

James Corbett: All Your Climate Questions Answered in 60 SECONDS!!!

[All Your Climate Questions Answered in 60 SECONDS!!!](#)

by [James Corbett](#), [The Corbett Report](#)

February 28, 2022

Daniel writes in to ask a climate question: how can James possibly think that “climate change is a hoax”? James responds in the most succinct way possible. Buckle up, folks!

Watch on [Archive](#) / [BitChute](#) / [Minds.com](#) / [Odysee](#) or [Download the mp4](#)

SHOW NOTES:

First, we’ll need to define our terms, so we’ll have to determine what the average global temperature is and how it’s measured:

[What is the Average Global Temperature?](#)

Then we’ll have to examine the temperature record to make sure we have an accurate dataset to work from:

[Orwell’s Nightmare: Temperature Adjustments and Climate Change](#)

And then we’ll have to deal with any anomalies presented by the data in that record:

[The Global Warming Pause Explained](#)

And, of course, we'll have to present that data accurately:

[Lies, Damned Lies, and Global Warming Statistics](#)

Then we'll have to listen to actual environmental sciences about the usefulness of the anthropogenic global warming hypothesis to the work of actually preserving natural ecosystems:

[Interview 1117 – Jim Steele on How Bad Global Warming Science Hurts the Environmental Movement](#)

And we should also talk to scientists about the models that form the backbone of our ability to forecast the impact of our actions:

[Interview 1261 – Judith Curry Explains Climate Modeling to the Layman](#)

We should also keep in mind the politicization of the message and how that interferes with such forecasts:

[UN Warning: Just 3 YEARS Left to Save the Earth!](#)

While not forgetting how the nature of science is itself transformed by the perception of crisis:

[Interview 130 – Jerome Ravetz](#)

We should also make sure that we are in fact dealing with science and not pseudoscience by clearly stating and then testing a falsifiable hypothesis:

[Climate Change is Unfalsifiable Woo-Woo Pseudoscience](#)

And keep in mind the other political agendas that could be at play here.

[A Message to the Environmental Movement](#)

You'll have to find out more about the IPCC and how they

compile their infamous report:

[Episode 282 – The IPCC Exposed](#)

And you'll have to address the fact that 95% of Scientists all believe in global warming!

[Global Warming Minute – Why is the IPCC “95% Certain” that Climate Change is Manmade?](#)

And answer why anyone would lie about climate change. I mean we all know that the big oil companies covered this up for decades, right

[What Did #ExxonKnew and When Did They Knew It? – Question For Corbett #048](#)

But what about climate scientists? Why would they lie?

[Why Would People Lie About Climate Change? – Questions For Corbett #033](#)

What? Do you mean to say big oil actually *supports* the transformation of the global economy on the back of the global warming lie? How does that work?

[Interview 1446 – James Corbett on The Post-Carbon Energy Eugenics Hoax](#)

Need I go on?...

[Connect with The Corbett Report](#)

Drumbeats of War, Testicles of Strength, Orwell of Television

[Drumbeats of War, Testicles of Strength, Orwell of Television](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

February 28, 2022

Something is wrong. And I'm not talking about the screenshots going around purporting to show cardboard weapons in the hands of Ukraine civilians or the death of someone a few years ago dying again in the Ukraine; I'm talking about major in-your-face news outlets working overtime on WAR.

I'm writing this Sunday night (2/27), so who knows what new gobs of insanity will be spit up by tomorrow morning, when we post.

These are notes. The news is coming too fast and furious—each proclamation more preposterous than the last.

Bottom line: I'm not buying what they're selling. I'm not buying what I'm seeing. I'm not buying what I'm not seeing.

Drumbeats of war. You must support America. And that means you must declare Putin is far more evil than Hitler. So say all. So say Democrats and Republicans foaming at the mouth. Keep our planes in the air. Ready the nukes. It just so happens our great Commander-in-Chief is coming on Tuesday night, to deliver his wartime State of the Union to the Congress.

The timing is perfect.

Spray the hall with meth. Members on both sides of the aisle will be standing every twenty seconds to roar with approval as decrepit old Joe—himself pumped full of every drug the docs can think of to keep him on his feet and his mouth working in coordination with the teleprompter—old Joe hurls angry Commander-in-Chief slogans of USA power...and the news heads will be ready to give him HIGH MARKS in the aftermath and try to shove his poll numbers, for the moment, through the roof...and turn around the mid-term elections...

“The old man had it in him. Wow. He rocked the house. This was quite a night, Bob. This was Presidential. Both sides of the aisle were screaming at the tops of their lungs. And now we have reports of biker gangs and possibly truckers who want to go to the Ukraine and help the people fight Putin...”

COVID? Vaccines? Vaccine deaths and injuries? New CDC lies exposed? Mandates? Freedom convoys? Inflation? Never heard of them. THIS IS WAR. Clear the decks. Battle stations, everyone. The Russians are coming.

Speaking of which, where are they in the Ukraine? 150,000 troops have invaded the country?

18,000 auto weapons have been distributed to Ukrainian citizens in Kiev/Kyiv?

So tell me this. Have you seen any video footage of actual armed clashes between Ukrainian civilians/soldiers and Russians? Actual back and forth prolonged shooting? (Not aftermath stuff.) I haven't.

With the Ukraine press on high alert, and with a zillion cell phones active in the country, I should have seen MANY gun battles, live, by now. If there are any.

Have you heard the word DRONES?

I haven't. I'd think the Russians have some drones. But no.

Seems like all the reported air battles are happening between planes with pilots in cockpits. Do it the old fashioned way.

Did you see the extraordinary footage of a line of Russian tanks and armored vehicles all blown up and twisted on a quiet road in broad daylight?

Who destroyed them, and how? And in or around those vehicles, did you see any DEAD RUSSIAN BODIES? I didn't. I would think there were quite a few. Where did they go? Who took them away, and why? Were these Russian vehicles operated by remote control from Moscow?

One retired US general claimed on FOX/CNN: these Russian vehicles were sent into the Ukraine with absolutely no thought of what their drivers would do when they quickly ran out of fuel after a few hours—since there are no supply lines. And that was the Putin battle plan. “Drive as far as you can, fire your weapons at tall buildings, empty your fuel capacity, and then just sit there.” REALLY? THAT'S WHAT'S BEEN HAPPENING? SOMEBODY FORGOT ABOUT SUPPLY LINES? We're supposed to buy that scenario?

Of course, as in every recent war, US TV reporters, “on the ground” in the Ukraine, are doing stand ups in stone quiet areas where nothing at all is happening, and these “reporters” are relaying press updates originating from New York and Washington. That's standard. “We're sending you to Kiev/Kyiv on the midnight flight, Fred. Don't forget your helmet. We'll feed you AP dispatches through your earpiece and you just repeat them...”

Meanwhile, NATO is on high alert, international payment processors have shut down certain Russian banks and accounts, some government (I missed the country name) is sending jet fighters to the Ukraine. Really? Who's going to pilot those planes? Ukrainians? “Where's the brake and the ignition? What are these buttons for?”

Possibly the supplying country will also provide its own pilots? That could get messy very fast. "French pilots shot down a Russian plane this morning. President Macron denied it was an act of war."

Some Congressman said we should do WHAT? Send people to the Ukraine to enforce a NO-FLY-ZONE over the country? Was he drunk out of his mind? Exactly how do you enforce that? My guess would be: WEAPONS. PLANES.

"Goddammit, it's about time we stood up and fought those Ruskies. Enough posturing. Break out the old films showing schoolkids how to get down under their desks when the nuclear bombs fall on their heads."

Of course, it would have been too easy, a few months ago, to tell Putin the Ukraine will never be a NATO member. But diplomacy never works. Disband the whole State Department.

We need a good war. Cleans the pipes. Reinvigorates the blood. Improves sperm count.

"Phil, I want at least one Kiev/Kyiv refugee or displaced person or whatever you call them when they hide underground—I want at least one of these WOMEN per hour on our national broadcast telling her story, like in Wag the Dog, BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE ANY GODDAMN FOOTAGE OF THE ACTUAL WAR GOING ON AND WHY IS THAT? CAN SOMEBODY AROUND HERE TELL ME? I WANT TO SEE STREET BATTLES THAT LAST FOR MINUTES AND PEOPLE FALLING DOWN."

"Jack, we don't have footage of the war because we're sending our reporters to places where nothing is going on."

"Is that so? Well, wherever the war IS going on, there are people with cell phones. Where is their video?"

"I have no idea."

Dear reader, there IS a war. Some kind of war. But the shape of it and who's doing what to who, and how it's being shaped

for public consumption...and whether the shaping IS the war...and exactly what the word WAR means in this case...these are questions whose present answers I'm not buying. I'm not buying what I'm seeing. I'm not buying what I'm not seeing.

If you or I were the head of FOX/CNN, we'd do this:

"Mike, get your ass in here. You're our top analyst on this. See this map of the Ukraine spread out on the table? I want you to circle every little area where battles on the ground are happening. And then sign your name under each area. Because THOSE PLACES are where we're going to send our people. They're called WAR CORRESPONDENTS. That's what they'll do when they get there. Correspond about the war. I want to know what's actually happening. And we'll show it to our audience. I don't want to see any more footage of what already happened. It makes us look stupid and inattentive, and quite possibly, liars. Are we a bunch of liars? Why do I have to ask that question?"

You know, REPORT THE NEWS. Rather than BEAT THE DRUM.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [Computerizer](#) / pixabay

**All War; Hot Cold,
Geopolitical, International**

or Domestic, Is Always War Against All of Us?

All War; Hot Cold, Geopolitical, International or Domestic, Is Always War Against All of Us?

by Gary D. Barnett

February 26, 2022

*“War is peace.
Freedom is slavery.
Ignorance is strength.”*

~ George Orwell, [1984](#)

Due to the recent (and not so recent) bombastic geopolitical idiocy by the U.S. pundits concerning Ukraine, there has been much talk about the possibility of World War III. These statements are meant to strike fear in the populace, and propagandize the idea that a single enemy exists, that ‘real’ global war is likely, and that ‘world war’ is meant to bring an end to tyranny. This notion is confusing at best, but everything reported about war by governments, mainstream media, much alternative media, and by the ignorant public at large, is little more than lies and deceit. The common thinking about war is literally absurd, as war has been continually waged throughout the entirety of our existence. As far as humanity is concerned, war is and has always been, perpetual. With that said, all war is not against a single or even multiple ‘enemies,’ but is against all of us. When governments exist, constant war is inevitable.

War takes on many forms; most of which are not openly discussed by the claimed ‘news outlets.’ Although the U.S. always claims moral superiority, history would dictate that

the U.S. for example, has been involved in or prosecuting aggressive war for approximately 93% of its existence, but alas, it is most assuredly closer to 100%. Just because troops are not known to be harassing, tormenting, maiming, raping, and killing innocent people here or in faraway lands, does not mean that war, war plans, and psychological war are not always active in one manner or another. U.S. aggression is monumental, and surpasses all other nations on earth, now and in the past, and U.S. policy that is total aggression against its own population is never ending. The 'covid' hoax is just the latest example.

We are now witnessing the result of the long-planned, long-desired, and geopolitically-driven U.S. aggression concerning the Ukraine situation and Russia. It would behoove all to disregard most every report about this situation coming from the White House, the political pundits, the mainstream media, and some of the alternative media as well. The build-up of this coup, the aggression by the U.S. in that region for many years, the multi-billion dollar funding by the U.S. for support and weapons in Ukraine, and the election manipulation evident there and elsewhere by the U.S. this century, should be enough to bring light to the fact that this is no recent Russia/Ukraine debacle, but one that has deep roots tied to the U.S. and NATO. These statements should in no way be taken as showing any support whatsoever for the evil Putin, the Ukraine government, or any abhorrent political or governing system involved in this staged and pre-planned response by the world players. After all, it is always the people who lose; it is always the people who are considered the enemy of the state.

As reported, "Washington's regime-change arm, the National Endowment for Democracy (NED), has [spent](#) \$22.4 million on operations inside the country since 2014, when democratically-elected President Viktor Yanukovych was overthrown and replaced by a successor government handpicked by the U.S.

Those operations included propping up and training pro-Western political parties, funding pliant media organizations, and subsidizing massive privatization drives that benefit foreign multinational corporations, all in an effort to secure U.S. control over the country that NED President Carl Gershman [called](#) “the biggest prize” in Europe.”

But this was only the tip of the funding iceberg, as the U.S. spent many billions of dollars supporting ongoing protests, and the Ukrainian ‘opposition’ during the overthrow of the elected Ukrainian government in 2014. This was stated openly by then Assistant secretary of State, Victoria Nuland. That was 8 years ago, so this is not some recent, organic, or in any way new posturing by Russia. U.S. interference in the region has been long-lived, and for at least the past 18 years. This in no way justifies Putin’s moves, but it does help to understand history. If one simply looked at recent headlines by U.S. ‘news’ sources, a different picture is being painted. “Putin Nuke Warning?” “Countdown WWII,” “All Out Attack,” “Fuhrer 2022,” are all meant to stoke great hatred and fear in the American population. Could this be happening because the bogus ‘Covid’ narrative is breaking down, and more fear-mongering is necessary in order to retain control of the masses?

As [James Corbett and James Evan Pilato](#) recently pointed out, this is not about the Ukraine or its people, it is about Germany, and their efforts to solidify trade relationships and the completion of the Nord Stream 2 Pipeline. Germany is already getting about half its natural gas from Russia, and the U.S. does not want Germany and Europe to have any increase in trade with their neighbor, as it is seen by the warmongers in Washington as a threat of commerce and peace between European allies and Russia; peace being the last thing desired by the U.S.

The first order of business was to shut down the progress being made, and the certification process between Germany and

Russia concerning the pipeline, so that no new energy deal could go forward. This is exactly what the U.S. desired, as stated by the evil Biden, of course as told to him by his handlers. This can only cause much more tension between Russia and the U.S., just as is being sought. The more fear and uncertainty, the easier it is to control large populations.

None of this is accidental, it is always pre-planned, and plots are continually warming on the back burner in case more chaos and conflict are necessary in order to retain 'emergency' powers over the people. If one state coup falters or fails, another is waiting in the background, and so the game continues. It is imperative for the state to keep the people at bay so as to retain power and control, and what better way than fake 'disease' and war threats to do so?

Once enough fear is evident and widespread, the real controlling system can be implemented. That strategy is the complete takeover of the monetary system, the elimination of cash and privacy, and the digitizing of all money systems. Every central bank around the world is either studying, planning, or has already put into place, new digital currencies controlled at the national level and fully centralized. This could easily be the final nail in the coffin of freedom if allowed to go forward. It is the backbone of total societal control through a technocratic system run by the very few. It would allow for all transactions to be monitored and regulated from above, it would allow for any non-compliant persons to be shut out of the system at will, it would set the stage for universal social credit and passport mandates, and it would allow the central power structure to control virtually everything.

Nothing spoken about here is singular in nature; it is all connected. In fact, everything that is happening today from the 'Covid pandemic' fraud, to the threat of world war, to the individual conflicts disguised as unique threats, to the massive inflation, and to the widespread bio-weapon injections

sought by the state, is all part of the plan to take over the planet and form a globalized world governing system.

This is only touching on the edges, as much more has happened, and much more terror is to come in the future. This is not over, regardless of any news to the contrary or brief allowance of 'freedom' permitted by the state actors, in order to quell the angst and depression of this weak and gullible society.

Without mass dissent soon by large numbers of individuals, and a defeat of unfounded fears, we all face hell on earth. Once the control measures are fully in place, it will be almost impossible to reverse the totalitarian takeover that is now underway, and all of us fighting back will be hunted as criminals and terrorists. Who will protect you then; and who will you rely on to save you? Once you turn to governments and rulers for your sustenance and safety, once you have no control over basic services and needs, once you have no way to support yourselves, and once your family is taken from you; you will have nothing left but your slavery.

We are surrounded by war, and war is everywhere, every day. It is not limited to outside aggression, it is all-consuming, and from within. Every government is bound to practice war against its own, long before it considers war with others. In essence, war is never-ending, and all war is against the people; all the people, all the time.

"The truth is, one who seeks to achieve freedom by petitioning those in power to give it to him has already failed, regardless of the response.

To beg for the blessing of "authority" is to accept that the choice is the master's alone to make, which means that the person is already, by definition, a slave."

~ Larken Rose

Reference and Source links:

[The U.S. has been at war 93% of its existence](#)

[What's happening in Ukraine](#)

[Foreign intervention and the Ukraine crisis](#)

[Germany suspends Nord Stream 2 Pipeline](#)

[Documents reveal U.S. spent \\$22 million promoting anti-Russian narrative in Ukraine](#)

[Connect with Gary D. Barnett](#)

cover image credit: [Ahmadreza89](#) / pixabay

Ukraine: The Propaganda Wars

[Ukraine: The Propaganda Wars](#)

by [Daniel McAdams](#), [Ron Paul Institute](#)

February 26, 2022

The media and the war machine (or do I repeat myself?) want us to take sides in the Russo/Ukraine war. To those of us with long histories in military conflicts in which the US foreign policy establishment, media, and military have an interest, the terms are always framed as white hats and black hats – and you had better choose a side!

“Are you on the side of FREEDOM or are you a puppet of [*insert Hitler proxy here*]?”

You must take a side. (In fact you must choose the side the Beltway blob wants you to choose).

The US government never fights in the self-interest of the elites. It only fights (directly and by proxy) for the freedom and liberation of others. If you doubt that you are un-American. History started when they tell you it started. Never mind about the past or how US intervention created the circumstances that led to whatever horrible outcome we witness.

The Iraqis would greet us as liberators, we were told. They will love our bombs. Likewise the Libyans once their leader is knife-raped to death. And then of course the Syrians once our al-Qaeda “moderate” head-choppers are put in charge. The rest of the world is so so grateful that the omniscient Washington foreign policy elites can choose their fate for them. Surely they are too foolish to decide for themselves!

Ironically, as the US government and its obedient media were hysterically telling us we must demand Russian blood for their attack on a Ukraine that had not attacked them first, the US government that same day [bombed a Somalia](#) that had not attacked it first. And let’s not even talk about the horrific Saudi [genocide](#) (with full US support) in Yemen.

With one voice the US media, political elites, and brainwashed sheeple scream out: “You can’t just go and attack a country that hasn’t attacked you!!!” And the people of Iraq, Syria, Libya, Somalia, Yemen, and yes even Afghanistan scratch their heads in wonder at the ignorance, hypocrisy, and cynicism.

Like an alcoholic may occasionally get a moment of clarity, a politician may sometimes get a moment of honesty. California US Congressman and lead “Russiagate” conspiracy theorist Adam Schiff, spilled the beans in a 2020 speech:

US chauvinism & warmongering is so ingrained that [@AdamSchiff](#) can openly declare, in Jan 2020, that US

uses Ukraine to “fight Russia over there,” and our elites applaud. Fast forward two years later when Russia fights back, and the same circle is outraged. pic.twitter.com/6B4QVFSZvV

– Aaron Maté (@aaronjmate) [February 26, 2022](#)

The US uses Ukraine to fight Russia, but then when Russia fights back we have to [pour all our vodka into the street](#) and [launch WWII](#).

The US military-industrial-media-Congressional complex that is behind this disastrous policy knows well, however, that war brings bigger dividends:

Biden’s anti-war coalition of Raytheon, Lockheed Martin and Northrop Grumman sends \$350 million in love weapons for NATO’s nonviolent war against Russia and the Azov battalion’s pacifist-style shelling of the Donbas. <https://t.co/sYnt0Nlss2>

– Dan Cohen (@dancohen3000) [February 26, 2022](#)

This is not a WHATABOUT column, however. It’s just to point out how manipulated Americans are by the unholy partnership between government, Washington parasitical elites, and the media.

Perhaps the only thing worse are the third-tier flunkies who do their bidding in international organizations.

Yesterday NATO Secretary General Jens Stoltenberg announced that NATO countries were going to send more weapons to Ukraine. Brilliant! Bureaucrats, especially stupid ones, always double down then their policies are shown to be failures.

As one report quoted the failed Swedish politico/NATO chief:

'We see rhetoric, the messages, which is strongly indicating that the aim is to remove the democratically-elected government in Kiev,' he announced after a meeting with NATO leaders.

What? NATO must send weapons to Ukraine because Russia is attempting to remove its democratically-elected government? How dare they! Don't they know [that's OUR job?](#)

Here's the side we should be on in Ukraine and everywhere else: non-intervention in the affairs of others. Today's Ukraine nightmare is the product of a US foreign policy that overthrew not one, but two elected Ukrainian governments because the people chose a president that Washington's pampered elites didn't like.

As I wrote in an [article yesterday](#), one thing we can take with us from Russia actually doing what it long said it would do if Ukraine was armed by hostile governments and pulled toward NATO membership is that:

Whether America and the EU like it or not, the era of '[We're an empire now, and when we act, we create our own reality](#)' is well and truly over. Its end is not to be mourned but to be celebrated. The only pro-America foreign policy is non-intervention in the affairs of others.

Yes, this is a good thing and it should be celebrated. Don't worry – it's not un-patriotic to applaud an authentically pro-America foreign policy! Now is the time to demand a change in how things are done. It does not weaken the US to decide to not meddle in the affairs of others. On the contrary, we are strengthened by shrugging off the burden of (very badly) running the rest of the globe.

Unless anyone believes we are stronger by burning one trillion dollars for the US military empire each and every year.

Let's ask the truckers and the waiters and the welders of America how they like billions of their hard-earned dollars [laundered to the ultra-rich Beltway elites through corrupt regimes abroad](#). Foreign aid is falsely perceived as a plate of rice and beans to a motherless child in a war-torn hellhole. The reality is that foreign aid is that which re-models all the bathrooms in million dollars mansions in McLean VA and its evil environs.

Gold plated Beltway toilets. The ignoble flotsam of the corrupt US empire.

[Connect with Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity](#)

cover image credit: hucky / pixabay

Freedom Exists Outside Society

[Freedom Exists Outside Society](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

February 22, 2022

Sanity: "Freedom exists within the individual. It doesn't exist anywhere else. Freedom means choice. An individual either takes it or surrenders it."

Mind control: "We all live inside the government. The first fact of existence is, we live inside SOMETHING."

That is a lie.

As the worldwide freedom protests against COVID restrictions expand, I'm reminding people of a few basic truths—after decades of press and government brainwashing.

Freedom, of course, is a natural fact, beyond the need for government permission.

It exists outside the TERRITORY of government.

No, we don't live inside the government.

The truth is even more extreme. Freedom exists outside of society.

Society is not an entity that encircles and surrounds freedom.

Society is an organization. Freedom isn't organized.

Heinous slogans like, "We're all in this together," are meant to redefine society, and give the impression that we naturally exist INSIDE it.

We don't.

"We're all in this together" is a tribal maxim. Even there, it's a con, because the tribal leaders use it to enforce loyalty and submission.

When you know that, you know a great deal about freedom protests and government pushback.

The government is saying, "You ALREADY EXIST within OUR territory. To protect you and keep you safe, we're restricting you. Naturally, you'll comply. If you don't, you're a criminal. If you persist in your non-compliance, you're a terrorist. You're an insurrectionist."

That's a fantasy cooked up by the government. Ultimately, on the physical level, it's enforced by government personnel with

weapons. That's how it becomes real.

The major everyday strategy the government uses is the proposition, "Everybody lives and works and thinks inside society, and society is regulated by the government. Society is the primary fact every person is born with."

That's a lie.

Every person is born with the knowledge that he is here and alive—an individual. THAT is primary.

Regardless of what he needs and requires to survive, those needs do not define him. They come second, not first.

Of course, some potato head will take this as an "anti-family" statement. It isn't. But the love within a family has no meaning unless it's understood that each family member is an individual.

A person can live in freedom without limit—but the basic law of an open society is: he is responsible (for his actions), and he can't lessen the freedom of another. Granted. However, that legal restriction doesn't define what freedom is. It modifies it. It comes second, not first.

Mind control puts everything backwards. What comes first is placed second, and vice versa.

The individual, who is free, exists outside society and government, and always has. He enters society, but he doesn't start out inside it. He enters and exits.

Once that is clear, everything changes.

This is more than the issue of who physically owns space...

This is, who THINKS of space as open and who thinks of space as closed.

The space of government and the space of society are not the

space of freedom.

EVERY CRIMINAL KNOWS THIS.

But the criminal violates the basic law of society by diminishing the freedom of others.

When the rest of the population is so cowed and brainwashed that it doesn't even know what the criminal knows, we're cooked.

The crazed government of Canada is in a panic because the people are waking up to the fact that freedom exists outside the government, and the truckers have suddenly become a potent force that performs instant surgery on mind control and lops it off.

Space: the final frontier. And it's open, not closed. It's not inside the government or society. It's outside.

A reasonable society is a society where real debate can take place.

An open society is asymmetrical and unresolved. It isn't perfect. It doesn't strive for perfection, and it doesn't strive for unity. Unity is a con.

When an individual ENTERS the space of an unfree society, he declares the ability to act without limit, with responsibility, on the sole condition that he doesn't diminish the freedom of another. The individual fights for that right.

But freedom itself is not enclosed within society or government. That is a fiction promoted by those men who would own government and society and force unity on all of us. The unity of compliance.

Freedom, in its essence, is non-material. It exists within the individual. It is choice. The individual either exercises it or surrenders it.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [Lukas_Rychvalsky](#) / pixabay

TO KILL & CONTROL – A Brief History of Unlawful Human Experiments

[TO KILL & CONTROL – A Brief History of Unlawful Human Experiments](#)

by [Greg Reese](#), [Reese Report](#)

February 19, 2022

[Connect with Greg Reese at Reese Report](#)

The Eager Willingness of Government to Murder Its Own

Is the Embodiment of Evil

The Eager Willingness of Government to Murder Its Own Is the Embodiment of Evil

by [Gary D. Barnett](#)

February 17, 2022

“Above all we should not forget, that government is an evil, a usurpation upon the private judgment and individual conscience of mankind.”

~ William Godwin (1793) “An enquiry concerning political justice, and its influence on general virtue and happiness”, p.143

Lest we forget, it is not a fake or mysterious disease or ‘virus’ that is responsible for the unnecessary death and destruction that has befallen mankind, it is the deliberate elimination of those thought to be undesirables by the controlling class of monsters and their pawns in government. This is not ‘conspiracy theory,’ as espoused by the powerful and their media, it is an actual conspiracy.

There is method to the madness of this ruling cabal, as the older among us were heavily targeted initially, followed by the breakdown and dividing of society, the assault against young men, and now the children are being threatened and targeted with the experimental bio-weapon injection in order to solidify the control of the future. To understand this progression only requires logic, and of course an open mind.

The old and established, those who are also thought by the state to be a drain on society, are considered to be a danger to the rulers because they have lived and understand history, and history and historical accounting are meant to be

destroyed by those attempting to create a new paradigm based on what might be labeled as progressive postmodernism. The division of societies is necessary in order keep the people distracted and fighting amongst themselves enough so that they are not a threat to the state. The apparent attack against young men by adverse effects due to poisonous injection, is an effort to disable current or future insurrection by men of 'fighting age,' as evidenced by the government's aggression against the current trucker's freedom rebellion in Canada and other parts of the world, most of whom are younger men. Destroying the bodies and minds of all the children, at least from the state's perspective, is the ultimate goal sought, because to capture and control the children today, as well as the youngest generation, is to control the future.

With this background in mind, it is important to understand that no pandemic has occurred, and claimed deaths due to 'Covid' are historically unique in that certain areas over others had substantially higher death counts due to so-called 'Covid;' so much so as to be very suspicious in nature, because this has never happened before this wrongly claimed 'pandemic.' New York and the Southern states are prime examples of these contradictory claims. It actually makes no sense, and there is no validity concerning the 'Covid' explanation for these unheard-of anomalies, other than fraud and deceit. One thing is for certain, the state response to this fake pandemic has been responsible for much harm, and untold numbers of deaths; these deaths having been purposely used to bolster 'Covid' mortality numbers in order to advance a false narrative bent on creating and generating even more public fear.

The obvious contradictions are most always explained away by conjecture, false excuses, and lies, but why should that be any surprise to any thinking individual? The pattern of deaths reported today, considering any 'viral' respiratory illness, has never once occurred, which should immediately trigger hard

questions, and very critical analysis of all the unsubstantiated hogwash that passes for 'news' reporting in this environment of deception.

To provide even more fuel to the fire for the indifferent and obedient fools, and those in the manipulative media, it is distinctly apparent that the depopulation agenda is alive and well. While many will scoff at this claim, why else would the ruling class and government be willing to murder so many people by nefarious means, who either stand in the way of state totalitarianism, or are a threat to the desired status quo that would consist of a population made up of ignorant and voluntarily compliant citizens?

Consider the fact that this communistic takeover coup was initially based on a fake 'virus,' requiring the most anti-freedom, liberty-destroying, and deadly response ever undertaken here in this country and globally. We will never know exactly how many have and will die due to this evil draconian response to a world-changing, terroristic, and false flag event meant to achieve a totalitarian outcome.

Many have said that the response was worse than the threat, but this is a smoke and mirrors and hypocritical reaction, because there was never any legitimate threat in the first place, other than the democidal tendencies of the state against the people. This is literally a war against the common man, and an eager willingness by those in power to destroy or genocide certain individuals, groups, ethnicities, select members of certain demographic makeups, the poor and disadvantaged, the entire middle class, the psychologically weak, and the most vulnerable among us; simply to gain total power and control of all monetary, financial, economic, geopolitical, and societal systems worldwide. With this end in sight, the next obvious step is to gain complete control of all children by bio-weapon injections meant to subdue the minds and bodies of future generations. This plot is already underway.

By simply looking at the treatment protocols demanded and implemented, one can only come to the certain conclusion that the death of many in this population due to the response to a fake 'virus,' has not only been planned and sought by the powerful and their pawns, but has been intentionally put into place over the past two years. This is a long-planned scheme meant to achieve a particular outcome of death and control. To argue this is to ignore reality, and to assume a position based on fallacy.

Consider Remdesivir, a killer drug promoted knowingly by the evil Fauci. It became basically the only hospital treatment for the fraud called 'Covid-19' early on in this scam. It was well known to be extremely toxic and deadly. It was approved for emergency use by the FDA on May 1, 2020, and was subsequently fully approved by the FDA to treat 'Covid-19' on October 22, 2020. Just recently on January 22, 2022, the FDA granted expedited approval of a new supplemental drug application for Remdesivir, based on the recommendation of Fauci and the NIH. Nine of the people at NIH who recommended this poison, had financial ties to the maker of Veklury (Remdesivir), Gilead Sciences.

Consider the mass use of ventilators, especially in New York. Most so-called 'Covid' patients put on these machines for 'Covid' treatment died. According to a study done early on by the American Medical Association Network: *"Mortality rates for those who received mechanical ventilation in the 18-to-65 and older-than-65 age groups were 76.4% and 97.2%, respectively. Mortality rates for those in the 18-to-65 and older-than-65 age groups who did not receive mechanical ventilation were 19.8% and 26.6%, respectively."*

Consider that stress is a known major killer, and extreme stress brought on by the bogus response to a non-existent 'virus,' caused many deaths; not only in the U.S., but all around the world. This was due to lockdowns, quarantine, loss of family and friend contact, suicide, isolation, distancing,

mask-wearing, job loss, bankruptcy, business closures, travel restrictions, and much more tyranny aimed at the general population.

