used overblown fear of coronavirus to justify the largest infringement of individual liberty in modern times. Covid tyranny has been aided by many Americans who are not just willing to sacrifice their liberty for phony security, but who help government take away liberty from their fellow citizens.

The good news is that, as it becomes increasingly clear that there was no need to shut down the economy, throw millions out of work, subject children to the fraud of "virtual" learning, and force everyone to wear a mask, more people are turning against the politicians and "experts" behind the lockdowns and mandates. Hopefully, these Americans will realize that, in addition to coronavirus lockdowns and mandates, the entire welfare-warfare-fiat money system is built on a foundation of lies.

Vaccine: Twenty Countries Suspend Injections; Does That Make You "Hesitant?"

Vaccine: Twenty Countries Suspend Injections; Does That Make You "Hesitant?"

by <u>Jon Rappoport</u>, <u>No More Fake News</u> March 22, 2021

The Guardian: "Several European countries have halted using the Oxford/AstraZeneca Covid vaccine..."

The Guardian has a brand new definition of "several." Their own article lists the following nations: Austria, Estonia, Latvia, Luxembourg, Lithuania, Romania, Denmark, Norway, Iceland, The Netherlands, Ireland, Germany, France, Italy, Spain, Slovenia, Cyprus, Sweden.

Bulgaria and Thailand have also stopped the jab.

The reason for the "pause?" A "small" number of people have developed blood clots.

And now, as I write this, the Wall St. Journal is reporting

that European Union medical regulators have decided everything is OK—"the benefits of the shots outweigh the risks." Standard boilerplate language for: "we don't have to explain the vaccine injuries or deaths."

If you believe just a few people with blood clots caused 20 countries to stop giving the jabs, I have condos on Mars for sale.

Hidden behind the firewall of the vaccine establishment, MANY people are keeling over.

And why wouldn't they? Governments and pharma companies have rushed a new experimental RNA technology into use, for the first time in history. Prior to the COVID injection, all attempts to force approval of RNA tech had failed; dangerous and deadly over-reaction of the immune system was the reason.

Since I seem to be one of the only people saying this, I'll say it again: Bill Gates, Fauci, and other rabid vaccinators are in love with RNA tech. It allows vaccines to be produced far more quickly, easily, and cheaply.

For any purported virus, at the drop of a hat, companies can come up with a vaccine. It doesn't take four years. It takes three months.

"We just discovered a virus that crossed over from geese. And here's a new one from Easter bunnies. And another new one just drifted in from Jupiter. We'll have vaccines ready by Christmas. The seventh mutation of SARS-CoV-2 has its own vaccine as of yesterday. If you want to take the kiddies to Disneyland, find one of those pretty pink vans parked in your town, take the shot and receive your updated Immunity Certificate..."

Then there is this: the COVID vaccines manufactured by AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and Moderna are completely ineffective at preventing serious illness. BY DESIGN.

Months ago, a NY Times piece, by Peter Doshi and Eric Topol, spelled it out.

September 22, 2020: "These Coronavirus Trials Don't Answer the One Question We Need to Know":

"If you were to approve a coronavirus vaccine, would you approve one that you only knew protected people only from the most mild form of Covid-19, or one that would prevent its serious complications?" [Clue: "most mild" means cough, or chills and fever, which cure themselves without the need for a vaccine.]

"The answer is obvious. You would want to protect against the worst cases."

"But that's not how the companies testing three of the leading coronavirus vaccine candidates, Moderna, Pfizer and AstraZeneca, whose U.S. trial is on hold, are approaching the problem."

"According to the protocols for their studies, which they released late last week, a vaccine could meet the companies' benchmark for success if it lowered the risk of mild Covid-19, but was never shown to reduce moderate or severe forms of the disease, or the risk of hospitalization, admissions to the intensive care unit or death."

"To say a vaccine works should mean that most people no longer run the risk of getting seriously sick. That's not what these trials will determine."

The COVID shot: dangerous AND ineffective.

Trump's coronavirus task force knew the truth. Biden's task force knows the truth. But they don't care.

The CDC and the WHO know. They don't care, either.

But these authorities are very nervous, because droves of

people are avoiding the vaccine. It's not "hesitancy."

It's utter rejection.

Sensible rejection.

It began soon after the initial rollout of the Pfizer vaccine. NBC News, December 31, 2020:

"A large percentage of front-line workers in hospitals and nursing homes have refused to take the Covid-19 vaccine…"

"About 50 percent of front-line workers in California's Riverside County have refused to take the vaccine…"

"Anecdotally, an estimated 60 percent of Ohio nursing home employees have refused the vaccine already..."

"A survey of 2,053 New York City firefighters found that more than half said they would refuse the Covid-19 vaccine when it became available to them..."

And all that was long before 20 countries suspended the injection.

I'll close, for now, with two statements about the role vaccines have played in eliminating deaths from diseases-because true history matters:

"The combined death rate from scarlet fever, diphtheria, whooping cough and measles among children up to fifteen shows that nearly 90 percent of the total decline in mortality between 1860 and 1965 had occurred before the introduction of antibiotics and widespread immunization. In part, this recession may be attributed to improved housing and to a decrease in the virulence of micro-organisms, but by far the most important factor was a higher host-resistance due to better nutrition." Ivan Illich, Medical Nemesis, Bantam Books, 1977 Robert F Kennedy, Jr.: "After extensively studying a century of recorded data, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Johns Hopkins researchers concluded: 'Thus vaccinations does not account for the impressive declines in mortality from infectious diseases seen in the first half of the twentieth century'."

"Similarly, in 1977, Boston University epidemiologists (and husband and wife) John and Sonja McKinlay published their seminal work in the Millbank Memorial Fund Quarterly on the role that vaccines (and other medical interventions) played in the massive 74% decline in mortality seen in the twentieth century: 'The Questionable Contribution of Medical Measures to the Decline of Mortality in the United States in the Twentieth Century'."

"In this article, which was formerly required reading in U.S. medical schools, the McKinlays pointed out that 92.3% of the mortality rate decline happened between 1900 and 1950, before most vaccines existed, and that all medical measures, including antibiotics and surgeries, 'appear to have contributed little to the overall decline in mortality in the United States since about 1900 – having in many instances been introduced several decades after a marked decline had already set in and having no detectable influence in most instances'."

New Military Policies Coerce Service Members Into Getting COVID Vaccines

New Military Policies Coerce Service Members Into Getting COVID Vaccines

Under Emergency Use Authorization, a vaccine must be voluntary. Yet military officers are coercing service members into taking COVID vaccines by withholding privileges from the unvaccinated.

by <u>Pam Long</u>, <u>The Defender</u> March 19, 2021

The military has imposed widespread coercive policies to reach a goal of 100% vaccinated personnel.

Despite the <u>COVID-19 vaccine</u> being voluntary under an <u>Emergency Use Authorization</u> (EUA), service members are being subjected to segregation policies designed to coerce them into taking an <u>experimental</u> drug.

The COVID vaccines have <u>not demonstrated</u> efficacy in preventing infection or transmission, nor do these vaccines have long-term safety data.

Unvaccinated: restricted access to public amenities

At Altus Air Force Base in Oklahoma, Col. Matthew A. Leard, recently signed a memorandum, <u>"97 AMW Policies and Directives</u> <u>Regarding Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)</u>" for all personnel with two very different lists of restrictions for those vaccinated and not vaccinated:

"Vaccinated Personnel: Paragraph 2 of this policy applies only to those personnel who have received both doses of a COVID-19 vaccine, and at least 5 days have passed since the receipt of the second dose. Paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 9 and 10 of this policy do not apply to COVID vaccinated personnel, subject to the conditions in paragraph 2.d. All personnel who have not received both doses of the vaccine are subject to paragraphs 3-10 below."

The unvaccinated have restrictions on the following: leave and travel, access to off-base events and establishments including restaurants and bars and access to gathering places on base at chapels and theaters, with requirements to wear masks, <u>test</u> for <u>COVID</u> and participate in contact tracing.

The memorandum specifies that proof of vaccination is required to have access to public amenities:

"Vaccinated individuals may be required to provide proof they are vaccinated against COVID-19 in order to avail themselves of these policies for vaccinated personnel. Individuals who decline to provide proof of COVID-19 vaccination will not be granted the privileges of paragraphs 2.a and are required to abide by paragraphs 3-10 below."

The creation of two classes of people, the vaccinated and unvaccinated, assumes that the vaccinated have immunity when in fact none of the COVID vaccines have demonstrated <u>efficacy</u> in <u>preventing</u> infection or transmission. The COVID vaccine trials were designed to reduce symptoms.

The Altus Air Force Base policy assumes that the unvaccinated are disease spreaders, without any regard for naturally acquired <u>immunity</u>.

Unvaccinated: threats of termination

At U.S. Southern Command in Doral Florida, a veteran who is now a federal officer working for the military as a civilian, told <u>The Defender</u> that his supervisor informed him that the COVID vaccine was required, and his refusal would result in termination.

The veteran's financial situation compelled him to take the vaccine under these circumstances. He suffered a <u>vaccine</u> <u>reaction</u>, and he is now gathering legal advice relating U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) coercion to take the vaccine.

The veteran was not given information about <u>informed</u> <u>consent</u> or any information on his legal right to refuse.

Incongruously, the <u>Civil Rights Act</u> that outlawed <u>discrimination in the workplace</u> on the basis of race, religion, nationality and gender, is not being applied to vaccination status.

An active duty sailor reported to The Defender that his executive officer misinformed the crew that they can sue for any injuries from the vaccine, which is false assurance under the liability protections for all COVID countermeasures in the <u>Public Readiness and Preparedness (PREP) Act</u> of 2020.

The sailor's superiors set a goal for 100% of the ship to get vaccinated, without information on contraindications or risks of <u>adverse reactions</u>. If the ship reaches the 100% goal, then sailors will no longer be required to wear masks when at sea, adding peer pressure to coerce vaccine acceptance.

According to the sailor, his leaders will prohibit unvaccinated sailors from leaving the ship when pier side, but the vaccinated will retain this privilege to go home at night. He will be confined to the ship for six months. His chain of command questioned him on his reasons for not choosing the vaccine, and required him to sign a counseling form for refusal.

In the military, counseling forms can negatively affect promotion, selection for advanced training and leadership positions, and preferred duty assignments. All of these policies were justified for health and welfare that promote military readiness.

Although the COVID vaccines are voluntary under EUA, these policies are designed to coerce uptake of an experimental drug, without the required discussion of alternatives with established safety and effectiveness.

Evidence is lacking that leadership is taking active measures to protect those who might be contraindicated for the vaccine (including personnel who recently recovered from <u>SARS-CoV2</u>) when sailors report the goal is 100% vaccinated.

These policies are a slippery slope towards <u>Jim Crow</u> <u>Laws</u> applied to vaccine status.

Leaders circumventing EAU requirements

It is clear that the military understands that the COVID vaccine under EUA cannot be <u>mandated</u> and must be voluntary. It is a leadership failure that the military is <u>exaggerating the benefits</u> of the vaccine, while omitting the risks and alternatives.

This pattern of documented policies could be the legal basis for "willful misconduct" that is necessary to seek compensation for a vaccine injury under the PREP Act. Under <u>Doe v. Rumsfeld</u>, EUA vaccines cannot be compulsory in the military without a presidential waiver.

The chain of command is blatantly acting in subterfuge of a non-existent presidential order to compel a vaccine that is not licensed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Highranking officers are using deceit and abuse of power to reach their goal of 100% vaccinated for an "exceeds expectation" on their next evaluation at the risk of medical experimentation of the entire military force.

Under Federal law, no employer, including the U.S Department of Defense, can mandate the COVID vaccine under EUA. <u>The</u> <u>Defender has previously summarized</u> federal EUA law prohibiting mandates as a resource.

©March 2021 Children's Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children's Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children's Health Defense? <u>Sign up</u> for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. Your <u>donation</u> will help to support us in our efforts.

Hollywood Movies Featuring "Deadly Viruses" on the Loose

Hollywood Movies Featuring "Deadly Viruses" on the Loose

by Jon Rappoport, No More Fake News March 19, 2021

Wikipedia has a page listing "films about viral outbreaks." I count 134 titles. Obviously, the theme has legs.

A few of the more famous movies: I am Legend; The Omega Man; The Andromeda Strain; Outbreak; Maze Runner: The Death Cure; Resident Evil: Apocalypse; Contagion.

My overall review: ridiculous plots; fear porn; softens up the public to accept the notion of pandemics.

Manufacturing 134 movies on the same subject, you can sell almost anything. Zombies, toasters, alarm clocks that have long noses, golf balls from Mars, cave women with flawless teeth and perfect makeup and salon-sculptured hair and carefully engineered cleavage.

But in this case, it's viruses.

At rwjf.org, there's <u>an interesting interview</u> with Scott Burns, who wrote the screenplay for the 2011 film, Contagion, and the technical consultant on the project, Dr. Ian Lipkin, director of the Center for Infection and Immunity at Columbia University. Here are excerpts: Scott Burns: "Obviously I worked with Ian, and early on I also met Dr. Larry Brilliant, who was very helpful [and certainly brilliant]. I had seen Larry's TED talk where he showed the Malthusian charge through the world the virus would have. I also worked with Laurie Garrett on the movie, because she had written this book, The Coming Plague, which was very, very useful to me in sort of teasing out how these things have a medical component, but they also have a social justice component and a political component and all sorts of interesting aspects of human behavior."

Dr. Ian Lipkin: "I started very early with Scott. There were a lot of people who contributed—CDC, WHO and others… Scott would bounce ideas off of me and others in his 'brain trust' and most of the time we were in accord. My role grew dramatically over the course of production. It began with just a consultation, and then I rapidly moved into helping the set designer in designing the virus, and we had a few days where we had actors come to the lab and spend some time working at the bench, learning how to pipette and look through microscopes and get into gowns and such. And even at the very end, I was working with the sound engineer, recording sound for the movie—lab background and that sort of thing. I did a lot of traveling with the crew. It was like a circus."

"We settled on that virus [a paramyxovirus] within the first half an hour with Laurie and Scott and I, high above Columbus Circle in New York. We threw out a number of possibilities to Scott and he batted them down, and then one came to mind that struck me as the perfect choice, simply because there had been some reports earlier suggesting this virus, which wasn't readily transmissible, had become readily transmissible to humans—that was Nipah. It also gave Scott and the director and actors an opportunity to do more than just cough and die. They could develop seizures, they could have hallucinations—all sorts of things that were much more interesting than a standard respiratory disease. We settled on that really within the first thirty minutes, and then Scott went back, thought about it and decided it was a good way to run. It doesn't take much fuel for him to run quite a distance."

Turns out that designing a movie about a pandemic is pretty much the same sort of project as designing a fake COVID pandemic in the world.

You pick out a story about a virus, give it an authentic feel, embroider it, and sell it.

People buy it.

In the interest of balance and fairness, I've written a few notes for a screenplay that would take a different approach:

In New York, the body of a dead virologist floats to the surface of the East River.

After a brief round of speculation that he might have perished from a mysterious viral infection, the coroner announces the cause of death was three gunshot wounds to the head.

A lone NYPD detective (divorced, alcoholic, disparaged by fellow officers, heroic) discovers the dead virologist's notebook inside the freezer in the virologist's apartment.

He thaws it out and reads this: "The coronavirus has never been isolated. It's a fake. They're selling a fairy tale about a virus."

Two days later, a beautiful woman doctor (with engineered cleavage) from the CDC shows up at the detective's apartment. Somehow she knows the cop has found the virologist's notebook.

