

Spiro Skouras, Banned From Twitter, in Conversation With Helen of Destroy on Censorship & Medical Tyranny

[Covid-1984: Censorship & Medical Tyranny \(with Spiro Skouras\)](#)

by [Helen of Destroy](#)

sourced from [Activist Post](#)

January 4, 2021

Welcome to 2021, the theatrical performance for which 2020 was merely a dress rehearsal. If you haven't been deplatformed yet, don't worry, you will be. That is, unless we can get our shit together as a species and work together to pry the boot off our faces. The sooner we do that, the better.

Spiro was recently booted off Twitter for wrongthink. There's a lot of that going around these days, no matter how meticulously-researched one's content is. We are an information war – don't bring a plastic fork to a drone strike.

'Like,' share, & subscribe if you got something out of this content, because it probably won't be around much longer. Download this (& the other videos on both of our channels) & repost it to your own channel or platform.

Spiro's channels:

BC: <https://www.bitchute.com/channel/spiro/>

Parler: <https://parler.com/profile/Spiros/posts>

YT: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCkK0QNYoZjaa_8V0uP0uee0

Helen's channels:

helenofdestroy.com

BC: <https://bitchute.com/helenofdestroy>

YT: <https://youtube.com/helenofdestroy>

Twitter: @velocirapture23

See related: [Spiro Skouras w/ Dr. Pam Popper: The Lawsuit That Could End Covid-1984](#)

NYU Professor Under Fire for Mask Comments Files Libel Lawsuit

[NYU Professor Under Fire for Mask Comments Files Libel Lawsuit](#)

by [Janet Phelan](#)

sourced from [Activist Post](#)

December 4, 2020

A NYU professor has filed a lawsuit against a number of his academic colleagues, alleging libel after an uproar of official reaction ensued when one of his students called for

his firing based on in-class comments he had made on the utility of masks.

The pleadings, filed in the Supreme Court of the state of New York on November 30, 2020, stated that a letter signed by nineteen of Professor Mark Crispin Miller's academic colleagues included "numerous misstatements of 'fact' maliciously intended to portray plaintiff in a negative light" and intended to "diminish, if not destroy, his professional reputation and standing."

In a modern day rendition of the silencing of Socrates, the furor surrounding Professor Miller began shortly into this semester at New York University. Miller has for many years taught a course on propaganda in the Department of Media, Culture and Communication, in which he discusses current events and historical trends in the light of their propagandist purposes. This semester, he states he had encouraged students to research the scientific utility of masks.

One student took public issue with Miller's mask comments and launched a Twitter campaign against him, stating that he was "spouting dangerous rhetoric that serves to cultivate fear and confusion during a pandemic" and called for his firing.

I hope @nyuniversity, @nyusteinhardt, and @mccNYU agree that this professor should not be trusted with educating and advising students, and I hope they take immediate steps to relieve him of these duties. 8/

– Julia Jackson (@julia_jacks) [September 21, 2020](#)

First, his department chair, Rodney Benson, tweeted his thanks, saying "We as a department have made this a priority, and are discussing next steps."

At that point, the University disseminated a cautionary email to Miller's students, which he states was sent out prior to

any conference or consultation as to the veracity of Jackson's claims. According to Miller, Department Chair Benson then pressured him to cancel the propaganda course for next semester.

A month later, [the letter from Miller's colleagues](#), condemning Miller's actions, was sent to Dean Jack Knott and to Provost Katherine Fleming.

And Miller became the subject of a review board hearing.

Professor Miller's political activities and viewpoints have sparked prior attention from NYU. An effort spearheaded by Miller to stop a physical expansion by NYU ended up in court, several years ago. He is also a named plaintiff in a class action suit concerning NYU's mismanagement of the faculty's retirement plans.

Earlier this year, Miller was called in to the Office of Equal Opportunity to explain some comments he had made in articles concerning transgender politics, including [this one](#). Miller has unequivocally stated that he bears no animus towards transgender individuals and that his comments reflected concerns that the transgender movement had eugenics implications. He was quickly exonerated by the OEO concerning these statements.

Interestingly, the letter from the NYU colleagues to the University tries to resurrect these concerns and states that Miller has engaged in "direct mockery and ridicule of trans individuals" as well as "discrimination" and that he has created "an unsafe learning environment." While paradoxically stating their support for academic freedom, the signers of this letter, all of whom are academics "...call on Steinhardt and University leadership to publicly support the NYU community and undertake an expedited review, as per the Faculty Handbook and Title IV, of Professor Miller's intimidation tactics, abuses of authority, aggressions and

microaggressions, and explicit hate speech, none of which are excused by academic freedom and First Amendment protections.” Curiously, the letter gives no concrete examples to support these allegations.