Consider the restriction of treatments for all sickness due to the fact that most every ill and every symptom was co-opted and said to be 'Covid.'" Hospitals refused to treat patients, medical facilities closed their doors, certain drugs and natural treatments were outlawed, necessary surgeries were cancelled, and eventually, many, if not most, medical services were eliminated for those who refused to take an experimental bio-weapon injection. People died in their home prisons or nursing homes without any sympathy or loosening of restrictions by the evil state.

The government and its bosses were and are willing to commit murder, and their enforcement goons and political allies are willing to assist in this murder of innocents, all in the name of state control and tyranny, but there has been a change of heart recently by larger numbers of people, much due to those willing to fight back to regain some freedom. This is happening worldwide by not only truckers but by others as well.

The government and its bosses were and are willing to commit murder, and their enforcement goons and political allies are willing to assist in this murder of innocents, all in the name of state control and tyranny, but there has been a change of heart recently by larger numbers of people, much due to those willing to fight back to regain some freedom. This is happening worldwide by not only truckers but by others as well.

Reference links:

[Nature of the 'Covid' era health disaster](#)

[What about excess mortality](#)

[Remdesivir is approved by the FDA](#)

[Fauci's promotional hype catapults Gilead's Remdesivir](#)

[Ventilators 'treatment' for 'Covid' death rate](#)

[Connect with Gary D. Barnett](#)

cover image credit: [pixel2013](#) / pixabay

New Diagnostic Manual With ADHD Listed Could Turn Childhood Into a Mental Disorder

[New Diagnostic Manual With ADHD Listed Could Turn Childhood Into a Mental Disorder](#)

Despite a 28% decrease in 0- to 5-year-old U.S. children taking psychostimulants since 2017, new changes to an international mental disorders manual could revert this and put them at risk. Watchdog relaunches PSAs for parents warning "Childhood is not a mental disorder."

by [CCHR International](#), The Mental Health Industry Watchdog
February 14, 2022

CCHR International warns there could be an increase in the number of children and adolescents prescribed powerful, addictive stimulants for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) with the new insurance billing codes released this month in the 11th edition of the *International Classification of Diseases (ICD)*, Mental Disorders Section. For years, CCHR International, based in Los Angeles, has conducted a "[Fight for Kids](#)" public awareness campaign about the risks of childhood and teen behavior being mis-diagnosed as disorders and "medicated."

However, changes to *ICD-11* reinforce this and as such, it relaunched two Public Service Announcements (PSAs) reminding parents that "Childhood is not a Mental Disorder."

CCHR welcomes a 28% decrease in U.S. children aged 0-5 years old being prescribed psychostimulants between 2017 and 2020. According to the IQVia Total Patient Tracker database that CCHR obtained for 2017 and 2020, there were 80,235 children in this age group in 2017 prescribed stimulants compared to 58,091 in 2020. Overall, for the 0-17 age group, there was a 14% decrease in the numbers taking psychostimulants.

The U.S. *Diagnostic & Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)*, being updated in March 2022) and *ICD-11* have redefined and categorized ADHD as a "neurodevelopmental disorder," making it appear to be a neurological or brain-based physical disease when scientific evidence does not substantiate this.

Dr. Fred Baughman, Jr., a retired pediatric neurologist and author of *The ADHD Fraud: How Psychiatry Makes "Patients" of Normal Children*, said that psychiatric associations representing ADHD as a biologic abnormality of the brain is "neurobiological propaganda" because "psychiatry has never validated ADHD as a *biologic* entity."[\[1\]](#)

Prof. Allen Frances, the former Chairman of the *DSM-IV* Task

Force said that the *DSM-IV*, published in 1994, already created “false epidemics” of ADHD.[\[2\]](#) He wrote that twenty years later, “The rate of ADHD in the U.S. has tripled to a ridiculously inflated 11%. Sales of ADHD medications are approaching an obscenely profitable \$10 billion a year.” Frances was forthright about how diagnoses such as ADHD are determined: “There are no objective tests in psychiatry—no X-ray, laboratory or exam finding that says definitively that someone does or does not have a mental disorder...”[\[3\]](#) Inclusion of a disorder in the *DSM* is by consensus vote.

As such, the fact that *ICD-11* and *DSM-5* claim ADHD is neurobiological is misleading for parents who could erroneously believe that their child has a faulty brain requiring “medication” rather than an issue which can be handled with behavioral, dietary and educational solutions. Dr. Baughman adds that children have also been led to “believe they have something wrong with their brains that makes it impossible for them to control themselves without a pill.”[\[4\]](#) In saying that, he is not saying that children do not sometimes have trouble with their behavior, focusing, or their emotions; it just simply isn’t neurobiological.

CCHR produced several PSAs to help parents to see that [childhood is not a mental disorder](#), and for children—[represented by a young skateboarder](#)—to show that their rambunctious zest for life or creative efforts do not make them dysfunctional or “ill.”

March 21 this year marks the 22nd anniversary of 14-year-old Matthew Smith’s death from a cardiac arrest while skateboarding. Oakland County (Michigan) Medical Examiner Ljubisa Dragovic determined that the skateboarding did not kill Matthew but rather the damage done to his heart from 10 years of taking prescribed methylphenidate, an ADHD

psychostimulant that caused a “chronic change of the heart muscle and the small blood vessels in the heart.”[\[5\]](#) Michael’s tragic death was part of the impetus that started CCHR’s Fight for Kids campaign and PSAs.

In 2014, researchers from the University of Delaware and Drexel University College of Medicine reviewed research on the effects of psychostimulants like methylphenidate. They found the drug can impact the brain’s plasticity, interfering with a person’s ability to plan, switch between tasks, and be overall flexible in their behaviors. For a drug that’s supposed to offer better mental performance, they found that the long-term effects appear to do the opposite.[\[6\]](#)

“All proposed ADD and ADHD treatments” are “aimed at modifying observable behaviors rather than in treating their underlying causes,” say other researchers, including Dr. Howard Glasser, writing in *Ethical Human Psychology and Psychiatry*.[\[7\]](#)

In his last interview before his death in 2009, Dr. Leon Eisenberg, the “scientific father of ADHD” and a leader in child psychiatry for more than 40 years, admitted “ADHD is a prime example of a fictitious disease.”[\[8\]](#) The symptoms are so common that anyone could believe they have ADHD: fails to give close attention to details or may make careless mistakes; work is often messy or disorganized; has problems staying focused on tasks or activities; fails to complete schoolwork, chores or other duties; often fidgets with hands or feet or squirms in seat; often talks excessively and interrupts or intrudes on others (e.g., cuts into conversations).

The consequences of the drugs prescribed to quell such symptoms are telling: The U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration reports methylphenidate can lead to addiction and “psychotic episodes, violent behavior and bizarre mannerisms have been reported” with its use.[\[9\]](#) The manufacturer admits it is a drug of dependency.[\[10\]](#) Suicide is a major complication of withdrawal from it and similar amphetamine-like drugs.[\[11\]](#) FDA

also warns of the risks of heart-related problems.

CCHR says the direction *ICD-11* and *DSM-5* have taken is not in sync with current thinking. Former United Nations Special Rapporteur Dainius Pūras, M.D., in a June 2021 interview with *Psychiatric Times*, said there is too much reliance upon “the biomedical model and biomedical interventions” for people with mental health or behavioral issues and this represents a “biased use of knowledge and evidence.” In 2017, he also called for a revolution in mental health care around the world, writing: “There is now unequivocal evidence of the failures of a system that relies too heavily on the biomedical model of mental health services, including the front-line and excessive use of psychotropic medicines, and yet these models persist.”[\[12\]](#)

CCHR brought their concerns about the worldwide mass diagnosing of ADHD and prescribing of stimulants to the attention of the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, which responded with hearings and a recommendation for the establishment of a system for “monitoring of the excessive use of psychostimulants to children.” It also said that governments should “take the necessary measures to prevent any pressure on children and parents to accept treatment with psychostimulant drugs.”[\[13\]](#)

ICD-11 says it changed the category under which ADHD has been placed from “hyperkinetic” to “neurodevelopmental disorders” so that it is not equated as being “disruptive behavior,” but conveys the idea that a child has a deficiently developed brain disease, which experts say it is not. [\[14\]](#)

Child and adolescent video-gamers will also be a potential market under *ICD-11* with “gaming disorder.”[\[15\]](#) This has been included in a newly added diagnostic grouping under *ICD-11* called “disorders due to addictive behaviors,” again not in response to any scientific evidence but “to global concerns about the impact of problematic gaming,

especially the online form.”

As for nebulous “conduct disorders,” such as oppositional defiant disorder and conduct-dissocial disorder, these are grouped into a new label, “disruptive behavior and dissocial disorder.” *ICD-11* also expanded these from being limiting to children to include *across the lifespan*.[\[16\]](#) Disorders are no longer grouped by age but reflect a continuous lifespan approach[\[17\]](#)—anyone can be labeled and stigmatized.

Moreover, *ICD-10*’s so-called gender identity disorders have been renamed as “gender incongruence” (the condition of not matching or being in agreement) in the *ICD-11* and moved from the mental disorders chapter to the new “sexual health chapter,” meaning that a transgender identity is no longer to be considered a mental disorder.[\[18\]](#) Under *DSM*, gender identity was called gender dysphoria (unwanted emotional state).[\[19\]](#)

Such arbitrary and capricious diagnosing has come under earlier criticism when in 1973, the American Psychiatric Association committee members voted—5,584 to 3,810—to delete homosexuality as a mental disorder from *DSM* after gay activists picketed the APA conferences.[\[20\]](#) As *The Atlantic* reported, “It’s not always that explicit, and the votes are not public. In the case of the *DSM-5*, committee members were forbidden to talk about it, so we’ll never really know what the deliberations were. They all signed non-disclosure agreements.”[\[21\]](#)

Lawrence Stevens, a former Assistant District Attorney in California, commented: “If mental illness were really an illness in the same sense that physical illnesses are illnesses, the idea of deleting homosexuality or anything else from the categories of illness by having a vote would be as absurd as a group of physicians voting to delete cancer or measles from the concept of disease.”[\[22\]](#)

The late Dr. Keith Conners, who “put ADHD on the medical map,” conducted the first formal trials on the use of methylphenidate. But in 2013, when he was asked to address the American Professional Society of ADHD and Related Disorders, he was so appalled at how many children had been saddled with ADHD, he called it “a national disaster of dangerous proportions.” [23]

The *ICD-11* and *DSM-5 update* can only exacerbate the disaster. Parents, pediatricians, family doctors, and educators should be informed that ADHD is not a proven neurobiological disorder. The behavioral symptoms could be representative of any normal childhood behavior. In an article that has been widely quoted in literature, James T. Webb, Ph.D., reviewed the symptoms of ADHD and noted “almost all of these behaviors are found in bright, talented, creative, gifted children.” [24] Dr. Mary Ann Block, author of *No More ADHD* advises to look for and treat the underlying causes; don’t just cover symptoms with drugs but “find the cause and fix the problem.” [25]

All of which adds up to: Childhood, including ADHD, is not a mental disorder.

References:

[1] Samantha Gluck, “Does ADHD Exist?” *Healthy Place*, interview with Dr. Fed Baughman, <https://aws.healthyplace.com/adhd/articles/does-adhd-exist>

[2] “Watchdog Group Alerts Parents and Teachers About Gifted Children Being Mislabeled ‘ADHD’ and Given Stimulant Drugs,” CCHR International, 9 Aug. 2017, <https://www.cchrnt.org/2017/08/09/watchdog-group-alerts-parents-and-teachers-about-gifted-children-being-mislabeled-adhd-and-given-stimulant-drugs/>, citing: Allen Frances, “DSM 5 Will Further Inflate The ADD Bubble,” *Psychology Today*, 2 Aug.

2011,

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/dsm5-in-distress/201108/dsm-5-will-further-inflate-the-add-bubble>

[3] Allen Frances, "Most Active Kids Don't Have ADHD," *Psychology Today*, 11 Mar. 2014, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/saving-normal/201403/most-active-kids-don-t-have-adhd>; Allen Frances, "Psychiatric Fads and Overdiagnosis," *Psychology Today*, 2 June 2010, <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/dsm5-in-distress/201006/psychiatric-fads-and-overdiagnosis>

[4] Fred A. Baughman, Jr., MD, "Treatment of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 269, No. 18, 12 May 1993, p. 2369

[5] Caroline Kern, "Death of 14-year-old Caused by Ritalin," 14 Apr. 2000, http://www.drugfreechild.org/article/Death_of_14-year-old_Caused_by_Ritalin.html

[6] "Bad News For Ivy Leaguers: ADHD Drugs Hurt Your Memory," *TIME Health*, 13 May 2014, <http://time.com/97448/bad-news-for-ivy-leaguers-adhd-drugs-hurt-your-memory/>

[7] Dr. Howard Glasser, et al., "The Online Nurtured Heart Approach to Parenting: A Randomized Study to Improve ADHD Behaviors in Children Ages 6–8," *Ethical Human Psychology and Psychiatry*, Vol. 22, 1 Nov. 2020

[8] "New Federal Statistics Show Teen Overdose Deaths From ADHD & Anti-Anxiety Drugs On The Rise," CCHR International, 22 Aug. 2017, <https://www.cchrint.org/2017/08/23/teen-overdose-deaths-from-adhd-anti-anxiety-drugs-on-the-rise/>, citing: "Father of ADHD calls himself a liar," WND, 23 May, 2013 <http://www.wnd.com/2013/05/father-of-adhd-calls-himself-a-liar/#SAe7LssguLIzLtry.99>

- [9] "Methylphenidate (A Background Paper)," U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, Oct. 1995, p. 16
- [10] https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2013/010187s077lbl.pdf
- [11] *DSM-III-R*, (American Psychiatric Association, Washington, D.C., 1987), p. 136
- [12] "UN Special Rapporteur Dainius Pūras Addresses Psychiatry's Global Coercion & Crisis," CCHR International, 7 June 2021, <https://www.cchrnt.org/2021/06/07/un-special-rapporteur-dainius-puras-addresses-psychiatrys-global-coercion-crisis/>, citing: Awais Aftab, MD, "Global Psychiatry's Crisis of Values: Dainius Pūras, MD," *Psychiatric Times*, 3 June 2021, <https://www.psychiatrictimes.com/view/global-psychiatry-crisis-values>; "World needs 'revolution' in mental health care – UN rights expert," United Nations Human Rights, Office of the High Commissioner, 6 June 2017, <https://ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=21689>
- [13] UNCRC report to Sweden, 2015
- [14] Michael B. First, Steven H. Hyman, Wolfgang Gaebel, "Innovations and changes in the ICD-11 classification of mental, behavioural and neurodevelopmental disorders," et al., *World Psychiatry*, 2 Jan. 2019, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6313247/>
- [15] Tolu Ajiboye, "3 Major Changes to Look for with ICD-11," *Pollen*, 9 Oct. 2019, <https://www.simplepractice.com/blog/3-major-changes-to-look-for-with-icd-11/>
- [16] *Op. cit.*, *World Psychiatry*, 2 Jan. 2019
- [17] "ICD 11 Takes Centre Stage," *Journal of Psychiatry*

Reform, 21 June 2019,
<https://journalofpsychiatryreform.com/2019/06/21/icd-11-takes-centre-stage/>

[18] *Op. cit.*, *World Psychiatry*, 2 Jan. 2019

[19] <https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/gender-dysphoria/symptoms-causes/syc-20475255>

[20] Lawrence Stevens, J.D., "Does Mental Illness Exist?" undated article, <https://eqi.org/antipsy2.htm>

[21] Hope Reese, "The Real Problems with Psychiatry," *The Atlantic*, 2 May 2013, <https://www.theatlantic.com/health/archive/2013/05/the-real-problems-with-psychiatry/275371/>

[22] *Op. cit.*, Lawrence Stevens

[23] "New Federal Statistics Show Teen Overdose Deaths From ADHD & Anti-Anxiety Drugs On The Rise," CCHR International, 22 Aug. 2017, <https://www.cchrint.org/2017/08/23/teen-overdose-deaths-from-adhd-anti-anxiety-drugs-on-the-rise/>, citing: Gareth Cook, "Big Pharma's Manufactured Epidemic: The Misdiagnosis of ADHD," *Scientific American*, 11 Oct. 2016, <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/big-pharma-s-manufactured-epidemic-the-misdiagnosis-of-adhd>; "ADHD: the statistics of a 'national disaster'" *Significance*, Dec. 2016 <https://rss.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1740-9713.2016.00979.x>

[24] James T. Webb, *Misdiagnosis And Dual Diagnoses Of Gifted Children And Adults: Adhd, Bipolar, Ocd, Asperger's, Depression, And Other Disorders*, (Great Potential Press Inc., Scottsdale, AZ, 2004), p. 195

[25] <https://blockcenter.com/>

The Mind Control Police: The Government's War on Thought Crimes and Truth-Tellers

[The Mind Control Police: The Government's War on Thought Crimes and Truth-Tellers](#)

by [John W. Whitehead & Nisha Whitehead](#), [The Rutherford Institute](#)

February 15, 2022

***"In a time of deceit telling the truth is a revolutionary act."*– George Orwell**

The U.S. government, which speaks in a language of force, is afraid of its citizenry.

What we are dealing with is a government so power-hungry, paranoid and afraid of losing its stranglehold on power that it is conspiring to wage war on anyone who dares to challenge its authority.

All of us are in danger.

In recent years, the government has used the phrase "domestic terrorist" [interchangeably](#) with "anti-government," "extremist"

and “terrorist” to describe anyone who might fall somewhere on a very broad spectrum of viewpoints that could be considered “dangerous.” The ramifications are so far-reaching as to render almost every American an extremist in word, deed, thought or by association.

In the government’s latest assault on those who criticize the government—whether that criticism manifests itself in word, deed or thought—the Biden Administration has likened those who share “[false or misleading narratives and conspiracy theories, and other forms of mis- dis- and mal-information](#)” to terrorists.

The next part is the kicker.

According to the Department of Homeland Security’s latest terrorism bulletin, “These threat actors seek to exacerbate societal friction to *sow discord and undermine public trust in government institutions* to encourage unrest, which [could potentially inspire acts of violence](#).”

You see, the government doesn’t care if what you’re sharing is fact or fiction or something in between. What it cares about is whether what you’re sharing has the potential to make people think for themselves and, in the process, question the government’s propaganda.

Get ready for the next phase of the government’s war on thought crimes and truth-tellers.

For years now, the government has used all of the weapons in its vast arsenal—surveillance, threat assessments, fusion centers, pre-crime programs, hate crime laws, militarized police, lockdowns, martial law, etc.—to target *potential* enemies of the state based on their ideologies, behaviors, affiliations and other characteristics that might be deemed suspicious or dangerous.

For instance, if you believe in and exercise your rights under

the Constitution (namely, your right to speak freely, worship freely, associate with like-minded individuals who share your political views, criticize the government, own a weapon, demand a warrant before being questioned or searched, or any other activity viewed as potentially anti-government, racist, bigoted, anarchic or sovereign), you could be [at the top of the government's terrorism watch list](#).

Moreover, as a *New York Times* editorial warns, you may be an anti-government extremist (a.k.a. [domestic terrorist](#)) in the eyes of the police if you are afraid that the [government is plotting to confiscate your firearms](#), if you believe the [economy is about to collapse](#) and the [government will soon declare martial law](#), or if you display an unusual number of [political and/or ideological bumper stickers](#) on your car.

According to one FBI latest report, you might also be classified as a domestic terrorism threat if you espouse conspiracy theories, especially if you "[attempt to explain events or circumstances as the result of a group of actors working in secret to benefit themselves at the expense of others](#)" and are "usually at odds with official or prevailing explanations of events."

In other words, if you dare to subscribe to any views that are contrary to the government's, you may well be suspected of being a domestic terrorist and treated accordingly.

This latest government salvo against consumers and spreaders of "mis- dis- and mal-information" widens the net to potentially include anyone who is exposed to ideas that run counter to the official government narrative.

You don't have to be a [Joe Rogan questioning COVID-19](#) to get called out, cancelled and classified as an extremist.

There's a whole spectrum of behaviors ranging from thought crimes and hate speech to whistleblowing that qualifies for persecution (and prosecution) by the Deep State.

[Simply liking or sharing this article on Facebook, retweeting it on Twitter](#), or merely reading it or any other articles related to government wrongdoing, surveillance, police misconduct or civil liberties might be enough to get you categorized as a particular kind of person with particular kinds of interests that reflect a particular kind of mindset that *might* just lead you to engage in a particular kinds of activities and, therefore, puts you in the crosshairs of a government investigation as a potential troublemaker a.k.a. domestic extremist.

Chances are, as the *Washington Post* reports, you have already been assigned a [color-coded threat score](#)—green, yellow or red—so police are forewarned about your potential inclination to be a troublemaker depending on whether you’ve had a career in the military, posted a comment perceived as threatening on Facebook, suffer from a particular medical condition, or know someone who knows someone who might have committed a crime.

In other words, you might already be flagged as potentially anti-government in a government database somewhere—[Main Core](#), for example—that identifies and tracks individuals who aren’t inclined to march in lockstep to the police state’s dictates.

As *The Intercept* [reported](#), the FBI, CIA, NSA and other government agencies have increasingly invested in corporate surveillance technologies that can mine constitutionally protected speech on social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter and Instagram in order to identify potential extremists and predict who might engage in future acts of anti-government behavior.

Where many Americans go wrong is in naively assuming that you have to be doing something illegal or harmful in order to be flagged and targeted for some form of intervention or detention.

In fact, all you need to do these days to end up on a

government watch list or be subjected to heightened scrutiny is [use certain trigger words](#) (like cloud, pork and pirates), surf the internet, communicate using a cell phone, [limp or stutter](#), [drive a car](#), stay at a hotel, attend a political rally, [express yourself on social media](#), [appear mentally ill](#), serve in the [military](#), [disagree with a law enforcement official](#), [call in sick to work](#), purchase materials at a hardware store, take flying or boating lessons, [appear suspicious](#), appear confused or nervous, fidget or whistle or smell bad, be seen in public waving a toy gun or anything remotely resembling a gun (such as a water nozzle or a remote control or a walking cane), [stare at a police officer](#), question government authority, or [appear to be pro-gun or pro-freedom](#).

And then at the other end of the spectrum there are those such as Julian Assange, for example, who blow the whistle on government misconduct that is within the public's right to know.

Assange, the founder of WikiLeaks—a website that published secret information, news leaks, and classified media from anonymous sources—was arrested on April 11, 2019, on charges of helping U.S. Army intelligence analyst Chelsea Manning access and leak more than 700,000 classified [military documents that portray the U.S. government and its military as reckless, irresponsible and responsible for thousands of civilian deaths](#).

Included among the [leaked Manning material](#) were the [Collateral Murder video](#) (April 2010), the Afghanistan war logs (July 2010), the Iraq war logs (October 2010), a quarter of a million diplomatic cables (November 2010), and the Guantánamo files (April 2011).

The [Collateral Murder leak included gunsight video footage from two U.S. AH-64 Apache helicopters](#) engaged in a series of air-to-ground attacks while air crew laughed at some of the

casualties. Among the casualties were two Reuters correspondents who were gunned down after their cameras were mistaken for weapons and a driver who stopped to help one of the journalists. The driver's two children, who happened to be in the van at the time it was fired upon by U.S. forces, suffered serious injuries.

In true Orwellian fashion, the government would have us believe that it is Assange and Manning who are the real criminals for daring to expose the war machine's seedy underbelly.

Since his April 2019 arrest, Assange has been locked up in a maximum-security British prison—[in solitary confinement for up to 23 hours a day](#)—pending extradition to the U.S., where if convicted, he could be sentenced to [175 years in prison](#).

This is how the police state deals with those who challenge its chokehold on power.

This is why the government fears a citizenry that thinks for itself. Because a citizenry that thinks for itself is a citizenry that is informed, engaged and prepared to hold the government accountable to abiding by the rule of law, which translates to government transparency and accountability.

After all, we're citizens, not subjects. For those who don't fully understand the distinction between the two and why transparency is so vital to a healthy constitutional government, Manning explains it well:

When freedom of information and transparency are stifled, then bad decisions are often made and heartbreaking tragedies occur – too often on a breathtaking scale that can leave societies wondering: how did this happen? ... I believe that when the public lacks even the most fundamental access to what its governments and militaries are doing in their names, then they cease to be involved in the act of citizenship. [There is a bright distinction between citizens,](#)

who have rights and privileges protected by the state, and subjects, who are under the complete control and authority of the state.

This is why the First Amendment is so critical. It gives the citizenry the right to speak freely, protest peacefully, expose government wrongdoing, and criticize the government without fear of arrest, isolation or any of the other punishments that have been meted out to whistleblowers such as Edwards Snowden, Assange and Manning.

The challenge is holding the government accountable to obeying the law.

A little over 50 years ago, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled 6-3 in *United States v. Washington Post Co.* to block the Nixon Administration's attempts to use claims of national security to prevent The Washington Post and The New York Times from publishing secret Pentagon papers on how America went to war in Vietnam.

As Justice William O. Douglas remarked on the ruling, "The press was protected so that it could bare the secrets of government and inform the people. Only a free and unrestrained press can effectively expose deception in government. And paramount among the responsibilities of a free press is the duty to prevent any part of the government from deceiving the people and sending them off to distant lands to die of foreign fevers and foreign shot and shell."

Fast forward to the present day, and we're witnessing yet another showdown, this time between Assange and the Deep State, which pits the people's right to know about government misconduct against the might of the military industrial complex.

Yet this isn't merely about whether whistleblowers and journalists are part of a protected class under the

Constitution. It's a debate over how long "we the people" will remain a protected class under the Constitution.

Following the current trajectory, it won't be long before *anyone* who believes in holding the government accountable is [labeled an "extremist,"](#) relegated to an underclass that doesn't fit in, [watched all the time](#), and rounded up when the government deems it necessary.

We're almost at that point now.

Eventually, we will all be potential suspects, terrorists and lawbreakers in the eyes of the government.

Partisan politics have no place in this debate: Americans of all stripes would do well to remember that those who question the motives of government provide a necessary counterpoint to those who would blindly follow where politicians choose to lead.

We don't have to agree with every criticism of the government, but we must defend the rights of *all* individuals to speak freely without fear of punishment or threat of banishment.

Never forget: what the architects of the police state want are submissive, compliant, cooperative, obedient, meek citizens who don't talk back, don't challenge government authority, don't speak out against government misconduct, and don't step out of line.

What the First Amendment protects—and a healthy constitutional republic requires—are citizens who routinely exercise their right to speak truth to power.

The right to speak out against government wrongdoing is the quintessential freedom.

As I make clear in my book [Battlefield America: The War on the American People](#) and in its fictional counterpart [The Erik Blair Diaries](#), once again, we find ourselves reliving [George](#)

[Orwell's 1984](#), which portrayed in chilling detail how totalitarian governments employ the power of language to manipulate the masses.

In Orwell's dystopian vision of the future, Big Brother does away with all undesirable and unnecessary words and meanings, even going so far as to routinely rewrite history and punish "thoughtcrimes."

Much like today's social media censors and pre-crime police departments, Orwell's Thought Police serve as the eyes and ears of Big Brother, while the other government agencies peddle in economic affairs (rationing and starvation), law and order (torture and brainwashing), and news, entertainment, education and art (propaganda).

Orwell's Big Brother relies on Newspeak to eliminate undesirable words, strip such words as remained of unorthodox meanings and make independent, non-government-approved thought altogether unnecessary.

Where we stand now is at the juncture of OldSpeak (where words have meanings, and ideas can be dangerous) and Newspeak (where only that which is "safe" and "accepted" by the majority is permitted). The power elite has made their intentions clear: they will pursue and prosecute any and all words, thoughts and expressions that challenge their authority.

[Connect with The Rutherford Institute](#)

cover image credit: [geralt](#) / pixabay

The Bomb That Exploded in the Capitol Building, a Terrorist, and Black Lives Matter

[The Bomb That Exploded in the Capitol Building, a Terrorist, and Black Lives Matter](#)

Plus Bill Clinton, Chuck Schumer, and Barack Obama

So-called radicals rioting in the streets are bankrolled by elites. But the radicals want to destroy the elites. Wait, what? Read on...

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

February 15, 2022

ONE: Susan Rosenberg is a key figure who has been involved in the administration and funding of Black Lives Matter.

TWO: She has quite a life story. A long chapter would describe her work with a very active terrorist group.

THREE: In 1983, that group exploded a bomb in the Capitol Building.

FOUR: The bomb makes the January 6, 2021, break-in look like a picnic in the park.

This is not fiction. If a studio made a Lifetime movie of the events, the plot line sketch would state: terrorist; her group exploded a powerful bomb just outside the Senate chamber in the Capitol Building; she was sentenced to 58 years on other explosives charges; sentence commuted by Bill Clinton; flash

forward—she's helping fund and administer Black Lives Matter.

The Smithsonian Magazine [describes the Senate bombing](#): “Just before 11 p.m. on November 7, 1983, they [M19, an all-female terrorist group, in which Susan Rosenberg was an important member] called the U.S. Capitol switchboard and warned them to evacuate the building. Ten minutes later, a bomb detonated in the building's north wing, harming no one but blasting a 15-foot gash in a wall and causing \$1 million in damage.”

The US Senate archive of history: “The Senate had planned to work late into the evening of Monday, November 7, 1983. Deliberations proceeded more smoothly than expected, however, so the body adjourned at 7:02 p.m. A crowded reception, held near the Senate Chamber, broke up two hours later. Consequently, at 10:58 p.m., when a thunderous explosion tore through the second floor of the Capitol's north wing, the adjacent halls were virtually deserted. Many lives had been spared.”

“The force of the device, hidden under a bench at the eastern end of the corridor outside the chamber, blew off the door to the office of Democratic Leader Robert C. Byrd. The blast also punched a potentially lethal hole in a wall partition sending a shower of pulverized brick, plaster, and glass into the Republican cloakroom. Although the explosion caused no structural damage to the Capitol, it shattered mirrors, chandeliers, and furniture. Officials calculated damages of \$250,000.”

“A stately portrait of Daniel Webster, located across from the concealed bomb, received the explosion's full force. The blast tore away Webster's face and left it scattered across the Minton tiles in one-inch canvas shards.”

Eventually, the M19 terrorist women were busted by local police, who discovered their storage locker in Cherry Hill, New Jersey.

NY Daily News: "Inside the unit, they [the police] found sawed-off shotguns, an Uzi, a .357 Magnum, hundreds of rounds of ammo, and more than 10,000 blank Social Security cards. They also discovered over 700 pounds of poorly stored explosives, some of it leaking lethal nitroglycerin."

Who is Susan Rosenberg?

[Influencewatch.org](https://www.influencewatch.org/): "Susan Rosenberg is a convicted domestic terrorist and radical left activist whose youth was spent protesting the Vietnam War and racism in America. A member of the Weather Underground and other radical organizations which used violence as a tool for political change, Rosenberg was sentenced to 58 years in federal prison after being arrested for possession of explosives and weapons during the planning of a number of bombing operations. She was also a suspect in a 1981 armored car robbery which left one guard and two officers dead. She was released in 2001 when then-President Bill Clinton commuted her sentence."

"The commutation led to bipartisan criticism from Republican New York Mayor Rudy Giuliani and U.S. Senator Chuck Schumer (D-NY) as well as police officials..." [Rosenberg has been serving as] the Vice-Chair of the fiscal sponsor group Thousand Currents..."