They talk. The mutual attraction should be immediately evident. If not, the brief cuts of sex they're having on the floor provide sufficient evidence for the audience.

Two days later, the beautiful CDC doctor disappears.

The police detective is warned (anonymous phone message) to stay away from the case of the dead virologist.

Hey, it's a B movie. Low budget. Could shoot the whole thing over a weekend in Manhattan.

But we need some kind of twist.

So it turns out the detective, the dead virologist, and the beautiful woman doctor from the CDC are just story ideas in the mind of a screenwriter, who, in the movie, is pitching the project to producers in an office high above Columbus Circle.

He's pitching a movie that exposes a fake virus and a fake pandemic.

But wait. There's more. In a mind-bending revelation, we learn that the screenwriter and the producers are secret agents from a distant planet called PROPAGANDA.

They've come to Earth to promote a fake pandemic…but a conflict has developed among them. The screenwriter has decided he wants to blow the whistle on his bosses from PROPAGANDA, and the producers want to carry out their mission to sell the people of Earth fake COVID-19, as if it were real.

The movie is basically an extended conversation about fake vs. real, virus vs. no virus, pandemic vs. hoax.

As the screenwriter earnestly pitches his film, his ideas will come to life, briefly, on the screen: there's enough cleavage, sex, and murder to satisfy the Hollywood code.

Will the agents from the planet PROPAGANDA succeed in selling Earth the notion that COVID is real? Or will the heroic defector, the screenwriter, succeed in foiling the whole operation?

Stay tuned...

The 134 Hollywood movies about outbreaks of viruses aren't only programming audiences. They're instruction manuals for planners who launch fake pandemics.

Definition of "fake pandemic": a movie that is happening in the world, not on a screen, in which the suffering and the pain are REAL-but are not the result of a virus. For further reference, see "lockdowns, mask mandates, business bankruptcies, suicides, vaccine damage, police state, and true believers (e.g., deranged hostile masked vegan Whole Foods shoppers, so-called science bloggers living in mommy's basement, etc.)."

Definition of "virus": any presumed particle that has never been isolated.

Definition of "virologist": any person on the payroll of Bill Gates or entities Gates funds.

Alternate definition of "fake pandemic": any medical event involving large numbers of people that never ends. For further reference, see "keep wearing two masks after being vaccinated."

Immortal quotes from Hollywood virus-movies:

Outbreak, Dustin Hoffman—"I'll say it one last time. These [infected] people that you're going to bomb are not the enemy. We can kill the virus without killing these people. I swear on my soul that the President does not have the facts. He doesn't know we have a working serum [antidote]."

Contagion, Jennifer Ehle-"Somewhere in the world, the wrong pig met up with the wrong bat."

I Am Legend, Will Smith— "Blood tests confirm that I am immune to both the airborne and contact strains…Vaccine trials continue, I'm still unable to transfer my immunity to infected hosts. The Krippen Virus is… elegant…Hmm, a behavioral note, um, an infected male exposed himself to sunlight today. Now, it's possible decreased brain function or the growing scarcity of food is causing them to…ignore their basic survival instincts. Social de-evolution appears complete. Typical human behavior is now entirely absent."

Would you buy a used car from these people?

UN Food Summit Boycotted Over Gates Influence

UN Food Summit Boycotted Over Gates Influence

by **Dr. Joseph Mercola**, *mercola.com* March 19, 2021

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- Hundreds of farmers and human rights groups are boycotting the 2021 United Nations Food Systems Summit because they believe it favors agribusiness interests, elite foundations and the exploitation of African food systems
- The controversy began when Agnes Kalibata was appointed as the event's head; Kalibata is the president of the Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA), an organization funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
- Gates is promoting an agricultural agenda that supports agrochemicals, patented seeds, fake meat and corporate control

 Planning documents for the Summit also reveal plans for a "radical transformation shift" in Africa, away from traditional farming practices and toward industrial farming – even describing the potential as the "new oil"

Hundreds of farmers and human rights groups are boycotting the 2021 United Nations Food Systems Summit because they believe it favors agribusiness interests, elite foundations and the exploitation of African food systems.¹

The Summit claims it is convening to "launch bold new actions to transform the way the world produces and consumes food,"² but critics say it is biased toward industrial, corporate farming while leaving out those in regenerative agriculture and the knowledge of indigenous people.³

The controversy began right from the start, when U.N. secretary general António Guterres appointed Agnes Kalibata as the event's head. Kalibata is the former Rwandan agriculture minister who is now the president of the Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA), an organization funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.⁴

AGRA is essentially a Gates Foundation subsidiary, and while some of its projects appear to be beneficial, most of its goals are centered on promoting biotechnology and chemical fertilizers.

Corporate Interests Dominating Food Summit

After Kalibata was appointed special envoy to the 2021 United Nations Food Systems Summit in December 2019, 176 civil society organizations and farmer groups from 83 countries urged Guterres to withdraw the appointment due to Kalibata's clear conflicts of interest with corporate interests.

A second statement, signed by more than 500 academics and organizations, also opposed Kalibata's appointment to, and her

organization of, the Summit.⁵ AGRA is known to promote the interests of agribusiness, leading civil society organizations to argue that Kalibata's appointment was a clear conflict of interest.

"This concern over Kalibata's nomination has been largely borne-out by Kalibata's top-down approach to organizing the Summit and her exclusion of those most affected by food insecurity and malnutrition in the planning process," according to an August 2020 report by AGRA Watch.⁶

A dozen individuals representing development banks, academic institutions and the private sector came forward in support of Kalibata, but "11 had past or current connections to the Gates Foundation," AGRA Watch reported, adding:⁷

"These findings illustrate the influence of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) on global food and agricultural policy. AGRA Watch has continually documented the role of the BMGF in influencing agricultural development, which has grown immensely in recent years.

That Gates Foundation seeks to exercise influence not only through its funding of projects and shaping of expertise, but also in funding the governance platforms that determine food and agricultural policy. This role of the BMGF in driving policy decisions based on its proprietary and technological model of agricultural development is often overlooked."

Precision Agriculture, Genetic Engineering Take Center Stage

Concerns that the Summit was dominated by corporate industry heightened when its concept paper included precision agriculture, data collection and <u>genetic engineering</u> as pillars for addressing food security while leaving out regenerative agriculture. As reported by The Guardian, Michael Fakhri, the U.N. special rapporteur on the right to food, wrote to Kalibata stating that the Summit was focused on "science and technology, money and markets" while leaving fundamental questions about inequality, accountability and governance unaddressed:⁸

"It [appears] heavily skewed in favor of one type of approach to food systems, namely market-based solutions ... it leaves out experimental/traditional knowledge that has the acute effect of excluding indigenous peoples and their knowledge. The business sector has been part of the problem of food systems and has not been held accountable."

The 300 million-member Civil Society and Indigenous Peoples' Mechanism announced plans to boycott the Summit and set up a meeting of their own, while others, including Sofía Monsalve Suárez, head of nutrition rights group Fian International, questioned the Summit's legitimacy:⁹

"We cannot jump on a train that is heading in the wrong direction ... We sent a letter last year to the secretary general about our concerns. It was not answered. We sent another last month, which has also not been answered. The summit appears extremely biased in favor of the same actors who have been responsible for the food crisis."

Other nutrition experts also expressed the need for the Summit to be more inclusive of initiatives such as agro-ecology and food sovereignty.

Food Group Calls on UN to Sever Ties With WEF

A group of 148 organizations from 28 countries also called on the U.N. to revoke their 2019 strategic partnership formed with the <u>World Economic Forum</u> (WEF). WEF's involvement with the Summit has been called a form of "corporate hijacking" that would infringe on people's rights to food and food production. According to the People's Coalition on Food Sovereignty:¹⁰

"The WEF will exploit the Summit to streamline neoliberal globalization, which it has espoused for the past 50 years. It is the perfect venue to push for the role of 'Fourth Industrial Revolution technologies' to transform food systems, which the WEF has been championing since 2017.

A corporate-led FSS [Food Systems Summit] would be a great advantage to the political elites and corporate billionaires, enabling them to pose hypocritically as responsible entities that promote healthier diets and climate action.

... The sidelined and marginalized sectors in society – the poor farmers, workers, Indigenous Peoples, herders, pastoralists, fisherfolks, urban poor, women, Dalits, and youth – should replace these corporate moguls in shaping the Summit's proceedings and reforms."

Beyond the Summit, WEF's takeover of the U.N. has been denounced by more than 400 civil society organizations and 40 international networks, which claim it will only accelerate the move toward a privatized, undemocratic global takeover. Monsalve Suárez stated:¹¹

"Corporations in the global industrial food chain alone destroy 75 billion tons of topsoil annually and are responsible for the annual loss of 7.5 million hectares of forest. This destruction, along with other factors, leaves 3.9 billion underfed or malnourished people. The WEF represents the interests of those who destroy the environment and abuse our human rights. It cannot be considered a strategic partner in solving the world's crises."

Africa's Traditional Food Systems Under Attack

Planning documents for the Summit also reveal plans for a "radical transformation shift" in Africa, away from traditional farming practices and toward industrial farming – even describing the potential as the "new oil."¹² The African Centre for Biodiversity (ACB), which released the documents, said the plans recycle the "same false solutions … with the same narrow benefits accruing to a limited number of actors."¹³

For instance, one section of the documents is titled "the promise of digital and biotechnologies and the transformation of food systems," and describes "the significant potential for capturing large economic, social and environmental payoffs from the use of biotechnology products … In West Africa, for instance, farmers can benefit significantly from the adoption of Bt cotton."¹⁴

Technology and development take center stage, along with "strengthening the use of big data" for decisions on things like fertilizer use, genetically engineered crops and "accessing markets." As noted by U.S. Right to Know:¹⁵

"This agenda aligns perfectly with the plans of the agrichemical industry, the Gates Foundation and its main agricultural development program, the Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa, which encourages African countries to pass business-friendly policies and scale up markets for patented seeds, fossil-fuel based fertilizers and other industrial inputs they say are necessary to boost food production."

"The main problem with AGRA," Global Justice Now explains, "is that it is laying the groundwork for the deeper penetration of African agriculture by agribusiness corporations," and:

"The BMGF, through AGRA, is one of the world's largest

promoters of chemical fertiliser. Some grants given by the BMGF to AGRA have been specifically intended to 'help AGRA build the fertiliser supply chain' in Africa. One of the largest of AGRA's own grants, worth \$25 million, was to help establish the African Fertiliser Agribusiness Partnership (AFAP) in 2012 whose very goal is to 'at least double total fertiliser use' in Africa."¹⁶

Bill Gates Is the Biggest Owner of US Farmland

The BMGF's involvement in the Summit is also self-serving, as Bill Gates <u>owns more farmland in the U.S.</u> than any other private farmer, having purchased a total of 242,000 acres – much of it considered some of the richest soil in the U.S. – at a frenzied pace over the past few years.¹⁷

Gates, however, isn't interested in regenerative agriculture but instead is furthering an agricultural agenda that supports agrochemicals, patented seeds, <u>fake meat</u> and corporate control – interests that undermine regenerative, sustainable, smallscale farming. One of the key players in this agenda is the widespread adoption of synthetic meat.

Gates has made it clear that he believes switching to synthetic beef is the solution to reducing methane emissions that come from animals raised on concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs).¹⁸

The strong recommendation to replace beef with fake meat is made in Gates' book "How to Avoid a Climate Disaster: The Solutions We Have and the Breakthroughs We Need," which was released in February 2021.¹⁹ In an interview with MIT Technology Review, he goes so far as to say that people's behaviors should be changed to learn to like fake meat and, if that doesn't work, regulations could do the trick.²⁰

What many aren't aware of, however, is that Gates is either

personally invested in, or invested in via Breakthrough Energy Ventures, fake meat companies like <u>Beyond Meats</u>, <u>Impossible</u> <u>Foods</u>, Memphis Meats and other companies he actively promotes.²¹

When asked whether he thinks plant-based and lab-grown meats could "be the full solution to the protein problem globally," he says that, in middle- to above-income countries, yes, and that people can "get used to it."²²

Small Farmers, Regenerative Agriculture Are the Answer

The U.N. Food Summit is poised to bow down to corporate ideology instead of embracing the small farmers and regenerative practices that have true potential to feed the world and heal the planet. If you're new to this discussion, you can find the top <u>six reasons to support regenerative</u> <u>agriculture here</u>. As Timothy Wise, senior adviser at the Institute for Agriculture and Trade Policy, told The Guardian:²³

"A growing number of farmers, scientists and development experts now advocate a shift from high-input chemicalintensive agriculture to low-input ecological farming. They are supported by an array of new research documenting both the risks of continuing to follow our current practices and the potential benefits of a transition to more sustainable farming."

Connect with Dr. Joseph Mercola

The Crumbling Public Health PSYOP

The Crumbling Public Health PSYOP

by **Jefferey Jaxen**, <u>The HighWire</u> March 16, 2021

The RAND corporation defines psychological warfare as involving the planned use of propaganda and other psychological operations, or PSYOPs, to influence the opinions, emotions, attitudes, and behavior of opposition groups.

Meanwhile, <u>Goarmy.gov</u> defines individuals PSYOP operators as "Experts in their field, they specialize in unconventional capabilities, cultural expertise, language proficiency, military deception and advanced communications techniques encompassing all forms of media."

From health officials to governments and corporate media outlets to social influencers, the general public has been the target of a sustained PSYOP in the guise of public health over the past year. Public heath officials have used the Covid-19 pandemic as a justification to green light several aspects of psychological warfare upon the public.

In the UK, <u>it's openly admitted</u> that the secretive British Army unit's 77th Brigade and Specialist Group Military Intelligence, once used against foreign enemy combatants, is now targeting its own public domestically in an aggressive more to shape public thought and neutralize independent voices.

Several aspects of the coronavirus response used by public

health officials could be categorized as myopic, ham-fisted and shortsighted. For example, sustained global lockdowns of all society, never been done before for more destructive viruses and disease, should never have happened. The science was, and still is clear. The data now proves it and history warned against it.

A <u>study</u> published in *Nature*, one of many scientific examples of the failed lockdown strategies, found that staying at home did not play a dominant role in reducing COVID-19 transmission. The researchers concluded "...using this methodology and current data, in ~ 98% of the comparisons using 87 different regions of the world we found no evidence that the number of deaths/million is reduced by staying at home."

In short, the lockdowns have failed. Many governors are racing to reopen their states. Leaders are also hoping that regranting freedoms to their populace will erase any memory that they were the same people who put them in the social and economic chokehold in the first place. An overly aggressive, pointless move which suffocated their life, liberty and livelihoods for the past year while ignoring pleas and protests to stop.

As the lockdowns were being pushed as viable options by public health experts, countless global voices expressed widespread disagreement and caution to no avail.

The Great Barrington Declaration, with over 55,000 signatures from public health scientists and medical practitioners, stands as a testament and unheeded warning against widespread lockdowns – despite attempts by the media to vilify its scientific points and a push by Big Tech social media to censor its continued presence.

The public voice and scientific warnings of former Trumpadministration Covid task force senior advisor Dr. Scott Atlas was targeted for social elimination. He questioned both the lockdowns and keeping kids out of school during his short tenure.

At the time, coordinated media attacks working in lockstep with focused social media censorship were able to manufacture a false consensus painting Dr. Atlas as promoting "<u>dangerous</u> <u>falsehoods and behaviors and showing "disdain for established</u> <u>medical knowledge</u>." Nothing could have been further from the truth.

In the fast-moving flow of Covid information warfare, the astroturf campaign worked, even if only for a short period of time. Unfortunately for society, Atlas's warnings based on readily available science have been continually proven right. In a recent piece, Atlas <u>writes</u>:

"...despite all efforts, there was an undeniable failure to stop cases from rapidly escalating and prevent hospitalizations and death."