[Read more...](#)

cover image credit [Cdd20](#) / pixabay

Ron Paul: On Coronavirus, We Must Not Allow Politics to Dictate Science

[On Coronavirus, We Must Not Allow Politics to Dictate Science](#)

by [Ron Paul](#), [Ron Paul Institute](#)

November 23, 2020

In these past couple of weeks, two important studies have been published that could dramatically increase our understanding of the Covid-19 disease. Adding to the science of how we understand and treat this disease is something that should be welcomed, because properly understood it can save lives.

The only problem is that because the results from these two studies challenge what the media has established as conventional wisdom about the disease, the reports are at best

being ignored and at worst being openly distorted by the mainstream media.

This is in my view a dangerous and foolish subjugation of science to politics and it may well end up causing many more unnecessary deaths.

First is the Danish mask study, which was completed several months ago but was only recently published in a peer-reviewed journal. The study took two groups and gave the first group masks to wear with instruction on how they should be used. The other group was the mask-free control group.

The study found that coronavirus spread within the statistical margin of error in each group. In other words, wearing the mask did little if anything to control the spread of the virus.

As the wearing of masks is still being mandated across the country and the globe, this study should be reported as an important piece of counter-evidence. At the very least it might be expected to invite a rush of similar studies to refute or confirm the results.

However, while mostly ignored by the media, when it was covered the spin on the study was so strange that the conclusion presented was opposite to the findings. For example, the Los Angeles Times published an article with the headline, "Face mask trial didn't stop coronavirus spread, but it shows why more mask-wearing is needed."

Similarly, a massive new study conducted in Wuhan, China, and published in the respected scientific journal Nature, reports that asymptomatic persons who have tested positive for Covid-19 do not pass on the infection to others. Considering that mask mandates and lockdowns are all based on the theory that asymptomatic "positive cases" can still pass on the sickness, this is potentially an important piece of information to help plan a more effective response to the

virus.

At the least, again, it should stimulate additional, far-reaching studies to either confirm or deny the Wuhan study.

We do know, based on information from widely-accepted sources as the CDC and World Health Organization, that lockdowns can have a very serious negative effect on society. On July 14th, CDC Director Robert Redfield told a seminar that lockdowns are causing more deaths than Covid.

So if there is a way to continue fighting Covid and protecting those most at risk while drastically reducing deaths related to lockdowns, isn't this worth some consideration? Isn't this worth at least some further research?

Well, not according to the mainstream media. They have established their narrative and they are not about to budge. The two studies are fatally flawed, they report. Of course that might be the case, but isn't that an argument to attempt to replicate the studies to prove it?

That would be the scientific approach. Sadly, "trust the science" has come to mean "trust the narrative I support." That is a very dangerous way of thinking and can prove to be deadly.

**Whoever Controls the
Ideological Landscape
Controls the World**

Whoever Controls the Ideological Landscape Controls the World

by [Dustin Broadbery](#), *The Cogent*

sourced from [Activist Post](#)

November 22, 2020

[The following quotes](#) are from the Assistant Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police, Neil Basu, published in the Evening Standard on 19th November . I have highlighted some keywords in capitals which I will refer to later in the analysis.

“Britain’s top counter-terrorism officer today called for a NATIONWIDE DEBATE on the introduction of new laws to punish people who spread ANTI-VACCINATION CONSPIRACY THEORIES.”

“Met Assistant Commissioner Neil Basu said that there should be a DISCUSSION about whether it is “the correct thing for society to allow” people to spread “MISINFORMATION THAT COULD COST PEOPLE’S LIVES” as he responded to concern that false claims online could undermine the take up of Covid-19 vaccines.”

“There is a DEBATE FOR SOCIETY to have about FREE SPEECH and responsibility and people who are spreading misinformation that could cost people’s lives”

Do you see what they’re trying to achieve?

This propagandised message from the Met is attempting to reconstruct the ideological landscape, reframe the parameters of what a debate is, reclassify free speech, weaponise information, and obfuscate the important legal principle: ‘Innocent until proven guilty.’

In other words, the government is attempting to whitewash free speech towards the kind of one world ideology that was endemic

in the former Soviet Union, where thinking contrary to the ideology of the Communist Party was criminalised.

But there is something even more odious concealed within this Goebbelsian public service announcement: here we have a senior police officer using threatening language to intimidate and shakedown the general population.