"From 2016 to 2020, Thousand Currents became one of America's most influential racial and social change organizations because it is Black Lives Matter Global Network's fiscal sponsor. As the group's fiscal sponsor, Thousand Currents handles Black Lives Matter's administrative and back-end work so the racial group can focus on its activism, protests, and riots. As of June 24, 2020 [a month after the death of George Floyd, and during the ensuing riots], Thousand Currents had deleted from its website the Board of Directors information listing Rosenberg's biography."

"Rosenberg's terrorism reached a peak when she and other

radicals led the May 19th Communist Organization (M19C0). The nation's only female-led Communist terror group, it helped break a convicted cop killer out of prison in 1979 and organized a number of bombings around the country. The group also participated in a Rockland County, New York, armored car robbery which left a guard and two police officers dead. Rosenberg's 1984 arrest was one of several arrests over a six-month period which led to the Organization's collapse."

"On October 20, 1981, Rosenberg's May 19th Communist Organization and members of the Black Liberation Army carried out a robbery attack against a Brink's armored car in Nanuet, New York..."

"While Rosenberg maintained her innocence in the Brink's robbery, a report by the New York State Criminal Justice Institute placed Rosenberg at the Mount Vernon safe-house that served as a staging location for the assault. The \$1.6 million stolen in the raid was intended to be used to fund the 'New Afrika Movement'."

"Rosenberg managed to evade arrest for three years following the Brink's robbery. During this time, MC19C0 orchestrated a series of bombings against federal government targets, including a blast detonated outside the Senate Chamber of the United States Capitol Building."

"Law enforcement caught up to her in November 1984 after she used stolen identification to rent a storage unit to stash away guns and bomb-making materials. The suspicious facility manager called police who noticed Rosenberg was wearing a disguise as she and an accomplice were unloading the supplies. They were both arrested and investigators found more than 700 pounds of explosives, along with multiple firearms and thousands of false ID cards."

"Rosenberg was convicted on federal explosives and firearms charges and sentenced to 58 years in prison, the longest

conviction for such charges in American history.”

“Future New York City mayor and President Donald Trump personal attorney Rudy Giuliani, at the time a U.S. District Attorney, led Rosenberg’s prosecution. Though she was indicted for planning and driving in the Rockland County case, Giuliani declined to pursue prosecution because of the long sentence Rosenberg received on the other charges.”

“After Rosenberg’s parole request was denied, President Bill Clinton commuted her sentence on his last day in office. She was one of 176 people protected by Clinton that day, including indicted fugitive March Rich and former Clinton Whitewater associate Susan McDougal...Clinton also commuted the 40-year sentence of Rosenberg co-conspirator Linda Sue Evans. Evans was imprisoned for 11 counts of false identification used to purchase firearms and for harboring a fugitive related to the armored car murders. She was also convicted in 1990 for participating in a number of terrorist bombings, including at the U.S. Capitol Building.”

“Rosenberg’s commutation brought bipartisan condemnation from Giuliani, [Chuck] Schumer, and at least two police officials...”

“The New York Times published an editorial criticizing Clinton’s pardons on his last day in office, including the ones for Rosenberg and Evans.”

“During a 2008 Democratic presidential primary debate, then-candidate Barack Obama criticized President Clinton’s decision to grant Rosenberg clemency.”

“Formerly known as the International Development Exchange (IDEX), Thousand Currents is a left-of-center grantmaking organization. As of June 16, 2020, Rosenberg sat on the Thousand Currents Board of Directors serving as Vice Chair. However, the organization subsequently deleted the Board of Directors information listing Rosenberg’s biography from its website.”

“While still known as IDEX in 2016, Thousand Currents began a sponsorship of the Black Lives Matter (BLM) movement. In 2019, financial documents showed the group held over \$3.3 million in assets earmarked for BLM. As of June 24, 2020, access to financial information had been deleted from the organization’s website.”

“...Thousand Currents claims support for BLM’s mission ‘to eradicate White supremacy and build power to intervene in violence inflicted on Black communities by the state and vigilantes through its educational and charitable activities’.”

“As of June 2020, the vice chair of Thousand Currents board of directors was Susan Rosenberg...”

“Thousand Currents reported \$3,354,654 and \$2,622,017 in donor-restricted assets for...Black Lives Matter...in audits covering fiscal year 2019 and 2018, respectively.”

Where is Thousand Currents getting the money it then donates to Black Lives Matter? Influence Watch mentions several elite sources. Among them: the NoVo Foundation, which is run by Warren Buffet’s son, Peter, and his wife; the WK Kellogg Foundation; the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation; the David and Lucile Packard Foundation.

As I’ve detailed in a number of articles, the so-called radical Left has been bankrolled by the very elites these radicals claim to be intent on destroying.

That’s a book. That’s 20 books. That’s a library full of books.

These elites want to destroy the village in order to save it. Meaning they aim to build their Brave New World on the ashes of the old one.

For this operation—the burning and ashes—they need true

believers, dupes, and the clueless in the streets, where specially chosen psychopathic hard cases lead and motivate and manipulate these sheep into violence and destruction.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [Wikimedia Commons](#)

The Plan to Turn You Into a Genetically Edited Human Cyborg

[The Plan to Turn You Into a Genetically Edited Human Cyborg](#)

by [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

February 14, 2022

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

The U.K. Ministry of Defense and the German Bundeswehr Office for Defense Planning Human stress that human augmentation needs to be a key area of focus to win future wars

- Human augmentation will not be restricted to the military ranks. It's really a way to further separate classes of humans, with the rich and powerful elite eventually using their augmented "super-human" status as justification to rule everyone else
- The goal of The Fourth Industrial Revolution – introduced and pushed by the World Economic Forum – is

transhumanism, the merging of man with machine

- Human augmentation can directly affect behavior, either positively or to the detriment of that person
- In the transhumanist view, the human body is a “platform” that can be augmented in myriad ways, physically, psychologically and socially

A May 2021 project report by the U.K. Ministry of Defense, created in partnership with the German Bundeswehr Office for Defense Planning, offers shocking highlights of the [dystopian cybernetics future](#) that global technocrats are pushing mankind toward.

The report, “Human Augmentation – The Dawn of a New Paradigm, a Strategic Implications Project,”¹ reviews the scientific goals of the U.K. and German defense ministries, and they are precisely what the title suggests. Human augmentation is stressed as being a key area to focus on in order to win future wars.

But human augmentation will not be restricted to the military ranks. It’s really a way to further separate classes of humans, with the rich and powerful elite being augmented “super-humans.” It’s worth noting that anything released to the public is a decade or more behind current capabilities, so everything in this report can be considered dated news, even though it reads like pure science fiction.

“... the field of human augmentation has the potential to transform society, security and defense over the next 30 years,” the report states. “We must begin to understand the implications of these changes and shape them to our advantage now, before they are thrust upon us.”

Technology in warfare has traditionally centered on increasingly sophisticated platforms that people move and

fight from, or artefacts that they wear or wield to fight with. Advances in the life sciences and converging developments in related fields are, however, beginning to blur the line between technology and the human ...

Many technologies that have the potential to deliver strategic advantage out to 2050 already exist and further advances will undoubtedly occur ... Our potential adversaries will not be governed by the same ethical and legal considerations that we are, and they are already developing human augmentation capabilities.

Our key challenge will be establishing advantage in this field without compromising the values and freedoms that underpin our way of life ...

When we think of human augmentation it is easy to imagine science fiction inspired suits or wonder drugs that produce super soldiers, but we are on the cusp of realizing the benefits in a range of roles now. Human augmentation will help to understand, optimize and enhance performance leading to incremental, as well as radical, improvements."

Changing What It Means To Be Human

As noted in the report, "Human augmentation has the potential to ... change the meaning of what it means to be a human." This is precisely what Klaus Schwab, founder and executive chairman of the World Economic Forum (WEF), has stated is the goal of The Fourth Industrial Revolution.²

WEF has been at the center of global affairs for more than 40 years, and if you take the time to dive into WEF's Fourth Industrial Revolution material, you realize that it's all about transhumanism. It's about the merger of man and machine. This is a dystopian future WEF and its global allies are

actively trying to implement, whether humanity at large agrees with it or not.

Schwab dreams of a world in which humans are connected to the cloud, able to access the internet through their own brains. This, of course, also means that your brain would be accessible to people who might like to tinker with your thoughts, emotions, beliefs and behavior, be they the technocratic elite themselves or random hackers. As noted by history professor Yuval Noah Harari in late 2019, “humans are now hackable animals.”³ As noted in the featured report:⁴

“Human augmentation will become increasingly relevant, partly because it can directly enhance human capability and behavior and partly because it is the binding agent between people and machines.

Future wars will be won, not by those with the most advanced technology, but by those who can most effectively integrate the unique capabilities of both people and machines. The importance of human-machine teaming is widely acknowledged but it has been viewed from a techno-centric perspective.

Human augmentation is the missing part of this puzzle. Thinking of the person as a platform and understanding our people at an individual level is fundamental to successful human augmentation.”

Key words I’d like to draw your attention to is the affirmation that human augmentation can “directly enhance behavior.” Now, if you can enhance behavior, that means you can change someone’s behavior. And if you can change a person’s behavior in a positive way, you can also control it to the person’s own detriment.

Theoretically, absolutely anyone, any random civilian with a

brain-to-cloud connection and the needed biological augmentation (such as strength or speed) could be given wireless instructions to carry out an assassination, for example, and pull it off flawlessly, even without prior training.

Alternatively, their physical body could temporarily be taken over by a remote operator with the prerequisite skills. Proof of concept already exists, and is reviewed by Dr. Charles Morgan, professor in the department of national security at the University of New Haven, in the lecture below. Using the internet and brain implants, thoughts can be transferred from one person to another. The sender can also directly influence the physical movements of the receiver.

The Human Platform

On page 12 of the report, the concept of the human body as a platform is described, and how various parts of the human platform can be augmented. For example:

- Physical performance such as strength, dexterity, speed and endurance can be enhanced, as well as physical senses. One example given is gene editing for enhanced sight
- Psychological performance such as cognition, emotion and motivation can be influenced to activate and direct desired behavior. Examples of cognitive augmentation include improving memory, attention, alertness, creativity, understanding, decision-making, intelligence and vigilance
- Social performance – “the ability to perceive oneself as part of a group and the readiness to act as part of the team” – can be influenced. Communication skills, collaboration and trust are also included here

They list several different ways to influence the physical, psychological and social performance of the “human platform,”

including genetics (germ line and somatic modification), the gut microbiome, synthetic biology, invasive (internal) and noninvasive (external) brain interfaces, passive and powered exoskeletons, herbs, drugs and nano technology, neurostimulation, augmented reality technologies such as external holograms or glasses with built-in artificial intelligence, and sensory augmentation technologies such as external sensors or implants. As noted in the report:

“The senses can be extended by translating frequencies beyond the normal human range into frequencies that can be seen, heard or otherwise detected. This could allow the user to ‘see’ through walls, sense vibrations and detect airborne chemicals and changes to magnetic fields.

More invasive options to enhance existing senses have also been demonstrated, for example, coating retinal cells with nanoparticles to enable vision in the infrared spectrum.”

They also point out that, from a defense perspective, methods to de-augment an augmented opponent will be needed. Can you even imagine the battlefield of the future, where soldiers are barraged from both sides with conflicting inputs?

As for ethics, the paper stresses that “we cannot wait for the ethics of human augmentation to be decided for us.” There may even be “moral obligations” to augment people, they say, such as when it would “promote well-being” or protect a population from a “novel threat.”

Interestingly, the paper notes that “It could be argued that treatments involving novel vaccination processes and gene and cell therapies are examples of human augmentation already in the pipeline.” This appears to be a direct reference to mRNA and vector DNA COVID jabs. If so, it’s an open admission that they are a human augmentation strategy in progress.

The Challenge of Unintended Consequences

Of course, there can be any number of side effects and unintended outcomes when you start augmenting an aspect of the human body or mind. As explained in the featured report:

“The relationship between augmentation inputs and outputs is not as simple as it might appear. An augmentation might be used to enhance a person’s endurance but could unintentionally harm their ability to think clearly and decisively in a timely fashion.

In a warfighting context, an augmentation could make a commander more intelligent, but less able to lead due to their reduced ability to socially interact or because they increasingly make unethical decisions. Even a relatively uncontentious enhancement such as an exoskeleton may improve physical performance for specific tasks, but inadvertently result in a loss of balance or reduced coordination when not being worn.

The notion of enhancement is clouded further by the intricacies of the human nervous system where a modifier in one area could have an unintended effect elsewhere. Variation between people makes designing enhancements even more challenging.”

Still, none of that is cause to reconsider or slow down the march toward transhumanism, according to the authors. We just need to understand the human body better, and for that, we need to collect and analyze more data on human performance, behavior, genetics and epigenetics. As noted by the authors:

“Devices that track movement, heart rate, oxygenation levels and location are already commonplace and will become increasingly accurate and sophisticated, making it possible to gather an increasingly wide array of performance data in

real time. We can also analyze data in ways that were impossible even five years ago.

Artificial intelligence can analyze massive sets of information almost instantaneously and turn it into products that can inform decision-making. This marriage of data collection and analytics is the foundation of future human augmentation.”

Lab-Grown Designer Babies

As mentioned, by the time a technological advancement is admitted publicly, the research is already a decade or more down the road. Consider, then, the February 1, 2022, article in *Futurism*,⁵ which announced that Chinese scientists have developed an artificial intelligence nanny robot to care for fetuses grown inside an artificial womb. According to *Futurism*:⁶

“The system could theoretically allow parents to grow a baby in a lab, thereby eliminating the need for a human to carry a child. The researchers go so far as to say that this system would be safer than traditional childbearing.”

As of now, the AI robot is only in charge of lab-raised animal embryos, as “experimentation on human embryos is still forbidden under international law.” However, that could change at any time. In May 2021, the International Society for Stem Cell Research went ahead and relaxed the rules⁷ on human embryonic experimentation.⁸

Up until then, the rule had been that no human embryo could be grown in a lab environment beyond 14 days. Human embryos may now be grown beyond 14 days if certain conditions are met. In some countries, laws would still need to be changed to go beyond 14 days, but regardless, there’s no doubt that as

transhumanism gets underway in earnest, ethical considerations about growing babies in laboratories will be tossed out.

Combine the announcement of an AI robot nanny to care for lab-grown embryos with the 2018 announcement that Chinese scientists were creating CRISPR gene-edited babies. As reported by Technology Review, November 25, 2018,⁹ “A daring effort is underway to create the first children whose DNA has been tailored using gene editing.”

The embryos were genetically edited to disable a gene called CCR5, to make the babies “resistant to HIV, smallpox and cholera.” The embryos were then implanted into a human mother using in vitro fertilization. At the time, the lead scientist refused to answer whether the undertaking had resulted in a live birth, but shortly thereafter it was confirmed that one trial participant had indeed given birth to gene-edited twins in November 2018.¹⁰

In June 2019, Nature magazine published an article¹¹ questioning whether the CRISPR babies might inadvertently have been given a shorter life span, as research had recently discovered that people with two disabled copies of the CCR5 gene were 21% more likely to die before the age of 76 than those with one functioning copy of that gene. The babies might also be more susceptible to influenza and autoimmune conditions, thanks to this genetic tinkering.

Should We Breed Chimeras to Satisfy Need for Organs?

Ethical considerations about animal-human hybrids (chimeras) will probably also fall by the wayside once transhumanism becomes normalized. Already, human-monkey hybrid embryos have been grown by a team of Chinese and American scientists.¹²

The hybrid embryos are part of an effort to find new ways to produce organs for transplant patients. The idea is to raise monkeys with human-compatible organs that can then be

harvested as needed. Here, the embryos were grown in test tubes for as long as 20 days – and this was done before the ISSCR officially agreed to relaxing the 14-day rule.

The question is, if this kind of research ends up being successful, and the creation of animals with human organs is actually feasible, at what point does the chimera become a human?

How do we know that what looks like a monkey doesn't have a human brain, with the intelligence that goes with it? Taking it a step further, even, what's to prevent scientists from growing human organ donors? Human clones, even? It's a slippery slope, for sure.

Privacy in the Age of Transhumanism

Perhaps one of the greatest concerns I (and many others) have is that not only are we moving toward a merger of man and machine, but at the same time we're also increasingly outsourcing human morality to machines. I cannot imagine the end result being anything but devastating. How did that happen? Timandra Harkness, a BBC Radio presenter and author of "Big Data: Does Size Matter?" writes:¹³

"As the recent pandemic years have shown, the desire to be free from scrutiny unless there's a good reason to be scrutinized is widely seen as, at best, eccentric and, at worst, automatic grounds for suspicion."

We simply can't articulate why a private life is valuable. We have no sense of ourselves as autonomous beings, persons who need a space in which to reflect, to share thoughts with a few others, before venturing into public space with words and actions that we feel ready to defend ...

Part of the appeal of technologies like AI is the fantasy that a machine can take the role of wise parent, immune to

the emotion and unpredictability of mere humans. But this tells us less about the real capabilities of AI, and more about our disillusionment with ourselves.

The urge to fix COVID, or other social problems, with technology springs from this lack of trust in other people. So does the cavalier disregard for privacy as an expression of moral autonomy.

Technology ethics can't save us, any more than technology can. Even during a pandemic, how we regard one another is the fundamental question at the root of ethics. So we do need to treat technology as just a tool, after all. Otherwise we risk being made its instruments in a world without morals."

[Connect with Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

cover image credit: [geralt](#) / pixabay

“Freedom FROM”—the Forgotten Bombshell

[“Freedom FROM”—the Forgotten Bombshell](#)

by **[Jon Rappoport](#)**, **[No More Fake News](#)**

February 14, 2022

This article covers a subject most people don't want to think

about.

Even worse, most people can't recognize the subject exists—even after it's pointed out to them. They blank out. "Doesn't register" is their bottom line.

That's how successful decades of brainwashing have been.

PART ONE

On February 7, the Department of Homeland Security issued a heinous document with the highly significant title, ["Summary of Terrorism Threats to the Homeland \(February 07, 2022\)."](#)

Terrorism.

Here is a quote. Then I'll reveal breaking news. It's breaking because the education system is an abject failure.

"The United States remains in a heightened threat environment fueled by several factors, including an online environment filled with false or misleading narratives and conspiracy theories, and other forms of mis- dis- and mal-information (MDM) introduced and/or amplified by foreign and domestic threat actors. These threat actors seek to exacerbate societal friction to sow discord and undermine public trust in government institutions to encourage unrest, which could potentially inspire acts of violence."

UNDERMINE PUBLIC TRUST IN GOVERNMENT INSTITUTIONS.

Here is the news.

The whole purpose of the Constitution was to undermine trust in government institutions.

THE WHOLE PURPOSE OF THE CONSTITUTION WAS TO UNDERMINE TRUST IN GOVERNMENT INSTITUTIONS.

That's why separation of powers and checks and balances were created.

That's why the new central government was limited.

That's why the Bill of Rights was explicitly stated. Read the Rights; they all involve freedom from criminal impositions by government.

The Founders held a massive suspicion of top-down power. They knew the history of Europe.

The Constitution had nothing to do with unexamined trust in government institutions.

The Founders foresaw exactly the sort of grotesque power the federal government just asserted in the February 7 Homeland Security bulletin.

That bulletin is designed to abridge and censor free speech, under the pretext that such speech might motivate unnamed persons to commit violence.

That tired pretext has been used for centuries, whenever people holding the reins of power perceived a threat to their criminal syndicate.

The current rubric is "misinformation." As if they, the central government, are in charge of defining what the "mis" is.

But regardless of who defines it, the whole notion is absurd. Implicit in free speech is every possible brand of information. Spoken or written by anyone.

And "exacerbating societal friction" and "sowing discord"—which are now considered part and parcel of terrorist acts—are actually DUTIES of private citizens in a society DESIGNED to be mistrustful of centralized authority.

Yes, that's right.

If you want to put it in a slightly different way, you could

say societal friction and discord are inevitable effects of free and thoughtful speech.

What else would you expect?

For the past 20 years, in particular, the people of this country have been subjected to, and brainwashed by, truly repellent calls for Unity. From wretchedly disgusting public figures.

The Unity being promoted is a gelatinous ooze of agreement and consensus around social and political and scientific issues; the true aim is, as usual, mind control.

Whereas the Unity under the Constitution—however flawed the document and the men who created it might be—was something else entirely. That call for unity was formed around the idea of freedom with accountability.

And once that cat was out of the bag, citizens were expected to remain vigilant for signs of abuses of power, from above.

Vigilance leads to bold criticism of the institutions of government, vis-à-vis what those institutions are morphing into, what they are becoming beyond their intentionally hamstrung limits.

And that criticism creates discord and distrust—which are POSITIVE FORCES.

The civilization of the United States wasn't empowered by the Constitution to be harmonious; it was empowered to be asymmetrical and unresolved.

The Unity is THAT. Unity on behalf of freedom is THAT.

Unity isn't the towering wave of demands and preachings for social uniformity that have been launched at the people.

The departure from false Unity isn't a terrorist act.

Undermining, for example, the runaway rogue criminal agency called the FDA is a responsibility. It is to be taken seriously.

Those citizens who have regressed into some sort of dreamland of infantilism need to regain their minds. Their unity is a farce.

Alive and electric debate is dying because the adult infants can only summon up Cancellation of what they don't favor; that's their only strategy. At the core, they yearn for rule through coercion.

Cancellation as censorship also happens to be against the law of the land.

PART TWO

Consider the 1858 Abraham Lincoln-Stephen Douglas face-off—when apparently citizens still had a semblance of attention span. Both men were running for a US Senate seat in Illinois. In those days, state legislatures chose US Senators.

The issue in the debates was slavery, so the interest was intense and it was national. Here was the agreed-upon format: seven debates in seven Illinois towns over the course of three weeks; in each debate, the opening candidate would speak for 60 minutes, his opponent would speak for 90 minutes, and then the first candidate would return for 30 minutes.

The debates drew large crowds. Chicago newspapers had stenographers in each town. The stenos took down every word, and newspapers across the nation printed, in full, the texts.

Those were debates. No one with sprayed hair was present asking questions. The men talked. And talked.

If you could transport a current presidential debate back in time to one of those Illinois towns, the audience would conclude, in short order, that the candidates were insane,

possibly suffering from brain damage.

"These people are running for...what did you say? President??!!?? You're joking. This a joke, yes?"

I'd really like to see a current presidential candidate take the podium and speak coherently for 90 minutes about a single issue. You'd have to have support teams standing by to administer oxygen and possibly methamphetamines, just to keep him upright.

We're talking about a candidate staying on point, on one topic.

As opposed to: "I remember my grandmother telling me, when I was nine, you can do it, you can be anything you want to be. I remember Mrs. Gallbladder, my third-grade teacher, spending time with me when I—people say we should have a balanced budget, but they just don't understand how economics—a single purpose for all of us in this great—I care about each and every—there weren't any emails, well there were but none of them— attacking terrorists by insulting them isn't—equality isn't just for—this isn't the first time a woman has tried to win the Presidency but—"

Goo and more goo running everywhere.

How about Donald Trump and Joe Biden, in the Lincoln-Douglas format, debating the issue: "Describe a workable COVID policy for America." As their seven events turn into a Niagara of opposing non-sequiturs and self-inflating jive and sheer insanity, it's on parade for all to see.

And maybe, in a future presidential campaign, someone emerges from the shadows, someone most people have never heard of, and he can pass the test with flying colors. He can make sense, he can make a case, he can present details and specifics, he can inspire confidence, and he can also paint a picture of what America and freedom and responsibility and inherent mistrust

of institutions are all about.

Because he has the time. Because he has the courage and the intelligence. Because he makes people remember what they really want.

Would that be terrible? Would that be treasonous? Would that be dangerous?

Would that be terrorism?

No.

That would be waking up out of amnesia.

CODA: Someone will say, "What about the truckers? Isn't that an example of a Unity you're opposing?"

"No. That's an example of unity on behalf of freedom with responsibility; an effort to convince criminal institutions to stop acting as the freedom-hating fascists they've become."

"But the leaders you call fascists are just trying to protect the safety and health of all of us with their COVID restrictions on liberty."

"No. If 'just trying' were true, they would open the halls of government to wide-ranging and honest public debate, from all quarters, about their COVID policies. They've proved they refuse to do that. They're absolutists. Otherwise known as tyrants."

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [ahkeemhopkins](#) / pixabay

Dr. Tom Cowan & Dr. Lee Merritt: Debunking Virus & mRNA Theory

[Dr. Tom Cowan & Dr. Lee Merritt: Debunking Virus & mRNA Theory](#)

Truth Comes to Light editor's note: In the following video, [Spacebusters](#) uses images to artistically highlight & add clarity to an essential part of a conversation (Merritt Medical Hour – February 2, 2022) between Dr. Lee Merritt & Dr. Tom Cowan. See the entire interview at [Merritt Medical Hour on BrighteonTV](#).

by [Dr. Lee Merritt](#) with [Dr. Tom Cowan](#)
edited by [Spacebusters](#)
February 10, 2022

Spacebusters video available at Odysee and [BitChute](#).

[Connect with Dr. Tom Cowan](#)

[Connect with Dr. Lee Merritt](#)

[Connect with Spacebusters](#)

Related document by Harold Hillman:

[A Serious Indictment of Modern Cell Biology and Neurobiology](#) by Harold Hillman ([download PDF](#))

Related articles:

[Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Tom Cowan: How We Got Into This Mess – The History of Virology & Deep Medical Deceptions](#)

[Dare to Ask: Dr. Tom Cowan, Dr. Stefan Lanka & Dr. Andrew Kaufman on Freedom, Fear, and False Science About Viruses and the Nature of Reality Itself](#)

[Dr. Stefan Lanka 2020 Article Busts the Virus Misconception](#)

[Dr. Tom Cowan on the “Spiked Protein Toxin” & “Virus Created in a Lab” Stories](#)

[The Contagion Fairy Tale](#)

James Corbett on “States of Emergency” in Canada, USA, Australia & Around the World

[James Corbett on “States of Emergency” in Canada, USA, Australia & Around the World](#)

[States of Emergency](#)

by [James Corbett](#), [The Corbett Report](#)
February 11, 2022

Watch on [Archive](#) / [BitChute](#) / [Minds](#) / [Odysee](#) or [Download the mp4](#)

SHOW NOTES:

“If something is done out of necessity, it is done licitly, since what is not licit in law necessity makes licit. Likewise necessity has no law.” [“Si propter necessitatem aliquid fit, illud licite fit.: quia quod non est licitum in lege, necessitas facit licitum. Item necessitas legem non habet.”] –Gratian, *Decretum*. [Pars. 1 Dist. 48](#)

“. . . if the observance of the law according to the letter does not involve any sudden risk needing instant remedy, it is not the business of anyone whatsoever to expound what is useful and what is not useful to the state. Those alone can do this who are in authority, and who, on account of such cases have the power to dispense from the laws. If, however, the peril be so sudden as not to allow of the delay involved by referring the matter to authority, the necessity itself carries with it a dispensation, since necessity knows no law.” –Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theologica*. [Part 1 of Second Part Q.96 ART.6](#)

“The necessity with which we are concerned here must be conceived of as a state of affairs that, at least as a rule and in a complete and practically effective way, cannot be regulated by previously established norms. But if it has no law, it makes law, as another common expression has it; which means that it itself constitutes a true and proper source of law.... It can be said that necessity is the first and ordinary source of all law, such that by comparison the others are to be considered somehow derivative.... And it is to necessity that the origin and legitimation of the legal institution par excellence, namely, the state, and its constitutional order in general, must be traced back, when it

is established as a de facto process, for example, on the way to revolution. And what occurs in the initial moment of a particular regime can also repeat itself, though in an exceptional way and with more attenuated characteristics, even after the regime has formed and regulated its fundamental institutions.” – Santi Romano. Quoted in [*The State of Exception as a Paradigm of Government*, pg. 27](#)

[State of emergency declared in Ottawa, a city ‘under siege’](#)

[The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms](#)

[Interview with Mayor Watson](#)

[BOUNCY CASTLES!](#)

[Mayor Watson declares state of emergency for Ottawa due to ongoing demonstration](#)

[Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E.9](#)

[Canadian Government Delays Mandatory Traveler Quarantine – #SolutionsWatch](#)

[No basis for “state of emergency” declared by Ottawa Mayor](#)

[New World Next Week covers OSHA mandate withdrawal](#)

[Do NOT Go Back to Sleep! This is NOT the End!](#)

[OSHA Hints at Permanent COVID-19 Standard, Withdraws Vax-Or-Test ETS](#)

[Amy Coney Barrett Asks Key COVID-19 Question: When Will The Emergency End?](#)

[Death Risk 7X Higher For Youth After Jab Compared To COVID & New Totalitarian Australian COVID Bill](#) (The Last American Vagabond – The Daily Wrap-up, December 13, 2021)

[Public Health and Wellbeing Amendment \(Pandemic Management\) Bill 2021](#)

[Victorian Pandemic Management bill](#) (Rule of Law article)

[List of national emergencies in the US](#)

[New Report on the Trillions Boondoggle – #NewWorldNextWeek](#)

[Notice on the Continuation of the National Emergency with Respect to Certain Terrorist Attacks](#)

[A Letter on the Continuation of the National Emergency Concerning the Coronavirus Disease 2019 \(COVID-19\) Pandemic](#)

[Where Are We Now?](#) by Giorgio Agamben

[Canadian Constitutional Crisis | Brian Peckford | The Jordan B. Peterson Podcast S4: E78](#)

[The Charter's only living signatory sues Canada over travel mandates](#)

[State of Exception](#) by Giorgio Agamben

[DHS Suggests Those Who Spread "Misleading Narratives" That "Undermine Trust in US Gov't" are Terrorists](#)

[Summary of Terrorism Threat to the U.S. Homeland \(DHS Bulletin\)](#)

[The Great Transition Requires the Earth Constitution](#)

[Connect with James Corbett](#)

As Covid Crumbles They're Already Prepping the Next "Pandemic"

[As Covid Crumbles They're Already Prepping the Next "Pandemic"](#)

The coronavirus may go but, from cancer to AIDS, the mRNA vaccines are here to stay.

by [Kit Knightly](#), [OffGuardian](#)

February 10, 2022

The Covid19 narrative is broken, that battle is over. Yes, there are still pockets of token resistance, little embattled squares who aren't ready to give up the ghost just yet, but for the most part the establishment are letting it go.

[Country](#) after [country](#) after [country](#) are "relaxing" their Covid restrictions, abandoning vaccine passport plans and attempting to "get back to normal".

It seems every week some new "expert" who spent the last two years predicting we're all gonna die turns up on the news claiming we should ["treat Covid like the flu"](#).

But just because they're giving slack on Covid does not mean the agenda behind Covid is gone. Far from it.

In fact, even as they seek to dump this pandemic in a shallow grave, they are already prepping the public for the next health scare – AIDs.

In December Joe Biden claimed it was the aim of his administration to ["end the HIV/AIDS epidemic by 2030"](#). A

similar campaign, launched in the UK at the same, [uses the same exact phrase, word for word](#).

Then, just last week it was suddenly reported there was [a “new variant” of HIV circulating in Europe](#), this new strain is allegedly “more virulent”, “more transmissible”, and “progresses to AIDS faster”.

At the same time, papers are reporting that for the first time in years [heterosexuals are more likely to contract HIV than homosexuals](#), and they are “more at risk of AIDS” because they’re “diagnosed late”.

On the back of this “news”, a Guardian opinion piece claims we need a [“new strategy” for dealing with AIDS](#).

Following hot on the heels of this fresh wave of fear is a push for everyone to get AIDS tested as soon as possible, from politicians and celebrities and everyone in between.