"All legitimate policy scholars should, today, be openly reexamining policies that severely harmed America's families and children, while failing to save the elderly."

What does the public have to show for allowing sustained lockdowns? Widespread harms and unnecessary deaths from missed hospital care for a myriad of critical health emergencies such as strokes, heart attacks, organ transplant surgeries and other vital issues.

Skyrocketing domestic and child abuse, a four-fold increases in depression, three-fold increases in anxiety symptoms and a doubling of suicidal ideation, particularly among young <u>adults</u> – college age – after the first few months of lockdowns. With an overall increase in drug overdoses and suicides.

Widespread unemployment <u>leading to an additional 890,000 U.S.</u>

<u>deaths</u> over the next 15 years disproportionately affecting minorities and women.

If the devil is real, he would have been a big fan of the lockdowns.

Now society has entered an interesting junction. The PSYOP crowd is still operating. Moving away from hard-driving the lockdown messaging and into vaccine (mis)information. There's a current window that allows for clarity to see the psychological warfare in action.

The golden ticket to reenter society and enjoy 'normal' again was supposed to be vaccine compliance and its accompanying electronic surveillance and tracking system to ensure it. That isn't up and running yet.

The 'Green Pass/Freedom Certificate' narrative has fallen behind and is still being marketed with consent working to be manufactured in the media. There's a bigger problem. The shots are still experimental under emergency use. In other words, despite how <u>Fauci tried to spin it recently</u>, they are *not* approved by the FDA.

People are asking too many inconvenient questions. Can it stop transmission? Can it stop infection? What about long-term safety? Can I sue the manufacturer if I get injured? If it works so well, why are boosters already being made?

In addition, the PSYOP crowd has been working nonstop, 24/7, day and night to explain away a laundry list of and illnesses and even deaths occurring shortly after the vaccine as mere coincidence. Their op has had little success with continually diminishing returns as time goes on. Especially as much of the data shows climbing peaks of severe illness and mortality following vaccine campaigns.

More stumbling blocks were added as Astrazenca's shot has been shelved due to safety concerns by the following countries and counting daily: Germany, France, Italy, Spain, Denmark, Ireland, Thailand, the Netherlands, Norway, Iceland, Congo, Bulgaria, Canada.

PSYOP public health officials are now trying to make two ends, drifting further away by the moment, meet. Masks are coming off, main street's are opening in states across America and summer is right around the corner.

The data, science and public distaste will not allow another large-scale lockdown to occur. In short, the incentives to get vaccinated is waning...fast. The op was laid bare by Washington Post opinion columnist Leana Wen, M.D. in her recent interview

Priceless! "vaccine is the ticket back to pre-pandemic life" "We have a narrow window to tie reopening policy to vaccination status because otherwise if everything is reopened then what's the carrot going to be? How are we going to incentivise people to actually get the vaccine" <u>https://t.co/UFF4IssBmd</u>

- Abir Ballan ? (@abirballan) <u>March 14, 2021</u>

Wen is a doctor and we are supposed to respect her expertise. But how educated and informed is she when one can simply look at any one of several ethics codes and doctrines from around the world and see that they all universally agree individuals should never be forced or coerced into an experimental medical produce without choice and informed consent?

When looking at the evidence of continued failure and extreme efforts of deception (and often violence) utilized, one must ask to what ends and to whose advantage are the public health PSYOP and its operators working towards?

James Corbett & James Evan Pilato: On March 20 "Worldwide Rally for Freedom", Moderna Vaccine for Kids & More

New World Next Week with James Evan Pilato

by James Corbett w/ James Evan Pilato, <u>The Corbett Report</u> March 18, 2021

Welcome to New World Next Week — the video series from Corbett Report and Media Monarchy that covers some of the most important developments in open source intelligence news. This week:

Watch on <u>Archive</u> / <u>BitChute</u> / <u>LBRY</u> / <u>Minds</u> / <u>YouTube</u> or <u>Download the</u> <u>mp4</u>

Story #1: March 20 "World Wide Rally For Freedom"

https://www.startpage.com/do/search?q=March+20+World+Wide+Rall
y+Freedom

QAnon Groups on Telegram Seethe with Covid Denialism and Vaccine Misinformation https://archive.is/cpznz

Global March 20 Anti-Vaccine Protests Promoted by QAnon-Linked

Groups <u>https://archive.is/I3DX6</u>

Far-Right Trump Supporters Hope to Use RFK Jr.-Backed Protests
to Stage Comeback
https://archive.ph/FVEV1

No to the new normal which takes away the future of Japan and children's smiles. https://twitter.com/jimakudaio/status/1371045888001474561

Nagoya, Tokyo, Osaka, Okinawa, Kyushu, Shikoku, Tohoku and Hokkaido <u>https://www.facebook.com/events/188525632676626</u>

World Wide Rally - Walk For Freedom - Calgary, Alberta
https://thelibertyclub.ca/event/world-wide-rally-walk-for-freedom-calgary-alberta/

No Lockdown, Yes Freedom: New Mexico Statewide Rally https://cdn.discordapp.com/attachments/821800098953691178/8218 00379691433994/image0.jpg

Daily Kos: CIA-Engineered Controlled Opposition? (Aug. 9, 2007)

https://web.archive.org/web/20071011031548/http://kurtnimmo.co m/?p=950

Story #2: Moderna Begins Study of COVID-19 Vaccine In Kids
https://archive.is/dnL9E

Toronto Lockdown Czar's Husband Has 'Financial Ties' to Pfizer <u>https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/toronto-lockdown-czars-husba</u> <u>nd-has-financial-ties-to-pfizer-astrazeneca</u>

"Doctor" Fauci sat down for an interview with Mexican "comedian"

https://twitter.com/wakeupfromcovid/status/1370188597366784003

Fauci gets grilled by Mexican Comedian

https://odysee.com/@TruthPills:5/derbez:3

'Vaccine Secrets': What Parents Should Know Before They Vaccinate Their Kids <u>https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaccine-secrets-pa</u> <u>rents-should-know-before-vaccinate/</u>

Story #3: Spotify Censors Art for "Misinformation," Pulls Ian Brown's Anti-Lockdown Track

https://reclaimthenet.org/spotify-censors-ian-browns-anti-lock
down-track/

NSFW: Media Monarchy Cancels His Spotify Premium, Asks Questions About Other Pop Songs <u>https://twitter.com/mediamonarchy/status/1372018888674713600</u>

Visit NewWorldNextWeek.com to get previous episodes in various formats to download, burn and share.

And as always, stay up-to-date by subscribing to the feeds from Corbett Report (<u>https://corbettreport.com/members</u>]]]]) and Media Monarchy (<u>https://mediamonarchy.com/join</u>]]]).

Covid Vaccines: Dr. Sherri Tenpenny Describes the Many Mechanisms of Injury – "This Is a Very Well-Designed Killing Machine" Covid Vaccines: Dr. Sherri Tenpenny Describes the Many Mechanisms of Injury

"This is a very well-designed killing machine." "They know exactly what they're doing."

<u>The Top 10 Ways the Shot Will Affect You, Reinette</u> <u>Senum Interviews Dr Sherri Tenpenny</u>

by **<u>Reinette Senum</u>**, <u>*Reinette Senum's Chew on This*</u> March 12, 2021

Dr. Sherri Tenpenny gives step by step explanations of the Covid inoculations— what most medical professionals, and public health department directors either don't know, or aren't telling you.

Dr, Tenpenny breaks down the different inoculations, including the most recent Johnson & Johnson release, will do to unwitting individuals.

This interview is a staggering revelation of what the world's largest biological experiment will do to the planet's human population.

[As a service to protect truth from censorship and to share widely, mirrored copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light <u>BitChute</u>, <u>Brighteon</u>, <u>Lbry/Odysee</u> channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original source of this video. Please follow links provided to support their work.] <u>Connect with Dr. Sherri Tenpenny at Vaxxter</u>

Connect with Reinette Senum at The Foghorn Express

James Corbett w/ Curtis Stone: An Introduction to Homesteading

Homesteading

by <u>James Corbett</u>, <u>The Corbett Report</u> March 18, 2021

Everyone knows that it is becoming harder and harder to maintain a life of independence or achieve community with like-minded people in the modern urban environment.

Today Curtis Stone (formerly known as The Urban Farmer) joins us to discuss how he is creating a homestead in a rural area to provide food, water, energy and shelter for his family.

We discuss the growing movement of people taking the "stead pill" and how others can explore the homesteading solution.

Watch on <u>Archive / BitChute / LBRY</u> / <u>Minds</u> / <u>YouTube</u> or <u>Download the</u> <u>mp4</u>

From the field site: https://fromthefield.tv/

Discuss: President Magufuli Dead at 61 – A Corporate Coup Has Removed Tanzania's "Covid Denying President", and Nobody Should Be Surprised.

Discuss: President Magufuli Dead at 61

A Corporate Coup Has Removed Tanzania's "Covid Denying President", and Nobody Should Be Surprised.

by <u>Kit Knightly</u>, <u>OffGuardian</u> March 18, 2021

After weeks of being out of the public eye, Tanzania's President John Magufuli has died age 61, according to the country's Vice President.

The global press are reporting the death of Tanzania's "Covid denying President" with barely disguised glee.

The official cause of death is rumoured to be a heart attack, but some are implying it may have been due to the virus. The Economist, for example <u>reports</u>:

Many believe the virus was to blame".

As if what "many believe" really means anything.

However it happened - whether virus or heart attack or, ahem,

"suicide" — the long and short of it as that Magufuli is gone. Just as <u>we predicted</u> only a few days ago.

So what now for the East African nation? Well presumably Magufuli's successor — be it the Vice-President, or a hastily elected new leader (perhaps the head of the opposition, given so many column inches in recent weeks) — will take the reins of the country.

Will they continue their predecessor's "Covid denying" policies? I would be astounded.

If what happened in Burundi last summer is any guide, the Tanzanian Covid approach will be totally reversed within a day or two of the President dying.

As the Council on Foreign Relations reported, only <u>last week</u>:

a bold figure within the ruling party could capitalize on the current episode to begin to reverse course."

Expect that "Bold Figure" to rise to prominence very soon, and receive the kind of glowing write-ups in the Western press, that only their hand-picked men ever get.

Bloomberg is already reporting that:

Tanzania's Next Leader to Face Predecessor's Covid Denialism

And that:

New leader must decide whether to change course on Covid-19

The Covid reversals have actually already begun, they were being put in place even before the President was reported dead, with WHO spokespeople praising <u>Tanzania's "new position"</u> on <u>Covid</u> as early as March 12th.

The "new position" will likely be enforced with industrial

blackmail. <u>Bloomberg reports</u>:

Magufuli spearheaded a major infrastructure investment drive, and pending decisions on whether to proceed with several mega-projects will now fall to his successor.

It's not hard to see the obvious financial threat here. "Change your Covid position, or foreign investors will pull out of your infrastructure projects".

Plus, there are the former President's plans to partnationalise the mining industry, which his successor may well be forced to halt, for fear of *"alienating international investors"*:

The nation's new leader will also need to decide whether to run the risk of alienating international investors and press ahead with controversial mining reforms that Magufuli said were needed to ensure the nation derives greater benefit from its natural resources.

It seems fairly obvious there's been a major powerplay in Tanzania, a soft coup using business in place of bullets. But what do you think?

- 1. What will President Magufuli's successor do now?
- 2. Will the WHO be invited back into the country?
- 3. Will they start mass testing?
- 4. Will Tanzania's "hidden pandemic" suddenly come to light?
- 5. Did Magufuli really die of natural causes?
- 6. For those of you who answered yes to question 5, would you like to buy a bridge?

As always, discuss below.

[Go to OffGuardian to join the discussion.]

Study Analyzes Wireless Radiation-COVID Connection

Study Analyzes Wireless Radiation-COVID Connection

by Makia Freeman, *The Freedom Articles* March 17, 2021

The wireless radiation-COVID connection cannot be ignored if one is truly committed to discovering the underlying medical causes of whatever this COVID thing is. I have dedicated most of my articles to exposing the scamdemic, the fake death count, the fake numbers, the fake PCR test, the fake vaccine, the **<u>non-existent virus</u>** and more. However, I am writing this to bring some focus back to what could be a major factor in causing the genuine and legitimate illness some people have experienced from what is called COVID. Early on in the pandemic I talked about the <u>5G-coronavirus connection</u>. Now, I'd like to broaden the focus to EMF (Electromagnetic Fields or Frequencies) and RFR (Radio Frequency Radiation) in general, both of which are forms of non-ionizing radiation. A study this year from January 2021 entitled "Evidence for a Connection between COVID-19 and Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation from Wireless Telecommunications Including <u>Microwaves and Millimeter Waves</u>" analyzes this theme and finds some compelling evidence to support the radiation-COVID connection.

Uncovering the Wireless Radiation-COVID Connection

A hallmark of Operation Coronavirus has been the invention of an entirely new disease (COVID-19) with such broad and vague

symptoms that virtually anything, but especially the common cold, flu and pneumonia, could be reclassified and recategorized as this new disease. My April 2020 article pointed out how it was crucial to avoid the trap of thinking COVID was some new thing, when there was not 1 disease and not <u>1 cause</u>. That being said, it is beyond coincidence that many COVID symptoms match up with many effects of radiation exposure. The authors of the study state they have examined "a large body of peer reviewed literature, since before World War II, on the biological effects of wireless radiation that impact many aspects of our health" and that from this research they were able to discover "intersections between the pathophysiology of COVID-19 and detrimental bioeffects of wireless radiation exposure." Pathophysiology is defined as "a convergence of pathology with physiology" and is the study of the "disordered physiological processes that cause, result from, or are otherwise associated with a disease or injury." In simple English, the study found that many of the so-called effects or symptoms blamed on COVID are identical or remarkably similar to ones caused by wireless radiation.

Hypoxia and Hypoxemia

Let's start with these 2 terms, hypoxia and hypoxemia, which both refer to conditions of under-oxygenation in the body. In the article <u>Masks Cause Damage: Study Reveals Mask-Hypoxia-</u> <u>Blood Clot Connection</u>, I highlighted how wearing masks limits oxygen intake which can then lead to potentially fatal blood clots. This study also found very similar effects between EMF/RFR and COVID when analyzing the blood:

"Two recent studies documented the formation of erythrocyte aggregates (Havas, 2013) and erythrocyte aggregates and echinocyte formation upon human exposure to 4G-LTE smart phone radiation (microwaves) (Rubik, 2014) ... Exposure to radiation from a cell phone for two consecutive 45-minute periods caused two types of effects: initially increased stickiness of peripheral red blood cells and rouleaux formation (rolls of stacked red blood cells) and subsequently formation of echinocytes (spiky red blood cells). Similar red blood cell changes have been described in peripheral blood of COVID-19 patients (Lakhdari et al., 2020). Rouleaux formation is observed in 1/3 of COVID-19 patients, whereas spherocytes and echinocytes have been observed at variable levels. Rouleaux formation impedes the microcirculation. These blood changes may also impede oxygen transport, contributing to hypoxia, and increase the risk of thrombosis (Wagner et al., 2013) and therefore stroke, which can manifest in COVID ... In short, both RFR exposure and COVID-19 can cause deleterious effects on red blood cells and

The tendency for blood to coagulate (thicken) in dangerous ways accompanies both EMF and COVID, and has been pointed out by doctors such as <u>Dr. Robert Young who speaks of the corona</u> <u>effect</u>.

reduced hemoglobin levels contributing to hypoxia in COVID."