This is on the very same day that Boris Johnson flexed his own military muscle, announcing: [record defence spending](#) for laser guns, direct energy weapons, an artificial intelligence agency and the creation of a national cyber force (a group of computer hackers to conduct offensive operations).

Offensive operations against who exactly?

Britain is not currently at war, but according to the Assistant Commissioner of the MET, the government is waging an ideological war against anti vaccination conspiracy theorists. Ideological wars of this nature typically take place online, which is where much of the government's military budget is being invested.

What does that tell us about *who* the government is at war with?

These strong arm tactics by a fledgling totalitarian regime, learning its trade, could be interpreted as coercion against the British public, and it is likely that the government is not only targeting dissenters, skeptics or those unwilling to wear the uniform of the Red Army, they are in fact threatening the entire population.

We can therefore interpret the stern warning from the Deputy Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police, as follows:

1), DEBATES and DISCUSSIONS are permissible only when it comes to determining the extent of punishment to be served on those publicly voicing concerns or questioning the legitimacy or

safety of vaccinations.

2), Despite the fact that a DEBATE and DISCUSSION is being proposed and this DEBATE is apparently open to all (NATIONWIDE), ANTI-VACCINATION CONSPIRACY THEORISTS are not authorised to participate in this discussion. In other words: if your own set of ideologies conflicts with state doctrine, you effectively have no voice. In fact, your voice has already been criminalised, and it is only the extent of your punishment that is so far undetermined.

3), The proposed NATIONWIDE DEBATE is in fact a fiction, when the definition of debate is: 'a regulated discussion between opposing views.' What's more, there can be no debate without FREE SPEECH, which it is implied, does not exist anymore, because the war on MISINFORMATION and the ANTI-VACCINATION CONSPIRACY THEORISTS has established that the principle of free speech is, as a result of the threat of COVID, no longer permissible. As the Met's Assistant Commissioner points out: one side of the DEBATE has already been silenced and criminalised. Needless to say, the DEBATE does not involve the other side of the DEBATE. This in turn implies that what is being proposed here is in fact a witch hunt and not a DEBATE.

4), An important legal precedent has now been established that overrides the principle of 'innocent until Proven guilty.' due to the implied guilt of 'ANTI-VACCINATION CONSPIRACY THEORISTS, without the enactment of legislation. In other words, this witch hunt commenced before the rule of law convened. We can therefore assume that some kind of Kangaroo Court has taken place behind closed doors, without scrutiny or DEBATE from the legislative or judicial branches of government. This in turn implies that the legal process for enacting laws is surpassed by arbitrary policing by preference.

5). Despite the word 'NATIONWIDE' implying an open and transparent culture of DEBATE for everyone, as we have already

established: the DEBATE will be mediated and directed by a small constituent belonging to one side of the DEBATE. Namely, the executive branch of government (the police), and its public relations agency (the media).

6), The emergency powers which the government granted itself back in March, under the Public Health (Control of Disease Act) 1984 and the Coronavirus Act 2020, not only abolished debates and scrutiny in parliament, these measures dissolved parliament from 650 MP's to 50 MP's. Therefore, If the constitutional affairs of this country cannot be determined by lawmakers in parliament through DEBATE, it is improbable that the general population would be invited into such a DEBATE. Therefore what is implicitly meant by the word DEBATE is official diktat.

7). The notion that MISINFORMATION COULD COST PEOPLE'S LIVES, is one of the most dangerous legal propositions in the history of democracy. Implying the government has decreed a new category of criminal activity, equivalent to thought-crime. Granting the government powers to regulate our thoughts and communications. If it can be argued that a person could be killed by misinformation, then the very principles of 'intent,' 'premeditation', 'motive', and in turn 'guilt', are transformed into something else, and each of these parameters of guilt could be preceded by 'thought' or 'word', as an incitement to cause injury or death. This kind of legal precedent could therefore become an important weapon of censorship, the likes of which has not been attempted previously, and Orwell's concept of Thought Crime might become a reality in the 21st century. The intervention of Britain's top anti-terrorist officer in this debate is especially alarming, because it presents evidence that the state is looking to brand anyone questioning the official narrative, with what it deems MISINFORMATION, as a terrorist.