Prince Harry is leading the charge, in a video that caused the press [invoke the spirit of his mother](#) Princess Diana, Harry insisted we all have a “duty” to get HIV tested “to keep other people safe”, comparing it to the COVID outbreak.

“Know your status”, the video says. Which will probably be a hashtag in the near future. (I just checked, and it actually is [already](#).)

They’re really cranking through the gears on this one.

Even while the problem and reaction are still barely out of the research and development stage, they’re already talking about the solution.

Guess what it is?

If you said “another mRNA vaccine”, well done for paying attention

Yes, Moderna has apparently learned so much from making their rushed Covid vaccine which doesn't work that they're already making an HIV vaccine they hope will be just as "safe and effective".

In a truly startling coincidence, Moderna's HIV vaccine [began clinical trials](#) the exact same day the "new variant" of HIV hit the headlines, and the same week as the NHS's annual "HIV Testing Week". Funny old world, isn't it?

Anyway, everyone get ready to line up for the AIDS shot.

Oh, and the [cancer one as well](#).

The covid battle might be slowly winding down, but the mRNA "vaccine" war has potentially only just begun.

[Connect with OffGuardian](#)

cover image credit: [geralt](#) / pixabay

Dr. Andrew Kaufman Interviews Dr. William Trebing, Author of 'Good-Bye Germ Theory'

[Dr. Andrew Kaufman Interviews Dr. William Trebing,
Author of 'Good-Bye Germ Theory'](#)

by [Dr. Andrew Kaufman](#) with [Dr. William Trebing](#)

February 5, 2022

[Connect with Dr. Andrew Kaufman](#)

[Connect with Dr. William Treging](#)

Paul McCartney and Howard Stern: Two More Mental Midgets

[Paul McCartney and Howard Stern: Two More Mental Midgets](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

February 8, 2022

ONE: Billboard (8/2/21): "Sir Paul McCartney is spreading one simple message for his fans: 'BE COOL. GET VAX'D'."

Well, that's it then. You can tell Paul dug deep to do his research on the injection. Be cool.

"The beanie- and face-mask-wearing 79-year-old rocker wrote this message on Instagram, while signing off as 'Paul,' underneath a picture of himself getting his COVID shot from a health care professional on Monday (Aug. 2)."

As opposed to getting his shot from a health care amateur, or a shoe salesman?

“‘The vaccine will get us out of this. I think we’ll come through it, I know we’ll come through, and it’s great news about the vaccine’,” he [McCartney] said in the interview.”

Sir Paul has obviously bought the whole enchilada. Virus spreads, infects, makes people sick and kills them, get tested, isolate if necessary, lock down a whole country if necessary, the vaccine will stop the virus in its tracks, we’ll be OK.

No need to look at contrary evidence. The official doctors and the political authorities know what they’re doing.

Obey. Comply. Was that always the secret message of Paul’s music, hidden amidst the vinyl grooves?

Since God knows when, famous Brits have issued consoling messages to the lower classes. “We’ll get through it. We’ll pull together.”

It turns out lots of famous ones aren’t doing any of the pulling.

A reasonable person would realize a vaccine mandated for the whole population should be scrutinized down to its core. It’s cool, and we’ll get through it, don’t cut it.

A breezy cavalier attitude is a sign of a mental midget.

I wonder whether a hypnotic medical blind spot will turn up on a brain-scan. “You see this dark area, Paul? The neurons aren’t firing. When I shine this ray of light at your skull and it penetrates and hits that area, a message pops up: ‘Don’t think. The doctors know everything’. If I were you, I’d get that checked out. But not by a doctor.”

Judging from his Billboard quote, Paul seems to realize he’s being enlisted by the National Health Service and the government to assure the public the vaccine is safe; and he’s willing to go along.

If he were working a steady job, and a SINGER told him it was cool to take the shot, would he go along?

Having amassed fame and fortune, he's not able to imagine that reverse-scenario anymore. That's not the fault of his career, his composing, his singing, or his earning pounds and dollars. It's his fault for surrendering to his elevated status.

He wouldn't admit it, but he's detached himself to a sufficiently remote area, from which he can shrug and support a government edict affecting millions of people without a second thought.

His stupidity is towering.

TW0: Howard Stern is a bunch of ugly sticks glued together with dried semen from one of his old wet dreams.

Once upon a time an abject failure as a broadcaster, he glimpsed a possible way out of Doom by taking on the role of psychological pornographer.

He'd assume everyone was obsessed by sex, and he'd force their inner dog to drool when he rang the bell.

Now, from a political perch, he's decreed that all unvaccinated people should be locked in their homes and die.

That's his style. Cut to the extreme chase. And also: see nothing, say something.

The mental midget status applies to Stern in spades. He knows zero about vaccines. He listens to the official doctors and the federal government like the kind of good little boy he hates.

As a young awkward schlub, he decided to rebel and burn down normal people by illustrating they were nothing more than prurient fish waiting to be shot in a barrel.

It was an easy choice. Once he found his radio style, he opened fire. His ratings soared; fame and fortune arrived.

He knows his success is nothing to be proud of. But success is all he has to hang his hat on. So he pretends it means something about his character.

It only means he's one more person who's tapped into a lowest common denominator and found dollars.

If Howard Stern tells you, you need to get vaccinated, you pretty much know it's the wrong thing to do, on that basis alone. Directing people down bad alleys turns out to be one of Stern's skills.

His notion of free speech is entirely self-serving. He wants to elevate his tired half-baked porn into a Constitutional issue.

He possesses a high degree of intelligence. He's used it to recreate himself as mental midget. The only interesting moments in his career arrive when he laughs at himself because he knows what he's done to himself.

He probably, on occasion, dreams he's Field Marshal Fauci. And Fauci occasionally dreams he's Howard Stern.

Coda: Now here is the REAL WORLD. LOOK AT THE PEOPLE. [WATCH THIS BRIEF CLIP](#) ALL THE WAY TO THE END. Canberra, Australia, Feb 7th, 2022

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [Prawny](#) / pixabay

The Truckers, GoFundMe, and the CIA; Connecting Dots

[The Truckers, GoFundMe, and the CIA; Connecting Dots](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

February 7, 2022

As of this writing, GoFundMe has cut off (stolen) \$9 million from the Canadian Trucker Convoy.

The money was donated by thousands of individuals to support the truckers, who are demanding the Canadian government cancel vaccine mandates, vaccine passports, and brutal COVID restrictions.

After a major backlash from the enraged public, GoFundMe has stated it will automatically refund all \$9 million to the individual donors.

Regardless, GoFundMe will not forward the money to the group it was intended for: the truckers.

All right: here come the dots—

A venture capital firm, Accel, and Technology Crossover Ventures, own the majority stake in GoFundMe.

The big infusion of cash that sent Mark Zuckerberg and his fledgling college enterprise on their way came from Accel, in 2004.

Jim Breyer, head of Accel, attached a \$13 million rocket to Facebook, and nothing has ever been the same. (Breyer—billionaire, CFR, World Economic Forum, major fund investor in China.)

Earlier in 2004, a man named Gilman Louie joined the board of the National Venture Capital Association of America (NVCA). The chairman of NVCA? Jim Breyer. Gilman Louie happened to be the first CEO of the important CIA start-up, In-Q-Tel.

In-Q-Tel was founded in 1999, with the express purpose of funding companies that could develop technology the CIA would use to “gather data.”

That’s not the only connection between Facebook funder and Accel’s Jim Breyer and the CIA’s man, Gilman Louie. In 2004, Louie went to work for BBN Technologies, headed up by Breyer. Dr. Anita Jones also joined BBN at that time. Jones had worked for the CIA’s In-Q-Tel and was an adviser to DARPA, the Pentagon’s technology department that helped develop the Internet.

With these CIA/DARPA connections, it’s no surprise that Jim Breyer’s jackpot investment in Facebook is not part of the popular mythology of Mark Zuckerberg. Better to omit it. Who can fail to realize that Facebook, with its endless stream of personal data, and its tracking capability, is an ideal CIA asset?

Accel co-owns the majority stake in GoFundMe. Accel has a history of rubbing shoulders with the CIA. Accel helped launch Facebook, the largest profiling and data-mining company in the world.

Given all this, it might be more surprising if GoFundMe DIDN’T cut off the Canadian truckers’ \$9 million.

It’s also worth mentioning that Accel has invested in Spotify, the platform whose number-one star is Joe Rogan. Spotify is now under pressure to cancel Rogan, because his views and guests don’t align 100% with the official COVID narrative. In step one of a new censorship program, Spotify has stated it will post warning messages on all content that veers from official COVID positions and offer links to approved

government and public health sources (for outrageous lies).

GoFundMe, Accel, Facebook, CIA, In-Q-Tel, Jim Breyer, CFR, World Economic Forum, major investments in China.

Basically, The Club.

The member's statement of belief: "More money for me, less freedom for the peons, global control."

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [TheDigitalArtist](#) / pixabay

GoFundMe Unable to Stop Donations to Freedom Convoy

[GoFundMe Unable to Stop Donations to Freedom Convoy](#)

by [Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms](#)

February 5, 2022

Ottawa: The Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms regrets to advise that GoFundMe has refused to release millions of dollars in donations intended for the 2022 Freedom Convoy. GoFundMe has deleted the truckers' Canada's Freedom Convoy fundraiser for allegedly violating its terms, stating the "peaceful demonstration has become an occupation" now.

Alternative funding has been set up using the Christian platform GiveSendGo, to [Freedom Convoy 2022](#).

As of press time, donations on the new platform were over USD \$1.1 million.

GoFundMe [originally made a statement](#) that “no further funds will be directly distributed to the Freedom Convoy organizers – we will work with organizers to send all remaining funds to credible and established charities verified by GoFundMe.”

The statement was later updated to say any leftover, not refunded donations would be distributed to GoFundMe approved charities selected by the Freedom Convoy organizers with GoFundMe’s approval. Donors were told they could submit a full request for refund until February 19, 2022.

Under intense public pressure, announcements that some [US Governors will investigate GoFundMe](#) under [deceptive practices laws](#), and threats of a [truck convoy](#) going to the GoFundMe headquarters, within hours GoFundMe changed their website statement, and announced it would be [refunding donors automatically](#).

The CEO of GoFundMe [Tim Cadogan](#) has reportedly previously campaigned for vaccines and covid relief payments.

GoFundMe declared the truckers’ Freedom Convoy in violation of the Terms of Service and stated the donations page has been “removed from the platform.”

This fundraiser reportedly raised the second highest amount of donations in GoFundMe history, at over \$10 million Canadian.

“We are pleased that the unprincipled conduct of GoFundMe, which is based on a false and defamatory narrative, is not going to stop freedom-loving Canadians from supporting the truckers’ peaceful protests,” states Allison Pejovic, one of the Justice Centre lawyers currently in Ottawa with the Freedom Convoy.

Facing Massive Charge-Back Fees GoFundMe Changes Direction; Will Automatically Refund Freedom Convoy Donations

[Facing Massive Charge-Back Fees GoFundMe Changes Direction; Will Automatically Refund Freedom Convoy Donations](#)

by [Sundance](#), [The Last Refuge](#)

February 5, 2022

First things first... The crowdsourcing business called GoFundMe has [destroyed their business model](#). If they remain in operation, they will be a shell of their former status. Wait a few months and you'll see it. That is the scale of self-destruction chosen by the organization as an outcome of their political decision to [freeze and redirect donor contributions](#) of the *Freedom Convoy* protest. **GoFundMe is done.**

That said, GoFundMe justified their decision based on a definition of the Ottawa Freedom Convoy protest as an unlawful "occupation". However, the same GoFundMe [supported the Seattle "occupation" in 2020](#) known as CHAZ/CHOP. It's not

hypocrisy, it's political alignment.

The backlash against the crowdfunding company was fast, massive and direct. Facing legal action, U.S. state lawsuits and massive charge-back fees from outraged donors contesting refunds through their banks and credit cards, GoFundMe had an [overnight change in position](#):



([Updated Statement Here](#))

The Freedom Protest will continue without missing a beat. The Truckers in Ottawa and around Canada are representing the majority of the Canadian people. Despite leftist Canadian media attempting to control the narrative against the Freedom movement overall, Middle Canadians can see through the nonsense, and they know which position is on the right side of history. **The Freedom Convoy is winning!**

The alternative crowdsourcing platform [GiveSendGo](#) has been overwhelmed by donations to [FreedomConvoy2022 HERE](#). Their web service is overwhelmed with supporters. I'm sure they are also experiencing [the snarky bot attacks from professional leftists](#) and disruptions from the collaboration of North American govt. intelligence agencies. As a result, if you go to the site, you might experience a delay.

Meanwhile, several U.S. State Attorneys are looking into the deceptive and fraudulent business practices of GoFundMe.

American readers might wonder why conservative Canadian government officials and various State Premiers are not taking legal action.

Within the answer to that inquiry, we realize the structural issue of the Conservative Party in Canada. They are controlled opposition who actually support leftist Prime Minister Justin Trudeau.

Ezra Levant has been pointing out the Conservative Party issues for many years. Today he highlights the Canadian political “illusion of choice” problem by noting the absence of Conservative pushback.



Ezra Levant  
@ezralevant

...

Seven provincial premiers and seven attorneys general in Canada are conservative.

119 MPs and 16 senators are in Parliament.

But not one of them cared enough to fight GoFundMe's fraud.

Why did that duty have to fall to an American governor?



Ron DeSantis  @GovRonDeSantis · 2h

It is a fraud for @gofundme to commandeer \$9M in donations sent to support truckers and give it to causes of their own choosing.

I will work with @AGAshleyMoody to investigate these deceptive practices — these donors should be given a refund.

10:58 AM · Feb 5, 2022 · Twitter Web App

836 Retweets **47** Quote Tweets **2,374** Likes

Americans have our own reference points for the issue Levant is highlighting. However, the scale of the UniParty fraud in Canada is fundamentally much greater than currently exists in the U.S.

We in the United States have gone through a focused purging and challenging of conservative fraud since the Tea Party rose up to confront politicians in 2009 and 2010. The 2010, 2011, 2012, Tea Party evolved into the 2015, 2016 MAGA party that supported Donald Trump.

In the U.S. we have slowly been removing corrupt republican politicians (House and Senate) since the 2010 primary races.

We still have a long way to go, but we are slowly removing them. Liz Cheney, Adam Kinzinger, Dan Crenshaw and many more are on the current 2022 target list.

Unfortunately, Canada has yet to begin this type of widescale political purge. That is why the current state of Canadian politics is so weak. However, the Freedom Convoy is providing the spark that just might be the Canadian version of the 2010 Tea Party influence.

We are right beside you Canada. We are cheering for you and supporting you in all ways possible.



[Connect with The Last Refuge](#)

cover image includes creative commons work of [Malkay62](#)

GoFundMe Labels Ottawa Freedom Protest “Unlawful Occupation”, Will Not Release Funds Except to Divert to Authorized Charity Like Black Lives Matter

[GoFundMe Labels Ottawa Freedom Protest “Unlawful Occupation”, Will Not Release Funds Except to Divert to Authorized Charity Like Black Lives Matter](#)

*All donors may submit a request for a full refund
until February 19th, 2022
using [this dedicated refund form](#). ([LINK](#))*

by [Sundance](#), [The Last Refuge](#)
February 4, 2022

Well, there goes the entire business model of the [GoFundMe organization](#).

In a rather jaw-dropping development, the crowdfunding organization is taking the position that Canadian officials have labeled the Canadian Freedom Convoy protest as an “illegal occupation” of the city of Ottawa. As a result, GoFundMe **will not release the funds** to the organizers of the protest, and will, instead, only transfer those funds to an authorized and credible charitable organization like “Black

Lives Matter”.

The [statement in Full](#):

♦ *GoFundMe supports peaceful protests and we believe that was the intention of the Freedom Convoy 2022 fundraiser when it was first created.*

♦ *We now have evidence from law enforcement that the previously peaceful demonstration has become **an occupation**, with police reports of violence and other unlawful activity.*

To ensure GoFundMe remains a trusted platform, we work with local authorities to ensure we have a detailed, factual understanding of events taking place on the ground. Following a review of relevant facts and multiple discussions with local law enforcement and city officials, this fundraiser is now in violation of our [Terms of Service](#) (Term 8, which prohibits the promotion of violence and harassment) and has been removed from the platform.

Organizers provided a clear distribution plan for the initial \$1M that was released earlier this week and confirmed funds would be used only for participants who traveled to Ottawa to participate in a peaceful protest.

*Given how this situation has evolved, no further funds will be directly distributed to the Freedom Convoy organizers – we will work with organizers to send all remaining funds to **credible and established charities verified by GoFundMe**.*

All donors may submit a request for a full refund until February 19th, 2022 using [this dedicated refund form](#). ([LINK](#))

The Canadian government and law enforcement officials worked earnestly to silence and label the voices of Middle Canada as violent extremists. It appears that official effort has paid dividends, as the money for the protest group has been cut off as the result of an arbitrary definition applied by the

totalitarian state.

Madness.

Sickening...

There aren't enough words to encapsulate this dynamic appropriately.

However, now that government has successfully branded the protesting group as an unlawful and violent occupying group, the Canadian authorities are now able to use extreme measures to counter the "threat to democracy" they represent.

This is a major, albeit ideological, escalation by the totalitarian state.

[Connect with The Last Refuge](#)

cover image credit: [toronto99](#)

What Is a Disease Without a Cause?

[What Is a Disease Without a Cause?](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

February 4, 2022

A disease without a cause is a business model.

You make a list of symptoms. You say many people are

experiencing this cluster of symptoms.

You give a label to this list of symptoms. A name. The name of a disease or a disorder or a syndrome.

Over time, through promotion, the name sticks.

You fund research to find the cause of the disease. This research can stretch out for a long time. Possibly forever.

Meanwhile, you develop and sell drugs to treat the disease. Money.

You keep reporting “progress” on finding the cause. “At first, we looked for environmental factors. But now we know the basis is almost certainly genetic. We’re homing in on the specific genetic dysfunction...”

Over time, what’s forgotten is this: is there really a single disease with a single cause?

And think it through; if you can’t verify a single cause, you don’t have a disease. You just have the original list of symptoms.

Alzheimer’s would be an example. Microcephaly (babies born with small heads and brain damage) would be another. The disease names seem to carry the day. “Well, if there’s a name, a label, there must be a unique disease.”

Wrong.

If there’s a name, a label, there is money.

Money for research, for drug development, money from drug and vaccine sales.

Researchers are tasked with making the list of symptoms seem compelling. “We’ve done brain studies. There are remarkable similarities among patients who have Disease X. As you can see from these scans, in Figure A...”

Still, no dice. No verified cause. Therefore, no justification for using the disease label or claiming you have found a unique disease.

But it doesn't matter, because the business model is working well.

Here's another example. ADHD. Has a single cause been found for this list of symptoms? No. Therefore, there is no laboratory test for ADHD. No test to confirm the diagnosis of ADHD. Because a test would detect the cause is present in the patient—and there is no cause to look for.

In fact, if you examine the complete catalog of all so-called mental disorders—about 300 of them—there is no defining lab test for ANY of them. Not a one. Each so-called disorder is simply a list of behaviors which have been clustered together by committees of psychiatrists and given a name. ADHD. Bipolar. Clinical depression. And so on.

But it doesn't matter. Because the business model is working. Money is pouring in. Drugs are selling.

Let's take this even further. A hundred years of Rockefeller medicine have “established” that there are thousands of separate and distinct and unique diseases, disorders, and syndromes. And each one has a cause. For many diseases, the cause “hasn't been discovered yet.” Meaning: “We're writing fiction. We have no justification for calling these diseases, diseases.”

For many other diseases, researchers claim, the causes have been found. The most popular type of cause? A virus.

A virus that had never been seen before. A virus that was “discovered” in a lab.

A lab—as [I've discussed in depth](#)—that lets in no outsiders, no truly independent observers, to see, in detail, what's

actually going on.

For that reason, and several others, there is no solid reason to believe these viruses, these causes are actually being discovered. Are actually real.

Which leaves us with thousands of lists of symptoms.

But there is always a business model. The full Rockefeller model is worth trillions of dollars. More dollars every day.

The drugs and the vaccines are the \$\$\$ payoff.

I've spent decades demonstrating their toxicity.

Here's a very interesting medical trick. A criminal trick. The researchers say a brain disorder called ABC exists but they haven't found the cause yet. A parent has a child with severe problems and takes him to the doctor. The doctor pronounces a diagnosis: "Yes, your boy has ABC."

The parent goes away and does some research. The list of symptoms for ABC could be the result of a vaccine. In fact, the boy developed his severe problems quite soon after vaccination.

She goes back to the doctor and says, "I think my son was damaged by the vaccine."

The doctor says, "That's impossible. Your boy is suffering from ABC. And you see, we've done studies of boys with ABC, and many of them were never vaccinated. So when you say the cause of your boy's ABC was a vaccine, we've ruled that out."

The parent doesn't know what to do.

Of course, the trick is, ABC was never proved to be a unique disorder in the first place. It's really the NAME of an unproven disorder. The studies the doctor is referring to are completely irrelevant.

ABC is a disorder without a proven cause. Therefore, it is no disorder at all. It's just a list of symptoms.

The parent's boy has many of those symptoms. He acquired them—and the damage he suffered—from a vaccine. If you wanted to put a name to what the boy has, call it what it is: vaccine damage.

Not ABC.

Part of the business model for ABC is: "We use that disease label so we can avoid having to pay out huge compensation-dollars for damage caused by a vaccine."

If the impact of this trick isn't getting through to you, let me give you a grossly exaggerated analogy.

Engineers claim there is a phenomenon called River Floundering. It is unique but the cause hasn't yet been found. The basic symptom is: boats on rivers develop the propensity to sink.

Joe takes his boat out on a river. Overhead, a bridge collapses and destroys his boat. Joe barely escapes with his life. After six months, he emerges from the hospital and sues a number of parties.

But he loses his case. In court, experts testify that his boat was suffering from River Floundering. That's why it sank. Many studies of Floundering show bridges-collapsing did not occur when "the sinking happened." Therefore, the collapsing bridge was not the cause of Joe's boat's disorder, River Floundering.

What is a disease without a cause?

A business model.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

Joe Rogan Up Against ‘Powerful Interests,’ as More Musicians Threaten to Remove Music From Spotify

[Joe Rogan Up Against ‘Powerful Interests,’ as More Musicians Threaten to Remove Music From Spotify](#)

“Do you really think it’s a coincidence that days after Neil Young’s music was pulled off of Spotify he debuts a 4-month free trial to any person who wants to sign up for Amazon music – who has struggled to gain market share and has long-standing connections with all of the big money people in the game?”

by [Megan Redshaw](#), [The Defender](#)

February 1, 2022

Joe Rogan in an [Instagram video](#) Monday addressed the [growing controversy](#) surrounding his podcast – “[The Joe Rogan Experience](#)” – telling fans he’s not interested in talking to people who have only one perspective. He also said he has a problem with the term “misinformation.”

Rogan’s statement came as the streaming platform Spotify on Sunday [announced](#) new rules designed to “combat” the spread of [COVID](#) “misinformation” on its platform.

The new rules came after a handful of [musicians](#), including Neil Young, Bruce Springsteen's guitarist [Nils Lofgren](#) and Joni Mitchell, pulled their music catalogs from Spotify, in an effort to force Spotify to choose between their music or Rogan's podcast. Author [Brené Brown](#) also joined the protest, stating she won't release new episodes of her Spotify-exclusive podcasts "until further notice."

Spotify last week [agreed](#) to remove Young's music.

"We have detailed content policies in place and we've removed over 20,000 podcast episodes related to covid-19 since the start of the pandemic," a Spotify spokesperson told [The Washington Post](#) in a statement. "We regret Neil's decision to remove his music from Spotify, but hope to welcome him back soon."

[Saagar Enjeti](#), Washington correspondent at The Hill, [said](#) there could be more going on behind the scenes. Investment firms who own the music catalogs – and who also have ties to pharmaceutical companies – may be calling the shots.

"The people speaking out may be doing so organically, but it also happens to coincide with the financial or oligarchic interests of some very, very rich people," Enjeti said in a "[Breaking Points](#)" episode that took a "deep dive into the hedge funds behind the campaign by Neil Young and others to cancel Rogan and boost other music services such as Amazon music."

Rogan, Spotify's [star podcaster](#), signed a \$100 million deal in 2020, giving the streaming service exclusive rights to his show. The podcast, available only on Spotify, reached No. 1 globally last year, [the company said](#) last month.

What used to be misinformation, now accepted as fact

According to Rogan's [10-minute video](#), his podcast was accused

of “spreading dangerous misinformation,” citing specifically his interviews with Dr. Peter McCullough and one with [Dr. Robert Malone](#).”

Rogan said:

“Dr. Peter McCullough is a cardiologist and he’s the most published physician in his field in history. Dr. Robert Malone owns nine patents on the creation of mRNA vaccine technology and is at least partly responsible for the creation of the technology that led to mRNA vaccines.

“Both these people are very highly credentialed, very intelligent, very accomplished people and they have an opinion that’s different than the mainstream narrative. I wanted to hear what their opinion is.

“I had them on and because of that those episodes, in particular, those episodes were labeled as being dangerous, they had dangerous misinformation in them.”

Rogan said the issue he has with the term “misinformation” is that “many of the things we thought of as misinformation just a short while ago are now accepted as fact.”

Rogan explained:

“For instance, eight months ago if you said if you get vaccinated you can still catch COVID and you can still spread COVID, you would be removed from social media. They would ban you from certain platforms. Now that’s accepted as fact.

“If you said, I don’t think cloth masks work, you would be banned on social media. Now that’s openly, repeatedly stated on CNN.

“If you said, I think it’s possible that COVID-19 [came from a lab](#), you would be banned from many social media platforms. Now, that’s on the cover as Newsweek.”

Rogan said all of those theories that “at one point in time” were banned, were openly discussed by McCullough and Malone who were accused of spreading dangerous misinformation.

Rogan said he wanted to make the video because he feels “people have a distorted perception” of what he does.

“I’m not trying to promote misinformation,” Rogan said. “I’m not trying to be controversial. I’ve never tried to do anything with this podcast other than just talk to people and have interesting conversations.”

Are Amazon and Hedge Funds trying to cancel Rogan?

In a [video posted](#) Jan. 31 on YouTube, Enjeti said there is more than meets the eye when it comes to musicians like Young pulling their catalogs from Spotify.

“The original impetus for Neil Young’s demand was a letter he posted on social media saying Spotify could either have Neil Young or Joe Rogan, but that letter was almost immediately deleted after it was posted,” Enjeti said.

“Who is demanding this? Enjeti asked. “Is it Neil Young or is it the people who own his music?”

Enjeti explained:

“You see a recent trend in the music business it that iconic artists such a Neil Young sell their catalogs to big-money groups who then reap the profits in perpetuity. Young actually sold his catalog in Jan. 2021 to a company called Hipgnosis. Now Hipgnosis is a \$1 billion company that recently [announced an ownership agreement](#) with Blackstone.”

Enjeti [said](#) Blackstone is focused on taking over single-family housing and turning America into a nation of renters, but it also has interests everywhere.

“Blackstone, [BlackRock](#) and these big private equity giants are

ruthless in their pursuit of profits and they're savvy political players who know how to play the game," Enjeti said. "They have all sorts of ties to the pharmaceutical industry, including announcing the former CEO and chairman of Pfizer would be [joining Blackstone](#) as a senior advisor."

"Do you really think it's a coincidence that days after Neil Young's music was pulled off of Spotify he debuts a 4-month free trial to any person who wants to sign up for Amazon music – who has struggled to gain market share and has long-standing connections with all of the big money people in the game?" [Enjeti asked](#).

"Open your eyes to see possibilities you may not have imagined," Enjeti said. "At first it was simple, just Neil Young taking a stand."

Now a lot of people with big money and a big agenda who would just so happen to profit if Rogan went down are speaking out, Enjeti added. "Joni Mitchell has come out and said she is going to stand in solidarity with Neil Young."

Mitchell on Sept. 13, 2021, [struck a publishing deal](#) with Reservoir Media, Inc. (RMI). The [top 10 owners](#) of RMI are large private equity and investment firms.

Lofgren, who on Jan. 30 [announced](#) he was joining fellow musicians Mitchell and Young in their Spotify boycott, also does not own his music catalog.

Lofgren's catalog was [purchased](#) on Dec. 16, 2021, by Sony Entertainment. The [top 10 owners](#) of Sony Group Corp. are large investment firms.

Enjeti said:

"You give into the mob, you give them an inch, they will keep coming. Will Spotify really be able to withstand up to the pressure? Who knows? Principles are not going to save you in

this instance. Only money will.”

Enjeti said people better hold on to their seats and “hope that Joe prevails on this one,” as he is up against more powerful interests than many realize.

New Spotify rules don't define 'misinformation'

As part of its [new rules](#), Spotify said it would [add a content advisory](#) to any podcast episode discussing COVID amid accusations it was allowing misinformation to spread on its platform.

The [advisory](#) will direct listeners to a “dedicated COVID-19 Hub,” which is described as a “resource that provides easy access to data-driven facts, up-to-date information as shared by scientists, physicians, academics and public health authorities around the world, as well as links to trusted sources.”

Spotify said this is the first content advisory of its kind and will roll out in the next few days.

The streaming giant also announced it will begin testing ways to highlight its platform rules to raise awareness around “what’s acceptable” and to help creators understand their accountability for the content they post on the platform.

The statement did not say who determines what is and is not misinformation and what is considered a “trusted source.”

Rogan [said](#) he supports Spotify putting a disclaimer on controversial podcasts about COVID and encouraging listeners to speak to their physicians.

©January 2022 Children's Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children's Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children's Health Defense? [Sign up](#) for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense.

Your [donation](#) will help to support us in our efforts.

[Connect with Children's Health Defense](#)

cover image credit: [CDD20](#) / pixabay

Warning Signs You've Been Tricked by Virologists

[Warning Signs You've Been Tricked by Virologists](#)

by [Dr. Mark Bailey](#)

February 1, 2022

It was Steve's first day
looking for viruses in
the Genomics Lab.
He asked,
"how does this all work?"

Jeremy started
humming...

"1, 2...
add a few...
99, Corona!"



"Viruses are small obligate intracellular parasites, which by definition contain either a RNA or DNA genome surrounded by a protective, virus-coded protein coat."

The question regarding the existence of pathogenic viruses remains an important one as the belief in such viruses dictates billions of dollars of resources and research funds. In the past two years we have also seen how an alleged virus can be used as a political tool to bring populations to heel. It is not the first time this has happened: for example, the “discovery” of HIV in the 1980s set up a multi-billion dollar industry and has also been used politically in most corners of the world. (The fallacies regarding the existence of the HIV particle and it causing AIDS are outlined in [Virus Mania](#). For those wanting to dive more deeply into the arguments, I would recommend The Perth Group’s [magnus opus](#) on this topic.)

Independent journalist Jeremy Hammond who promotes himself as exposing “dangerous state propaganda” surrounding COVID-19 and the dangers of the vaccines, thus made the following curious [statement](#) in 2021:

“the false claim that SARS-CoV-2 has never been isolated (i.e., never proven to exist) greatly harms the credibility of the health freedom movement and is grounded in total ignorance of the science (the virus is constantly being isolated and whole genome sequenced by scientists all over the world)”

Jeremy Hammond, 9 March 2021

I would argue that the ignorance falls in Hammond’s lap as he appears to reach his conclusion by essentially repeating the claims made by virologists and reassuring the audience that their methodologies are valid. In recent weeks we have also seen [Dr Joseph Mercola](#) presenting Hammond’s interview and Steve Kirsch’s [blog](#) (that also makes appeals to virology authority) as “evidence” that SARS-CoV-2 exists. Kirsch states that he relies on “expert opinions of people I trust”

which means that he has put the argument into the hands of others rather than investigating the issue himself. But is it wise for these health freedom fighters who are battling establishment COVID “experts” to not also question the establishment virologists?