Oxidative Stress

Next, the study found that that oxidative stress was another indicator of a radiation-COVID connection. It defines oxidative stress as a "condition reflecting an imbalance between an increased production of ROS [Reactive Oxygen Species] and an inability of the organism to detoxify the ROS or to repair the damage they cause to biomolecules and tissue":

"Immune dysregulation ... has been identified in the cytokine storm accompanying severe COVID-19 infections and generates oxidative stress (Cecchini and Cecchini, 2020). Oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction may further perpetuate the cytokine storm, worsening tissue damage, and increasing the risk of severe illness and death. Similarly low-level RFR generates ROS in cells that cause oxidative damage. In fact, oxidative stress is considered as one of the primary mechanisms in which RFR exposure causes cellular damage. Among 100 currently available peer-reviewed studies investigating oxidative effects of low-intensity RFR, 93 studies confirmed that RFR induces oxidative effects in biological systems (Yakymenko et al., 2015) ... Oxidative stress is also an accepted mechanism causing endothelial damage (Higashi et al., 2009). This may manifest in patients with severe COVID-19 in addition to increasing the risk for blood clot formation and worsening hypoxemia (Cecchini and Cecchini, 2020)."

Immune Dysregulation, Calcium Levels, Heart Disease and More

The study proceeds to look at all the ways that COVID elicits the same kind of response as EMF radiation, including the immune response, intracellular calcium levels, heart disease and arrythmias. In all cases, there is strong evidence of a radiation-COVID connection:

"In short, COVID-19 can lead to immune dysregulation as well as cytokine storm. By comparison, exposure to low-level RFR as observed in animal studies can also compromise the immune system, with chronic daily exposure producing immunosuppression or immune dysregulation including hyperactivation ... cardiac arrhythmias are more commonly

encountered in critically ill patients with COVID … regarding RFR exposure bioeffects, in 1969 Christopher Dodge of the Biosciences Division, U.S. Naval Observatory in Washington DC, reviewed 54papers and reported that RFR can adversely affects all major systems of the body, including impeding blood circulation; altering blood pressure and heart rate; affecting electrocardiograph readings; and causing chest pain and heart palpitations (Dodge, 1969). In the 1970s

Glaser reviewed more than 2000 publications on RFR exposure bioeffects and concluded that microwave radiation can alter the ECG (electrocardiogram), cause chest pain, hypercoagulation, thrombosis, and hypertension in addition to myocardial infarction (Glaser, 1971; 1976)."

The study also specifically mentions 5G:

"Most recently, Bandara and Weller (2017) present evidence that people who live near radar installations (millimeter waves: 5G frequencies) have a greater risk of developing cancer and experiencing heart attacks. Similarly, those occupationally exposed have a greater risk of coronary heart disease. Microwave radiation affects the heart, and some people are more vulnerable if they have an underlying heart abnormality (Cleary, 1969). In short, both COVID-19 and RFR exposure can affect the heart and cardiovascular system, directly and/or indirectly."

The Conclusion of the Study

The point of the study was not to prove causation, but rather to show there is some kind of radiation-COVID connection that needs to be further investigated. The authors write that:

"evidence from the literature summarized here suggests a connection between several adverse health effects of RFR exposure and the clinical course of COVID-19. The evidence indicates that RFR may weaken the host, exacerbate COVID-19 disease, and thereby worsen the pandemic. This evidence presented here does not claim causation. Clearly COVID-19 occurs in regions with little wireless communication. In addition, the relative morbidity caused by RFR exposure in COVID-19 is unknown. The question of causation could be investigated in controlled laboratory experiments."

Here is their conclusion:

"We conclude that RFR and, in particular, 5G, which involves 4G infrastructure densification, has exacerbated COVID-19 prevalence and severity by weakening host immunity and increasing SARS-CoV-2 virulence by (1) causing morphologic changes in erythrocytes including echinocyte and rouleaux formation that may be contributing to hypercoagulation; (2) impairing microcirculation and reducing erythrocyte and hemoglobin levels exacerbating hypoxia; (3) amplifying immune system dysfunction, including immunosuppression, autoimmunity, and hyperinflammation; (4) increasing cellular oxidative stress and the production of free radicals exacerbating vascular injury and organ damage; (5) augmenting intracellular Ca2+ essential for viral entry, replication, and release, in addition to promoting pro-inflammatory pathways; and (6) worsening heart arrhythmias and cardiac disorders. In short, RFR is a ubiquitous environmental stressor that contributes to adverse health outcomes of COVID-19. We invoke the Precautionary Principle and strongly recommend a moratorium on 5G wireless infrastructure..."

This study is not the first to make the connection between 5G/RFR and COVID. As I covered in my article <u>5G Induces</u> <u>Coronaviruses: New Study Models Millimeter Wave Influence on</u> <u>DNA</u>, there have been other attempts analyzing this, although that study was later retracted.

Final Thoughts on the Radiation-COVID Connection

It's always going to be more sexy and spectacular to sell a story of a killer virus rather than explain that each individual is responsible for their own state of health according to how well they cultivate, much like a farmer, their own inner bio-terrain. It's always going to be more preferable for Big Gov and the Corporatocracy to blame an invisible enemy rather than admit that, in their unbridled quest for domination and control, their poisoning of the environment — even if by unseen frequencies in the airwaves is contributing to major disease and sickness in the population. It's just too easy and convenient for the dark forces that rule this world to blame it all on a virus, especially when the alleged effects of a coronavirus so closely mimic the effects of EMF radiation. The COVID op is a chance for us to wake up to a broader picture of reality, particularly in terms of understanding health, disease, viruses, radiation and the worldwide conspiracy.

A Prescription for Swans

<u>A Prescription for Swans</u>

Excerpts from video, found below:

"...But then you realize, wait a minute, this visitor in front of me is just as real as everything else in this world.

And, in fact, it might even be more real coming from this lake than anything that I'm told is real coming from a screen.

And you understand that instead of evading responsibility you're actually being more responsible by responding to exactly what is in front of you."

~#~

"...I could have walked through the sloppiest mud and pushed through the thickest thicket just to get a better look.

And, well, as you know by now, that's what I decided to do — not to distract myself from the stresses and troubles that plague a modern world run on godless devices but to, instead, be even more informed and to enmesh myself even deeper into the realist, most meaningful things imaginable."

A Prescription for Swans

by <u>Adam Haritan</u>, <u>Learn Your Land</u> March 15, 2021

The arrival of spring can easily be seen on a lake.

Melting ice, blossoming poplars, and migrating waterfowl are among its most faithful signs. Like an unerring calendar, the lake reminds us that the darkest days have expired and a season of growth awaits.

While walking the shores of a local lake one chilly morning, I observed and heard several signs of spring. One sound in particular, emanating from the center of the water, caught my attention.

As I approached the sound, its intensity changed from a periodic "coo" to a chorus of whistles. Too early for spring peepers and wood frogs, I thought to myself, but not too early for something else I had hoped to find.

Tundra swans.

I peered through the cattails and alder shrubs to confirm my hunches. The icy lake hosted hundreds of tundra swans that had stopped for a visit on their journey to the Arctic. With a camera in hand, I decided to document the experience while musing on the subtle power of swans to heal.

cover image credit: JulieMimages / pixabay

Ilana Rachel Daniel: Outcry to the World From Israel – Medical Apartheid "Currently Reminiscent of the Holocaust"

<u>Ilana Rachel Daniel: Outcry to the World From Israel –</u> <u>Medical Apartheid "Currently Reminiscent of the</u> <u>Holocaust"</u>

by **Flavio Pasquino**, *BLCKBX* March 10, 2021

OUTCRY TO THE WORLD, FROM ISRAEL!!! (NL+ENG subtitles)

Original video available at **<u>BLCKBX YouTube</u>** channel.

Ilana Rachel Daniel came with an emotional outcry for help from Jerusalem, the capital of Israel. At record speed, the government is trying to vaccinate the entire population – including pregnant women and small children – against the corona virus. "Civil rights are put aside and people can only participate in society again after vaccination," told Ilana to Flavio Pasquino in the BLCKBX studio via a live stream connection, who tracked down Ilana after an – even – more emotional audio clip on Telegram. Ilana talks about the Green Pass, the Freedom Bracelet, the mRNA vaccine and human rights violations. "Currently reminiscent of the Holocaust," said the Jewess who emigrated from the US to Jerusalem 30 years ago.

Ilana Rachel is active in Jerusalem as a health advisor and

information officer for a new political party (Rappeh) that is heavily opposed by the regime. Opening a banc account is not possible and members of the party are also thwarted in their daily lives.

###

This is an official platform to find more info about Rappeh:

https://peakd.com/@rappeh[]

The facebook group has been removed and the site can no longer be found, but through this newspaper article you can find some information about the activities of her and the party.

https://www.jewishpress.com/multimedia/radio/israel-news-talkradio/tamar-yonah-show/rappeh-a-new-israeli-political-partyagainst-the-lockdowns-the-tamar-yonah-show-audio/2021/02/15/[]

BLCKBX is a Dutch YouTube platform including a website https://blckbx.tv[] with a sharp focus on society matters. We are critical and seeking for truth in a investigative way but we don't want to polarise the debates.

<u>Connect with and support the work of BLCKBX</u>

[As a service to protect truth from censorship and to share widely, mirrored copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light <u>BitChute</u>, <u>Brighteon</u>, <u>Lbry/Odysee</u> channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original source of this video. Please follow links provided to support their work.]

The Curious Case of Geert Vanden Bossche

The Curious Case of Geert Vanden Bossche

His Open Letter, Video Interview and High-Profile Supporters

by <u>Rosemary Frei, MSc</u> March 16, 2021

On March 6, an <u>open letter</u> by Geert Vanden Bossche, PhD, DVM, and a <u>video interview</u> of him by Phillip McMillan, MD, from a company called Vejon Health, were posted online.

On the surface, Vanden Bossche appears to perhaps be addressing credible concerns about Covid.

He's saying that the current crop of Covid vaccines will cause the novel coronavirus to mutate into a "super-infectious virus." And therefore he's calling for an immediate halt of the use of the current vaccines.

If humans are "committed to perpetuating our species, we have no choice but to eradicate these highly infectious viral variants" via "large vaccination campaigns," Vanden Bossche claims at the conclusion of his open letter. But in contrast to the currently used Covid vaccines, these new vaccines must focus on stimulation of mass production of the component of the immune system known as natural killer cells, he asserts.

However, Vanden Bossche bases his views on unproven hypotheses. This is similar to, and builds on, high-profile modeling-paper authors who use theoretical frameworks to inflame fears about the <u>supposed dangerousness</u> of the new variants.

Despite this, Vanden Bossche's views were very quickly and positively received by high-profile vaccine sceptics such as Dell Bigtree in his March 11 episode (starting at 57:25) and Vernon Coleman in his March 13 video and article.

Bigtree and Coleman virtually unquestioningly accept and amplify Vanden Bossche's views. They strongly insinuate to their overwhelmingly credulous subscribers that there's virtually no fact-checking or pause for sober second thought required.

But from my experience as a former long-time medical writer and journalist (1988-2016) – particularly a four-month stint with media-relations giant FleishmanHillard in 1994 (yes, I've worked for the dark side) – **this has all the hallmarks of** a <u>drug-company astroturf</u> campaign. It's another step in the decades-long erasure of the fact that our sophisticated and highly effective immune systems work well and don't need any assistance from the biomedical/pharmaceutical industry.

There's abundant evidence that Vanden Bossche has a not-sohidden agenda. For example, just before the three-minute mark in the video interview of Vanden Bossche by McMillan, Vanden Bossche indicates he's a long-time vaccine developer. He adds he's now focusing on vaccines that "educate the immune system in ways that are to some extent more efficient than we do right now with our conventional vaccines." Clearly he's got significant conflicts of interest. Therefore he has zero credibility when it comes to advising the public about how to avoid negative effects of mass vaccination.

However, Bigtree, Coleman and others don't point out these and other red flags. In fact these high-profile alternative-media figures don't even do basic due diligence such as looking into McMillan, who's the man who interviewed Vanden Bossche, or the company McMillan's apparently affiliated with, Vejon Health. Bigtree, for example, relies heavily on the McMillan interview for the content of his March 11 segment.

As far as I know, McMillan and Vanden Bosch aren't among the thousands of MDs, PhDs, and other people with graduate degrees or equivalent qualifications who have thoroughly debunked the official Covid narrative over the last 12 months. Rather, the pair suddenly popped out of the woodwork.

Also, McMillan isn't anything close to an expert on vaccines. He describes himself as a "dementia authority." The most recently published paper of his that I can find is from 2016 and is on Alzheimer's in the journal *Medical Hypotheses.* (In that paper he and his co-author propose nutritional supplementation to lower the body's burden of aluminum, a high level of which is linked to Alzheimer's.) In addition, when one clicks on <u>http://www.vejonhealth.com</u> one gets a message indicating the website isn't in use. Indeed, Vejon appears to be a <u>dormant</u> company.

So I ignored McMillan's interview and Vanden Bossche's open letter when I first learned about them last week. But then on March 12 I was contacted by the producer of something called the Gary Null show on the '<u>Progressive Radio Network</u>.' The producer, Richard Gale, asked me to be a guest. I agreed to do the interview on March 15.

About 1.5 hours before the interview was to start, I contacted Gale and asked what the interview would focus on. Gale told me Null wanted to discuss Bigtree's segment on the Vanden Bossche letter and he sent me a link to the segment. So I quickly read the open letter and watched the full interview and Bigtree segment on it. A field of red flags popped up in my mind.

At the appointed interview start time of 12:30 pm on March 15, Null proceeded to read live to air, for about 12 minutes, some key points from the open letter. He told the audience to take them seriously. Then Null put me on the air. But he wouldn't let me talk about the letter. Instead, he repeatedly interrupted my efforts to do so and insisted I only discuss the new variants. So I hung up. And I've been edited out of the archived <u>broadcast</u> of Null's show.

I'm going to be interviewed live starting at 4:30 pm Eastern Standard Time today (March 16) by <u>Ryan Cristián</u> of *The Last American Vagabond* about the Vanden Bossche letter and McMillan interview. Apparently Ryan's on the same page as me.

Meanwhile, my tweets about the <u>open letter</u> and the <u>Null</u> <u>interview</u> have gotten a lot of reaction. And, as it happens, since Sunday people have been emailing to encourage me to read Vanden Bossche's letter and watch his interview. Many are swayed by his calling for an immediate half of the current crop of Covid vaccines and by the fact that people like Bigtree are propagating his messages.

So I decided to write this article to expose a few of the dozens of clues that this curious case is a continuation of the overall Covid deception.

Here are some more of those clues:

1. In his <u>March 11 segment</u>, Bigtree shows a slide with Vanden Bossche's background. It indicates he's affiliated with the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunization (<u>GAVI</u>), GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis and other <u>vaccine proponents</u>. Dell dubs Vanden Bossche a "world-renowned vaccine creator." Coleman <u>calls</u> him "a very eminent vaccine specialist."

But, when combined with the contents of his open letter, it's impossible to believe that he's in fact an insider who's now going against his very highpowered comrades. (More on this below.) It's more likely that he's their accomplice.

Another indication that the letter is designed to propagandize

rather than to let objective evidence speak for itself is the wording Vanden Bossche uses. He writes, for example, that he was "racing against the clock" to write "this agonizing letter" in which he "put[s] all of my reputation and credibility at stake" to help "turn the tide" against this "irrepressible monster" that the virus could soon become unless we heed Vanden Bossche.