8). All systems of ideological control are concerned with dissolving the power of their opposition. Whether a political

party looking to gain more seats in parliament or the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) prohibiting other political parties altogether. The idea that a CONSPIRACY THEORIST presents a threat to people's lives, grants unprecedented emergency powers to the government to censor, criminalise and prosecute its opposition. Unlike apartheid in South Africa – where a social segment was discriminated against because of the colour of their skin – a conspiracy theorist is not identifiable by any incontrovertible features, and are instead singled out on the basis of the governments interpretation of their dissenting ideological stance. It could therefore be argued that anyone disagreeing with the state, is in fact a CONSPIRACY THEORIST and open to prosecution.

Dustin Broadbery is an anarchist based in London, interested in social theory and particularly how a mutual society could bring about great advancements in the social fabric. Reach him on Twitter: [twitter: https://twitter.com/TheCogent1](https://twitter.com/TheCogent1)

**James Corbett: Goodbye
YouTube Party w/ Derrick
Broze, Ryan Cristian, Dan
Dicks & Josh Sigurdson**

[Goodbye YouTube Party!!!](#)

by **[James Corbett](#)**, [The Corbett Report](#)

November 10, 2020

Q: What's the biggest event of the year? A: The Goodbye YouTube Party, of course! Join James, Derrick, Ryan, Dan and Josh as they celebrate being kicked off the enemy's controlled information platform (well, everyone except James, that shill!). We look forward to pioneering the next phase of the development of the independent media and share our ideas about exciting new opportunities for sharing information outside of the grasp of the controlled Big Tech social media oligopoly.

Watch

on [Archive](#) / [BitChute](#) / [LBRY](#) / [Minds](#) / [YouTube](#) or [Download the mp4](#)

Follow **Derrick Broze** at [TheConsciousResistance.com](#)

Derrick

Broze on [Flote](#) / [Minds](#) / [Hive](#) / [Remind](#) / [BitChute](#) / [LBRY](#) / Telegram

Follow **Ryan Cristian** at [TheLastAmericanVagabond.com](#)

Ryan

Cristian on [Rokfin](#) / [Flote](#) / [Periscope](#) / [3Speak](#) / [BitChute](#) / [LBRY](#)

Follow **Dan Dicks** at [PressForTruth.ca](#)

Dan Dicks on [BitChute](#) / [Flote](#) / [Minds.com](#) / [LBRY](#)

Follow **Josh Sigurdson** on [LBRY](#) / [BitChute](#) / [Flote](#) /

6 Wikileaks Revelations Expose Corporate Abuse at Expense of People and Planet

[6 Wikileaks Revelations Expose Corporate Abuse at Expense of People and Planet](#)

by [Jeremy Loffredo](#), [Children's Health Defense](#)

October 2, 2020

People around the [world](#) are [watching](#) as U.K. Judge Vanessa Baraitser hears arguments and [decides](#) whether or not to extradite Wikileaks Founder Julian Assange to the U.S.

While the Obama administration chose not to charge Assange, wary of the [precedent](#) it might set in criminalizing journalism, the Trump administration indicted him with 18 criminal [charges](#) that may [land](#) Assange in one of the U.S.'s most notorious prisons for [175 years](#).

Assange's Wikileaks has won [numerous](#) journalism awards and has never had to retract a single publication despite releasing more than 10 million documents exposing, among other things, U.S. war crimes. Former CIA Director Leon Panetta recently [indicated](#) that the [ongoing persecution](#) of Assange is meant to "send a message to others not to do the same thing."

As the world [debates](#) whether Assange is a [hero](#) or a [traitor](#), Children's Health Defense takes a step back to examine some of the things his organization has revealed for those fighting for health and environmental justice.

1. U.S. diplomatic efforts to overturn resistance to GMOs at the behest of Monsanto

Wikileaks published hundreds of diplomatic cables exhibiting attempts by the U.S. to quell opposition to genetically modified organisms or GMOs. As reported by [The Guardian](#), “the cables show U.S. diplomats working directly for GM companies such as Monsanto.”

In a 2007 [cable](#), Craig Stapleton, then U.S. Ambassador to France, advised the U.S. to prepare for economic war with countries unwilling to introduce Monsanto’s GM corn seeds. He recommended the U.S. “calibrate a target retaliation list that causes some pain across the E.U.”

[Another](#) dispatch, this one from 2009, demonstrated that the U.S. funded a GMO workshop in Mozambique that, according to the authors, helped advance biotech-friendly policies in the country.

In another [cable](#) from 2009, a U.S. diplomat stationed in Germany relayed intelligence on Bavarian political parties to several U.S. federal agencies and the U.S. Secretary of Defense, telling them which parties opposed Monsanto’s M810 corn seed and tactics that the U.S. could impose to resolve the opposition.