[Dr Andy Kaufman](#) produced a point by point refutation of Hammond’s support of modern virology’s “isolation” methodology [here](#), while [Dr Tom Cowan](#) warned that we are just getting started with dismantling virology’s nonsense [here](#). [Dr Sam Bailey](#) has published many videos covering the virus isolation issue – most of which have been banned from YouTube but can still be found on [Odysee](#). Additionally, in an essay I co-authored with Dr John Bevan-Smith, we describe the first pillar of the [COVID-19 fraud](#) as virology’s misuse of the term “isolation”. In summary, because virologists were unable to physically isolate any viruses last century, they simply changed the definition of the word so that even [virologists](#) admit the term is now used loosely. A strange state of affairs when the scientific method calls for precise terminology.

My observation over the past two years has been that many scientists, doctors, and journalists are happy to jump over this “isolation” chasm and cite the “coronavirus genomes” deposited in databases as proof that the virus must exist. For example, Steve Kirsch writes in his blog that:

“I know that Sabine Hazan verified that the sequence of the virus obtained from ATCC matched exactly what she found in people who have the virus.”

Steve Kirsch, 10 January 2022

He cites Hazan’s [paper](#) “Detection of SARS-CoV-2 from patient fecal samples by whole genome sequencing” as the evidence for this statement. Kirsch admits that he doesn’t know how the genomes were created, but his...

“scientist friends seem happy with them. At \$2,000 a shot, I don’t think they’d market the product if it was contaminated and useless. Am I wrong?”

Steve Kirsch, 10 January 2022

Unfortunately, he appears to have been duped by the high-tech façade of virology’s genomics genie where “viruses” are created from various detected genetic sequences. In fact, sometimes the sequences are not really detected at all, as [Dr Stefan Lanka](#) is exposing in what may be virology’s death blow.

We can use Hazan’s paper as an example of the flawed methodology used in creating these “virus genomes”. The research team obtained faecal samples from 14 participants and proceeded to see what genetic sequences they could detect in the samples. We strike the first issue in the ‘methods’ section when they state that “included throughout sample processing was the SARS-CoV-2 positive control from ATCC (Heat-inactivated SARS-CoV-2, VR-1986HK; strain 2019-nCoV/USA-WA1/2020)” How did they know that the sample contained the inactivated virus? Because the ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) claims that it does on their [website](#) where they state “this strain was originally isolated from a human case in Washington state and was deposited by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.” And how did the CDC know that they had the virus? Because they claimed they found it in this paper [here](#).



Volume 26, Number 6—June 2020

Research

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

But where was the virus?

In the CDC's paper, they say that they collected "clinical specimens from a case-patient who had acquired COVID-19 during travel to China and who was identified in Washington, USA". It was concluded that the patient had COVID-19 based on a PCR result that detected some sequences said to come from SARS-CoV-2. But at this point they had no proof of any virus – all they had was some detected genetic sequences from a patient with an alleged viral infection. After performing a test tube tissue culture experiment on their clinical sample and claiming that there was evidence of a virus due to non-specific cytopathic effects, they began to construct their "genome". They state that "we used 50 μ L of viral lysate for total nucleic acid extraction for confirmatory testing and sequencing." This is another sleight of hand because the "viral lysate" was not demonstrated to come from a virus, it is simply a soup of broken up culture cells and other additives.

Similarly misleading was the claim they "extracted nucleic acid from isolates". They have implied that they have isolated a virus and that they know which RNA sequences came from inside it. However, this would require the alleged viral particles to be truly physically isolated by purification, which they failed to do. And I say alleged because even if

they purified the particles, it would still have to be shown that they meet the definition of a virus – including being parasitic and the causal agent of disease – something that was not demonstrated by these authors or any others.

In any case, how did they know which genetic sequences belonged to the “virus” in the first place? They “designed 37 pairs of nested PCRs spanning the genome on the basis of the coronavirus reference sequence (GenBank accession no. NC045512).” And where did this “reference sequence” come from? This relates to Fan Wu, et al’s [paper](#) describing the 41-year-old man who was admitted to the Central Hospital of Wuhan on 26 December 2019 with bilateral pneumonia and despite no new clinical features, was said to have a condition that was later called “COVID-19”.

The specimen was of crude lung washings, so it contained a mixture of human cells and potentially all sorts of other micro-organisms and genetic fragments. They simply asserted that there was a virus in the brew. From this mixed sample they blindly generated tens of millions of different sequences and then put their software to work to see how they could fit them all together. To do this “fitting” the software searched for “contigs” or areas where different fragments appear to have overlapping sequences. Of the hundreds of thousands of hypothetical sequences generated in this fashion they identified that the longest “continuous” sequence the computer could create was about 30,000 bases long and concluded that this software creation must be the genome of the presumed new virus.

They thought this was the genome because their hypothetically generated 30,000 base sequence was 89.1% similar to, “a bat SARS-like coronavirus (CoV) isolate–bat SL-CoVZC45”. The “genome” for the bat CoV “[isolate](#)” was generated in 2018 after “19 degenerated PCR primer pairs were designed by multiple alignment of available SARS-CoV and bat SL-CoV sequences

deposited in GenBank, targeting almost the full length of the genome.” So in other words, they already knew the sequence to look for based on sequences that had previously been deposited in GenBank. But how did the producers of these already deposited sequences know that they had found viral genomes? Welcome to the circular reasoning of modern virology.

To explain the loop that virologists appear to be trapped inside, this 2019 [paper](#) published in Virology is illustrative of the problem:

“Three main methods based on HTS [High-throughput sequencing] are currently used for viral whole-genome sequencing: metagenomic sequencing, target enrichment sequencing and PCR amplicon sequencing, each showing benefits and drawbacks (Houldcroft et al., 2017). In metagenomic sequencing, total DNA (and/or RNA) from a sample including host but also bacteria, viruses and fungi is extracted and sequenced. It is a simple and cost-effective approach, and it is the only approach not requiring reference sequences. Instead, the other two HTS approaches, target enrichment and amplicon sequencing, both depend on reference information to design baits or primers.”


Maurier F, et al, “A complete protocol for whole-genome sequencing of virus from clinical samples,” Virology, May 2019.

Essentially this gets to the root of the problem. The “viral” reference genomes are being created through metagenomic sequencing but this is done on crude specimens (such as lung washings or unpurified tissue cultures) and then declarations that the selected sequences are viral in origin. So already there are two problems: firstly, there was no step (i.e. purification) to show that the sequences come from inside “viruses” and secondly, as described above, the computer

generated “genomes” are simply assembled hypothetical models from small genetic fragments, not something that has been proven to exist in nature as a whole 30,000 base sequence. However, these in silico models then effectively become the “virus” and an entity such as SARS-CoV-2 is created. Once the first of such a sequence is deposited on a database, the “virus” can be “found” by others through the same flawed metagenomic techniques. Or as stated in the Virology paper, it can be “found” through target enrichment and amplicon sequencing (usually PCR), but this requires you to have a reference sequence...that is, a template that was invented in silico by metagenomic sequencing where the provenance of the genetic fragments was unknown.

There is no part in the above process that establishes either:

- 1) the genetic composition of any imaged or imagined particles; or**
- 2) the biological nature of such particles, i.e. what they actually do.**



It's a good-looking nano-particle alright, but what is it made of and what does it do?

So, now can we return to Hazan's paper to see that it is a pointless exercise in virological nonsense. They state that along with their "SARS-CoV-2 positive control from ATCC", the "patient genomes were compared to the Wuhan-Hu-1 (MN90847.3) SARS-CoV-2 reference genome". Accession number [MN90847.3](#) refers to the updated "genome" said to have been found in the 41-year-old man from Wuhan as discussed above in Fan Wu, et al's paper. The circle is complete – at no stage was it demonstrated that there was any virus by following this evidence trail of "genomes". Fan Wu's team never found a virus, they simply asserted that their genetic sequence computer simulation was a "new RNA virus strain from the family Coronaviridae" without proving that the sequence

existed in nature or came from inside a virus. Hence, there was no “detection of SARS-CoV-2 from patient fecal samples” as the title of the Hazan paper claimed, unless “SARS-CoV-2” means genetic sequences of who-knows-what from who-knows-where. It doesn’t matter where or how often these sequences are detected – they have never been proven to be viral in nature. So, when Steve Kirsch stated that Hazan “verified that the sequence of the virus obtained from ATCC matched exactly what she found in people who have the virus,” he is mistaken.

What “virus” is he talking about?

[Connect with Dr. Mark Bailey & Dr. Sam Bailey](#)

cover image credit: [krivitskiy](#) / pixabay

Do NOT Go Back to Sleep! This is NOT the End!

[Do NOT Go Back to Sleep! This is NOT the End!](#)

by [James Corbett](#), [The Corbett Report](#)

January 29, 2021

Remember the end of [The Return of the Jedi](#)? It’s something I’ve referenced a few times because it’s a handy cultural signifier for a certain idea.

For those who don’t know, here’s the gist: Darth Vader is

dead. The Empire has fallen. The Rebels have won! Time to party! Cut to a montage of the Ewoks dancing around Darth Vader's funeral pyre and joyous festivities being held across the galaxy.

. . . But we viewers know that this isn't *really* the end of the story. The battle has been won, but the war between good and evil has not been decided forever. It would be far too naïve of us to believe *that*.

Indeed, it's hard not to be reminded of that Darth Vader funeral party when looking at the recent signs that the scamdemic narrative is falling apart:

- England is [dropping](#) its mask requirements and "health pass" mandates;
- Biden's big biz vaxx mandate has been [shot down by the Supremes](#) and [withdrawn by OSHA](#);
- The [largest convoy in history](#) is taking place in [protest of the Canadian mandates](#);
- A major Danish Newspaper is [apologizing for its uncritical COVID coverage](#);
- etc., etc.

If you're looking for it, the good news is everywhere right now. So, time for the Ewok dance party, right?

Well, hold on to your light saber, young paduwan. This battle is not over yet. You see, the would-be societal controllers have not given up the fight yet, and some of the rollback of the scamdemic restrictions that is happening right now could be part of a deception that is in fact furthering their agenda of control.

Different people have different names for this deception. Dave Cullen of Computing Forever calls it "[Two Steps Forward and One Step Back](#)." Over at Revelations Radio News, Tim and Andrew have attempted to warn their listeners about "[The Strategic Rug Pull](#)." Whatever you call it, the concept isn't difficult

to grasp if you know how the Powers That Shouldn't Be operate.

In a nutshell, the danger is that if the "authorities" begin to relax the draconian controls that have been put in place in the name of the COVID-1984 scamdemic, the population might believe that they have won. That it's over. That they can stop worrying about the descent into tyranny. Meanwhile, the *real* work of hardwiring the infrastructure of the biosecurity state can continue on behind the scenes, unhindered by opposition from erstwhile freedom activists.

I went over a case study of this very phenomenon in the [most recent edition](#) of New World Next Week. As Americans will know by now, there is reason to celebrate: the [Supreme Court has shot down](#) the illegal and unconstitutional mandate requiring businesses with more than 100 employees to force their employees to get vaxxed (or to submit to weekly testing). And, in another seemingly positive follow-up, The US Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has withdrawn the "emergency temporary standard" (ETS) codifying that mandate.

Yay! Time for the Ewok dance party!

. . . Until you read the fine print, that is. You'd have to persist past the headline and the opening blather of the [MSM coverage of the story](#) to discover that this is *not* the end of the war. As I pointed out on New World Next Week, the [OSHA press release](#) on the ETS withdrawal explicitly concedes that although they *are* withdrawing the temporary standard, they are only doing so in order to prepare a permanent rule:

"Although OSHA is withdrawing the vaccination and testing ETS as an enforceable emergency temporary standard, the agency is not withdrawing the ETS as a proposed rule. The agency is prioritizing its resources to focus on finalizing a permanent COVID-19 Healthcare Standard."

What? They are finalizing a *permanent* "Healthcare Standard"

for COVID-19? What does that mean? What does that entail?

Too bad for you if you want more info on that. You certainly won't find an answer to those questions in the press release itself.

If you *do* persist in digging through the OSHA website, you will find a [statement](#) from U.S. Secretary of Labor Marty Walsh on the Supreme Court ruling earlier this month. In the statement, Walsh confirms that he is "disappointed in the court's decision" and reaffirms that "OSHA stands by the Vaccination and Testing Emergency Temporary Standard as the best way to protect the nation's workforce from a deadly MacGuffin that is infecting more than 750,000 Americans each day and has taken the lives of nearly a million Americans." (OK, I changed one word in that quotation. I'll let you follow the link to find out which one.) The statement ends with the threat that "OSHA will do everything in its existing authority to hold businesses accountable for protecting workers."

If you want the really ominous news, though, you'll have to leave the OSHA website entirely and head on over to The National Law Review, which [informs its readers](#) about the ETS withdrawal before adding some highly relevant information:

"In the same announcement, OSHA clarified that **the text of the ETS will remain in place to solicit additional comments from the general public** so that, according to OSHA, the agency can 'prioritiz[e] its resources to focus on finalizing a permanent COVID-19 Healthcare Standard.' If OSHA follows this path, the final rule will be published no later than May 5, 2022."
[Emphasis mine.]

Yes, folks, the "withdrawal" of this ETS turns out to be a charade after all. The text will "remain in place" while they "solicit additional comments from the general public" so that they can finalize the rule and enact it in May.

Yay? Nay. Cancel the dance party.

This is the quintessential demonstration of the One Step Forward Two Steps Back Rug Pull.

Sadly, it doesn't take a great deal of imagination to see how this exact type of distraction operation can be used in any number of situations to lull the public into letting their guard down on a key agenda item.

For the hard of thinking, let me be clear what I am saying here: successes, however minor and fleeting, are still good things. They *should* be celebrated and encouraged . . . but not at the expense of our eternal vigilance against the forces of control.

The freedom convoy in Canada is a *good* thing. Can it be corrupted and co-opted? Of course. Can *agents provocateurs* go in and provoke acts of violence or destruction in the name of freedom lovers? Of course. Will the entire spectacle cement in the minds of some that our fundamental freedoms are the government's to give or to take away and that our only option is to ask them for our rights back? Of course.

But absolutely *any* movement or protest or idea in the world can be spun to portray freedom-lovers as violent, dangerous or silly people by those who control the mass media. The point is that we know people who are supporting the convoy for the right reasons. We know that there is a tidal shift in public opinion happening in Canada right now and the political puppets are working so hard to demonize it (or [run away from it](#)) precisely because it *can* represent a "storming of the Bastille" moment for a *genuine* freedom movement. And we can bypass the mass media control and directly influence the public's understanding of these events *for ourselves*.

Even the fact that OSHA is having to use a strategic withdrawal tactic demonstrates that they know they don't have the support of the public on this issue. They have to resort

to subterfuge and underhanded tactics because if what they were doing were widely known the public would not stand for it.

So yes, these *are* steps in the right direction and we *should* encourage freedom fighters and activists in their efforts. . . .

. . . But let's hold off on that dance party for now. There's still a lot of work to be done.

*This weekly editorial is part of **The Corbett Report Subscriber** newsletter.*

To support The Corbett Report and to access the full newsletter, please [sign up](#) to become a member of the website.

[Connect with The Corbett Report](#)

cover image credit: [DerSilent](#) / pixabay

Deep Sea Fishing

[Deep Sea Fishing](#)

by [Todd Hayden](#), [OffGuardian](#)

January 30, 2022

Every good fisherman knows that reeling in a big fish takes skill and conscious intention. One of the first things they

learn is that you can't just crank in the big guy with one effortless winding up of the line.

It takes finesse, a dance of sorts. While always keeping a steady line, you bring them in slowly, with the primary focus on wearing them out, almost hypnotizing them into compliance.

A popular fishing website HUK says this about the process:

Remember that too much tension can snap the line and when large fish decide to make a run for it, the worst thing you can do is try to keep them in place. By fighting their runs, all you are doing is increasing the chances that your line will break and your prize will get away. Instead, set the drag so the fish can take out line while still tiring itself. This will wear down the fish; eventually their runs will get shorter, less violent, and less frequent."

Hmmm. Sounds a bit too close for comfort, eh?

I am afraid I am not all that excited about the current hoopla regarding our apparent victory over the mainstream narrative. I simply don't believe it entirely.

We've made a run, so to speak, maybe have gotten too rowdy, too powerful, and we are being given a bit of slack so we don't break the line.

This run is not being executed only by the folks on our side of the fence, but by the sheep as well. We are ALL tired, we are all ready to get out of this mess and call it a day.

It seems like a sensible tactic on their part—to let out a little line, but still keeping us hooked and apparently still in their control.

All this euphoria about us finally winning the battle and that the narrative is finally crumbling indicates to me that we may be getting lost in the weeds of apparent success and the hook and line is still, in reality, firmly embedded in our flesh,

only to suddenly reel us in again, after a dizzying and disorienting taste of freedom. I don't like it.

Most everyone is familiar with the [1950's Harvard experiment](#) conducted by a rather soulless Curt Richter. Rats were placed in a tank where they had to frantically tread water to survive. Typically they lasted only 15 minutes or so before giving up, sinking, and subsequently drowning.

A second set of experiments showed that if the rats were saved right before their demise, dried off and given a little respite, and then again returned to the tank of water, they could tread, and stay alive, for up to 60 hours.

They called this the "hope experiment," which is relevant to the current happenings.

To maintain the narrative, people must maintain some sort of hope. When we are about to throw in the towel we are given a little slack in the line, and when the pressure hits again—with a new variant, a new virus, or, in a radical right turn, a nuclear war threat — we can sustain our loyalty, and ultimate compliance, believing we will not drown but will be saved at the last minute by our surrogate parents and archetypal "protectors."

These tactics work in different ways with the masses on opposing sides of the fence. The sheep need the slack when they are about to throw in the towel of compliance. The rest of us are not about to throw in the towel, but are about to gain greater potential of harm to the narrative—they respond to both situations with the same tactic, but with different results depending on where you sit in this whole mess.

This "hope experiment" reminds me of BF Skinner's work (also a psychology research scientist of Harvard fame — many also believed Skinner has very little soul). His work with pigeons pressing levers to get food led him to conclude that a type of "uncertainty principle" affected animals, and thus people. If

the food was dispensed at variable intervals, the pigeons went nuts, pecking the lever incessantly.

There was no rhyme or reason when the reward would come, therefore the pecking never stopped.

You can see how this psychology is relevant here (and yes, as much as I hate to admit this, behavioral science is indeed real, it doesn't give answers to all questions, but humans, at times, do indeed behave like rats and pigeons).

If something is given, taken away, given again, taken away again, at what seems to be for irrational and unpredictable reasons, you create craziness: variable intervals of tension and release. Good way to mess around with people's heads.

It seems what we are seeing now with the seeming turning of the mainstream narrative is too calculated, too fast, too easy, and simply not as deep as it needs to be to declare victory.

Where are the arrests and prosecutions of government officials or Big Pharma officials? Where is the accountability of the thousands who have been injured by the vaccines? Where is the admission that this all was a horrible attempt to create a New World Order? – A Great Reset?

The allies in World War II had to literally LEVEL Germany before those bastards gave up. I don't think this "victory" is going to be this easy.

James Corbett, of "The Corbett Report" put it succinctly recently on his program ["New World Next Week"](#). Corbett said:

This isn't the kill shot, literally or metaphorically, this is the first salvo in a years long, decades long, process of re-engineering the governing principle of society into the biosecurity state, and this is just about re-laying the infrastructure."

Corbett goes on to say there is likely much more to come, another virus even more deadly and scary, for example, and that everything is now all geared up and ready for the next horrifying event.

So maybe Covid IS finished. It has possibly been tapped out. Maybe it didn't make the full mark that was expected, maybe it wasn't deadly enough, or maybe the variants didn't propel the boosters forward as expected. Maybe the vaccine wasn't intended to be such a dud.

Or, maybe it all worked out exactly as planned.

Regardless, they did make a rather substantial move. At the very least they have been successful in normalizing nearly every major goal they had set.

The goals such as digital passports, social credit scoring, digital currency, and blind, stupefying, sheep-like compliance, to name just a few, maybe are not as deeply ensconced in the day to day operation of society as they had expected, but these concepts certainly are normalized now as active probabilities in the minds of the masses (and some of them, such as "blind stupefying sheep-like compliance", are well established).

It will take much less future effort to drop them into place, fully functioning.

Hannah Arendt, one of the past century's most incredible heroes of truth, said in her seminal work [The Origins of Totalitarianism](#):

A mixture of gullibility and cynicism had been an outstanding characteristic of mob mentality before it became an everyday phenomenon of masses. In an ever-changing, incomprehensible world the masses had reached the point where they would, at the same time, believe everything and nothing, think that everything was possible and that nothing was true.

The mixture in itself was remarkable enough, because it spelled the end of the illusion that gullibility was a weakness of unsuspecting primitive souls and cynicism the vice of superior and refined minds. Mass propaganda discovered that its audience was ready at all times to believe the worst, no matter how absurd, and did not particularly object to being deceived because it held every statement to be a lie anyhow.

The totalitarian mass leaders based their propaganda on the correct psychological assumption that, under such conditions, one could make people believe the most fantastic statements one day, and trust that if the next day they were given irrefutable proof of their falsehood, they would take refuge in cynicism; instead of deserting the leaders who had lied to them, they would protest that they had known all along that the statement was a lie and would admire the leaders for their superior tactical cleverness.

Although I do not believe that the masses see everything as a lie, I do believe if it were proven to be a lie, it would have nearly no impact. I have not heard yet from the sheep side any sort of eating of humble pie.

All this that is happening is seen as "business as usual" from the sheep...maybe some things have come to light that were just not known before (according to them), but they see this as a victory of their own – they have beat Covid by wearing masks, locking down, vilifying the nasty unvaccinated.

The "I told you sos" from our side of the fence are falling on deaf years. "The crazies on the Right have beaten up the hero-god Fauci, but he is still the hero-god to most."

As Arendt so deftly points out, the sheep continue to "admire their leaders for their superior tactical cleverness" as a result of any "backing down and changing the narrative" as we believe Fauci has exhibited in our own interpretation.

Yes, some have "flipped" so to speak. Maybe many have. But

this is where the fishing analogy comes to light.

The powers that be see the sheep taking a run, albeit maybe a small one, but a run nonetheless, they are hearing the masses grumbling about the efficacy of the vaccines, the pointlessness of taking the booster – the weariness of lockdowns, masks, and social restrictions.

They say, “maybe it is time to give them a little slack...to let them have a bit of a rest...before we reel them in for the final scoop into the net, the flop into the bucket... and the trip to the taxidermist”. So some of them started to flip (run) and the narrative shifts to give them slack.

All that being said, I don't think much has changed in sheep-ville.

Certainly, most normies have never bought into what is to be found in the depths of the rabbit hole that imply a greater horror above the levels of government, viruses, and vaccines (as James Corbett points out). Few see a problem with digital currency, loss of constitutional freedoms, social credit, vaccine passports or the like.

The agenda in this overarching echelon of control can, as it stands now, continue unfettered, Covid or no Covid.

From this perspective, we've really won nothing at all. Can we call anything a victory as long as Fauci and his NIAID, NIH, HHS, FDA and CDC compatriots and minions remain standing?

As long as the smear campaign to eliminate effective and inexpensive treatments continues? As long as vaccines are mandated or even just recommended without informed consent?

Can we declare any sort of victory as long as people continue to suffer from vaccine injury and are not even accounted for?

Can we really believe the narrative is crumbling when the perpetrators of these heinous crimes against constitution and

humanity are not brought to justice?

Can we sleep easy under the false euphoria of victory as long as tens of thousands of medical doctors and researchers and scientists go on being persecuted through the loss of careers and reputation and free speech?

I could go on and on.

No, we are not even beginning to see this narrative “crumble” until quite a bit more evidence is brought to light that this just isn’t another tactic in this ongoing agenda.

But...maybe I am wrong. Maybe it just takes a little time to kill all of the hydra’s heads. Just killing one won’t do it, they will just grow back, but maybe we have just killed one or two heads and it will take time to go all the way through them, killing them all.

Maybe the Trucker’s Convoy, the Boris Johnson announcements in the UK, the US Supreme Court ruling are indeed signs of the agenda crumbling, a few of the many hydra heads being lopped off by the swords of justice and sane thinking.

I don’t want to give up hope, but I do think at the very least we must continue to be wary, with swords continually drawn and always at the ready.

Todd Hayen is a registered psychotherapist practicing in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. He holds a PhD in depth psychotherapy and an MA in Consciousness Studies. He specializes in Jungian, archetypal, psychology.

[Connect with OffGuardian](#)

cover image credit: [Designtek](#) / pixabay

The 5th Annual Fake News Awards!

[The 5th Annual Fake News Awards!](#)

by [James Corbett](#), [The Corbett Report](#)
January 28, 2022

You've been waiting for it all year. So stop waiting. It's here! The 5th Annual Fake News Awards! Bringing you the worst in dinosaur media lies, smears and outright fiction from the past year.

Join your host Bent Krockman for a whirlwind tour of fake photos, fake fact checks, fake politicians and of course the fake story of the year.

Also, stay tuned for a musical performance by the new pop hit supergroup, KABAAL . . . and a word from our corporate sponsor!

Watch on [Archive](#) / [BitChute](#) / [Minds](#) / [Odysee](#) or [Download the mp4](#)

Fakest Photo or Video of the Year

AND THE RUNNERS-UP ARE:

The photoshopped photo from Norwegian newspaper *Sunnmørsposten* of a “[masked](#)” Olav Mestad, the chief medical officer of Ålesund, who was in fact [maskless](#)

[Biden “driving” an electric F-150](#)

[Fake videos of the Kabul evacuation](#), including a [video of a man allegedly hanging out on the engine](#) of a departing plane (although massive props to the Vietnamese graphic designer who originally posted the video, including a [whole series of such fakes](#))

AND THE LOSER IS...

[“Gunshot Victims Left Waiting as Horse Dewormer Overdoses Overwhelm Oklahoma Hospitals, Doctor Says”](#) a September 2021 article from Rolling Stone that manages a rare triple play: not only is *all* of the information presented in the story *factually incorrect*, and not only did they fail to retract the story when it was debunked (instead opting for the lying “update”), but even the picture they used to illustrate the article was fake news!

See [“The Media Fell for a Viral Hoax About Ivermectin Overdoses Straining Rural Hospitals”](#) for more on this truly galling display of faux journalism.

Fakest Politician or Health Official

AND THE RUNNERS-UP ARE:

AOC showing up [maskless among a sea of masked servants](#) in a [“tax the rich” dress](#) to the \$35,000 a ticket Met Gala, a dress [designed by a tax evader](#)

Justin Trudeau for [“anti-vaxxers are racist misogynists”](#)

[Trump](#) for calling the Warp Speed MAGA jabs his “[greatest achievement](#)” and bragging that “[I’m boosted](#)”

AND THE LOSER IS...

Fauci for “[attacks on me are attacks on science.](#)”

Is this real life? Did he actually just say that? That is straight up, comic book, Palpatine-level “[I am the Senate!](#)” energy right there.

See my episode on Science Says! for a point-by-point deconstruction of this fundamentally anti-scientific idea and read [The Real Anthony Fauci](#) by Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. for a point-by-point takedown of Fauci’s entire career.

Fakest Fact Check of the Year

AND THE RUNNERS-UP ARE:

[PolitiFact](#) for their “Pants on Fire” “debunking” of the laboratory origins of SARS-COV-2 . . . which they later had to update with a note to say that since the “experts” they relied on for their “fact check” had changed their mind, they were “*removing this fact-check from our database pending a more thorough review*” ([...we’re still waiting, Poynter!](#))

CTV News for [No, COVID-19 vaccines do not violate the Nuremberg Code](#), which [incorrectly states](#) that the COVID vaccines are “long past the experimental stage”

IFLScience (a website with a checkered history of [stealing other people’s work](#), for “[Fact Check: Will We Be Microchipped With Vaccine Passports?](#)” which uses the very real story of how a [Swedish company has developed a microchip that can showcase your vaccine passport status](#) to argue that it’s a “public relations nightmare for scientists and a gift to anti-vaxxers,” who are obviously still crazy even when they are

demonstrably right.

AND THE LOSER IS...

ALL OF THEM! That's right, in a bombshell story late last year [Facebook admitted that 'fact checks' are nothing more than opinion](#)

Fakest Climate Change Story

AND THE RUNNERS-UP ARE:

The Lancet for "[The 2021 report of the Lancet Countdown on health and climate change: code red for a healthy future](#)" which was immediately picked up and regurgitated by the lying corporate media despite the fact that its central assertion that rising temperatures are leading to rising death tolls is [directly contradicted](#) by the published research demonstrating that deaths caused by non-optimum temperatures are *declining* by tens of thousands of people each year.

The Victoria Times-Colonist for "[B.C. doctor clinically diagnoses patient as suffering from 'climate change'](#)," in which they fall for the transparent publicity stunt of Dr. Kyle Merritt of Kootenay Lake Hospital in Nelson, B.C. who clinically diagnosed a patient with diabetes and heart failure with "climate change." Not only did the *Times-Colonist* "reporter" not seek any [alternative viewpoint](#) to Dr. Merritt's nonsensical non-diagnosis, it neglected to inform its readers of University of Washington meteorologist Cliff Mass' [exhaustively documented work](#) showing that the "Great Northwest Heatwave" last summer was not climate change but merely weather (because, as we all know, Weather is not Climate!...except when it suits the narrative).

The usual gaggle of [climate hypocrites](#) who flew their private jets to the COP26 conference in Scotland to lecture the little

people about how they need to reduce their carbon footprint

AND THE LOSER IS...

The Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero for their scheme to get the world's population on board with the creation of a \$130 trillion investment trough to be stewarded over by the organized crime syndicate of crooks, criminals, con artists and eugenicists in international finance in the name of "saving the earth!"

For more on this supergroup of evildoers, see:

[Whitney Webb Exposes How Green Finance is Monopolizing the Planet](#)

[Absolute Zero: The Global Agenda Revealed](#)

[Welcome to the New Economy](#)

FAKE NEWS STORY OF THE YEAR

"100% safe and effective!"

Because we HAVE to go with a single story, we'll give this dino to ... ohhh, I dunno ...

[Rachel Maddow](#) for "the vaccines will get us to the end of this."

. . . but to be fair, she [wasn't the only one](#) spreading that fake news.

Of course, none of this was surprising to anyone who was paying attention. Viewers of [The Future of Vaccines](#) already knew the truth about these non-vaccines way back in December of 2020.

To be sure, there are any number of ancillary fake news

stories that deserve to share in this award:

Like the NBC opinion piece, "[The Covid vaccine is safe, whatever anti-vaxxers say. Here's why we can trust it](#)," which dovetails with the Milken Institute talk on a "universal flu vaccine" that took place in October of 2019 and featured [Tony Fauci and Rick Bright discussing the need for a crisis to speed up development of mRNA vaccines \(2019\)](#). (More on *that* aspect of the scam from the Unlimited Hangout series on Moderna: [Part I](#) and [Part II](#).)