2. In his open letter Vanden Bossche also writes, "I can assure you that each of the current vaccines have been designed, developed and manufactured by brilliant and competent scientists." However, he doesn't say a word about the massive adverse-event rate and very scant efficacy profile of the vaccines that were created by these "brilliant scientists." 3. Vanden Bossche also asserts that there's an "ever[-]increasing threat from rapidly spreading, highly infectious variants." But as I detailed in my <u>February 3</u> article and accompanying video on the new variants, there is no proof that they are highly infectious or will be any time soon.

4. There is the possibility of viral resistance, as for example I note in my March 9, 2021, article and accompanying video. But it's not the major threat Vanden Bossche attempts to scare us about by saying the virus is likely to mutate so much and so quickly because of the current mass vaccination campaigns that soon it could escape all current attempts to stop its spread. Remember, for example, that yearly flu mass vaccination hasn't caused influenza to spiral out of control and decimate the global population.

5. Vanden Bossche also writes that some antibodies are made by the innate immune system, but that these 'natural' antibodies are non-specific, have "suboptimal" "maturity, and are "rather limited and only short-lived." He claims they are a very weak link in our immune reactions to pathogens such as the novel coronavirus – and that "the combination of viral infection on a background of suboptimal Ab [antibody] maturity and concentration enables the [novel corona]virus to select mutations allowing it to escape the immune pressure."

However, this is on very shaky ground. Because, among other things: 1) Neither in the original March 6 piece nor his March 13 follow-up does Vanden Bossche back this up with any direct, non-theoretical evidence that this is The 'natural' antibodies that happening; 2) are produced after encountering a pathogen are only a small part of a guick, effective and broad-based firstimmune-system defense - known as 'innate' or line 'passive' immunity - which in fact largely comprises other <u>components</u>; and 3) Vanden Bossche downplays the effectiveness of the antibodies our bodies naturally produce as part of the second-line ('adaptive') part of the immune system that also has served us extremely well for millennia.

A <u>March 11 commentary</u> by Michael Yeadon and Marc Girardot has similar information to my points 3, 4 and 5. However the pair present it in a way that's very pro-mRNA-vaccine and pro- much of the official-Covid-narrative – neither of which I endorse.

6. Vanden Bossche also has no references in that original document. He does include some in his follow-up, March 13, document posted on <u>his website</u>. But that March 13 document, like the March 6 one, hasn't been posted on the website of a journal, never mind a peer-reviewed one, nor reviewed in any more serious manner. And in an unusual approach, he doesn't attach each reference to a particular statement in his document; rather, he lists the references at the end of the article under categories such as 'Natural antibodies.'

7. Vanden Bossche drives at the need for "large vaccination campaigns." These, he writes, should be for "NK [natural-killer]-cell-based vaccines" that "will primarily enable our natural immunity to be better prepared … and to induce herd immunity."

But it's not very logical to believe that the only solution to the theoretical possibility of immune escape, as espoused by someone who's got a long and strong focus on vaccination as opposed to other ways to improve health, is yet more mass vaccination.

Not to mention that the concept of herd immunity is contrived – after all, if your immune system is protecting you against a pathogen it doesn't matter whether someone else's is or not.

I do agree we should stop the use of the current vaccines – plus we need to stop production and use of antivirals and antibodies and other parts of the Covid-industrial complex.

But we should also not add more treatments. Covid has an extremely high survival rate. So why develop yet another

expensive, invasive and experimental solution to a problem that barely exists, if it does at all?

It's all very curious.

Germany, France and Italy Suspend Use of AstraZeneca's Experimental Vaccine

<u>Germany, France and Italy Suspend Use of AstraZeneca's</u> <u>Experimental Vaccine</u>

by 21st Century Wire March 15, 2021

Germany, France and Italy temporarily suspended the use of AstraZeneca's Covid-19 vaccine on Monday, joining a growing list of nations that <u>paused use of the vaccine in recent days</u> <u>over concerns</u> that it might be tied to blood clots.

In addition, a further 18 countries have also suspended the experimental vaccine from being foisted on the public. They include: Spain, Norway, Denmark, Spain, Iceland, Netherlands, Ireland, Austria, Estonia, Lithuania, Thailand, Bulgaria, Indonesia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Romania, South Africa, Luxembourg, and Latvia.

"Blood clots, particularly if they are large, can damage tissue or organs like the lungs, heart or brain. Severe cases can be fatal, but people with small clots can often be treated outside of a hospital with prescription drugs," said the New York Times.

Since the news broke about the problematic vaccine, Government public health officials in the UK and US, mainstream media operatives, along with a brigade of Big Pharma loyalist scientists and doctors – have all taken to the airwaves in full damage control mode, as worry is setting in that these suspensions could feed "vaccine hesitancy," as well as planting familiar speculative stories about a new "third wave" of supposed COVID infections, as well as the usual scare stories of alleged deadly "variants". The *New York Times* ran one such story, making the wild <u>claim</u> that:

"Scientists also worry that suspensions could feed vaccine hesitancy at a time when some European countries are entering a third wave of the virus, and the world is in a race to inoculate as many people as possible, as dangerous virus variants proliferate."

Bloomberg News, one of the pharmaceutical industry's biggest media assets, fired out a number of <u>reports</u> in the last 24 hours to try to shore-up the hemorrhaging of credibility from the likes of AstraZeneca.

#Bloomberg is basically a sales & marketing media arm of Big Pharma. Look at this incredible piece of corporate propaganda here. They all now in damage-control mode... <u>https://t.co/UQ4RgkRfBt</u>

– Patrick Henningsen (@21WIRE) <u>March 15, 2021</u>

In addition, the World Health Organization (WHO) sprung into damage control, releasing a number of desperate public health announcements claiming the AstraZeneca vaccine is completely safe, saying that because millions of people have already received it, then any blood clotting issues are trivial and people should not be worried about the safety of the new experimental corporate product. WHO have attempted to downplay any of the Norway findings regarding risks, brushing aside any possibility 'causative link' between the vaccine and any serious injury or side effects. WHO spokeswoman, Dr Margaret Harris, was keen to promote the product for AstraZeneca <u>claiming</u> that the controversial vaccine as "excellent".

Of course, AstraZeneca is defending its experimental vaccine product, insisting it is totally safe.

Now Big Pharma and its backers like Bill and Melinda Gates now face the prospect of a real setback for their aggressive vaccine push, and will no doubt be spending the next month in the media promoting the vaccines as being 'safe and effective', still touting the marketing line that the experimental jabs will prevent both severe illness and death from COVID-19. In many places across the world, it is the only shot available.

Meanwhile, co-authors <u>Melissa Eddy</u>, <u>Aurelien Breeden</u>, <u>Emma</u> <u>Bubola</u>, <u>Jenny Gross</u>, <u>Richard C. Paddock</u>, Jennifer Jett, <u>Gaia</u> <u>Pianigiani</u> and <u>Eileen Sullivan</u> from the *New York Times* seem not to care much about looking acting as a willing PR arm of the pharmaceutical industry, saying things like this:

Public health experts expect medical conditions to turn up by chance in some people after they get any vaccine. In the vast majority of cases such illnesses have nothing to do with the shots.

As 21WIRE <u>reported</u> last week, an international group of eminent scientists and doctors had issued an open letter calling on the European Medicines Agency (EMA) to acknowledge urgent safety concerns regarding the controversial vaccines, or simply withdraw the vaccines' 'emergency' authorisation. As it turns out, one of their main concerns was the issue of blood clotting, as well as the risk of stroke and internal bleeding, along with warnings about autoimmune reactions. The authors also requested that the EMA provide any evidence that each medical danger outlined "was excluded in pre-clinical animal models with all three vaccines prior to their approval for use in humans by the EMA."

To date, they have received no response from the agency.

Professor Dolores Cahill & Catherine Austin Fitts: The Overwhelming Cost of Vaccine Injury

<u>Professor Dolores Cahill & Catherine Austin Fitts: The</u> <u>Overwhelming Cost of Vaccine Injury</u>

by Catherine Austin Fitts w/ Professor Dolores Cahill
March 14, 2021

Video is available at Prof. Dolores Cahill BrandNewTube channel.

PDF of Transcript of this interview is available at Solari.com

Related Reading:

Solari Report

Freedom Airway

World Freedom Alliance

Dolores Cahill's Official Website

Children's Health Defense-Europe

McDowell Triplets

[As a service to protect truth from censorship and to share widely, mirrored copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light <u>BitChute</u>, <u>Brighteon</u>, <u>Lbry/Odysee</u> channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original source of this video. Please follow links provided to support their work.]

Dr. Tom Cowan: "If Your Goal Is to Be Safe, You're Dead" — Perspectives on the Sleeping Beauty Fairytale

Dr. Tom Cowan: "If Your Goal Is to Be Safe, You're Dead" - Perspectives on the Sleeping Beauty Fairytale

Video clip from <u>Freedom Talk 1 – 4 March 2021</u>

by **Dean Braus**, *Dean's Danes YouTube channel* March 4, 2021

Unofficial transcript provided by Truth Comes to Light:

"There's lots of root causes of this problem. And Andy and Stefan, I think, gave really great descriptions of it. Let me add another one.

And I also want to put this in the context of: There's really nothing new about what we're going through.

It's different than it used to be because of technology. But I insisted, in spite of the publishers asking me not to put the story of Sleeping Beauty in the final chapter of my book [The Contagion Myth]. And people would say: What could possibly a story of Sleeping Beauty have to do with the corona crisis?

But the root of the problem is: a human being deciding that they want to be safe. Right?

So that's why we do this. That's why you stay away from people. That's why you wear masks. That's why you get vaccines. That's why you live your life — so you can be safe.

Now there's a precedent to this.

So the story of Sleeping Beauty is — there's a kingdom. And there's a king and a queen. And they have a nice kingdom. And it's prosperous. But they can't have a a child. And so the kingdom can't go on.

So then a frog — which in fairy tales is the representative of the spiritual world — says to the king and queen: I'm going to bestow the gift of a child on you. And so then the queen miraculously gets pregnant.

And then they throw a party and they invite the 12 wise women of the kingdom. That, by the way, represents the wisdom of the universe. That's the zodiac; it's the months. But they forgot that there was a 13th woman who they didn't invite who was "the witch". And the witch is always depicted as the stepmother, which is basically materialism. Right? The fallen wisdom. It's now a materialistic way of looking at the world.

And the witch says you're going to grow up and you're going to prick your finger and die.

And then it turns out the 12th witch, the "good witch", hadn't spoken yet, so she said: "This is a very powerful spell. I can't undo it. But I can turn it from 'you'll fall down dead' to 'you'll just fall down asleep'." Right?

So then the king and the queen and all the kingdom do exactly what we're doing now. They get rid of all the spindles and all the prickery things in the kingdom. Their goal is to make everybody safe. Well, at least the princess.

The problem is — the only time you're safe is when you're six feet under. That's it. If you're a living, breathing human being you encounter danger. That's how we grow and live.

So inevitably — inevitably — the princess grows up. Lo and behold, she pricks her finger.

The whole country, the whole kingdom, goes into lockdown. Just like now.

The bread makers can't make bread. The people can't go to work. There's no economic activity. They steal all your money. The whole kingdom is in lockdown.

The princess is asleep. And then the kingdom is engulfed in toxic thorns. Right?

Just like us. Toxic thorns. Because we tried to be safe. That never works. Everybody's on lockdown. Same as now. And then people try to save her. But they're killed by the thorns. Until one day a young boy hears about it. And he hears about that there's this beautiful princess. And he could save her. And they say, "No, don't do it. Don't do it. You'll get killed like everybody else."

And he says the words that solve this whole problem. You know what the words were? In every edition he says, "No, I'm not afraid. I'm not afraid. I go in."

What happens? The thorns part. The thorns have no power over somebody who's not afraid.

What's the worst thing that can happen? He dies.

You're gonna die anyways. I mean, I don't want people to die. Right? I don't want people to jump off cliffs for no reason. But if your goal is to be safe, you're dead.

So he didn't care. He didn't know what was going to happen. He's not afraid. Saves the princess. Life goes on. We're all good.

That's what we need to happen here."

This clip is from the full video, found here:

Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself

Canadian Government Steals Care Home Property for Refusing to Kill Their Patients

<u>Government Steals Care Home Property for Refusing to</u> <u>Kill Their Patients!!!</u>

by **Dan Dicks**, *Press for Truth* March 13, 2021

The Delta Hospice Society in British Columbia refuses to provide MAID (medical assistance in dying) aka facilitating a suicide and for that the Canadian government has evicted all the patients, they are shutting down the hospice and they are taking over the property as well!

There are other facilities that offer that service literally within walking distance of the hospice but for the Canadian Government that doesn't matter, if you refuse to help someone kill themselves, they'll shut you down.

In this video Dan Dicks of Press For Truth speaks with the president of the Delta Hospice Angelina Ireland about the battle to keep people alive when the government is the only obstacle!

To support the Delta Hospice visit: https://deltahospice.org
https://www.savedeltahospice.com/ PressforTruthEhttps://www.bitchute.com/pressfortruth/Press for Truth LBRY:https://lbry.tv/@PressForTruth

What is MAID? MAID (Medical Assistance in Dying)

Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself

Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself

by **Dean Braus**, *Dean's Danes YouTube channel* March 4, 2021

Freedom Talk 1 – 4 March 2021

Original video available at **Dean's Danes** <u>YouTube</u> channel.

Tom, Stefan, Andy & Dean talk about individual responsibility and personal commitment.

[As a service to protect truth from censorship and to share

widely, mirrored copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light <u>BitChute</u>, <u>Brighteon</u>, <u>Lbry/Odysee</u> channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original source of this video. Please follow links provided to support their work.]

See related:

The Misconception Called Virus by Dr. Stefan Lanka (download PDF)

<u>Statement of Virus Isolation | Conclusion: The SARS-CoV2 Virus</u> <u>Does Not Exist</u>

Dr. Tom Cowan w/ Dr. Andrew Kaufman: We Have No Scientific Proof That Viruses Cause Disease – A Response to Dr. Judy <u>Mikovits</u>

The Contagion Fairy Tale by Dr. Tom Cowan and Sally Fallon Morell

Reports of Deaths After COVID Vaccines Up by 259 in 1 Week, CDC Data Show

<u>Reports of Deaths After COVID Vaccines Up by 259 in 1</u> <u>Week, CDC Data Show</u>

Between Dec. 14, 2020, and Mar. 5, 2021, 31,079 reports of adverse events were submitted to VAERS, including 1,524 deaths, 5,507 serious injuries and

390 reports of Bell's Palsy.

by <u>Megan Redshaw</u>, <u>The Defender</u> March 12, 2021

Data released today by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) on the number of injuries and deaths reported to the <u>Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System</u> (VAERS) following COVID vaccines remain consistent with previous weeks, with the exception of a 31% spike in reports of Bell's Palsy.

Every Friday, <u>VAERS</u> makes public all vaccine injury reports received by the system as of Friday of the previous week. Today's data show that between Dec. 14, 2020, and March 5, a total of <u>31,079 total adverse events</u> were reported to VAERS, including <u>1,524 deaths</u> – an increase of 259 over the previous 7 days – and <u>5,507 serious injuries</u>, up 1,083 over the same time period.In the U.S., <u>85.01 million</u> COVID vaccine doses had been administered as of March 5.