One [cable](#) from Hong Kong shows a State Department employee requesting \$92,000 in U.S. public funds for “media education kits” to combat a growing popular movement calling for the labeling of GMO foods in Hong Kong. The cable indicates a desire to “make it much more difficult for mandatory labelling advocates to prevail.” The State Department’s Anita Katial, who wrote the cable, also recalled a time when her office facilitated the sending of pro-biotech and bio-agriculture DVDs to every highschool in Hong Kong.

[According](#) to Food & Water Watch Executive Director Wenonah Hauter, the trove of cables “really gets down to twisting the arms of countries and working to undermine local democratic movements that may be opposed to biotech crops, and pressuring

foreign governments to also reduce the oversight of biotech crops.”

2. Multinational commodities trader dumping toxic waste in West Africa

In 2006, Trafigura, the [world's second largest oil trader](#), illegally discharged more than [500 tons](#) of highly toxic oil waste near the Port of Abidjan in the Ivory Coast. Some of the dump sites were [near](#) agriculture fields or water supplies, and the UN [estimates](#) that more than 100,000 people sought medical treatment due to the incident. Wikileaks [would later call](#) this incident “possibly the most culpable mass contamination incident since Bhopal.”

Trafigura's lawyer commissioned a confidential [study](#) that listed what the environmental and health impacts of the dumping incident would be after people living near the port started flooding hospitals.

The report [explained](#) that contact with the offloaded compounds could lead to eye damage, lung damage, skin burns, headaches, breathing difficulty, permanent skin ulceration, coma and death. The report also states that the chemical compounds would have a “severe and negative effect” on the environment.

5.1 The most severe symptoms are likely to be experienced by those living and working at or near the dump sites who may come into direct contact with the liquid slops residues and high concentrations of gas. For these people, the possible consequences are burns to the skin, eyes and lungs, vomiting, diarrhea, loss of consciousness and death.

As recently as [2016](#), residents were complaining about the smell of the waste, headaches, breathing problems and skin problems.

Wikileaks published the classified report in 2009, the first time the public could see the company's true negligence.

3. Gates Foundation sees environmental activists as a threat

In 2008, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation hired an intelligence firm called Stratfor to put together a "[threat assessment report](#)" and determine current and future threats to the foundation.

Stratfor's report saw environmental activists, indigenous farming groups, and peasant political parties in Asia and South America, as "potential threats" to the foundation.

"Threats to the foundation are likely to be directly related to the public association between the foundation and a controversial issue such as GMOs, animal testing, clinical trials and reproductive rights," the report reads.

Stating that the primary threat to the foundation's agriculture program comes from its work promoting GMOs, the report notes the rise of anti-GMO campaigning in developing countries, including a "staunch opposition to GMOs in India." It even names specific activists, such as the U.S.-based anti-GMO campaigner [Jeffrey Smith](#).

The report also mentions the work of large organizations like Greenpeace and PETA as well as alternative media outlets like the Center for Public Integrity, Mother Jones, AlterNet and the LA Times, which had just published a [series accusing](#) the foundation of "reap[ing] vast financial gains from investments in companies that contribute to the human suffering in health, housing and social welfare."

Wikileaks published the threat assessment as part of its release of more than 5 million Stratfor emails in 2012.

4. Pharma intel and espionage operation

In 1996, Pfizer, one of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies, conducted clinical trials in Nigeria for an antibiotic called Trovan. The results were devastating, as

Nigerian officials [reported](#) more than 50 children died in the experiment and dozens became disabled.

In 2006, a Nigerian government panel concluded that Pfizer violated international law and [called](#) the experiment “an illegal trial of an unregistered drug.” In 2007, Nigerian state and federal authorities [sued](#) Pfizer for \$7 billion, alleging the company did not have proper consent from the children’s parents.

A 2009 U.S. diplomatic cable published by Wikileaks [revealed](#) that while the case was in federal court, Pfizer had [hired](#) a private intelligence firm to get blackmail on Nigerian Attorney General Michael Aondoakaa.

According to the [cable](#), “Pfizer’s investigators were passing this information to local media,” who published articles on the attorney general’s “alleged” corruption. “Aondoakaa’s cronies were pressuring him to drop the suit for fear of further negative articles,” it reads.

A few months after the negative articles, the Nigerian ministry of justice signed a [settlement](#) with Pfizer.

5. U.S. is a climate bully

Cables [disclosed](#) by Wikileaks in 2010 present the U.S. using what The Guardian called “[spying, threats and promises of aid](#)” to get international support for the 2009 Copenhagen Accord – an industry-friendly international climate deal with non-binding agreements to lower emissions. (Climate activist Naomi Klein [described](#), at the time, the accord as “nothing more than a grubby pact between the world’s biggest emitters”.)