Also, there was the fake news narrative about the "[pandemic of the unvaccinated](#)." That propaganda fairy tale has been utterly smashed over the past year by Ryan Cristian of [The Last American Vagabond](#), who has amply demonstrated that the truth is the polar opposite. In fact, there is a [pandemic of the injected](#).

Then there are the "mystery heart attack" stories, like "[Mystery rise in heart attacks from blocked arteries](#)," which miraculously fails to even mention the word "vaccine" despite the fact that the experimental mRNA injection are [scientifically proven](#) to "dramatically increase inflammation on the endothelium and T cell infiltration of cardiac muscle" and "[Up to 300,000 people facing heart-related illnesses due to post-pandemic stress disorder, warn physicians](#)," which cites "two London physicians" claiming (without evidence) that "as many as three million people in Britain are already suffering from Post-Pandemic Stress Disorder" before bizarrely pivoting into the one and only health condition they attribute to the disorder: heart related problems . . . without ever once mentioning (you guessed it!) the scientifically-proven link between the experimental COVID injections and the [increased risk of myocarditis and pericarditis](#) among otherwise healthy young men. (And that's not even mentioning the [278% increase in heart attack deaths](#) among soccer players this past year.)

There's also [Brianne Dressen](#) and all the other people with life-altering injuries from the injections who have been censored, suppressed and marginalized over and over this past year.

And then there's the Noam Chomskies of the world saying that the unvaxxed will have to be [segregated from society](#) and starve to death if necessary and the Neil Youngs of the world [clamouring for the suppression of information](#) on this subject.

Truly, many many people have contributed to this, the fakest story of the year (if not the century) and the largest ongoing uncontrolled medical experiment in the history of the human species.

[Connect with The Corbett Report](#)

The Government Has Isolated, Purified, and Sequenced Fear

[The Government Has Isolated, Purified, and Sequenced Fear](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

January 27, 2022

You can buy bottles of Liquid Fear (LF) at your local pharmacy. Over the counter.

No refrigeration necessary.

I suggest sipping through a straw to start. Don't gulp it all at once. You want it to seep in.

One day you won't take a walk in the park. You'll say you have other things to do. But you'll be afraid of strangers breathing on you. Breathing is dangerous. Who knew?

Now you do.

Fear was first isolated by Louis Pasteur in 1884. He wrote in his diary: "I was sitting in my kitchen drinking a glass of pasteurized milk, and suddenly I realized I could extract blood from a patient and separate out anxiety from the sample. Later that day, I took a vial of blood to the local prison, where they kept killers in a special section. The guards brought these dangerous men into a room, where I had placed a bit of blood on a slide, under a lamp, on a table. The men stared at it, and soon a colorless liquid migrated from the blood on to the table. I sucked it up into a dropper and squeezed it on to the arm of a guard. He promptly fled from the room..."

For near a century and a half since that day, governments and corporations have been trying to produce very large amounts of the fear liquid.

Finally, in February, 2020, in an NIAID lab, under the direction of Anthony Fauci, Doctors Rachel Maddow and Anderson Cooper were able to synthesize the fear particle using blood obtained from several hosts of The View.

"From that point on," Cooper told reporters, speaking yesterday from CNN-CIA headquarters, "we activated a special machine that transmits voice vibrations from leading news anchors, we focused the vibrations on the synthesized fear particle, and within an hour we had 567 gallons of pure liquid."

Untersturmführer Klaus Schwab, executive chairman of the World Economic Forum, stated, “After all, this pandemic is your basic terror operation. How else are you going to hold society together and mobilize it?”

The drink, it turns out, has been bottled and sold, by corporations, under a variety of names for the past year and a half. For example, XXX [censored] and XXX [censored].

Schwab continued: “Since the dawn of time, people have been falling ill and dying for a variety of reasons. Down through the ages, some of those people who recovered said, ‘When I was sick THIS time, it was really DIFFERENT. I had never experienced anything like it.’ Anyway, now we take all that sickness and dying and we re-label a large part of it ‘COVID’. Add the fear particle and we have our window of opportunity to transform the world.”

The COVID vaccines are not an antidote. They’re not designed to affect emotions. They scramble internal systems of the body.

The President has announced the formation of a new cabinet post, the Department of Trepidation. Michigan Governor Gretchen Whitmer and Whoopi Goldberg have been put on a short list of candidates to serve as its first director.

Social media trolls have already begun calling this innovation The Department of Pussification.

The CDC has announced adult guidelines for imbibing the fear drink. Basically, the agency recommends a first dose of two tablespoons, twice a day, for the first week. Thereafter, a pint a day in the morning for a month; and then a quart each day, on an ongoing basis. Researchers are conducting studies to determine the dose schedules for children.

Groups of “anti-fearers” in Tennessee, Kentucky, and Florida have sprung up. US Attorney General Garland has issued a

memorandum to all Dept. of Justice employees: "These groups share common anti-government sentiments. They tend to cling to religion and guns. We have to be on the alert for acts of domestic terrorism..."

Public health departments across the country are, according to the Washington Post, "investigating charges of disproportionate distribution of LF [Liquid Fear] to underserved communities of color."

This morning, California Governor Gavin Newsom appeared at a press briefing, standing in front of a huge poster carrying the simple message, SUPPORT FEAR. Newsom said, "This is not the time to back down from what we feel. Embrace it. It's healthy, it's real, and it's our passport out of this pandemic. I'm especially addressing our young children. Don't worry. Drink from your bottle. It tastes great. And to the adults: there's nothing to tremble at but the absence of trembling."

Senator Chuck Schumer has introduced a bill allocating emergency funding for federal bottling plants in sixteen states. The word on Capitol Hill is, the new government version of LF will be called Quake, or Anthony.

Last week, in Northern Florida, at the Hanging Chad Park, local organizers staged an impromptu concert featuring Eric Clapton and Van Morrison. For nearly a half-hour, 75,000 adoring fans shouted in unison:

FUCK FEAR.

Several hundred FBI agents, dispatched to the scene, stationed themselves around the periphery of the park.

And did nothing.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

Plain Burger: Hold the Virus Pickles, They Don't Exist

[Plain Burger: Hold the Virus Pickles, They Don't Exist](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

January 26, 2022

Shh. Shh. Stop saying the virus doesn't exist.

Why?

Because...

ONE: Let's not get distracted by the virus question. We have to focus on knocking down the vaccine mandate.

My Reply: Can you walk down the street, carry a bag of potatoes, and look at messages on your cell phone? Newsflash: people have been known to do several things at the same time.

TWO: If we bring up the virus question, people will call us crazy and have a reason to ignore our criticism of the vaccine.

My Reply: "People" already say we're crazy. They have 345 "reasons" on file.

THREE: If we say the virus doesn't exist, "our base" will desert us.

My Reply: "Our base" is so outraged about the ineffective and hugely destructive vaccine, and about the mandates, NOTHING will deter them from attacking the vaccine.

FOUR: It's well established that the virus exists.

My Reply: Yes, established by the same scientists who say the vaccine is remarkably safe and effective.

FIVE: Doctor A says the virus exists. As evidence, he cites Doctor B's statements. Doctor B says the virus exists. He cites Doctor C's statements. Doctor C says the virus exists. He cites Doctor A.

My Reply: Go back to school. I suggest starting at the 4th grade.

SIX: It doesn't really matter whether the virus exists.

My Reply: If the virus doesn't exist, the pandemic is a hoax. If the pandemic is a hoax...trace all the implications. If you can't, go back to school. I suggest starting at the second grade. If the school won't let you in, tell them you identify as a six-year old.

SEVEN: If I say the virus doesn't exist, my family will disown me.

My Reply: I see. Other than the virus question—you're on very good terms with your family, right? Who are you trying to kid?

Speaking of kid, here's another dialogue for your edification—

ME: Hey kid, aren't you fed up with all this COVID crap?

KID: Listen, Grandpa, I'm been fed up with crap since I was born.

ME: Including Biden now?

KID: The brain-damaged guy in the White House?

ME: What about Trump?

KID: The guy who keeps pushing the killshot? [Pfizer paid him a million bucks to stage his inauguration.](#)

ME: Do you wear a mask?

KID: I wore one once, at the DMV, when I applied for my driver's license. The witch behind the counter told me I had to put that germicide goo on my hands. So I did. I wiped my hands on the counter. She called security. I don't drive. I take the bus.

ME: What about the vaccine?

KID: Let me put it this way. My cell phone says I took the shot.

ME: Did you get depressed during the lockdowns?

KID: No. I made money fixing old people's computers. When I went to their houses, I wore a military uniform. Nobody bothered me.

ME: Are you woke?

KID: You mean do I think every move I make is motivated by systemic racism? That crap is for my friends whose parents give them money. They all moved away. Their parents took them to Florida.

ME: What about the virus?

KID: What about it?

ME: Do you think it exists?

KID: The people who say it does—I don't listen to anything they say.

ME: Why not?

KID: If you can't figure that out, Grandpa, you're older than you look.

ME: Are there a lot of kids like you?

KID: Millions.

ME: Do they listen to the government?

KID: You mean the mafia. We don't pay protection money to anybody.

ME: Do these millions of kids take the vaccine?

KID: It's always a tip-off when somebody says, "Hey, it's free." We're not that stupid.

ME: Have you ever voted in an election?

KID: Once, when I ran for student body treasurer in high school. I ran because the treasurer handles student funds. I voted for myself 27 times.

ME: Did you win?

KID: I came in third. The kid who won was the son of the assistant principal. Very quietly, that old codger was trying to get us to join his secret transgender club. We told him we already had the sex change, he just couldn't spot it. We also told him the local pedophile priest, Father Joseph, was opposed to transgenderism on moral grounds. We suggested he should turn Father Joseph into the authorities for the hate crime of opposing transgenders.

I now return you to your regular scheduled programming, sponsored by Moderna, the company that cares about you. The company that had never brought a single product of any kind to market, before the RNA genetic shot. The company that spawned several billionaires overnight. The company championed by little Anthony Fauci, serving his last term as de facto

president of the United States.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [CDD20](#) / pixabay

Is the Virus Real? Steve Kirsch Suggests a Debate

[Is the Virus Real? Steve Kirsch Suggests a Debate](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

January 25, 2022

My readers know that, for the past two years, I've been making the case that the virus is a scientific fiction, a con, and a cover story for tyranny that would make Hitler, Stalin, and Mao blush with envy.

Recently, the question has been attracting wider coverage: Does SARS-CoV-2 exist?

Entrepreneur, inventor, and philanthropist, Steve Kirsch, says yes. [He offers to set up a 5-hour live video debate](#). He'll send his experts and other side will send theirs. They'll go at it.

What about the usual form of scientific debate, called the written word?

Buckle up.

Kirsch: "I don't think the folks I'd ask to do this would want to spend time writing papers...They don't even have the time to prepare their own papers. Doing written documents is much more time consuming than talking because people spend the time to make it bulletproof."

Heaven forbid.

Kirsch: "None of the people on our team require that all discussions be in writing only."

Of course not. Why would his team of scientists insist on the method by which science is accomplished?

Kirsch: "One of the commenters [to an article by Kirsch] wrote this: 'But when someone really knows their shit they would much rather handle it in a live conversation; it's much more efficient (you don't spend hours writing) and it reaches a wider audience, and that audience has the benefit of tone and body language to affirm (or negate) the veracity and substance of what is being said.'"

Kirsch: "I agree with that."

Truly awesome.

Tone and body language. Yes, of course. You know, that was Galileo's problem when he was tried by the Inquisition for insisting the Earth rotated, and journeyed around the sun. If only he'd stood up straighter and spoken with unwavering clarity (in the manner of, say, a Walter Cronkite). He might have won his case. Because tone and inflection equal science. We all realize that. Obviously, Galileo didn't know his shit.

Spending hours writing arguments about the existence of the virus—who would have the audacity to insist on that? As Kirsch points out, his experts are busy. It's rude to interrupt them and ask them to make their case bulletproof. Science on Video

tends to be based on “we KNOW we’re sure” and “the truth is OBVIOUS” and “WE’RE the pros.” That’s good enough, and you can sell it. If you, again, display convincing tone and body language.

In medical school, they teach this. “One day you students will be called on to defend your actions and opinions with pure bullshit. I tell you that now, to prepare you for the moment. How do you shape and transmit the bullshit? Do you do it through tiresome written reports, which run the risk of exposing the truth, engraved on the page, or do you stand up before a panel and look those people in the eye and tell a story that wows them? Do you fumble to clarify a point, or do you gloss it over with a quick-hitting generality that covers a crack in your armor? Careers are won and lost on that basis.”

Kirsch believes an exchange of papers between debaters is futile. Who can, or is willing to, pore through them and analyze them? And do those written exchanges actually cover all essential points? But with video, we NEVER EVER see opponents talking past each other or quickly changing the subject to avoid unpleasant revelations. Certainly not. We never see opponents smirking like entitled monkeys and making ad hominem accusations. We never witness slippery logic sliding by before it can be isolated and corrected. We never witness grandstanding for the audience’s benefit. It’s never show biz on parade. No mainstream expert would dare intone, “Ahem, in my many years as professor of so-and-so at such-and-such, having engaged in intense research on this question, and having authored over 60 papers on this very subject...”

And then there is the suggestion, as the commenter states, that the audience can decide...on the winner in the debate. Yes. What else is a debate FOR? Science is a democracy, and the audience is the proof of the pudding. Once they vote up or down, the deed is done. This is why, in medical journals, at the bottom of every paper and study, you see the poll

question: "DO YOU THINK THIS ANALYSIS IS ACCURATE? CAST YOUR BALLOT. Depending on the outcome, we will maintain the study in our archive or retract it with an apology. Everyone can vote. You do not need to be a subscriber. We work for our audience every day. If the majority of you believes one of our authors has convinced you that the moon is a slice of soft brie on a plate or an elephant's ass, we concur. This is called consensus, and what else could science be?"

Not long ago, I crashed my Gulfstream in the Himalayas, and after a harrowing journey to the GeFunkte Hospital in Berlin, as I was lying on the operating table, two surgeons debated whether I needed one or two transplanted hearts. Later, I was told a live stream of this discussion had been piped into the hospital waiting room, and the patients expressed an overwhelming preference for two hearts, based on the charismatic presentation of Surgeon Number One, who had studied Voice and Drama at the Julliard School in New York. So...two hearts it was. You can read about the groundbreaking operation in the Medical Journal of Audience Participation.

Published blow-by-blow descriptions of "isolating viruses" are quite dense to begin with. Perhaps one person in two hundred thousand can plow through them and understand them. Therefore, the debate about the existence of a virus starts with something in writing that, for most people, is impenetrable.

It's no surprise that these descriptions are viewed with suspicion.

"We're the expert virologists. Only we understand what we're doing."

"I see. So understanding virus isolation is like understanding RNA development and insertion into lipid nanoparticles which are injected into a few billion people."

"Yes, exactly. Only we understand that whole process."

"Got it. I have grave doubts about everything you're claiming about the vaccine, but I completely accept everything you're saying about the existence of the virus."

In this particular debate about the existence of the virus, the devil really is in the details.

The details concerning exactly how virologists believe they are isolating viruses and sequencing them. As I say, reading the studies, one sees immediately that the accounts of these procedures are laden with technical terms and technical steps.

Those elements have to be analyzed and taken apart, to see whether they make scientific sense. In fact, a debate in writing is the sane way to proceed.

Settling the question of virus-isolation via video would be quite a challenge. An exceptional amount of good will and patience, from the mainstream virologists, would be required. I've never seen medical "experts" show those qualities, when the basic assumptions of their professions are on the line. I've seen them get up on their high horse, growl, bloviate, dismiss, generalize, tap dance, boil over, accuse, pretend to be oh so reasonable, with their pants on fire.

Someone will say, "But...but, let's wrap all this up in one sitting. Video will accomplish that. I have things to do, places to go. We live in a fast-food world, face it."

Yes, you have to go to the store with your mask on and maintain distancing; you have to look for a restaurant that won't make you flash your vaccine passport; you have to show up at the school board meeting to tell the members what they can do with their mandate forcing your kid to take the shot; when they refuse to listen to you, you have to sell your house, pack up your belongings, and move with the kids from New York to Florida; and all the while, you have to keep deleting voice messages from your brother who's telling you only the injection will save you and the family wants you

institutionalized.

All these and so many more to-do's begin with the assumption that a virus exists.

So a debate on this point ought to be complete and rigorous.

If the only possibility is a video, have a go. But the written word is far superior.

"Counsel, you have a video where the defendant discusses how he can steal a billion dollars from the pension fund?"

"Yes, Your Honor. But we also have a letter of agreement between the defendant and the head of the Montebello crime family. The letter reveals the defendant has already stolen the money, and will give it to the mob in exchange for certain favors."

"A letter, you say? Words? Sentences? In writing, on a page? Signed? And it can be read?"

"Yes, sir. Writing is an older form of expression. It's now being phased out. But it stands up quite well. It's bulletproof."

FURTHER READING:

[The non-existent virus; an explosive interview with Christine Massey](#)

[Dr. Andrew Kaufman refutes "isolation" of SARS-Cov-2; he does step-by-step analysis of a typical claim of isolation; there is no proof that the virus exists](#)

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

Arrest People Who Don't Follow Doctors' Orders; Put Them in Prison or Camps

[Arrest People Who Don't Follow Doctors' Orders; Put Them in Prison or Camps](#)

CC: Joe Rogan, Aaron Rodgers, Novak Djokovic, Rand Paul, Scott Atlas, The Donald of Warp Speed, Joe Biden...

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)
January 18, 2022

I mean, it's terrible. A person won't take a vaccine or drug after a doctor tells him to? Arrest the non-compliant resisting son of a bitch. Lock him up. The doctor knows best. People make things so complicated. I just don't get it.

We have experts. They're called experts for a reason.

Your car breaks down. Who are you going to take it to? A florist?

A professor at a medical school says the COVID vaccines are safe and effective. Are you going to ask a podcaster whether he agrees?

You want to know medical truth? Do you read a fishing

magazine? You read a medical journal. What's happened to education in this country?

Oh...

Hold on.

Wait.

I just came across something.

July 26, 2000, Journal of the American Medical Association; author, Dr. Barbara Starfield, public health expert at the Johns Hopkins School of Public Health; *"Is US health really the best in the world?"*

Starfield reported that the US medical system kills 225,000 Americans per year. 106,000 as a result of FDA-approved medical drugs, and 119,000 as a result of mistreatment and errors in hospitals. Extrapolate the numbers to a decade: that's 2.25 million deaths.

Here's something else:

Journal of the American Medical Association, April 15, 1998: *"Incidence of Adverse Drug Reactions in Hospitalized Patients."*

The authors, led by Jason Lazarou, culled 39 previous studies on patients in hospitals. These patients, who received drugs in hospitals, or were admitted to hospitals because they were suffering from the drugs doctors had given them, met the following fate:

Every year, in the US, between 76,000 and 137,000 hospitalized patients die as a direct result of the drugs.

Beyond that, every year 2.2 million hospitalized patients experience serious adverse reactions to the drugs.

The authors write: "...Our study on ADRs [Adverse Drug

Reactions], which excludes medication errors, had a different objective: to show that there are a large number of ADRs even when the drugs are properly prescribed and administered.”

This study had nothing to do with doctor errors, nurse errors, or improper combining of drugs. And it only counted people killed or maimed who were admitted to hospitals. It didn’t begin to tally the people taking pharmaceuticals who died as consequence of the drugs, at home.

Here’s something else:

BMJ June 7, 2012 (BMJ 2012:344:e3989). Author, Jeanne Lenzer. Lenzer refers to a report by the Institute for Safe Medication Practices: “It [the Institute] calculated that in 2011 prescription drugs were associated with two to four million people in the US experiencing ‘serious, disabling, or fatal injuries, including 128,000 deaths.’”

The report called this “one of the most significant perils to humans resulting from human activity.”

The report was compiled by outside researchers who went into the FDA’s own database of “serious adverse [medical-drug] events.”

Therefore, to say the FDA isn’t aware of this finding would be incorrect. And the FDA certifies, as safe and effective, all medical drugs (and vaccines) before they can be released for public use.

Here’s something else:

“The Epidemic of Sickness and Death from Prescription Drugs.” The author is Donald Light, who teaches at Rowan University, and was the 2013 recipient of ASA’s [American Sociological Association’s] Distinguished Career Award for the Practice of Sociology. Light is a founding fellow of the Center for Bioethics at the University of Pennsylvania. In

2013, he was a fellow at the Edmond J. Safra Center for Ethics at Harvard. He is a Lokey Visiting Professor at Stanford University.

Donald Light: "Epidemiologically, appropriately prescribed, prescription drugs are the fourth leading cause of death, tied with stroke at about 2,460 deaths each week in the United States. About 330,000 patients die each year from prescription drugs in the United States and Europe. They [the drugs] cause an epidemic of about 20 times more hospitalizations [6.6 million annually], as well as falls, road accidents, and [annually] about 80 million medically minor problems such as pains, discomforts, and dysfunctions that hobble productivity or the ability to care for others. Deaths and adverse effects from overmedication, errors, and self-medication would increase these figures." (ASA publication, "*Footnotes*," November 2014)

None of the above reports factor in death or injury by vaccine.

Given the reports on medically caused death and maiming I've just cited and described, it's obvious that...

Leading medical journals around the world, which routinely publish glowing accounts of clinical trials of medical drugs...

Are spilling over with rank fraud, on page after page.

Indeed, here is a stunning quote from an editor who has quite probably read and analyzed more medical-drug studies than any other doctor in the world:

"It is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines. I take no pleasure in this conclusion, which I reached slowly and reluctantly over my two decades as an editor of The New England Journal of Medicine." (Dr. Marcia

Angell, NY Review of Books, January 15, 2009, "*Drug Companies & Doctors: A Story of Corruption*")

Compare that quote with one from Anthony Fauci. In an interview with the National Geographic, Fauci stated: "Anybody can claim to be an expert even when they have no idea what they're talking about...If something is published in places like New England Journal of Medicine, Science, Nature, Cell, or JAMA—you know, generally that is quite well peer-reviewed because the editors and the editorial staff of those journals really take things very seriously."

Uh-huh. Of course. Right.

Given these mainstream reports of what amounts to a holocaust I've cited and described in this piece...

...You would think the federal government must have launched a major operation, many years ago...

...To reconstruct, from the ground up, the US medical system, so that it's no longer killing and maiming SO MANY people, on and on and on.

But you would be wrong.

Of course, you MUST believe what the impeccable doctors are telling you about COVID; and you must follow the politicians' orders, because the politicians are taking their cues from the doctors.

Right?

Right?

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [Lukel.](#) / pixabay

Who Will Save You From This Insane Tyranny? Only You Have the Power to Save Yourself

[Who Will Save You From This Insane Tyranny? Only You Have the Power to Save Yourself](#)

by [Gary D. Barnett](#)

January 14, 2022

“In the last analysis, the essential thing is the life of the individual. This alone makes history, here alone do the great transformations take place, and the whole future, the whole history of the world, ultimately springs as a gigantic summation from this hidden source in individuals.”

~ Carl Gustav Jung

Here we are in an age of fear and excuses; an age of collective madness that strives for the death of the individual. Little do the masses know, as the end of individuality is the end of man. The crowd can destroy us, but the individual can save us. The idea of self then is the savior of humanity, for all efforts that rely on the masses as a whole to awaken to the idea of freedom will fail, as the mass will never act to free itself until it is too late.

While this thinking may seem defeatist, it is not, it is simply the way of human nature to hide from the truth. The few can change the world, but the common man who simply exists in life instead of living beyond life, cannot even save himself.

In order for any true awakening of mind and spirit to take place, a rebirth brought about by a deep understanding of the inner psyche is necessary; requiring a personal and visceral activation of individual consciousness. This is not a learned behavior, and it does not come from any perceived physical or personal experience, it is innate. Rarely is this awareness of the subconscious self, experienced by the common man, as it is reserved for those who have the strength and courage to look deep inside and not only face themselves, but also to accept what they find. Once this occurs, truth becomes evident, and fear disappears.

Considering our current circumstances, the more who separate from the crowd in order to find self, the more who are likely to disobey and dissent. It does not take huge numbers for the fight for freedom to survive and prosper, it takes only a minority of very courageous individuals to turn this tide of tyranny. The masses collectively will not win this battle, and in fact will hamper all efforts due to their indifference, but the few awakened can move mountains. If the few become greater in number, the evil can be defeated.

The state's answer to the strong and awake is to corrupt the minds and bodies of as many people as possible. By gaining the cooperation of the masses, the ruling monsters can pit them against the very individuals with the power to save them. Many tools are being used to accomplish this destruction of the individual psyche, not the least of which is this deadly, poisonous, and body and gene-altering bioweapon called the 'Covid vaccine.' Psychological torture is rampant, and has been extremely effective in gaining the acquiescence of the herd, due mostly to unfounded fear. Because of this, most have complied with very totalitarian orders and mandates meant to subdue that bulk of society too weak to fight against this obvious fraud.

Extreme propaganda, false flags, emergency declarations, lockdowns, social distancing, mandated mask wearing, threats,

fearmongering, job loss, forced business closures, massive inflation, limitless intimidation, staged and allowed violence, sickness, and much death have been the result of the state's efforts to repress and control this society. When stress due to fear reaches these levels, the people's ability to fight back is not enhanced as might be expected, but is vastly weakened. This is known by those evil enough to pursue this agenda of gaining more power in order to subdue and control the majority. When this happens, the real enemy that is the state, pretends to become the savior, and all those attempting to refute the lies become the enemy of the people. This is a true inversion of reality, and controlled perception becomes a real-world media phenomenon, based only on the mass hypnosis of the crowd. As sad as it is, this has been a successful effort by the controlling element of society, and one that threatens the very essence of man.

Considering all that has happened, it is obvious that the deadly fake injection is the most sought-after control method being used by the evil 'master' class today. This is why in light of the fact that they are worthless in any effort to control any so-called sickness or disease, and that they are so gravely dangerous, that they are being forced on this and other populations with such vigor. The technology available to those making, administering, and demanding acceptance of these toxic concoctions, is at this point fantastical, and what is being told to the 'public' is only a minor snippet of the actual technology available. These are bioweapons meant to change the body, mind, and genetic structure of its victims, including injecting substances that allow for mind control, and can maim and kill as well.

Depopulation is a large part of the state's agenda, but the submission of the rest is necessary as well in order for long term control of large populations. The proposed injection attack planned for all children is vitally important to the ruling class, because if the injection of these bioweapons

into the majority of children from the very young through adolescence can be accomplished, the future belongs to the state. The push to inject the children will not go away on its own, because that is the most important aspect of the plan for future control of humanity. Innocent children and the old and weak are the most vulnerable among us. Should this conspiratorial plot continue to gain success, many of the old will be killed or will die due to the shots, and the young can be fully restrained, supervised, and dominated through artificial methods for many years to come. This is what we face, and why it is so imperative that we stand against the tyranny as aware individuals, never allowing this takeover of mankind to see the light of day.

“No price is too high to pay for the privilege of owning yourself”

~ Friedrich Nietzsche

Reference links:

[Trauma-based mind control](#)

[The ‘Covid’ silent weapon injection](#)

[Herd Stupidity and the controlling gene-based “Covid” injections](#)

[The m-RNA-Experiment Gene-altering Poisonous Jabs](#)

[“Covid’ Bioweapon shot designed for control and depopulation](#)

[Eugenics Is Alive and Well, and the ‘Covid-19’ Scam is the Engine for Accomplishing Depopulation and Mind control](#)

[Connect with Gary D. Barnett](#)

Zen Koan for the Virus

Zen Koan for the Virus

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

January 14, 2022

Question: How do you prevent a disease that has no cause?

Get back to me after contemplating this for 10 years.

The so-called disease, COVID, is touted as the result of a virus, but the virus doesn't exist.

Nevertheless, a vaccine aimed at beefing up the immune system against the virus that doesn't exist is heralded as a miracle.

There is also a test for the virus that doesn't exist.

People fear the virus that doesn't exist.

Whole countries are locked down to stop the spread of the virus that doesn't exist.

People wear masks to stop the transmission of the virus that doesn't exist.

People with no symptoms are called cases of the disease caused by the virus that doesn't exist.

The vaccine can't stop the transmission of the virus that doesn't exist.

The federal database lists over a million injuries reported after the vaccination which was designed to prevent the disease caused by the virus that doesn't exist.

People who refuse the vaccination designed to prevent the disease caused by the virus that doesn't exist are called criminals or even terrorists.

The virus that doesn't exist will spread at a small party in a person's home, but the virus that doesn't exist will detour around waves of immigrants coming into the country.

The virus that doesn't exist was created in a lab.

The overwhelming percentage of people who die from infection by the virus that doesn't exist are the elderly, who already have several long-standing serious health problems and have been treated for decades with toxic drugs, and are then given more toxic drugs to kill the virus that doesn't exist and are sedated with powerful drugs and put on breathing ventilators—a lethal treatment.

There are at least two variants of the virus that doesn't exist.

There are doctors who heavily criticize the current vaccines, but claim that a safe and successful vaccine can be developed to prevent the disease caused by the virus that doesn't exist.

Other than all of the above, the global public COVID policy is quite sane.

For reference, read:

COVID: If there is no virus, why are people dying?

blog.nomorefakenews.com/2022/01/06/covid-if-there-is-no-virus-why-are-people-dying-why/

COVID: the virus was never proven to exist; a statement from Dr. Andrew Kaufman, Dr. Tom Cowan, and Sally Fallon Morell

blog.nomorefakenews.com/2021/02/26/covid-the-virus-was-never-proven-to-exist-a-statement/

Dr. Andrew Kaufman refutes “isolation” of SARS-Cov-2; he does step-by-step analysis of a typical claim of isolation; there is no proof that the virus exists

blog.nomorefakenews.com/2021/04/21/isolation-of-sars-cov-2-refuted-in-step-by-step-analysis-of-claim/

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [Miriams-Fotos](#) / pixabay

20 Facts About Vaccination Your Doctor Forgot to Tell You

[20 Facts About Vaccination Your Doctor Forgot to Tell You](#)

by [Dr. Vernon Coleman](#)

January 8, 2022

Read this if you want to know more about vaccines than your

doctor, practise nurse and health visitor.

1. The US Health Department's National Vaccine Injury Compensation Programme has shown that between 2,500 and 3,000 children are killed or injured each year by vaccines.

2. The US Government has paid vaccine damage compensation to the parents of autistic children.

3. The Japanese Government has halted part of its vaccination programme because of children dying.

4. In the UK, GPs receive massive payments for giving vaccinations. And bonus payments if they vaccinate enough patients. Doctors get very rich out of vaccine programmes.

5. Vaccines are now given to eight week old babies, though there is absolutely no long-term scientific evidence available to show that it is safe to do so. By the time they reach their second birthday small children will have received over a score of vaccinations. American children will have received even more. The vaccine industry is forever looking for new vaccines to give.

6. You will find a full list of the research work done to investigate the safety or otherwise of mass vaccination programmes on the palm of your left hand.

7. The diphtheria vaccine was first introduced in Germany. After the vaccine was introduced the number of cases of diphtheria steadily increased.

8. The number of deaths from whooping cough had fallen long before the vaccine was introduced. The vaccine has not reduced the incidence of the disease.

9. The flu vaccine is, inevitably, designed to deal with last year's flu virus.

10. I have never met a doctor who has regular flu jabs (or

any other jabs for that matter).

11. In the past, a flu vaccine contained different strains of flu virus (propagated in chicken embryos); formaldehyde (a preservative); polyethylene glycol; gelatin (made from cow's bones) and a substance which contains mercury. The odd thing is that the EU has banned barometers containing mercury because they are thought to be dangerous. But doctors inject the stuff into people.