From the 3/5/2021 release of VAERS data:

Found 31,079 cases where Vaccine is COVID19

Table

↓	^↓	
Event Outcome	Count	Percent
Death	1,524	4.9%
Permanent Disability	630	2.03%
Office Visit	4,747	15.27%
Emergency Room	25	0.08%
Emergency Doctor/Room	5,781	18.6%
Hospitalized	3,470	11.17%
Hospitalized, Prolonged	7	0.02%
Recovered	11,412	36.72%
Birth Defect	42	0.14%
Life Threatening	1,072	3.45%
Not Serious	11,061	35.59%
TOTAL	† 39,771	† 127.97%
† Because some cases have multiple vaccinations and symptoms, a single case can account for multiple entries in this table. This is the reason why the Total Count is greater than 31079 (the number of cases found), and the Total Percentage is greater than 100.		

VAERS is the primary mechanism for reporting adverse vaccine reactions in the U.S. Reports submitted to VAERS require further investigation before a causal relationship can be confirmed.

This week's <u>31% increase</u> in reports of <u>Bell's Palsy</u> marks a break with past trends. Otherwise, today's data reflect trends that have emerged since <u>The Defender</u> first began tracking VAERS reports related to <u>COVID vaccines</u>.

This week's VAERS data show:

- Of the <u>1,524 deaths</u> reported as of March 6, 30% occurred within 48 hours of vaccination, and 46% occurred in people who became ill within 48 hours of being vaccinated.
- Nineteen percent of deaths were related to cardiac disorders.

- Fifty-three percent of those who died were male, 45% were female and the remaining death reports did not include gender of the deceased.
- The <u>average age</u> of those who died was 77.9 and the youngest death confirmed was a 23-year-old.
- As of March 5, <u>265 pregnant women</u> had reported adverse events related to COVID vaccines, including 85 reports of <u>miscarriage or premature birth</u>. None of the COVID vaccines approved for <u>Emergency Use Authorization</u> (EUA) have been tested for safety or efficacy in pregnant women.
- There were <u>1,689 reports of anaphylaxis</u>, with 59% of cases attributed to the <u>Pfizer-Bio-N-Tech</u> vaccine and 41% to <u>Moderna</u>.

The first Johnson & Johnson COVID vaccine was administered in the U.S. on March 2. As of March 5, two adverse events related to the vaccine had been reported to VAERS. Both occurred in young people, and the reactions included tongue tingling and numbness, hot flashes, headache and extreme fatigue.

On March 10, <u>The Defender reported</u> the death of a 39-year-old woman who died four days after receiving a second dose of <u>Moderna's</u> COVID vaccine. Kassidi Kurill died of organ failure after her liver, heart and kidneys shut down. She had no known medical issues or pre-existing conditions, family members <u>said</u>. An autopsy was ordered, but Dr. Erik Christensen, Utah's chief medical examiner, <u>said</u> proving vaccine injury as a cause of death almost never happens.

Last month <u>The Defender reported</u> that a 58-year-old woman died hours after getting her first dose of <u>Pfizer's COVID vaccine</u>. State and federal officials said they were investigating her death but did not <u>perform</u> an autopsy. A public records request revealed emails between State Health Commissioner Normal Oliver and public information officers that suggest officials were "concerned the death of Keyes, who is Black, could <u>worsen</u> <u>vaccine hesitancy</u> among minorities," <u>reported</u> The Virginian Pilot.

State officials <u>refused to answer</u> how medical examiners could thoroughly rule out other potential causes of death triggered by or linked to the shot without an internal examination of the body.

The family was forced to get their own private autopsy. Keyes' daughter <u>said</u> that even before state officials had her mother's postmortem preliminary test results, the medical examiner's office told her they would not perform an autopsy. They told her "nothing could be gleaned from an autopsy that would relate the vaccine to her death."

On March 11, Denmark, Norway and Iceland <u>announced</u> they were joining other European countries in temporarily suspending use of the <u>AstraZeneca-Oxford</u> COVID vaccine following reports of blood clots in people who got the vaccine, <u>The Defender</u> <u>reported</u>. The Danish decision came days after Austrian <u>authorities</u> suspended a batch of <u>AstraZeneca's COVID</u> <u>vaccine</u> while investigating the death of one person and the illness of another after receiving the shots. The same batch used in Austria was used in Denmark, <u>according to Reuters</u>.

On March 9, Australian Health Minister Greg Hunt was hospitalized two days after taking <u>AstraZeneca's</u> COVID-19 vaccine. He received antibiotics and fluid. A link to the vaccine was ruled out, <u>reported</u> Reuters.

The AstraZeneca vaccine has not been cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for Emergency Use Authorization, but the <u>drugmaker said</u> last week it plans to apply soon.

Meanwhile, Pfizer is gearing up to protect itself from any financial risk associated with vaccine injuries in those countries where, unlike in the U.S., there are no laws in place to indemnify the vaccine maker against liability for injuries. As <u>reported</u> by The Defender on March 9, Pfizer is <u>demanding</u> <u>countries</u> put up sovereign assets as collateral for expected vaccine injury lawsuits resulting from its COVID-19 inoculation after Argentina rejected Pfizer's request to enact legislation indemnifying the company from liability for injuries. Pfizer wanted Argentina and Brazil to guarantee the company would be compensated for any expenses resulting from injury lawsuits against it.

Pfizer demanded Brazil waive sovereignty of its assets abroad in favor of Pfizer, not apply its domestic laws to the country, not penalize Pfizer for vaccine delivery delays and exempt Pfizer from all civil liability for COVID vaccine side effects. Brazil rejected Pfizer's demands, calling them "abusive."

Nine other <u>South American countries</u> have reportedly negotiated deals with Pfizer. It's unclear whether they actually ended up giving up national assets in return.

In the U.S., vaccine makers already enjoy full indemnity against injuries occurring from this or any other pandemic vaccine under the PREP Act. COVID vaccine injury <u>claims are</u> <u>filed</u> with the Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program (CICP), which is funded by U.S. taxpayers. The CICP is administered within the Department of Health and Human Services, which also sponsors the COVID-19 vaccination program.

On March 8, the CDC released <u>new guidelines</u> on how those "fully vaccinated" against COVID could gather with others. According to CNN, the level of precautions taken are determined by the characteristics of unvaccinated people. Fully vaccinated people can visit with other vaccinated people indoors without masks or social distancing, and can visit indoors with unvaccinated people from a single household without masks or physical distancing if the unvaccinated people are at low risk for severe disease. <u>Children's Health Defense</u> asks anyone who has experienced an adverse reaction, to any vaccine, to file a report following <u>these three steps</u>.

<u>Megan Redshaw</u> is a freelance reporter for The Defender. She has a background in political science, a law degree and extensive training in natural health.

Eight European Nations Stop AstraZeneca COVID-19 Vaccine on Reports of "Serious" Blood Clots

<u>Eight European Nations Stop AstraZeneca COVID-19</u> <u>Vaccine on Reports of "Serious" Blood Clots</u>

by **GreatGameIndia** March 11, 2021

Eight European nations including Italy, Latvia, Luxembourg, Lithuania, Estonia, Denmark, Norway and Iceland have decided to <u>stop using the AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine due to serious</u> <u>blood clot incidents</u> reported in many countries.

Iceland has become the latest European nation to suspend the AstraZeneca jab.

The tiny island nation has confirmed roughly 6,000 COVID cases since the start of the pandemic, which is roughly 2% of the population.

Meanwhile, the EMA (the European equivalent of the FDA) confirmed that it has counted no fewer than 30 incidents of harmful blood clots in patients who received the vaccine, including at least one case in Denmark where the patient died.

The reason for halting the AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine is due to reports of serious blood clots after vaccination.

'Medical Racism: The New Apartheid' Documentary Premiers Today

<u>CHD's New Documentary, 'Medical Racism,' Premieres</u> <u>Today</u>

Watch today! "Medical Racism: The New Apartheid," illuminates the shocking history of human experimentation targeting Blacks by government health regulators and private pharmaceutical companies.

by <u>Children's Health Defense Team</u> March 11, 2021

The new documentary, "Medical Racism: The New Apartheid" premieres today. You can watch it for free by <u>visiting the</u> <u>"Medical Racism" website</u>. The film is co-produced by

Children's Health Defense, Centner Productions, Kevin Jenkins of the Urban Global Health Alliance, Rev. Tony Muhammed and author/historian Curtis Cost.

"Medical Racism" illuminates the shocking history of human experimentation targeting Blacks by government health regulators and private <u>pharmaceutical</u> companies.

Many Americans are familiar with the historic medical atrocities committed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention at <u>Tuskegee</u>, by the father of American gynecology, Dr. J. Marion Sims on <u>South Carolina slave girls</u> and the continuing <u>medical larceny against Henrietta Lacks</u>. But most people are likely unaware of the routine medical barbarism against Africans that persists today.

"Medical Racism" pulls back the curtain on experimentation atrocities hiding in plain sight and takes us on an unprecedented journey to unearth the truth.

The powerful documentary reveals:

- That medical racism has happened before, and why we're raising awareness to make sure it doesn't happen again
- How racism in the drug industry impacts the Black community
- Why, when our bodies and risk are involved, it shouldn't be about governmental control
- How to feel empowered to have a voice about your health choices

"'Medical Racism' is the most powerful and important documentary ever produced on medical experimentation and other abuses against African Americans and African people on the continent of Africa," said Curtis Cost, <u>author</u> of "Vaccines Are Dangerous – A Warning to the Global Community." "I am extremely proud of Robert F. Kennedy Jr. for having the courage to produce this desperately needed documentary." Cost added: "It was Sen. Ted Kennedy who brought the Tuskegee syphilis experiments to an end. It was President John F. Kennedy who had the courage to send troops to defend the civil rights of African-Americans. Now, Robert F. Kennedy Jr. continues that tradition with this film. As an African-American, I am extremely proud to be part of this film and all of the amazing people who contributed to making this film possible."

On March 6, "Medical Racism" was shown at the <u>Jubilee Film</u> <u>Festival</u> which showcases films produced about the universal struggle to uphold the dignity of man. The festival provides a venue for films exploring current social problems and their solutions.

<u>Children's Health Defense Chairman</u> Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. participated in a panel discussion after the film.

Watch the panel discussion here:

The film festival is a part of the annual Bridge Crossing Jubilee in Selma, Alabama, an event which commemorates "<u>Bloody</u> <u>Sunday</u>," the day when a long line of peaceful demonstrators were brutally attacked by Alabama state troopers as they crossed the Edmund Pettus Bridge on Sunday, March 7, 1965. President Lyndon Baines Johnson, outraged by what happened in Alabama, addressed a joint session of Congress on the issue of voting rights for all Americans and later signed the <u>Voting</u> <u>Rights Act</u> on Aug. 6, 1965.

It's crucial "<u>Medical Racism: The New Apartheid</u>" reaches as large an audience as possible to ensure that these abysmal practices will finally end. Be sure to share this movie with your friends and family.

By learning from the misdeeds of the past, we can avoid repeating them. Find out how you can stop the abuse by watching the movie for free at <u>MedicalRacism.org</u>.

COVID Vaccine Injuries – What's the Financial Risk?

<u>COVID Vaccine Injuries – What's the Financial Risk?</u>

The "Family Financial Disclosure Form for COVID-19 Injections" can help families assess the potential financial risks of being injured by the vaccine.

by <u>Children's Health Defense Team</u>, <u>The Defender</u> March 11, 2021

Vaccine administrators are <u>required under law</u> to inform you of potential side effects and <u>long-term health</u> <u>complications</u> associated with vaccines, including the new COVID-19 vaccines.

But what about the potential impact on your financial health and well-being if you or a family member are injured by a <u>COVID vaccine</u>?

Traditionally, informed consent forms for vaccination, such as <u>the one</u> used by Walgreens, do not provide disclosure or statistics related to financial costs of possible injury, disability or death. They also don't explain the impact on family time, resources and wealth – including reduced career potential, divorce or the impact on siblings' education or other future plans.

Enter the "<u>Family Financial Disclosure Form for COVID-19</u> <u>Injections</u>," a <u>downloadable form</u> families can use to assess the potential financial risks of being injured by a COVID vaccine.

The form was created by <u>Catherine Austin Fitts</u>, president of Solari, Inc. (which publishes the <u>Solari Report</u>), and managing member of <u>Solari Investment Advisory Services</u>. The form's purpose is to help families communicate about and prepare for the family-wide financial impact of <u>adverse events</u>, if any, resulting from a COVID-19 injection.

"This family financial form was inspired by Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., who asked me to write about the absence of information in informed consent disclosure on the potentially devastating financial impacts of adverse events related to vaccinations in general, including COVID-19 injections," Fitts told <u>The Defender</u>.

"Transparency is a powerful tool," Fitts said. "I hope this form increases people's power and effectiveness in making wise choices for their families and the children they love."

As stated on the form, it "is provided to facilitate effective family due diligence, communication and planning. It is essential that each person and each family take responsibility to identify and access the information they believe to be most relevant to their situation and decisions, and take responsibility to assess and manage their individual and collective risk as they believe best."

COVID vaccines, <u>rushed to market</u>, are still considered <u>experimental</u> by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, which approved them under <u>Emergency Use</u> <u>Authorization</u> (EUA). Since the first EUA COVID vaccine was rolled out in the U.S. in mid-December, at least 25,212 total <u>adverse events</u>, including <u>1,265 deaths</u> and <u>4,424 serious</u> <u>injuries</u>, had been reported <u>as of Feb. 26</u> to the <u>Vaccine</u> <u>Adverse Event Reporting System</u> (VAERS).

Examples of adverse events from COVID-19 injections include COVID-19 infection, <u>anaphylaxis</u>, <u>neurological</u>

<u>disorders</u>, <u>autoimmune disorders</u> other <u>long-term chronic</u> <u>diseases</u>, blindness and deafness, infertility, fetal damage, <u>miscarriage and stillbirth</u>, and death. (See Table 1 in the form for examples).

Vaccine injury compensation - an uphill climb

In the U.S., vaccine makers already enjoy <u>full</u> <u>indemnity</u> against liability for injuries occurring from COVID or any other pandemic vaccine under the <u>Public Readiness and</u> <u>Emergency Preparedness Act</u> (PREP).

If you're injured by a COVID vaccine, you have to <u>file a</u> <u>compensation claim</u> with the <u>Countermeasures Injury</u> <u>Compensation Program</u> (CICP). CICP is funded by U.S. taxpayers via congressional appropriation to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

CICP is similar to the <u>National Vaccine Injury Compensation</u> <u>Program</u> (NVICP), which applies to non-pandemic vaccines, but is even less generous when it comes to compensation. For example, while the NVICP pays some of the costs associated with any given claim, the CICP does not. This means that if you're injured, you will also be responsible for attorney fees and expert witness fees.

The CICP is administered within the DHHS, which is also sponsoring the COVID-19 vaccination program. This conflict of interest makes the CICP less than likely to find fault with the vaccine.

If your claim is denied, your only route of appeal is within the DHHS, where your case would simply be reviewed by another employee. The DHHS is also responsible for making the payment, so the DHHS effectively acts as judge, jury and defendant.

As <u>reported by Dr. Meryl Nass</u>, the maximum payout you can receive — even in cases of permanent disability or death — is \$250,000 per person. But you'd have to exhaust your private insurance policy before the CICP gives you a dime.

Employers that mandate COVID vaccines for their employees are also indemnified from liability under CICP — those claims have to go through worker's compensation claims.

The CICP also has a one year statute of limitations, so you have to act quickly. That's a problem because, at this point, no one really knows what injuries might arise from the COVID-19 vaccines – or when.

Fitts hopes that the form she and Solari have created will help families conduct their own due diligence before agreeing to take a COVID vaccine.