The State Department sent a secret cable to foreign embassies seeking human intelligence, or “dirt,” on UN diplomats regarding climate policy. [And, as reported by Democracy Now!](#), the cables also indicated that the U.S. cut funding to Bolivia and Ecuador after both governments opposed the accord.

Bill McKibben, founder of the climate organization 350.org, said the cables exposed that “the U.S. was both bullying and buying countries into endorsing their do-little position on climate.”

6. International organizations consulting with Big Pharma

In 2009, Wikileaks [revealed](#) documents that the International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers & Associations (IFPMA) gave its members a report by the UN’s World Health Organization(WHO)’s Expert Working Group on research and development financing.

[IFPMA](#) members include pharmaceutical giants [like](#) Bayer, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer and Sanofi, and the organization represents these entities when dealing with the UN. What makes the Wikileaks document dump significant is that the working group gave IFPMA access to these documents months before their scheduled public release, suggesting that the UN’s health expert group was more accountable to the pharmaceutical industry than to its own member states.

“The compilation of documents shows the influence of ‘Big Pharma’ on the policy making decisions of the WHO,” Wikileaks commented when publishing the files.

James Corbett: Google Tries to Burn LBRY...But LBRY Fights Back

[Google Tries to Burn LBRY...But LBRY Fights Back](#)

[Jeremy Kauffman Introduces LBRY and Odysee](#)

by [James Corbett](#), *[The Corbett Report](#)*

September 28, 2020

Watch

on [Archive](#) / [BitChute](#) / [LBRY](#) / [Minds](#) / [YouTube](#) or [Download the mp4](#)

Jeremy Kauffman joins us to discuss LBRY, an open-source, decentralized protocol that is helping creators share video content peer-to-peer through the LBRY app and LBRY.tv. Today we talk about the newly-launched LBRY web portal, [Odysee.com](#), and how the LBRY app was (briefly) banned from the Google Playstore in the latest attempt to burn the LBRY of Alexandria.

SHOW NOTES:

[Episode 384 – The Library of Alexandria is on Fire](#)

[Social Media Alternatives](#)

[LBRY.com](#)

[LBRY.tv](#)

[LBRY.tech](#)

[Odysee.com](#)

[LBRY: A Blockchain-Based Decentralized Digital Content Marketplace \(white paper\)](#)

[Google Bans LBRY From Playstore](#)

[How does LBRY benefit content creators? Can I earn money using](#)

[LBRY?](#)

[The Corbett Report Official LBRY Channel](#)

Question 'The Science'? Go To Gulag!

[Question 'The Science'? Go To Gulag!](#)

by [Ron Paul](#), [Ron Paul Institute](#)

September 28, 2020

In the Soviet Union it was forbidden to dispute the wisdom of the "party line." That's because Marxian communism was viewed as the scientifically inevitable progression of mankind. For Marx and Lenin, the "science was settled." Therefore anyone speaking out against "the science" of the Soviet system must be acting with malice; must actually want destruction; must want people to die.

Anyone voicing opposition to the "settled science" of Marxism-Leninism soon found their voice silenced. Oftentimes permanently.

Ironically, just 30 years after the "science" of Marxism-Leninism imploded for all the world to see, we are witnessing a resurgence here in the US of the idea that to question "the science" is not to seek truth or refine understanding of what appears to be conflicting evidence. No, it is to actually wish harm on one's fellow Americans.

And while we who question "the science" are not being

physically carried off to the gulags for disputing the wisdom of our “betters” in the CDC or the World Health Organization, for example, we are finding that the outcome is the same. We are being silenced and accused of malicious intent. The Soviet Communists called dissidents like us “wreckers.”

Last week on my daily news broadcast, the Ron Paul Liberty Report, we reported on two whistleblowers from inside the CDC and Big Pharma who raised serious and legitimate questions about the prevailing coronavirus narrative. The former Chief Science Officer for the pharmaceutical giant Pfizer, Dr. Mike Yeadon, has stated that from his experience he believes that nearly 90 percent of the current tests for Covid produce false positives. That means that this massive expansion in “cases,” used to justify continued attacks on our civil liberties, is simply phony.

As Dr. Yeadon said in a recent interview about the Orwellian UK coronavirus lockdown, “we are basing a government policy, an economic policy, a civil liberties policy, in terms of limiting people to six people in a meeting...all based on, what may well be, completely fake data on this coronavirus?”