12. The polio vaccine did not 'kill off' polio. On the contrary, the vaccine resulted in more sufferers. In Tennessee, in the US, the number of polio victims before vaccination became compulsory was 119. The year after vaccination was introduced, the figure rose to 386. Similar figures for other American states. Polio became less common as a result of better sanitation and cleaner water supplies. The vaccination had no useful effect.

13. Dr Jenner is widely acclaimed as the 'inventor' of vaccine. But it is not so well known that when he tried the first smallpox vaccine on his 10 month son, the boy became mentally retarded and died at the age of 21. Jenner refused to have his second child vaccinated. However, the medical profession saw the commercial possibilities and vaccination became popular (if deadly).

14. When Louis XV contracted smallpox he survived because his nurse hid him from the doctors whose vaccines had killed his father and brother.

15. Even though TB is now a major problem, many countries have abandoned the TB vaccine because it simply doesn't work. Indeed, the evidence suggests that the vaccine spreads the disease.

16. The risk of a child given the whooping cough vaccine developing brain damage is officially said to be 1 in 100,000. But that's the 'best' figure. Other research shows

that the risk is as high as 1 in 6,000. There is no doubt that the vaccine causes far more harm than the disease and there is clear evidence linking the vaccine to brain damage.

17. Vaccines are dangerous and they don't always work. Up to half of the people given a vaccine jab do not develop a resistance to the disease concerned.

18. Drug companies now publish long lists of reasons for not vaccinating patients. Doctors rarely look at the lists, let alone take any notice. For example, for one vaccine the advice is that babies who cry persistently or develop a fever should not be given another jab. No one knows how much damage is caused by giving several vaccines in a single vaccine cocktail.

19. The French Government abandoned its hepatitis B vaccine programme for children after more than 15,000 lawsuits were filed for brain damage and other serious health problems.

20. In the US a group of paediatricians with 30,000 young patients do not vaccinate at all. They have no cases of autism in their practice.

For more information about vaccines please see Vernon Coleman's book [Anyone who tells you vaccines are safe and effective is lying: here's the proof](#). The book is available as a paperback and an eBook on Amazon.

[Connect with Dr. Vernon Coleman](#)

cover image credit: [nts01](#) / pixabay

UK: Fake Claims of “Apocalyptic Bird Flu” Jumping to Humans as Authorities Cull Millions of Birds

[UK: Fake Claims of “Apocalyptic Bird Flu” Jumping to Humans as Authorities Cull Millions of Birds](#)

“How long will this test fraud scamdemic be allowed to continue?”

Until we stop it.” ~ Christian Westbrook

UK: “Apocalyptic Bird Flu” Jumps to Humans as Authorities Cull Millions of Bird

by [Christian Westbrook](#), [Ice Age Farmer](#)

January 7, 2022

Zoonotic transmission of an “apocalyptic bird flu,” H5N1, has been reported in the UK, boasting a 50+% fatality rate for humans.

However, digging deeper reveals that the asymptomatic “patient

zero” was tested “constantly” until returning the result (false positive?) that authorities need to justify the ongoing culling of tens of millions of birds and claim that YOU cannot be allowed to raise your own animals to feed your family.

Meanwhile, Australian grocers are rationing meat as asymptomatic workers are kept from work, resulting in abattoirs shutting down in Australia.

How long will this test fraud scamdemic be allowed to continue? Until we stop it.

Video available at Ice Age Farmer [Odysee](#) and [BitChute](#) channels.

[Connect with Ice Age Farmer](#)

cover image credit: [klimkin](#) / pixabay

Covid: If There Is No Virus, Why Are People Dying?

[Covid: If There Is No Virus, Why Are People Dying?](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

January 6, 2022

[Yesterday](#), I published one of my articles explaining how the existence of SARS-CoV-2 was fabricated—when, actually, the virus doesn’t exist at all.

Naturally, people who haven't been reading [the 450 or so articles](#) I've written about the pandemic fraud then ask, "So why are all these people getting sick and dying?"

Today, I'm republishing one of my articles that answers that question:

Since the beginning of this false pandemic, I've been offering compelling evidence that SARS-CoV-2 doesn't exist.

Then people ask, "So why are all these people dying?"

I have explained that, many times, and in this article I'll explain it again.

First of all, the whole notion that COVID-19 is one health condition is a lie. COVID IS NOT ONE THING.

This is both the hardest and simplest point to accept and understand.

Don't reject the existence of the virus and then say, "So what is THE cause of people dying?" There is no ONE CAUSE. There is no one illness. There is no "it."

By far, the biggest sources of illness we are dealing with are lung conditions: called pneumonia, flu, flu-like disease, TB, other unnamed lung/respiratory problems.

THESE ARE BEING RELABELED "COVID." It's a repackaging scheme. People are dying for those traditional reasons, and their deaths are being called "COVID."

Thus, the old is artificially made new. It's still old.

In this wide-ranging group of people who have traditional lung conditions, by far the largest population is the elderly and frail.

They are dying in nursing homes, in hospitals, in their houses and apartments. In addition to their lung problems, they have been suffering from a whole host of other conditions, for a long time, and they've been treated with toxic drugs for years.

They're terrified that they might receive a diagnosis of "COVID," and then they ARE given that diagnosis. THEN they're isolated, cut off from friends and family. They give up and die.

This is forced premature death.

Some of these elderly and frail people are heavily sedated and put on breathing ventilators—which is a killing treatment. In a large New York study, it was discovered that "COVID" patients over the age of 64, who were put on ventilators, died 97.2 % of the time. Staggering.

Many of these elderly and frail people are put on antiviral drugs—e.g., remdesivir—which are highly toxic.

Some of these elderly and frail patients are now dying from reactions to the COVID vaccine—and of course, their deaths are listed as "COVID."

Why else are people dying? In many cases, it's a simple matter of bookkeeping. They die in hospitals for a variety of reasons, and staff write "COVID death" on their files. In the US, states receive federal money based on these statistics.

Let's say that, in certain places around the world, there are clusters of deaths (being called COVID) that can't be explained in the ways I've just described.

In those situations, you would have to examine EACH situation closely. For example, just prior to an outbreak in Northern Italy, was there a vaccination campaign? What was in the vaccine? A breed of toxic substances?

You have to consider each cluster independently.

Getting the picture?

None of the “COVID deaths” anywhere in the world requires the existence of a new virus.

For instance, in Wuhan, where the whole business began, the first “COVID” cases of pneumonia occurred in a city whose air is HEAVILY polluted. In China, every year, roughly 300,000 people die from pneumonia. That means millions of cases. None of those deaths need to be explained by invoking a new virus.

Now, add to all this the fact that the PCR test for the (non-existent) virus is rigged so it spits out positive results like a fire hose. Thus, the high case numbers.

The “pandemic” is invented.

The fraud is promoted.

During these fake epidemics (there have been many), someone will say: “But my neighbor’s son, who was very healthy, died suddenly. It must be the virus.”

No. People who appear to be healthy do die. Not just today, but going back in history as far as you want to go. No one has an explanation. They might have an explanation if they looked very closely, but they don’t look closely.

Favoring the “virus explanation” is a bias, a knee-jerk reaction, a response to propaganda.

If you think there must be other major reasons to explain “why all these people are dying,” keep in mind that “lung conditions” is a category that expands all over the globe. For instance, there are about one BILLION cases of flu-like illness EVERY YEAR on planet Earth.

Repackaging/relabeling just a small percentage of those cases

alone would account for all official COVID death numbers.

What's new about COVID is the COVID STORY. That's what's being sold: a STORY about a COVID virus.

I'm aware that authors have been presenting other reasons for people who have been getting sick and dying since early 2020. I'm not necessarily rejecting those reasons. But if some of them are true, they only represent part of the picture. Again, it's not one thing that's killing people.

There is a programmed impulse to say, "If it isn't X, then it must be Y. If X isn't the cause of people dying, then Y must be the cause." That's the basic lie. It's the basic lie of all so-called pandemics.

It's also a con. At the highest levels of planning, propagandists (inventors of reality) know that people are willing to buy one explanation for one phenomenon.

But "COVID" isn't one phenomenon. There is no "it."

And there is no single cause.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [ElasticComputeFarm](#) / pixabay

Coronavirus 'Mass Formation

Psychosis' Admittedly Used by Governments as Tool of Population Control

Coronavirus 'Mass Formation Psychosis' Admittedly Used by Governments as Tool of Population Control

Leaked details of UK government's early pandemic response back up Dr. Malone's assertions.

by [Paul Joseph Watson](#), [Summit News](#)

January 3, 2022

Dr. Robert Malone's assertions about "mass formation psychosis" in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic are underscored by the fact that authorities in the UK admitted to using "totalitarian" methods of "mind control" to instill fear in the population.

In Canada, the military also admitted launching a psychological operations campaign against their own people in order to manipulate them into compliance with COVID-19 restrictions and mandates.

During his viral podcast with Joe Rogan after he was banned by Twitter, Malone explained how the global population was being manipulated into remaining in a constant state of hysterical anxiety via mass formation psychosis.

"What the heck happened to Germany in the 20s and 30s? Very intelligent, highly educated population, and they went barking mad. And how did that happen?" asked Malone.

"The answer is mass formation psychosis."

“When you have a society that has become decoupled from each other and has free-floating anxiety in a sense that things don’t make sense, we can’t understand it, and then their attention gets focused by a leader or series of events on one small point just like hypnosis, they literally become hypnotized and can be led anywhere,” he added.

On Joe Rogan, Dr Robert Malone suggests we are living through a mass formation psychosis.

He explains how and why this could happen, and its effects.

He draws analogy to 1920s/30s Germany “they had a highly intelligent, highly educated population, and they went barking mad” pic.twitter.com/wZpfMsyEZZ

– Mythinformed (@MythinformedMKE) [January 1, 2022](#)

“And one of the aspects of that phenomenon is that the people that they identify as their leaders, the ones typically that come in and say you have this pain and I can solve it for you. I and I alone,” Malone further explained, “Then they will follow that person. It doesn’t matter whether they lied to them or whatever. The data is irrelevant.”

“We had all those conditions. If you remember back before 2019 everyone was complaining, the world doesn’t make sense and we are all isolated from each other.”

“Then this thing happened, and everyone focused on it,” stated Malone, noting, “That is how mass formation psychosis happens and that is what has happened here.”

Malone’s summary of how health authorities seized on the unifying threat of the COVID-19 pandemic and exaggerated its threat to create mass hysteria is backed up by leaked details of how the UK government manipulated its population during the early days of the pandemic.

As first revealed by author and journalist Laura Dodsworth, scientists in the UK working as advisors for the government admitted using what they now admit to be “unethical” and “totalitarian” methods of instilling fear in the population in order to control behaviour during the pandemic.

“Use of fear to control behaviour in Covid pandemic was ‘totalitarian’, admit scientists”

From ‘A State of Fear: how the UK government weaponised fear during the Covid-19 pandemic’ <https://t.co/gD6HFUkQqW>

– Laura Dodsworth (@BareReality) [May 14, 2021](#)

The London Telegraph [reported](#) the comments made by Members of the Scientific Pandemic Influenza Group on Behaviour (SPI-B), a sub-committee of the Scientific Advisory Group for Emergencies (Sage) the government’s chief scientific advisory group.

The report quotes a briefing from March 2020, as the first lockdown was decreed, that stated the government should drastically increase “the perceived level of personal threat” that the virus poses because “a substantial number of people still do not feel sufficiently personally threatened”.

One scientist with the SPI-B admits that “In March [2020] the Government was very worried about compliance and they thought people wouldn’t want to be locked down. There were discussions about fear being needed to encourage compliance, and decisions were made about how to ramp up the fear.”

The unnamed scientist adds that “The way we have used fear is dystopian.”

The scientist further confessed that “The use of fear has definitely been ethically questionable. It’s been like a weird experiment. Ultimately, it backfired because people became too

scared.”

Another separate scientist on the subcommittee professed “You could call psychology ‘mind control’. That’s what we do... clearly we try and go about it in a positive way, but it has been used nefariously in the past.”

Another scientist warned that “We have to be very careful about the authoritarianism that is creeping in,” adding “people use the pandemic to grab power and drive through things that wouldn’t happen otherwise.”

□ From the book by [@BareReality](#):

□□ “Clearly, using fear as a means of control is not ethical. Using fear smacks of totalitarianism. It’s not an ethical stance for any modern government.” – SPI-B member

Story via [@Telegraph](#), <https://t.co/43oMh99H7e>
<https://t.co/NcbxY0Gt2p>

– Rt Hon Steve Baker MP FRSA □ (@SteveBakerFRSA) [May 15, 2021](#)

According to the report, another researcher with the group acknowledged that “Without a vaccine, psychology is your main weapon,” adding that “Psychology has had a really good epidemic, actually.”

Yet another scientist on the subcommittee stated that they have been “stunned by the weaponisation of behavioural psychology” over the past year, and warned that “psychologists didn’t seem to notice when it stopped being altruistic and became manipulative.”

“They have too much power and it intoxicates them”, the scientist further warned.

In addition to the UK government’s response, it was also revealed that the Canadian military launched a psychological

operations program against their own citizens in the early days of the pandemic order to amplify government messaging and “head off civil disobedience.”

Mass Psychosis is a myth the same way military running clandestine propaganda campaigns against its citizens is public health. <https://t.co/zeDThlGKJP>

– Frank (@lastofthefranks) [January 2, 2022](#)

“Canadian military leaders saw the pandemic as a unique opportunity to test out propaganda techniques on an unsuspecting public,” reported the [Ottawa Citizen](#).

Meanwhile, following early efforts to bury the term altogether, Google is now desperately rigging its search results to return only negative articles about “mass formation psychosis” and Dr. Malone.

Google’s current top search result link for “mass formation psychosis” is a [Forbes hit piece](#) that recycles dubious claims Dr. Malone already debunked during his Rogan appearance.

[Connect with Summit News](#)

cover image credit: [CDD20](#) / pixabay

COVID: Everybody Will Be

Infected; No Exceptions; Stop Pretending Otherwise

[COVID: Everybody Will Be Infected; No Exceptions; Stop Pretending Otherwise](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

January 4, 2022

I've spent the past two years proving SARS-CoV-2 doesn't exist. It's a total fiction.

Nevertheless, since most people believe in the virus with every fiber of their being, I enter their world and point out glaring inconsistencies and preposterous strategies for "containing the spread."

Once again, in this article, I'll visit the bizarre world of the virus. I'll take the viewpoint of a person who earnestly believes in the existence of SARS-Cov-2. And I'll show you a few of the walls such a person must ram into. Ready, get set, go:

First of all, here is the main reason why health authorities don't just throw up their hands and say, "Everybody is going to get infected":

It's bad for business. The business of profits and control over populations.

If everybody is infected, there is no way, no treatment, no vaccine, no lockdown, no mask, no quarantine that will solve the issue. You would be trying to solve a boat with a million holes floating in the middle of a tidal wave.

And reports of the initial spread of SARS-CoV-2 in early 2020

confirm the futility of blocking it. Overnight, the virus was found in a dozen countries and 10,000 people. Those 10,000 rapidly and inevitably became 100,000—and so on, multiplying in every direction.

Likewise with Omicron. It's here and there; a day later it's everywhere.

Another problem with “everybody will be infected”: the only answer is the development of natural immunity. That is not a medical intervention. That is not money. That is not State-imposed.

Once you let this cat out of the bag—natural immunity—people grasp the concept. They understand. “Oh, we're just going to have to live through it. We'll have to tough it out. And we can, because we always have.”

That's VERY bad for business and control.

Therefore, against all common sense, health authorities have to keep promoting the myth that some people will be infected and some won't be. And in order to increase the number that won't be, we need a vaccine and antiviral drugs and masks and lockdowns and business bankruptcies and suicides and desolated cities and towns and medical dictatorship and the Great Reset.

Once you accept the spread of SARS-CoV-2, you accept universal infection. There's no way around it. And then you're stuck with non-medical natural immunity.

The next piece of balderdash: the test for the virus. I've analyzed the test a dozen different ways and shown it's useless and deceptive. But why is it being done? What's the real reason?

Here's the big kicker, the bonus, and the true bottom-line reason for the test: it serves to reinforce the necessary myth that “some people will be cases (infected) and some people

won't."

Whereas, again, once you accept the spread of the virus, you accept that everyone will eventually be infected. There is no "some people infected" and "some people not."

The powers-that-be will do and say anything to pretend the virus won't infect everyone. The test gives them that justification.

Here is yet another reason to accept universal infection: locales and states and countries with very high vaccination rates are also reporting high levels of "COVID-19 disease." The virus keeps spreading, regardless of what humans do to stop it.

If the development of natural immunity is the only answer...what IS natural immunity?

The medical research community has no compelling description. They're wedded to a military model of antibodies (army scouts) that go out and ID invaders (viruses), so killer cells (backup troops) can destroy these enemies. And that's the simple explanation. In the medical literature, it gets far more complicated than that.

On the other hand, I would say natural immunity is something called HEALTH. And you could write reams about what contributes to health, on a number of levels. But of course, the medical cartel doesn't do health. It doesn't study it in any comprehensive way.

Because it would be bad for business. The business of money and control.

Finally, for now, there is the related issue of "early intervention treatment." I'm talking about ivermectin, HCQ, etc. If these drugs were widely used, would they stop the spread of the virus? I wouldn't bet on it. For example, there

is the possibility that one or more of these drugs help some people get better who are simply sick with common flu-like illness. Not COVID. And a belief in the power of the drugs (placebo effect) could play a significant role in recovery, for a limited number of people. (I would strongly advise people to look into adverse effects of any drug.)

Because taking a drug helps a person get better, that doesn't mean the medicine is "wiping out a virus," or preventing it from taking hold in the first place, or stopping it from spreading.

And that concludes today's episode of Let's Enter the Wacky Wonderful World of SARS-CoV-2, where people exercise their right to worship fairy tales highlighting non-existent viruses, and thus earn a gold star on the blackboard from the Reality Manufacturing Company.

I return you now to regular COVID mind-control programming on channels 1 through 5000.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [CDD20](#) / pixabay

Cancelled Flights and Tall Tales

[Cancelled Flights and Tall Tales](#)

by [Rosanne Lindsay](#), Naturopath, [Nature of Healing](#)

January 1, 2022

To bring in the New Year of 2022, you might have heard that The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has delayed or cancelled thousands of flights over the holidays in the U.S. that have stranded thousands of travelers worldwide. You may be one who lives to tell the tale.

However, the story of the cancelled flights depends on who is doing the telling. The mainstream media says one thing, while the affected FAA pilots, themselves, say another.

As with everything in *media programming*, “the news” may be little more than Tall Tales used to influence the reader. Especially when U.S. statutes allow the media to legally propagandize the American people under the [Smith-Mundt Modernization Act of 2012](#), incorporated into the [2013 NDAA](#).

Everything is an Act because *All the world is a stage*. This is why it is important to do your own research and draw your own conclusions.

The Media Version

The media proposes one version; that cancelled flights worldwide are caused by the [Omicron variant](#).

That’s right. Without evidence, or medical credentials, the mainstream media casts aspersions onto an invisible agent that cannot speak for itself. How convenient.

The Los Angeles Daily News prints [Widespread flight cancellations continue as omicron spreads](#). The [Washington Post](#) blames Omicron for cancelling 3,800 flights worldwide.

Why does the NY Times print that [Omicron is less severe and spares the lungs](#)?

Media opines *all over the page*. Media blames flight cancellations on “higher numbers of travelers than last year,”

and “[staffing shortages](#)” that apparently leave the industry never to return. What is the real story?

The pilots tell a different story. As early as October, 20221, the Pilots Union had warned of staffing shortages if American Airlines [refuses vaccination exemptions](#).

Perhaps mainstream media should spend a little time trying to get their story straight for readers who read between the lines?

The Pilots Version

According to direct sources, cancelled flights are due to a nationwide walkout by pilots.

FAA pilots are suing the FAA for “putting both pilots and the general public at risk of death and/or serious injury, by operating in contravention of Title 14 of the [Code of Federal Regulations §61.53](#), also known as the Federal Aviation Regulation 61.53).”

In general terms, pilots are suing the FAA after being forced to fly after taking **non-FDA approved vaccines** against FAA Regulation. The reason is the subject of a [letter](#) from FAA pilots directed to the FAA, the Department of Transportation, the Department of Justice, as well as several airlines, demanding that they medically flag all vaccinated pilots and have them re-examined for blood clotting problems as well as their cardiac health.

The letter states that FAA vaccine policy violates its own rules, which state that pilots should not fly after having taken medications that have been approved for less than a year, [according to a group of attorneys, doctors, and other experts](#):

*Currently, not only have all pilots flying commercial airlines **not** had at least one year of post-marketing time elapse post-FDA approval of the agent injected into their*

bodies, these pilots of flying with an entirely **UNAPPROVED** product in their systems that is now unfortunately proving to cause all manner of clotting, embolic and thrombosis-related side effects (which side effects are known to occur with greater frequency and severity when at altitude). Additionally, across all populations, the inoculations are resulting in significant increases in myocarditis and subsequent heart failure, arrhythmias, cardiac arrests and deaths. This is especially true in the younger male cohort, to which many pilots belong.

Indeed, we are aware of pilots who have died post-vaccination. We are also aware of pilots who are suffering side effects, many of whom have been afraid to report them, for fear of being grounded, but some of whom have been forced to seek medical care and report them due to the significance of the vaccine-related adverse effect....

Hospital Tall Tales

The Media uses same story to describe hospital staff shortages. But there is always more to the story when the story is a Tall Tale. Are hospital [staffing shortages due to other reasons](#)? Are nurses being **let go** for [not getting the experimental Covid vaccine](#)?

Since the hospital system announced its policy on April 1, nurses have been fired for not getting the Covid jab. [The Texas Tribune reported](#) that 153 workers were fired or resigned from Houston Methodist Hospital after refusing to comply with the hospital's vaccine mandate. [Bloomberg says 1 in 8 nurses remain unvaccinated](#), while [NBC News Correspondent says 1 in 4 healthcare workers](#) have not been vaccinated. [Thousands have reportedly quit](#) rather than receive the vaccine. [Many have found new jobs](#) without the jab. [According to nurse.org](#), not all nurses support vaccination. Why does the media not report on this story? What happened to union strikes for illegal mandates? Are unions complicit (paid off) in supporting

illegal mandates?

Quietly, [FEMA](#) and [military nurses are replacing civilian nurses](#). Will military pilots replace civilian pilots? Is this the beginning of a trend? A military coup under the guise of a virus that has yet to be isolated? [See page 41 of this FDA document](#). Without isolating a virus, how can there be a variant?

Is that why the CDC has quietly [withdrawn the PCR process as a valid test for detecting and identifying SARS-CoV-2](#)? The CDC admits that the PCR test cannot differentiate between SARS-CoV-2 and influenza viruses.

Does that mean that colds and flu cases will resurrect themselves once again after disappearing for more than a year? Is that why new reports show [Omicron symptoms are similar to cold symptoms](#)? As one [Reuters opinion claims](#), Omicron, itself, may have picked up a piece of the common cold virus:

the omicron variant likely acquired a mutation – one of its more than 30 mutations, mind you – from a snippet of genetic material from the common cold. Meaning, yes, the omicron variant mutated to include parts of the common cold’s genetic makeup.

Jabs Still Not FDA-Approved

Meanwhile, the “FDA-approved” mRNA vaccines are still **NOT approved**. From the [pilots](#) letter to [Sen. Ron Johnson’s \(R, Wisc\) admission](#) in October, 2021, there is no FDA approved COVID vaccine in the U.S. The so-called approved “Comirnaty version” of the vaccine was still not commercially available in the United States as of October 2021. Pfizer states Comirnaty is the same vaccine that originally received emergency use authorization. If so, then why maintain [Emergency Use Authorized](#) (EUA) status?

That’s a mixed bag of messages. Is it [Comirnaty or](#)

[Criminality](#)? Legal or illegal? Truth or dare? Virus or no virus? Variant or no variant?

Media's contradictions and inconsistencies should always be investigated by the customer, i.e., the reader, especially when the media is allowed to tell Tall Tales [under the law](#).

Related Articles

- [Hold the Presses: mRNA Pfizer Shot Not Approved](#)
- [The COVID Reinfection Ruse](#)
- [Status COVID19: Downgraded. Truth Revealed](#)
[Dissolving a Pandemic of Fear](#)

Rosanne Lindsay is a Naturopath, writer, earth keeper, health freedom advocate and author of the books [The Nature of Healing, Heal the Body, Heal the Planet](#) and [Free Your Voice, Heal Your Thyroid, Reverse Thyroid Disease Naturally](#).

Rosanne Lindsay is [available for consultation](#) through Turtle Island Network. Subscribe to her blog at [natureofhealing.org](#).

[Connect with Rosanne Lindsay](#)

cover image credit: [bilaleldaou](#) / pixabay

The Covid Narrative Is Insane and Illogical...and Maybe That's No Accident

[The Covid Narrative Is Insane and Illogical...and Maybe That's No Accident](#)

Maybe forcing people to believe your lies, even after you admit you're lying, is the purest form of power.

by [Kit Knightly](#), [OffGuardian](#)

December 29, 2021

"Not merely the validity of experience, but the very existence of external reality was tacitly denied by their philosophy. The heresy of heresies was common sense."

George Orwell, 1984

The "Covid pandemic" narrative is insane. That is long-established at this point, we don't really need to go into how or why here. Read our back catalogue.

The rules are meaningless and arbitrary, the messaging contradictory, the very premise nonsensical.

Every day some new insanity is launched out into the world, and while many of us roll our eyes, raise our voices, or just laugh...many more accept it, believe it, allow it to continue.

Take the situation in Canada right now, where the government has enforced [a vaccine mandate on healthcare workers](#), meaning

in British Columbia alone [over 3000 hospital staff were on unpaid leave](#) by November 1st.

How have local governments responded to staff shortages?

They are asking [vaccinated employees who have tested positive for Covid to work.](#)

Whether or not you believe the test means anything, *they* notionally do. In the reality they try to sell us every day, testing positive means you are carrying a dangerous disease.

So they are requesting people allegedly carrying a “deadly virus” work, rather than letting perfectly healthy unvaccinated people simply have their jobs back.

This is insanity.

But could anything more perfectly illustrate the priorities of those running the game?

We already know it's not about a virus, it's not about protecting the health service and it's not about saving lives. Every day the people running the “pandemic” admit as much by their actions, and even their words.

Rather, it seems to be about enforcing rules that make little to no sense, requiring conformity at the price of reason, drawing arbitrary lines in the sand and demanding people respect them, making people believe “facts” that are provably untrue.

But why? Why is the story of Covid irrational and contradictory? Why are we told on the one hand to be afraid, and on the other [that there is nothing to be afraid of?](#)

Why is the “pandemic” so completely insane?

You could argue that it's simple happenstance. The by-product

of a multi-focused evolving narrative, a story being told by a thousand authors all at once, each concerned with covering their own little patch of agenda. A car with multiple drivers fighting over a single steering wheel.

There's probably some truth to that.

But it's also true that control, true control, can only be achieved with a lie.

In clinical psychology one of the diagnostic signs of the psychopath is that they tell elaborate lies, compulsively. Many times they will tell a lie *even if the truth would be more beneficial*.

Nobody knows why they do this, but I have a theory, and it applies to the swarming groups of little rat minds running the sewers of power as much as it does any individual monstrosity.

If you want to control people, you need to lie to them, that's the only way to guarantee you have power.

If you are standing in the road, and I yell "*look out, there's a car a coming*", and you move just as a car whips past, I will never know if you moved *because I said so*, or because *there actually was a car*.

If my interest is in making sure you don't get hurt, this would not matter to me either way.

But, what if my only true aim is the gratification of watching you do what I say, *simply because I said it*?

...well, then I need to scream out a warning of a car that does not exist, and watch you dodge an imaginary threat. Or, indeed, tell you there is no car, and watch you get run over.

Only by doing this can I see *my words* mean more to you than *perceivable reality*, and only then do I know I'm truly in control.

You can never control people with the truth, because the truth has an existence outside yourself that cannot be altered or directed. It may be the truth itself that controls people, not you.

You can never force people to obey rules that make sense, because they may be obeying reason, not your force.

True power lies in making people afraid of something that does not exist, and making them abandon reason in the name of protecting themselves from the invented threat.

To guarantee you have control, you must make people see things that are not there, make people live in a reality you build around them, and force people to follow arbitrary, contradictory rules that change day by day.

To truly test their loyalty, their hypnosis, you could even tell them there's nothing to be afraid of anymore, but they need to follow the rules anyway.

Maybe that's the point. Maybe the story isn't supposed to be believable. Maybe the rules aren't meant to make sense, they are meant to be obeyed.

Maybe the more contradictory & illogical the regulations become, the more your compliance is valued.

Maybe if you can force a person to abandon their judgment in favour of your own, you have total control over their reality.

We started with an Orwell quote, so let's end with one too:

Power is in tearing human minds to pieces and putting them together again in new shapes of your own choosing."

Isn't that what we're seeing now? What we've been seeing since the beginning?

People being mind broken into being afraid of something they

told **isn't frightening**, following rules they are told **are not necessary**, taking "medicine" they are told **does not work**.

Maybe forcing people to believe your lies, even as you admit you are lying, is the purest expression of power.

[Connect with OffGuardian](#)

cover image credit: [GDJ](#) / pixabay

Outside the Reality Machine

[Outside the Reality Machine](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

December 29, 2021

READER: Mr. Rappoport, why do you sometimes write fiction/satire?

ME: Because if you think non-rational reality can be solved simply by rationally setting the record straight, you're sadly mistaken. Look around you.

READER: Are you saying reality itself is non-rational?

ME: That's exactly what I'm saying. What we take to be reality is exported to us, and we import it and accept it. That situation is entirely irrational. At the deepest—and therefore—most important level, each one of us is capable of creating the reality we most profoundly desire.

I need to catch a train and I'm late
Finally a clerk directs me to a set of stairs
But after I run down two flights I wind up on the wrong track
I'm familiar with these set-ups
—On board a pleasure yacht
I'm alone in the dining room at 2 in the afternoon
A waiter brings me a glass of champagne
He looks like Al Capone
He sits down next to me and pulls out his tax forms
I spread them on the table and study them
All in order, I say
Nothing to worry about
The feds are lying
They're paying more for the judge than you're paying
An explosion goes off
We're in the water swimming for the dock
Machine gun fire...
I'm walking along a winter road
Two wolves trotting at my side
They're looking up at me
They want to know where we're going...

—Suppose, one day, you're walking around and you see a person who looks exactly like you buying bread in a shop. You approach him and engage him in conversation. You discover he knows everything you know. But he knows it with more clarity. He's integrated. He's more agile. You're no longer useful, pragmatically speaking. You're out. In an instrumental society, you're defunct. You have to go somewhere else. You have to start over. You're cut loose. You don't need to consider your obligations.

There is always a little man behind his desk telling you you're dead because he's dead
It's standard
Like a shot in the arm for a disease no one ever heard of
You walk into a large living room

Tall machines humming
They're manufacturing reality
You see the switches on a wall
What happens if you turn them off

The living room is full of people
Cocktail party
They don't see what you see
They're talking about virus, virus, virus
They're wearing masks
They're comparing vaccine passports

In a corner of the room
A distinguished doctor wearing rimless glasses
Is holding court
A gaggle of earnest guests are listening
He's describing Omicron
One person has a heart attack and falls down on the carpet
The others ignore him

Now the doctor is talking about a new test for the virus
And transmission
And breakthrough cases
And his visit to Gavin Newsom's winery in northern California
And the probability of new lockdowns
And spikes in case numbers
And quarantine facilities

Suddenly the doctor and the listeners and the man lying on the
floor
Freeze in a paralyzed tableaux

—You're walking through a zoo
And you're looking at that frozen scene encased in a glass
cube
There's a plaque on the base of the cube
You move closer
But you can't make out the printing on the plaque

A security guard says, step back sir, unless you want purchase
A premium membership, in which case you can enter the cube

If I go inside, can I get out?