<u>Download the Family Financial Disclosure Form for COVID-19</u> <u>Injections</u>

39-Year-Old Woman Dies 4 Days After Second Moderna Vaccine, Autopsy Ordered

<u>39-Year-Old Woman Dies 4 Days After Second Moderna</u> <u>Vaccine, Autopsy Ordered</u>

Kassidi Kurill's family said she had no known medical issues or pre-existing conditions, but her heart, liver and kidneys shut down after her second dose of the Moderna vaccine.

by <u>Megan Redshaw</u>, <u>The Defender</u> March 10, 2021 A 39-year-old woman from Ogden, Utah, died Feb. 5, four days after receiving a second dose of <u>Moderna's</u> COVID vaccine, according to <u>CBS affiliate KUTV</u>.

Kassidi Kurill died of organ failure after her liver, heart and kidneys shut down. She had no known medical issues or preexisting conditions, family members <u>said</u>.

KUTV uncovered the death as part of its <u>investigation</u> into <u>COVID vaccine</u> side effects. The investigation involved looking into reports submitted by Utah residents to the <u>Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting</u> <u>System</u> (VAERS).

According to <u>The Salt Lake Tribune</u>, there were four deaths in Utah reported to VAERS in January and February, <u>including</u> <u>Kurill's</u>.

<u>KUTV reported</u> that doctors at <u>Intermountain Medical</u> <u>Center</u> recommended Kurill's family request an autopsy, and the family agreed.

The medical examiner could not say whether the autopsy would be automatically forwarded to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and U.S Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Dr. Erik Christensen, Utah's chief medical examiner, <u>said</u> proving vaccine injury as a cause of death almost never happens. "Did the vaccine cause this? I think that would be very hard to demonstrate in autopsy," Christensen <u>told KUTV</u>.

Christensen could think of only one instance where a vaccine as the official cause of death would be seen on an autopsy report. That would be in the case of immediate <u>anaphylaxis</u> where someone received a vaccine and died almost instantaneously.

"Short of that, it would be difficult for us to definitively say this is the vaccine," <u>Christensen said</u>. "A more likely result would be a lack of answers or an incomplete autopsy."

An autopsy can provide answers to a family when no disease or red flags are found, or rule out other competing causes of death, Christenson explained. The lack of answers may help a family "understand if the vaccine was a possible cause."

Christiansen <u>said</u> vaccine deaths are possible and do happen. "Just about every vaccine or anything you do [to] treat someone, when you inject something has a potential for a negative outcome. I'm sure VAERS can verify other vaccines have led to death."

After her first shot, Kurill, a surgical tech for local plastic surgeons, <u>experienced</u> a sore arm but no other side effects. The day of her second shot she had gone shopping and was fine until she started feeling "not so great that evening," <u>said</u> her sister Kristin.

According to Kurill's father, she "got sick right away" after receiving the second shot. She had soreness at the injection site, started to get sick and complained "she was drinking fluids but couldn't pee."

Kurill went to the emergency room and was later transported to Intermountain Medical Center for a liver transplant. Both parents were willing to donate portions of their liver to save their daughter, Kurill died within 30 hours of arriving at the ER.

Kurill's <u>obituary states</u> that she died from "apparent complications due to the second COVID-19 vaccination."

Between December 14, 2020, and Feb. 26, 2021, <u>VAERS had</u> <u>received</u> reports of <u>1,265 deaths</u> after COVID vaccination. Although the <u>CDC</u> says on its website that CDC and FDA physicians review each reported death as soon as notified, it does not appear that autopsies were ordered in any of the other reported Utah cases, <u>according to KUTV</u>.

Last month <u>The Defender reported</u> on a 58-year-old woman who died hours after getting her first dose of the <u>Pfizer</u> vaccine. State and federal officials said they were investigating her death but <u>had not performed an autopsy</u>.

On Feb. 5, officials said that they did not know the cause of Keyes' death or any underlying conditions that could have contributed to her death, but there was "no evidence it was tied to the vaccine," <u>reported</u> NBC News.

According to <u>The Virginian Pilot</u>, a public records request related to Keyes' death revealed concerning emails. State Health Commissioner Norman Oliver told public information officers in a Feb. 5 email that if reporters asked whether an autopsy was done on Keyes, they should say "a full autopsy was not needed in order to ascertain whether the death was related to the vaccination."

The public records request also revealed that officials inside and outside the health department were "concerned the death of Keyes, who is Black, could <u>worsen vaccine hesitancy</u> among minorities," <u>reported</u> The Virginian Pilot.

When the health department spokespeople crafted a statement following Keyes' death, they included Gov. Ralph Northam's press secretary and another Northam staffer in the editing process. The wording regarding timing of the death after the vaccine went from saying there may appear to be a relationship, "But that is not necessarily the case," to the timing "is not evidence of it being related," highlighting their focus on deterring speculation, according to The Virginian Pilot.

The family was forced to get their own private autopsy. Keyes'

daughter <u>said</u> that even before state officials had her mother's postmortem preliminary test results, the medical examiner's office told her they would not perform an autopsy. They told her "nothing could be gleaned from an autopsy that would relate the vaccine to her death."

State officials <u>didn't answer</u> how medical examiners could thoroughly rule out other potential causes of death triggered by or linked to the shot without an internal examination of the body.

The <u>CDC</u> says no deaths have been attributed to COVID-19 vaccines. However, according to the <u>latest data available</u> from VAERS — which includes reports submitted between Dec. 14, 2020, and Feb. 26, 2021, a total of <u>1,265 deaths</u> following COVID vaccines have been reported to the system.

Dr. Sheffield with Intermountain <u>explained</u> the difference in numbers of deaths reported and the CDC's statement of "no vaccine deaths," saying it comes down to what can and can't be proven.

"You have to look at what it (the numbers) are saying," Sheffield <u>told KUTV</u>. "Is it saying the vaccine caused the deaths, or there were deaths in people who received the vaccine? And those are two very different things."

As <u>The Defender reported</u> last month, the CDC is investigating the death of a 36-year-old doctor in Tennessee who died Feb. 8, about one month after receiving the second dose of a COVID vaccine.

<u>News reports</u> at the time didn't identify which brand of vaccine the doctor received, though at the time, only the Moderna and <u>Pfizer</u> vaccines were approved for emergency use in the U.S. Dr. Barton Williams' death was attributed to <u>multisystem inflammatory syndrome</u> (MIS-A) caused by asymptomatic COVID, though he never tested positive for the virus. In January, <u>The Defender reported</u> on the death of baseball legend Hank Aaron from an "undisclosed" cause 18 days after receiving his first dose of the Moderna vaccine. <u>The New York</u> <u>Times implied</u> that Aaron's death was unrelated to the vaccine, however no autopsy was conducted.

<u>Children's Health Defense</u> urges anyone who suffers any reaction to any vaccine to report it <u>following these steps</u>.

No Safety Data? No Problem!

No Safety Data? No Problem!

by <u>Rosemary Frei, MSc</u> March 9, 2021

On March 4 and 5, <u>Canada</u>, the <u>UK</u>, <u>Australia</u>, <u>Switzerland</u> and <u>Singapore</u> released identical guidelines for fast-tracking release onto the market of vaccines for the new variants. The countries issued the recommendations under the banner of the '<u>ACCESS Consortium</u>.' ACCESS is an acronym based on the first letters of the five countries' names.

A few days earlier, on <u>February 22</u>, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) released a similar set of recommendations. They allow Emergency Use Authorizations (EUAs) for "investigational" vaccines for new variants, letting them be used on the general public without first showing evidence of safety or effectiveness.

The recommendations all state that companies don't need to

conduct new clinical trials before putting the new-variant vaccines onto the market and potentially into millions of people's arms. Requiring new trials, the ACCESS document asserts, would cause "considerable delay" and "bears the risk that the virus is evolving even further, potentially making a new vaccine version outdated at the time of approval again."

Instead, the safety record of the currently used Covid vaccines can be used to judge the safety of the new ones, the countries' regulatory agencies declare.

And they claim that the currently used vaccines are safe and effective: "[T]here is considerable safety experience accumulating as the pandemic progresses and vaccines are rolled out, and [in any case] efficacy has been established for the initial vaccine candidate [i.e., the original Covid vaccines] via large clinical Phase 3 studies," the ACCESS document states.

This is despite the fact that <u>many</u> <u>observers</u> have documented significant safety problems associated with the Covid vaccines, including high death rates.

That helps explain why public-health officials and politicians around the world are bending over backwards to assert that Covid vaccines are very safe and effective. This gives the green light for all future forms of these vaccines to be used without safety testing.

(The regulatory authorities also say these new guidelines can only be used for vaccines that are modifications of the Covid vaccines already in use. But there's enough wiggle room in the new recommendations that I believe they also will be used for new entrants into the Covid-vaccine race.)

Rather than full clinical trials, only a small amount of data needs to be put together by the manufacturers prior to seeking an EUA. Then after the EUA is granted further data can then be gathered from people in the general population who are given the vaccines.

This approach apparently is modeled on the approval of new flu vaccines every year. The flu-vaccine regulations were in turn, "developed based on ample experience gained through years of seasonal vaccinations, and the 2009 H1N1 pandemic," the ACCESS guidelines state.

The latter claim is particularly alarming. The H1N1 swine-flu 'pandemic' never materialized. Hundreds of people were needlessly severely injured by the main vaccine for it, GlaxoSmithKline's Pandemrix. Furthermore, Glaxo was not required to compensate victims; instead, the UK government paid tens of millions of pounds to people who were brain injured by Pandemrix.

The ACCESS and US FDA recommendations only require that companies measure the level of antibodies that people produce when they are given the vaccine. The regulatory agencies will accept this as a proxy for effectiveness.

The ACCESS document states that "the correlations of antibody titres [levels] to effectiveness is not established." They therefore suggest that the World Health Organization (WHO) create an "International Standard and Reference Panel for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody as use of standardized reference material" for all such antibody-level tests.

Such antibody testing is conducted by measuring whether a quantity of virus or other protein-containing substance are or aren't all bound by antibodies in a person's blood sample. This method has been used for <u>years</u>.

However, as I showed in my last article and video, <u>The</u> <u>Antibody Deception</u>, there is no objective evidence that there is in fact binding of antibodies only to the novel coronavirus. Instead, antibodies that purportedly are specific to the novel coronavirus frequently bind to other things. Therefore this is a fatally flawed approach to determining whether vaccines are effective in any way.

There is a field of other red flags in these new recommendations. For example:

1. They don't address the fact that until 2020 scientists were unable to develop any effective vaccines against coronaviruses, despite <u>decades of effort</u>. Then suddenly in 2020-2021 they were able to create at least seven. And now six countries are poised to allow vaccines for new variants to be used one after another in quick succession. The regulatory authorities don't appear interested in objectively reconciling this contradiction.

2. The ACCESS guidelines have no references. So it's very hard to check whether their points are accurate. The <u>U.S. FDA</u> <u>recommendations</u> have 13 references. That's more than zero, but it's still not a lot in a document that's rewriting how Covid vaccines are authorized for use in hundreds of millions of people.

3. There's not a single mention of the fact that pummelling populations with vaccines will make the viruses they're aimed at become less susceptible to the vaccines. This phenomenon is known as resistance.

Resistance has been a concern for many decades with respect to antibiotics. But we rarely hear about viral resistance – even though it is <u>inevitable</u>, particularly because other treatments such as <u>antivirals</u> and monoclonal antibodies.are being used against the novel coronavirus in parallel with vaccines.

4. On February 22, 2021, the USA FDA also issued a new <u>guidance</u> (PDF <u>here</u>) for development of monoclonal antibodies for treating Covid including the new variants. The document outlines how the FDA will significantly speed up this approval: "when scientifically supported, FDA will streamline the data necessary to support the development of monoclonal

antibody products targeting SARS-CoV-2 and also expedite the review of these data."

In addition, the document states that the "FDA strongly recommends that individual monoclonal antibody products be developed with the expectation that they will be combined with one or more monoclonal antibody products that bind to different epitopes [very short protein segments] to minimize the risk of losing activity against emergency variants."

However, as I indicated in my '<u>The Antibody Deception</u>' video and article, there's no proof that antibodies, whether used singly or in combination with others, are effective against Covid, whether the 'original' virus or variants.

This all seems designed to allow new vaccines and monoclonal antibodies for the new variants onto the market with very little regulatory oversight.

"Alexa, Does Bill Gates Kill People?"

"Alexa, Does Bill Gates Kill People?"

by <u>Marnos Bitchute channel</u> March 9, 2021 See related: <u>Greetings, Useless Eaters: A Message From</u> <u>Your Global Human Health Overlord</u>

Cancer Concern for Maskers

Cancer Concern for Maskers

by **Del Bigtree w/ Jefferey Jaxen**, *The HighWire* March 9, 2021

Video available at The HighWire <u>Bitchute</u> and <u>Brighteon</u> channels.

Put aside the obvious concerns mask wearers face: reduced oxygen levels, anxiety disorders, and scientific lack of efficacy.

Since 2012, research has shown that inhaling nanofibers can pose a serious risk to inflammation in the lungs, even mesothelioma (cancer) due to its similar shape to asbestos.

Jefferey Jaxen highlights a recent study showing the potential threat to inhaling nano plastics shed from masks.

How Vaccine Makers Caused the Opioid Crisis

How Vaccine Makers Caused the Opioid Crisis

by <u>Russell Brand</u> March 7, 2021

As Johnson & Johnson's COVID-19 vaccine is authorised by the FDA, today's video looks at the company's part in creating the biggest drug epidemic in American history leading to nearly as many deaths as have been lost to Covid.

What Is the Commons and Why Should We Reclaim It?

What Is the Commons and Why Should We Reclaim It?

In the commons, we are conscious of nature's ecological limits, aware that all humans have a right to air, water, and food, and we accept responsibility for the rights of future generations.

by <u>Vandana Shiva</u> sourced from <u>The Defender</u> March 9, 2021 The path to an ecological civilization is paved by reclaiming the commons – our common home, the Earth, and the commons of the Earth family, of which we are a part. Through reclaiming the commons, we can imagine possibility for our common future, and we can sow the seeds of abundance through "commoning."

In the commons, we care and share — for the Earth and each other. We are conscious of nature's ecological limits, which ensure her share of the gifts she creates goes back to her to sustain biodiversity and ecosystems. We are aware that all humans have a right to air, water, and food, and we feel responsible for the rights of future generations.

Enclosures of the commons, in contrast, are the root cause of the ecological crisis and the crises of poverty and hunger, dispossession and displacement. Extractivism commodifies for profit what is held in common for the sustenance of all life.

The commons, defined

Air is a commons.

We share the air we breathe with all species, including plants and trees. Through photosynthesis, plants convert the carbon dioxide in the atmosphere and give us oxygen. "I can't breathe" is the cry of the enclosure of the commons of air through the mining and burning of 600 million years' worth of fossilized carbon.

Water is a commons.

The planet is 70% water. Our bodies are 70% water. Water is the ecological basis of all life, and in the commons, conservation creates abundance. The plastic water bottle is a symbol of the enclosures of the commons – first by privatizing water for extractivism, and then by destroying the land and oceans through the resulting plastic pollution.

Food is a commons.

Food is the currency of life, from the soil food web, to the biodiversity of plants and animals, insects and microbes, to the trillions of organisms in our gut microbiomes. Hunger is a result of the enclosure of the food commons through fossil fuel-based, chemically intensive industrial agriculture.

A history of enclosure

The enclosure transformation began in earnest in the 16th century. The rich and powerful privateer-landlords, supported by industrialists, merchants and bankers, had a limitless hunger for profits. Their hunger fueled industrialism as a process of extraction of value from the land and peasants.