Is Dr. Yeadon correct in claiming that based on his scientific observation there is no “second wave”? We don’t know. But we do know that his claims that the massive increase in “cases” in Europe used to justify new lockdowns are not in any way being matched with a similar increase in deaths. The EU’s own charts prove this. Deaths remain a flat line near zero while “cases” skyrocket to match the massive increase in testing.

Yet when we reported on Dr. Yeadon’s findings on the Liberty Report last week we found that for the first time ever, our program was removed by YouTube.

YouTube, owned by Google, which is firmly embedded into the deep state, was vague in explaining just where we violated their “community standards” by simply reporting on qualified

scientists who happen to disagree with the mainstream coronavirus narrative.

But they did offer this shocking explanation in an email sent to us at the Ron Paul Liberty Report: “YouTube does not allow content that explicitly disputes the efficacy of the World Health Organization.”

Incredible!

It’s not the science that is settled. What appears to be “settled is the impulse to silence anyone who asks “why”?

Courageous German Doctor Heiko Schoening Arrested Before He Could Speak at Trafalgar Square, London

TCTL Editor’s Note: Internationally-Recognized German doctor, Dr. Heiko Schoening [also spelled Schoning at acu2020.org website], a founder of Doctors for Enlightenment, was interviewed then arrested before he could speak at Saturday’s massive protest in Trafalgar Square, London.

[Click here for related information about the work of Dr. Heiko Schoening.](#)

The original interview was with [Anna Brees](#). It is part of part of [this 21 minute video](#) of conversations at Trafalgar Square.

Arrest footage:

Dr. Heiko Schoening upon release from police custody.

Compilation of all four videos:

As a service to protect truth from censorship, we merged the above videos into one for uploading to backup channels. Copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light [BitChute](#), [LBRY](#) & [Brighteon](#) channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original sources of this video:

Interview: [Anna Brees](#) & [ACU2020](#),

1st Arrest Video: [ACU2020](#),

2nd Arrest Video: [Active Patriot UK](#),

His Words Following His Release: [BarkiĆ Branko](#) .

Ron Paul: [Video Banned on

YouTube] Covid Whistleblowers Expose Narrative as 'Total Fraud'

[Ron Paul: \[Video Censored on YouTube\] Covid Whistleblowers Expose Narrative As 'Total Fraud'](#)

by [Ron Paul Liberty Report](#)

September 23, 2020

[Mirrored on Truth Comes to Light [BitChute](#), [Lbry](#) & [Brighteon](#) channels.]

Two insiders have recently emerged to give a shocking view behind the scenes of the ongoing Covid narrative.

A former chief science officer at pharma giant Pfizer and a former official at the CDC have both come forward with shocking tales of fraud and falsification.

Also today, US support for a Covid vaccine continues to plummet based on recent polls. More good news out of Pennsylvania. Dementia deaths. And more...

Erasing History and Erasing Truth: Censorship and Destroying Records Is the Cornerstone of Tyrants

[Erasing History and Erasing Truth: Censorship and Destroying Records Is the Cornerstone of Tyrants](#)

by [Gary D. Barnett](#)

September 19, 2020

“If all mankind minus one were of one opinion, mankind would be no more justified in silencing that one person than he, if he had the power, would be justified in silencing mankind.”

~ John Stuart Mill, On Liberty ch. 2 (1859)

Tyranny requires that the truth be silenced, that real history be erased and rewritten, that speech be restricted, and that individual thought be silenced. In this time of constant turmoil, false flags, wars, civil unrest, and now internal bio-terrorism and manufactured pandemics, a major agenda of the state apparatus is to silence all dissent, and mandate conformity. It is imperative considering the state's plan for total control that it stifle criticism and retain the power to control the mainstream narrative, while aggressively eliminating all other resistance and questioning. This is the state of the world that we live in today. As things continue to deteriorate, nonconformance cannot be allowed if global governance and a global reset are to be successful.

Current censorship has reached levels not seen in the past, and with all the advanced technology available, this nefarious

plot to silence the thinkers and hide the truth could affect all forms of speech. It is getting much more difficult to find truthful information, as those like Google, Amazon, YouTube, Facebook, Twitter, and many other venues are eliminating content and access to content at a blistering pace. A day rarely goes by without something I am reading or researching on these sites being taken down or hidden. This can only lead to a world consumed by propaganda alone, thus guaranteeing that only the state narrative will be presented in any mainstream or easily accessible format. This is a dangerous situation, especially considering the likelihood that through vaccination or other methods, much of the population could soon become subject to transhuman experimentation where injectable nanoparticles and chips could be used to alter human behavior.

This is not a new phenomenon, as tyrants throughout human history have censored writing and speech in order to protect their power structure. Power is always troubled by any that dare to think, and any that dare to question. In ancient times and not so ancient times, books were burned to keep the populace from having access to any material that was not acceptable by the throne or by the current political authority. In cases of resistance to 'high' authority, many were also killed by the state, and in many cases these 'cleansings' were targeted to the educated class, because they had the capability to think critically and express their ideas publically. Those that held power by force of arms would never allow criticism and dissent, because that could lead to hostility and insurrection by the people.

In this time of Covid and this fake virus pandemic, things have changed in part, but much is as it has always been throughout history. This is one reason that history is so important, because regardless of progress and innovation, the underlying motives of the ruling class have changed little over time. Money, power, and control have always been the key

concerns of the ruling class, it is just that the methods to keep that power and control have changed. Instead of the sword and guillotine, propaganda and fear have become the primary tools used to fool the people into compliance. In order for propaganda to work, elimination of dissent is imperative. Censorship plays a huge role in any effort to gain support of the masses, because without the possibility to reach the bulk of the people, the truth tellers become marginalized, and are shunned and ridiculed as troublemakers and conspiracy theorists.

The biggest censorship push in history is taking place today, which means that the biggest propaganda push is also underway. This false flag event called the coronavirus pandemic is not about one king seeking control over his subjects, but is about the few attempting to take over the entire planet. This is a global effort that is run by the few top claimed elites, with their tentacles of power reaching almost every country on earth through control of the banks, corporations, foundations, and the entire political class system.

Many have fought and died in order to protect free speech, but all speech is now under attack by the forces of evil. If the globalists have their way, free speech will be lost forever. This is the world of the Internet and computerized system saturation. Censorship today takes on a new look meant to accomplish the same goals as in the past. Blacklisting users, blocking content, and algorithmic manipulation allow for the state to control information, and with that control of information, it stands to reason that the general public will then be easier to manipulate and keep in check. The acceptance of tracking and tracing technology, continued isolation, vaccines capable of altering the DNA structure of individuals, chips and dyes mimicking the mark of the beast, and a continued state of fear will lead to an end of normalcy. The result sought is a new order, a "new normal," that will be totally controlled by ruling 'experts' claiming to be able to

sustain you, protect you, appease you, meet all your needs, and eliminate your worries. This system is called technocracy, and is dependent on a societal class fully controlled by an upper class. Does this sound familiar? It should, as that is the want of all tyrants, but in this day and age, it will look much different.

All of this relies on a willing public, and in order to achieve that total compliance on a scale this large, the human spirit must be broken, and love, family, emotion, responsibility, open communication, mass gatherings, and debate must be squelched. Once the pathetic masses accept this ruse, and acquiesce to the mandates coming, they will be transformed into a machine-like state through technological manipulation said to make life easier and more convenient. A totally digitized system is necessary in order to retain constant control. This may sound outlandish, and something akin to a dystopian science fiction novel, but that is because that is exactly what it is. The new world is coming, and by eliminating truth, eliminating our historical roots, and hiding all that is real and honest, what is left is a fantasy, but this fantasy will be deadly.

Seek the truth, seek honesty, ask questions, and believe nothing unless it can be personally verified. Do not allow the takeover of your lives by these monsters claiming to be your saviors.

“There is more than one way to burn a book. And the world is full of people running about with lit matches”.

~ Ray Bradbury – “Fahrenheit 451: A Novel,” (1953). p. 209, Simon and Schuster

Sourced from www.GaryDBarnett.com. Reprinted with permission from LewRockwell.com

Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. w/ JP Sears: On Censorship, Courage, Trusting Our Senses & Calling Out Bullshit When We See It

[Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. w/ JP Sears: On Censorship, Courage, Trusting Our Senses & Calling Out Bullshit When We See It](#)

by [the Children's Health Defense](#),

September 18, 2020

Original video is found at [Children's Health Defense YouTube](#) channel.

[Mirrored at Truth Comes to Light [BitChute](#) & [LBRY](#) channels.]

In the second episode of our second season of "TRUTH", join Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., as he interviews life coach and internet comedian J. P. Sears.

The two had a lively and thought-provoking conversation that centered on several themes relevant to the COVID crisis including censorship and the CHD lawsuit against Facebook, keeping a healthy attitude, the toll of the coronavirus, and much more!