Colonialism was the enclosure of the commons on a <u>global</u> <u>scale</u>.

When the British East India Company began its de facto rule of India in the mid-1700s, it enclosed our land and forests, our food and water, even our salt from the sea. Over the course of 200 years, the British extracted an estimated \$45 trillion from India through the colonial enclosures of our agrarian economies, pushing tens of millions of peasants into famine and starvation.

Our freedom movement, from the mid-1800s to the mid-1900s, was in fact a movement for reclaiming the commons. When the British established a salt monopoly through the salt laws in 1930, making it illegal for Indians to make salt, Gandhi started the Salt Satyagraha – the civil disobedience movement against the salt laws. He walked to the sea with thousands of people and harvested the salt from the sea, saying: Nature gives it for free; we need it for our survival; we will continue to make salt; we will not obey your laws.

Expanding enclosures

While the enclosures began with the land, in our times, enclosures have expanded to cover lifeforms and biodiversity, our shared knowledge, and even relationships. The commons that are being enclosed today are our seeds and biodiversity, our information, our health and education, our energy, society and community and the Earth herself.

The <u>chemical industry</u> is enclosing the commons of our seeds and biodiversity through "intellectual property rights." Led by <u>Monsanto</u> (now Bayer) in the 1980s, our biodiversity was declared "raw material" for the biotechnology industry to create "intellectual property" – to own our seeds through patents, and to collect rents and royalties from the peasants who maintained the seed commons.

Reclaiming the commons of our seeds has been my life's work since 1987. Inspired by Gandhi, we started the Navdanya movement with a Seed Satyagraha. We declared, "Our seeds, our biodiversity, our indigenous knowledge is our common heritage. We receive our seeds from nature and our ancestors. We have a duty to save and share them, and hand them over to future generations in their richness, integrity, and diversity. Therefore we have a duty to disobey any law that makes it illegal for us to save and share our seeds."

I worked with our parliament to introduce Article 3(j) into India's Patent Law in 2005, which recognizes that plants, animals, and seeds are not human inventions, and therefore cannot be patented. Navdanya has since created 150 community seed banks in our movement to reclaim the commons of seed. And our legal challenges to the <u>biopiracy</u> of neem, wheat, and basmati have been important contributions to reclaiming the commons of biodiversity and indigenous knowledge.

Partnership, not property

So, too, with water. When French water and waste management company Suez tried to privatize the Ganga River in 2002, we built a water democracy movement to reclaim the Ganga as our commons. Through a Satyagraha against Coca-Cola in 2001, my sisters in Plachimada, Kerala, shut down the Coca-Cola plant and reclaimed water as a commons. Ecological civilization is based on the consciousness that we are part of the Earth, not her masters, conquerors, or owners. That we are connected to all life, and that our life is dependent on others – from the air we breathe to the water we drink and the food we eat.

All beings have a right to live; that is why I have participated in preparing the draft "Declaration of the Rights of Mother Earth." The right to life of all beings is based on interconnectedness. The interconnectedness of life and the rights of Mother Earth, of all beings, including all human beings, is the ecological basis of the commons and economies based on caring and sharing.

Reclaiming the commons and creating an ecological civilization go hand in hand.

Originally published by <u>YES!</u>

Man Held Without Bond for Not Wearing a Mask as Violent Rapist Granted Bail

<u>Man Held Without Bond for Not Wearing a Mask as Violent</u> <u>Rapist Granted Bail</u>

by <u>Matt Agorist</u>, <u>The Free Thought Project</u> March 8, 2021

For those who have been paying attention, the "experts" on the coronavirus have been the complete opposite of consistent when

it comes to advising Americans and the rest of the planet on how to react to the pandemic. Since the lockdowns began last year, hypocrisy has been at the forefront and masks are on the main stage.

It's not just <u>hypocrisy either</u>, the police state tyranny across the planet in regard to COVID-19 measures has exploded and those who resist are being treated worse than violent rapists, literally.

As the following case illustrates, a violation of a mask mandate can land you in jail without bail as a violent rapist is granted bail.

Australia has been on the forefront of the COVID-19 police state insanity and the following is a perfect example of why that is. Last month, in response to an increase in positive tests, the state of Ellenbrook went into yet another lockdown.

According to the lockdown rules, anyone who steps foot outside of their own home is required to wear a mask. It does not matter if you are alone or not. If you are visible in public, despite being completely alone, you must wear a mask or you will be fined.

A 41-year-old man <u>refused to comply with</u> this order to wear a mask outside in February, so he was subsequently arrested for it.

"When it was established he did not have a face mask, he was offered one free by officers to assist him to comply with the legal requirement," police said.

"At this stage, there was no intention to arrest or charge the man.

"However, once it was confirmed the man was aware of the legal requirement, had the ability to now comply with the requirement, and he continued to fail to comply with the direction, the officers acted in the best interests of the community and arrested him."

"He was arrested and taken to the Perth Magistrates Court, where he was refused bail and remanded in custody," 7 News <u>reported</u>.

When the man was arraigned after his arrest, the court denied him bail and held him in a cage instead of letting him out. Let that sink in. He wasn't wearing a mask, alone and outside, and he was arrested and denied bail for it. This is not in the interest of a free society nor in the interest of science.

Highlighting the insanity of such tyrannical measures over not wearing a mask is the fact that a violent rapist was granted bail just days before the anti-masker's denial of bail.

Across the island in Townsville, a 19-year-old man accused of the violent rape of 66-year-old woman on a Townsville walking path was granted bail.

This teen violently raped a 66-year-old woman in public and he was granted bail. What's more, on the same day he violently raped a senior citizen, he also attacked a different woman "causing grievous bodily harm."

Witnesses saw the man grab the 66-year-old woman from the walking path and drag her into the bush where she was violently raped.

"It's offending of a heinous character, there were multiple victims who were strangers to the applicant," Prosecutor Molly Mahlouzarides said, according to <u>ABC.</u>

"The attacks, the violence inflicted on these women was entirely out of the blue and one of those incidents unfolded in a public place as the woman was on her morning walk."

Despite the random nature of the attacks and the high probability that they will happen again, the court granted the man bail and he walked out of jail to stay with his grandparents. In the meantime, the man who refused to wear a mask rots in a cage with no bail being granted.

When the state treats those who disobey mask orders worse than they treat violent rapists, it's high time we question their intentions.

<u>Connect with Matt Agorist at The Free Thought Project</u></u>

Women's Day 2021 — A Message From Dr. Vandana Shiva

<u>Women's Day 2021 – A Message From Dr. Vandana Shiva</u>

by **Dr. Vandana Shiva**, *Navdanya International* March 8, 2021

Over five decades I have witnessed how food imperialism is at the root of violence against the Earth and against women. In India, wherever industrial agriculture has displaced women, women have been reduced to a disposable sex and female foeticide has emerged. I have written about this both in Staying Alive and in Earth Democracy.[1]

Food sovereignty is the foundation of women's emancipation, because food is the basis of life, food is the currency of life. Henry Kissenger clearly articulated his politics of food imperialism when he declared the "Food is a weapon. Whoever controls food controls people." For thousands of years, women have contributed to the production of food, conservation of biodiversity, and earth care.

Food sovereignty in women's hands is important for their own emancipation but also for all people and all life on Earth.

In 1996, Maria Mies and I wrote a declaration in Leipzig at the Plant Genetic Resources Conference which was signed by more than 100,000 women for the World Food Summit.[2]

For Women's Day 2021, Women Farmers of Diverse Women for Diversity / The Women's Food Sovereignty Movement has issued a new report, 'Earth Rising, Women Rising: Regenerating the Earth, Seeding the Future.'[3]

As we have written in 'Earth Rising, Women Rising: "We are a strand in the web of life and the web of biodiversity. We are custodians, breeders and producers of seed. Living seed is our living heritage which we have received in diversity and integrity from our ancestors, and which we have a duty to safeguard and pass on to future generations. "

Seed holds our co-evolutionary potential as part of creation.

Seed sovereignty (Bija Swaraj) is our birth right.

We are reclaiming our seed sovereignty.

Life begins as seed. Food begins as seed.

Healthy food grows from healthy seed.

We are breeding, producing, and sharing our seeds as a commons. Seed is not an invention. Seed is not the intellectual property of corporations. Seed is life. Seed is sacred.

We have created local community seed banks to conserve indigenous seeds and farmers' seed producer groups to multiply

and distribute nutritious and climate-resilient, local seeds.

Our indigenous Desi seeds and farmers' varieties have much higher nutritional value than the so-called 'High Yielding Varieties.' These have been bred to adapt to chemicals; are nutritionally empty, contributing to diseases of deficiencies of micronutrients and trace elements; and loaded with diseasecausing toxics. Indigenous seeds need less water, are more pest and disease-resistant and more climate-resilient. GMO seeds are toxic, and GMO Bt cotton has not only failed to control pests, but has trapped farmers in debt and driven hundreds of thousands among them to suicide.

The attempts to promote GMOs based on gene editing are designed to undermine biosafety regulations.

We have rejuvenated our Climate Resilient indigenous seeds."[4]

I started Navdanya and the Seed Sovereignty and Food Sovereignty movement more than three decades ago when multinational corporations tried to hijack our seed and food through the World Bank's conditionalities and WTO's free trade rules.

To my young sisters I send a message of love, care and courage. We have to shed the multiple colonisations of capitalist patriarchy that reduced nature and women to a colonies, and denied their power and creativity. As members of the Earth Family, co-creating with the living Earth, we are powerful, in a non-violent, creative way.

The future is in your hands. Take care of the Earth so she can take care of you.

Dr Vandana Shiva

President of Navdanya International

References

[1] Vandana Shiva, Staying Alive: Women, Ecology and Development in India (New Delhi: Zed Press, 1988). Ibid, Earth Democracy: Justice, Sustainability and Peace (Boston: South End Press, 2005)

[2] Leipzig Appeal for Women's Food Security. 1996, <u>https://www.iatp.org/sites/default/files/Leipzig_Appeal_</u> for_Womens_Food_Security.htm

[3] Diverse Women for Diversity, and Mahila Anna Swaraj. 'Earth Rising, Women Rising: Regenerating the Earth, Seeding the Future'. Navdanya, Mar. 2021, https://www.navdanya.org/site/eco-feminism/the-earth-ris ing,-women-rising

[4] Navdanya. Seeds of Hope, Seeds of Resilience How Biodiversity Makes Agriculture and Communities More Resilient to Climate Change . 2017, https://www.navdanya.org/site/climate-change/seed-of-res ilience

Severe Reactions to COVID-19 Vaccine Close Schools in Michigan, Ohio and New York

<u>Severe Reactions to COVID-19 Vaccine Close Schools in</u> <u>Michigan, Ohio and New York</u>

by Carolyn Hendler, JD, The Vaccine Reaction

March 8, 2021

Schools in three states, Michigan, Ohio and New York were forced to close for a day last month after school staff could not work due to severe side effects from COVID-19 vaccinations.

Schools in Alma and Ithaca, Michigan were closed on Feb. 16, 2021 because the majority of the teachers had experienced side effects after they received the second dose of a COVID-19 vaccine.¹ School superintendent Donalynn Ingersoll closed school in Alma in anticipation of the vaccine's severe side effects, saying:

As 70 percent of our staff (across all employee groups) will be receiving their second dose over the weekend, this means there is potential for us to be unable to provide coverage for the number of staff who may not report for work on Monday.²

Shepard schools in Michigan also closed its doors after a large number of the staff suffering from immediate negative reactions to the second dose of the Moderna mRNA-1273 vaccine called in sick.³ Superintendent, Greg Miller, sent an e-mail stating,

Unfortunately we will need to call a snow day for tomorrow, Thursday, due to the district's staff experiencing ill symptoms from their second dose of the Covid vaccine. Their will be no on-line instruction.⁴

In Ohio, schools in the Fairless district were forced to close school after many of the staff suffered negative reactions to the first round of the COVID-19 vaccination.⁵ Maureen Ahmann, MD, medical director for Stark County Health Department said she was not concerned about the large number of people experiencing negative vaccine reactions said:

As far as specifically the call-off numbers in Fairless, I haven't spoken to them, but I am not surprised if people are having some of the reactions like that to the vaccine. In fact, it's kind of good news because we know they are responding.⁶

Ohio's Summit County Health Commissioner Donna Skoda stated:

Feeling uncomfortable and having a headache is nowhere near what COVID can do to you and if you are fortunate enough in this vaccine shortage, to be able to have a vaccine offered to you, you should take it and put up with whatever side effects you have. ... As far as people using that as an excuse not to get the vaccine, that's their choice. No one is forcing anyone to get the vaccine. That's not happening so if their choice is they are not going to take it, that's their health, that's their choice, but we are going to give it to as many people as want it.²

Staff members in the Sandy Creek district schools in New York suffered a similar fate when one-third of the school staff reported feeling ill after receiving the 2nd shot of Moderna's COVID-19 vaccine causing the school to close for a day.⁸ Superintendent, Kyle Faulkner explained:

We are a small district, and we had so many folks down and out today that we didn't have enough people to staff the schools,'' Faulkner said. "Some people get pretty sick with the second shot.⁹

Severe Side effects From COVID-19 Vaccines Were Expected

When the COVID19 vaccines were authorized for emergency use in December, the chief scientist for the U.S. government's Operation Warp Speed said that between one and 15 percent of the Pfizer and Moderna vaccine trial volunteers reported, "significantly noticeable side effects"¹⁰

The New York Times reported that COVID-19 vaccine recipients may feel bad enough that they have to take a day off of work after the second dose of the vaccine. Half of the people in the Pfizer study experienced fatigue and at least 25-33 percent or more experienced headaches, chills and muscle pain.¹¹

According to the documents submitted by Pfizer and Moderna to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee, the vaccines caused wide spread side effects in the clinical trials. The second dose of the vaccine manufactured by Pfizer caused fatigue in 59.4 percent, headaches in 51.7 percent, muscle pain in 37.3 percent, joint pain in 21.9 percent, chills in 35.1 percent, and fever in 15.8 percent of volunteers. Moderna reported fatigue in 68.5 percent, headache in 63 percent, aches and pains in 59.6 percent, chills in 43.4 percent, and fever in 15.6 percent of vaccine trial participants.¹²

While the vast majority of these extreme flu-like side effects appear to be transient only lasting a day or two, more severe adverse reactions to the COVID-19 vaccines have been reported since the mass vaccination campaign of this experimental vaccine began. According to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) as of Feb. 12, there had been 15,923 adverse events reported from the COVID-19 vaccines including 3,126 serious events, 6161 life-threatening, 21 ER visits, 1,869 hospital stays and 929 deaths.¹³ It is important to note that a 2011 report by Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Inc. funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services found that less than one percent of all vaccine adverse events are reported to VAERS.¹⁴

Some doctors contend that experiencing side effects after the COVID-19 vaccines is an expected immune response. Kavita Patel, MD an *NBC News* medical contributor said:

The second vaccine (dose)—think of it as having that hit to your immune system, and your immune system now recognizes the vaccine, so it does its job." She admitted that after getting the 2nd dose she, "felt, for about 36 hours, like I had the flu.¹⁵

Bill Moss, MD, pediatrician and professor of infectious disease epidemiology at Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health said:

The immune system is seeing the vaccine for the first time with the first dose and is reacting to that, and the cells of the immune system are recruited to kind of recognize that spike protein (the part of the coronavirus that the vaccine affects). So when the body's immune system sees (the vaccine) a second time, there are more cells and there's a more intense immune response, resulting in those side effects.

Both the Pfizer and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines have been granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), but they have not been officially approved for licensure by the FDA.¹⁶ ¹⁷