No, but the characters will begin to speak and move, and then
you'll all leave the party and take a taxi to a hotel and
check into rooms and

Meet you families there and start a new life

I'll have a job

A good job, and you'll live in the suburbs in a nice home

Will there be rules

There are always rules, but if you obey them you'll have a
happy life

I'll travel

You and your family will travel to many places and stay in
first-class resorts

But I'll never be able to come back here

No

Why not?

There are walls between various locales

It's part of the set-up

Exactly

And I'm not allowed to question the set-up

You can question it, privately, to yourself, but that's all

Will I remember this place, here

For a time, but the memory will fade

What about the reality machines in the living room

You won't see them again

There has to be some kind of trick here

Of course there is, think it through—right now you're standing outside and there are people you love who are inside—are you going to go inside to try to help them escape—or you could be inside and there would be people you love who are outside—are you going to try to break down a wall and reach them through a wall that was built to stand the test of time and block your way—however you want to look at it, reality is a collection of separate containers meant to stay separate

Suppose I invent new realities that are open, that have no walls

THAT'S ILLEGAL, THAT'S A MAJOR CRIME, that's THE crime

The visible light spectrum is only a minor part of the full spectrum. In the same way, consensus-thought is only a tiny arc in the full arc of invented thought (which is infinite).

On May 14, 2266, the New England Journal of Medicine and Psychology published a paper titled:

WHAT IS 'A NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCE?'

A quote: "Brain research discovers common patterns of activity across a whole population. These patterns would be called 'normal'. Exceptions would be classified as various categories of 'disordered thought'. It's assumed that only 'harmonious and symmetrical' brain patterns are positive and beneficial."

A reader commented: "This assumption is grossly false. It's a stunted version of aesthetics. Creative force always breaks out of these little geometries. So does every new idea."

Increasingly, Earth culture is unable to understand this.”

—That reader receives a government notice and is summoned to a hearing. He’s interviewed by a virtual AI employee of the federal Department of Stat Research.

HOLOGRAPHIC i-FIGURE: “Are you all right during this epidemic lockdown? I see you live alone.”

“Yes, I’m fine.”

“We want you to enjoy yourself. Are you watching learning programs?”

“No.”

“Why not?”

“I don’t like them.”

“Well, we have a report on you. It indicates an output difficult to measure or interpret. What can you tell us about this?

“I don’t know. I’m composing a symphony.”

“A symphony? What is that?”

“It’s a piece of music written for a large orchestra.”

“I find no extant orchestras in the country.”

“That’s true. Nevertheless, I’m composing.”

“Why?”

“For that day when an orchestra may come into being again.”

“Your thought-impulses entered ranges we were not able to summarize.”

“I suppose that means your instruments are limited.”

"Your last statement might be viewed as incendiary. It suggests we are imposing a restriction. As you well know, the science is settled on this point. We measure and interpret thought that contributes to an overall positive outcome, for the population at large."

"I'm aware of that, yes. But the science rests on certain assumptions. I would call it greatest good as a lowest common denominator."

"What do you mean?"

"You decide a certain mindset contributes to the consensus reality you favor. You legislate a range of thought that will produce the consensus."

"That's a gross oversimplification."

"It doesn't describe the algorithms you employ, but all in all I believe my summary is correct. You're reality makers. You monitor thought-emissions, and when you find a departure from 'combined averages,' as you call them, you issue a citation."

"What is this symphony you're composing?"

"It's impossible to explain. It's music."

"It has a specific message?"

"No. If it did, I would write out the message and leave it at that."

"Why have we not heard of you before?"

"I was doing illustrations for the Happiness Holos."

"We know. What happened?"

"I became bored. A machine could make those pictures. So I decided to compose music."

"The Happiness Holos are an essential social program."

"Perhaps. They encourage people to stay on the positive side of a construct called Positive&Negative, which as you know is a State-sponsored theme. But what is superficially indicated by those two opposing sets is, in fact, fuel for the fire."

"Fuel for what fire?"

"The artist can use and transform any material."

"Where did you hear such a thing?"

"Nowhere. I've experienced it many times."

"Your views are highly eccentric. I will have to consult your childhood history to understand their roots."

"I'm afraid that won't do you any good."

"Why not?"

"Because your version, the US Department of Psychology version of cause and effect, is propaganda for the masses."

"This is your idea of a joke?"

"Not at all."

"When you compose this...symphony, how do you think?"

"It's not thinking in the way you use the term."

"No? Then what do you do?"

"I invent sound."

"Preposterous."

"Large masses of sound."

"Absurd. According to what underlying pattern?"

"None. Check the Library of Structures. I doubt you'll find my activity in the catalogs."

"Known structures and patterns are contained in the files."

"I don't invent through pattern."

"No? How then?"

"I improvise."

"And this term refers to?"

"Something done spontaneously."

"And you exceed prescribed ranges of thought in the process."

"Perhaps. I would hope so. I don't keep track."

"You're being flippant."

"I assumed you'd eventually cite me. I'm just composing music during the lockdown."

"There is no citation yet. You're an anomaly. We investigate. We consider."

"I'm afraid your and my idea of 'consider' are quite different."

"Let me ask you this. When you are composing, do you ever believe you enter into a realm or area that could be called 'non-material'? We've heard such claims before."

"Not if you're referring to some fairyland. But all thought is basically non-material. The brain registers it after the fact. Thought, the real thing, doesn't take place in the brain."

"You're deluded. And disordered."

"If I could simply confess to that and be on my way, I'd be a happy man."

"You live in a society. To keep the peace and maintain the Positive, science has discovered that thought should occur within certain parameters."

"If you insist."

"We want to study you. It's a great honor to be called. You could help extend the boundaries of research...we register variation from the norm in your present thinking."

"What present thinking?"

"What you're thinking right now."

"That was quick."

"The readouts are instantaneous...what are you doing?"

"I'm starting the fourth movement."

"Wait. What you're doing is disruptive."

"You assume that based on how you set your normal frequencies."

"YOU'RE BEING DISRUPTIVE. STOP YOUR THOUGHTS."

All along the major esplanade, and in the lake area, and in the industrial parks and residential high rises, virtual structures shattered like glass.

The holographic i-figure went dark.

A thousand holographic government buildings froze and vanished.

The composer said to no one, "I'm just composing. Well, apparently not just."

—Back in his room at the edge of the city, he said, "I suppose that's what they mean by a negative consequence."

He sat down at his computer and turned it on

He plugged in a small module. The screen went red. Black letters formed: DISEQUILIBRIUM. He pressed the send key.

The encrypted score of the first three movements of his symphony set out on a rapidly changing zig-zag journey to a series of caverns below cities in Belgium, Switzerland, Germany, Italy, America.

A program consisting of the synthesized instruments of a full orchestra read the score and began to broadcast the music to small groups of people sitting in the caverns...

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [KELLEPICS](#) / pixabay

117,093 Cases? – “They’re All Nuts”

[117,093 Cases? – “They’re All Nuts”](#)

by [Carl Vernon](#)

December 28, 2021

[Connect with Carl Vernon](#)

Is Government the New God? – The Religion of Totalitarianism

[Is Government the New God? – The Religion of
Totalitarianism](#)

by [Academy of Ideas](#)

December 28, 2021

Video available at Academy of Ideas [Odysee](#) and [YouTube](#) channels.

The following is a transcript of this video.

“The State takes the place of God...the socialist dictatorships are religions and State slavery is a form of worship.”

Carl Jung, The Undiscovered Self

Since the birth of totalitarianism in the 20th century much has been written about this form of rule and millions have read George Orwell's depiction of it in the classic novel 1984. But what is often overlooked is that totalitarianism is more than just a political system, it is a fanatical religion, and this religion is spreading across the globe with a ferocity not seen since the mid-20th century. In this video we

are going to investigate the religious nature of totalitarianism in the recognition that we must know our enemy if we are to defeat it. Shortly after fleeing Nazi Germany, the political scientist Waldemar Gurian wrote the following:

"The totalitarian movements that have arisen after the First World War are basically religious movements. Their aim is not only to change political and social institutions, but also to remodel the nature of man and society."

Waldemar Gurian, The Totalitarian State

Totalitarianism shares many characteristics with organized religions. For example, Christianity and Islam are built on the belief of a future golden age that will be ushered in with the second coming of Christ. Totalitarianism movements share a similar idea – but instead of a god or prophet that transforms the world, totalitarian movements are built on the belief that mankind can recreate the world and a new golden age can be constructed under the direction of the all-powerful and all-controlling State.

". . .in consequence [of the decline of Christianity]," writes Carl Jung" the [religious] projections have largely fallen away from the divine figures and have necessarily settled in the human sphere...the [modern] "enlightened" intellect cannot imagine anything greater than . . . those tin gods with totalitarian pretensions who call themselves State . . ."

Carl Jung, Practice of Psychotherapy

This belief that it is possible for a centralized, all-powerful State to radically change society for the better is why Hannah Arendt wrote that:

"...[totalitarianism] is not a government in any traditional sense, but a movement. . ."

Hannah Arendt, The Origins of Totalitarianism

In the totalitarian movements of the past, this golden age was envisioned to be one of racial purity, or a Communist utopia of equality, efficiency, and prosperity for all. Today this totalitarian “golden age” is one in which mankind exists in harmony with mother earth, or in its more extreme form, an age where man merges with machine and transcends biological limitations of disease and death. Needless to say, totalitarian utopias never come to fruition, for as Karl Popper warned:

“The attempt to make heaven on earth invariably produces hell.”

Karl Popper, The Open Society and its Enemies

These utopian visions do, however, succeed in stimulating the religious enthusiasm of the masses and totalitarians use these visions to convince the population that the utopian end justifies any, and all, means – be it mass-surveillance, censorship, widespread oppression, mass-imprisonment, or even the extermination of groups of people. Or as Barry Goldwater explains:

“Those who seek absolute power, even though they seek it to do what they regard as good, are simply demanding the right to enforce their own version of heaven on earth. And let me remind you, they are the very ones who always create the most hellish tyrannies. Absolute power does corrupt, and those who seek it must be suspect and must be opposed.”

Barry Goldwater

In the totalitarian religion, there are the chosen people and there are the sinners. The chosen ones naïvely believe in the possibility of a paradisal future and the ability of the state

to be the vehicle to effectuate this transformation. They are the pious who follow the State's commands with unquestioning obedience. The sinners are the non-believers. They are the heretics who stand in the way of the so-called "greater good" and prevent the forward march of history. To use an analogy offered by the Polish philosopher Zygmunt Bauman, the totalitarians see the earth as a garden to which they are anointed to cultivate, and the sinners as the weeds that must be exterminated to bring about the full flowering of the totalitarian utopia:

"All [totalitarian] visions of society-as-garden define parts of the social habitat as human weeds. Like all other weeds, they must be segregated, contained, prevented from spreading, removed and kept outside the society boundaries; if all these means prove insufficient, they must be killed."

Zygmunt Bauman, Modernity and the Holocaust

But removing the weeds is only one part of the totalitarian religious movement; the remaining citizens must be turned into totalitarian true believers who inwardly assent to a life of strict conformity and obedience to authority. For in totalitarianism, the mere outward display of compliance is not enough. Like all fanatical religions, totalitarian movements seek to control the innermost thoughts of its followers. Referring to Mussolini's fascist dictatorship in Italy, Giovanni Amendola explained:

"...fascism did not so much aim to govern Italy as to monopolize the control of Italian consciences. The possession of power is not enough for fascism: it needs to possess the private conscience of all its citizens, it demands the "conversion" of Italians. Fascism makes the same claims as a religion. . . It does not promise happiness to those who do not convert."

Giovanni Amendola

In pursuit of this totalitarian religious transformation, various proselytizing methods are used. Two of these are demagoguery and pedagogy, the former being the spreading of State propaganda through art, literature, music, plays, and festivals, and the latter being the ideological indoctrination of the youth via compulsory schooling.

The strategy of “terror and love” is another technique used to proselytize the masses into the totalitarian religion. Citizens are subjected to terror via ongoing wars, continual fear mongering, false flags, and the ever-present threat of loss of livelihood, property, imprisonment, or death. Yet these displays of terror are interspersed with displays of love; ceremonial rituals are held to honor the good will of the leaders and continuous propaganda assures the citizens that the regime cares for them and is working hard to keep them safe from the dangers of the world. Alexandra Stein explains in her book *Terror, Love and Brainwashing*:

“As in the Stockholm Syndrome, thus does the abuser become the perceived safe haven – a person or an entity to whom one can turn for help, mercy, forgiveness, comfort.”

Alexandra Stein, Terror, Love and Brainwashing

This alternation of terror and love triggers free floating anxiety, confusion and creates a trauma bond between the citizen and the State, a bond that lies at the basis of all cults. Stein explains further:

“...the alternation of love and fear within an isolating environment [results] in a dissociated, loyal and deployable follower who can now be instructed to act in the interests of the leader rather than in his or her own survival interests... Processes of brainwashing rest on the creation of stress or threat with no escape other than [compliance] to the apparent safe haven of the [totalitarian regime and] group.”

Alexandra Stein, Terror, Love and Brainwashing

Persistent fear mongering in conjunction with promises that compliance to the regime will bring the citizens salvation creates true believers who will do whatever the regime commands, even if these commands require them to disown friends and family, face economic ruin, spend time in prison or even go to an early grave. As one example, Nikolai Vilenchik, a man loyal to the Soviet regime, was forced to spend 17 years in the hard labor gulags for a crime he did not commit. Yet upon being released he did not condemn the Stalinist regime, instead he stated:

"We believed in the Party – and we were not mistaken!"

Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn, The Gulag Archipelago

Other reports tell of political officials and party followers who cried out "Long Live Stalin!" as they were being taken out to be shot by the Soviet Secret Police. As further evidence for the mass religious conversion that takes place under totalitarianism, Hannah Arendt explained:

"...the amazing fact is that...[the totalitarian true believer] is...[unlikely] to waver [in his loyalty] when the monster begins to devour its own children and not even if he becomes a victim of persecution himself, if he is framed and condemned, if he is purged from the party and sent to a forced-labor or a concentration camp. On the contrary, to the wonder of the whole civilized world, he may even be willing to help in his own prosecution and frame his own death sentence if only his status as a member of the movement is not touched."

Hannah Arendt, The Origins of Totalitarianism

Totalitarianism is a religion that never achieves what it

promises. It creates a hell on earth in which many are sacrificed to the god of the state, but none are delivered into the brave new world they were promised. The more power the state is granted, the more corrupt become the individuals who operate the state machinery and the more the world descends into chaos. Totalitarianism must be avoided at all costs, but sadly this religion is seeing a modern-day revival. Politicians and others in positions of global power are vocal about their desire to re-make, re-build or re-set the world and the masses are expected to obey and to love the new society that is being forced upon them. If obedience does not come voluntarily, then force is being used with an alarming frequency.

"They want to be our shepherds. But that requires us to be sheep."

Thomas Sowell, The Vision of the Anointed

In these times of tyranny we each face of choice: accept the false gods of the state and allow the totalitarians to lead us into what Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn calls the "land of smothered opportunities", or resist.

"There is but one choice: to rise to the task of the age."

Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn, Warning to the West

In the mid 20th century Carl Jung watched the religion of totalitarianism sweep across Europe, and his words serve as a call to action for all who see the perils we face:

"Where are the superior minds, capable of reflection, today? If they exist at all, nobody heeds them: instead there is a general running amok, a universal fatality against whose compelling sway the individual is powerless to defend himself. And yet this collective phenomenon is the fault of the individual as well, for nations are made up of

individuals. Therefore the individual must consider by what means he can counter the evil."

Carl Jung, Civilization in Transition

What are some tactics we can use to counter this evil? We can stop allowing our children to be indoctrinated with totalitarian ideas. We can ostracize people who blindly obey the immoral commands of the state – for ostracism is one of the most powerful means of social influence. We can mock and ridicule the so-called “priestly” class of politicians and bureaucrats and point out their hypocrisy and the absurdity of their propaganda and lies. We can create and support technology, art, memes, videos, books, merchandise or music that informs, inspires, and spreads the message of freedom. Or we can help build and participate in the “counter economy”, which consists of all voluntary exchange that is conducted outside the controlling eyes of the totalitarian State. Simply put, we can strive to live as freely as possible in the recognition that while we singlehandedly cannot liberate the world, our own personal liberation creates ripples in society and serves as a powerful example for others.

In making the choice of whether to help resist the rise of the totalitarian religion we should recognize that the choice is not between complying and living an easy life versus resisting and inviting unnecessary hardship. For compliance to totalitarianism is the riskiest of choices as it is based on the naïve hope that this time will be different, that this time power won't corrupt politicians and state officials, that this time the totalitarian monster will not devour its children and create a man-made hell on earth. But as Solzhenitsyn warned:

“There always is this fallacious belief: “It would not be the same here; here such things are impossible.” Alas, all the evil of the twentieth century is possible everywhere on

earth.” (Solzhenitsyn, The Gulag Archipelago)

Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn, The Gulag Archipelago

[Connect with Academy of Ideas](#)

cover image credit: [StockSnap](#) / pixabay

Metaverse! Sign Your Life Away Now!

[Metaverse! Sign Your Life Away Now!](#)

by [JP Sears](#), [AwakenWithJP](#)

December 27, 2021

Video available at AwakenWithJP [Odysee](#) and [Rumble](#) channels.

[Connect with JP Sears](#)

Fear Propaganda: Don't Let Them Scare You

[Fear Propaganda: Don't Let Them Scare You](#)

by [Hugo Talks](#)

December 27, 2021

Video available at Hugo Talks [Odysee](#) and [BitChute](#) channels.

[Connect with Hugo Talks](#)

cover image credit: [ParentRap](#) / pixabay

Dr. Andrew Kaufman Exposes the 'Omicron Variant' Scam That Increases Covid Case Numbers

[Dr. Andrew Kaufman Exposes the 'Omicron Variant' Scam That Increases Covid Case Numbers](#)

sourced from G. Edward Griffin's [Need to Know](#)

videos by [Andrew Kaufman](#) and [David Icke](#)

December 27, 2021

Dr. Andrew Kaufman explained that in the usual PCR test protocol, there are three primers (fragments of single stranded DNA), and if all three are positive, it is considered to be a positive test and the person is diagnosed with Covid. But now the criteria has changed and only two primers are required for a test to be deemed positive.

The change in protocol is a way to convert negative results to positive results and call it a new thing, which was named Omicron. The result is an increase the number of Covid cases.

Dr. Kaufman has shown many times that the SARS-Cov-2 virus has never been isolated, a process of separating it from everything else and viewing it under a microscope, and cannot be proven to exist.

Similarly, the new Omicron variant of the Covid virus has yet to be isolated and proven to exist. Omicron is an 'in silico' computer-produced genome sequence.

There is no clinical test authorized, approved, or available for purchase for any variant! There are no scientific publications studying Omicron.

David Icke summarizes Dr. Andrew Kaufman's findings in this short video clip:

Video available at [BitChute](#).

Dr. Kaufman's full interview:

Video available at [BitChute](#).

[Connect with Andrew Kaufman](#)

[Connect with David Icke](#)

[Connect with G. Edward Griffin's Need to Know](#)

cover image credit: [TheDigitalArtist](#) / pixabay

The Vegas Fear Porn Awards Ceremony 2021

[The Vegas Fear Porn Awards Ceremony 2021](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

December 27, 2021

Happy New Year!

Mostly naked pole-dancers fronting a full orchestra playing Doo-Wop tunes you love from the 50s! Drinks on the house! Liberal celebs mingling with the peasants! The Vegas Mob Brothel and Hotel Casino just off the Strip presents...

The COVID Fear Porn Awards Ceremony 2021!

Leading up to the presentation of the coveted gold-plated statuette of Nazi Propaganda Minister Joseph Goebbels, which an as yet unnamed out of work actor will present to Fear Porn Person of the Year, several awards will be handed out to men and women in sub-categories of Halfway Compromise:

CATEGORY ONE: "Of course the virus exists. Don't bother me with evidence to the contrary. I don't have time to look at it. I'm busy with other issues. It's a distraction. Anyway, people don't understand the claim."

TWO: "I'm definitely and absolutely pro-vaccine. I just want safer and more effective shots, and the current COVID vaccine happens to be unsafe. Vaccines have nothing to do with autism and other forms of brain damage."

THREE: "The courts and judges will save us from the vaccine mandates. Don't worry, be happy. The system works. Street protests are counter-productive. Cloth masks don't work, but R283-X-A45367-BQX-23-9 masks are quite effective at stopping the spread of the dreaded virus."

FOUR: "The Omicron Variant is quite real and spreads quickly, but it is less virulent than the Delta or the original SARS-CoV-2."

FIVE: "The PCR test is irreparably flawed, but the case numbers based on the test are real, not meaningless. We need more testing."

At the crest of the evening's presentations, the Committee will reveal its choice for Fear Porn Person of the Year, from the following nominees:

Anthony Fauci
Bill Gates
Joe Biden
Gavin Newsom
Andrew Cuomo
Klaus Schwab
Anthony Fauci

Save your ticket stub. There will be a drawing. One attendee will win an all-expenses trip to Vienna, to live for three months under the government's lockdown regime. Stay in your

hotel room. Watch television. Eat canned food. Wear a mask. Experience the fear. Sweat in the dark.

Bonus! At the Awards presentation, Dr. Deborah Birx will receive the annual Hot Zone Virus From The Jungle plaque commemorating her work as a member of the White House Coronavirus Task Force under President Donald Warp Speed Trump.

Dr. Birx is presently working as a waitress at the 24-hour Sam Giancana Diner on the outskirts of Las Vegas. She is seeking re-employment as a bureaucrat at any tax-gobbling government agency that remembers her. We will be taking up a collection to pay her rent. She will be signing copies of her book, *I Was Never an Out and Out Grifter*.

A special scroll will be presented to CNN and MSNBC for their unceasing yearlong fear porn promotion. We understand an alleged and accused CNN pedophile will be on hand to receive his half of the scroll.

In absentia, Nancy My Husband Doesn't Really Work With The Chinese Pelosi will be awarded an Emperor Nero silver coin engraved thusly: I WEAR MY MASK IN THE BATHROOM WHILE I'M SITTING DOWN.

Breaking—Boston Mayor, Michelle Wu, has just announced a vaccine passport system for the City of the American Revolution...all persons 12 and older will have to present the passport to enter any covered indoor venue. This fear porn enforcement Queen Mayor will be granted a brass plaque with her name engraved, to be placed on a slot machine outside a bedroom in the famed Crazy Eights Brothel and Steak House in Skirts, Nevada. Keep up the good work, Michelle My Belle!

To all compliant Americans who believe in fear porn, congratulations. We would give each one of you a participation trophy if we could afford it, to signify you are the greatest enablers in human history.

You inspire us to continue our work, singling out those individuals and organizations that distribute the tonnage of fear porn at a rate which stuns the senses.

Quoting our Founder, Edward Bernays, the father of modern propaganda: "The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of. This is a logical result of the way in which our democratic society is organized. Vast numbers of human beings must cooperate in this manner if they are to live together as a smoothly functioning society."

Friends, when you come right down to it, are you afraid of not being afraid? If fear were stripped from your minds, would you feel at sea...not knowing what to think or do? Would you be lost without your old pal fear? You're our audience, and we serve you every day of every year. And in doing so, we put a pretty bow on the ties that bind.

Stay close. We're planning new surprises, new variants on the central theme of Medical Emergency. We're the Titans of Pavlovian stimulus-response, and we feel your anticipatory drool.

If you can't attend our Awards Ceremony this year, just keep watching our minions juke and tap dance on the Network News:

Lester Holt (Lurch, from the Addams Family); David Muir (Sears underwear model); Norah O'Donnell (state baton twirling champion); Wolf Blitzer (old man in tattered bathrobe pouring a can of soup into a saucepan in his kitchen); Chuck Todd (racetrack tout and penny-stock hustler).

They'll keep you tuned to America's leading product: fear

porn.

The New York Times: “Today, on the Southern Face of Mt. Everest, a New York Hedge Fund manager froze to death in a seething blizzard. Sitting behind a new DARPA super-telescope observing the scene from Fort Meade, Maryland, Anthony Fauci diagnosed the man with COVID as he expired. ‘Shortness of breath was the telltale sign,’ Fauci remarked..”

So yes, Tony Fauci IS our Fear Porn Person of the Year. He’s on the case and on the money every time he takes center stage. He’s a belching stage hog, and we love him.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image credit: [Alexandra_Koch](#) / pixabay

Hollywood Movies Featuring “Deadly Viruses” on the Loose

[Hollywood Movies Featuring “Deadly Viruses” on the Loose](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

December 23, 2021

—I’m republishing this piece because protests against vaccine mandates are rising across the world; and so are brutal lockdowns and fascist takeovers of nations. The clash is intensifying.

Here in the US, we have a demented mandating nut in the White House, and another nut who left the White House last year and keeps pumping up his destructive Warp Speed vaccine, as he currently tours the country.

“Omicron” is very much a movie title. Fear the Omicron. It attacks by night. And it’s being used to explain all the people having heart attacks and dying after vaccination.

The compliant people of the world ARE being treated to the movie called COVID. They’re in it, unfortunately. No virus, but instead a plot line about a virus. The producers (e.g., Bill Gates) already have all the money they need. But they’re not in it for the money alone. They want to cause pain and leverage control of the planet. It’s their wet dream.

OK. Here we go:

Wikipedia has a page listing “films about viral outbreaks.” I count 134 titles. Obviously, the theme has legs.

A few of the more famous movies: *I am Legend*; *The Omega Man*; *The Andromeda Strain*; *Outbreak*; *Maze Runner: The Death Cure*; *Resident Evil: Apocalypse*; *Contagion*.

My overall review: ridiculous plots; fear porn; softens up the public to accept the notion of pandemics.

Manufacturing 134 movies on one subject, you can sell almost anything. Zombies, toasters, alarm clocks that have long noses, golf balls from Mars, cave women with flawless teeth and perfect makeup and salon-sculptured hair and carefully engineered cleavage.

But in this case, it’s viruses.

At rwjff.org, there’s [an interesting interview](#) with Scott Burns, who wrote the screenplay for the 2011 film, *Contagion*, and the technical consultant on the project, Dr. Ian Lipkin, director of the Center for Infection and Immunity at Columbia

University. Here are excerpts:

Scott Burns: "Obviously I worked with Ian, and early on I also met Dr. Larry Brilliant, who was very helpful [and certainly brilliant]. I had seen Larry's TED talk where he showed the Malthusian charge through the world the virus would have. I also worked with Laurie Garrett on the movie, because she had written this book, *The Coming Plague*, which was very, very useful to me in sort of teasing out how these things have a medical component, but they also have a social justice component and a political component and all sorts of interesting aspects of human behavior."

Dr. Ian Lipkin: "I started very early with Scott. There were a lot of people who contributed—CDC, WHO and others... Scott would bounce ideas off of me and others in his 'brain trust' and most of the time we were in accord. My role grew dramatically over the course of production. It began with just a consultation, and then I rapidly moved into helping the set designer in designing the virus, and we had a few days where we had actors come to the lab and spend some time working at the bench, learning how to pipette and look through microscopes and get into gowns and such. And even at the very end, I was working with the sound engineer, recording sound for the movie—lab background and that sort of thing. I did a lot of traveling with the crew. It was like a circus."

"We settled on that virus [a paramyxovirus] within the first half an hour with Laurie and Scott and I, high above Columbus Circle in New York. We threw out a number of possibilities to Scott and he batted them down, and then one came to mind that struck me as the perfect choice, simply because there had been some reports earlier suggesting this virus, which wasn't readily transmissible, had become readily transmissible to humans—that was Nipah. It also gave Scott and the director and actors an opportunity to do more than just cough and die. They could develop seizures, they could have hallucinations—all sorts of things that were much more interesting than a

standard respiratory disease. We settled on that really within the first thirty minutes, and then Scott went back, thought about it and decided it was a good way to run. It doesn't take much fuel for him to run quite a distance."

Turns out that designing a movie about a pandemic is pretty much the same sort of project as designing a fake COVID pandemic in the world.

You pick out a story about a virus, give it an authentic feel, embroider it, and sell it.

People buy it.

In the interest of balance and fairness, I've written a few notes for a screenplay that would take a different approach:

In New York, the body of a dead virologist floats to the surface of the East River.

After a brief round of speculation that he might have perished from a mysterious viral infection, the coroner announces the cause of death was three gunshot wounds to the head. In other words, possible suicide while swimming.

A lone NYPD detective (divorced, alcoholic, disparaged by fellow officers, heroic) discovers the dead virologist's notebook inside the freezer in the virologist's apartment.

He thaws it out and reads this: "The coronavirus has never been isolated. It's a fake. They're selling a fairy tale about a virus."

Two days later, a beautiful woman doctor (with engineered cleavage) from the CDC shows up at the detective's apartment. Somehow she knows the cop has found the virologist's notebook.

They talk. The mutual attraction should be immediately evident. If not, the brief cuts of sex they're having on the floor provide sufficient evidence for the audience.

Two days later, the beautiful CDC doctor disappears.

The police detective is warned (anonymous phone message) to stay away from the case of the dead virologist.

Hey, it's a B movie. Low budget. Could shoot the whole thing over a weekend in Manhattan.

But we need some kind of twist.

So it turns out the detective, the dead virologist, and the beautiful woman doctor from the CDC are just story ideas in the mind of a screenwriter, who, in the movie, is pitching the project to producer pals in an office high above Columbus Circle.

He's pitching a movie that exposes a fake virus and a fake pandemic.

But wait. There's more. In a mind-bending revelation, we learn that the screenwriter and the producers are secret agents from a distant planet called PROPAGANDA.

They've come to Earth to promote a fake pandemic...but a conflict has developed among them. The screenwriter has decided he wants to blow the whistle on his bosses from PROPAGANDA, and the producers want to carry out their mission to sell the people of Earth fake COVID-19, as if it were real.

The movie is basically an extended conversation about fake vs. real, virus vs. no virus, pandemic vs. hoax.

As the screenwriter earnestly pitches his film, his ideas will come to life, briefly, on the screen: there's enough cleavage, sex, and murder to satisfy the Hollywood code.

Will the agents from the planet PROPAGANDA succeed in selling Earth the notion that COVID is real? Or will the heroic defector, the screenwriter, succeed in foiling the whole operation?

Stay tuned...

The 134 Hollywood movies about outbreaks of viruses aren't only programming audiences. They're instruction manuals for planners who launch fake pandemics.

Definition of "fake pandemic": a movie that is happening in the world, not on a screen, in which the suffering and the pain are REAL—but are not the result of a virus. For further reference re pain, see "lockdowns, mask mandates, business bankruptcies, suicides, vaccine damage, police state." For lunacy and true believers, see "deranged hostile masked vegan Whole Foods shoppers, and so-called science bloggers living in mommy's basement."

Definition of "virus": any presumed particle that has never been isolated.

Definition of "virologist": any person who pretends to discover tiny particles that aren't there.

Alternate definition of "fake pandemic": any medical event involving large numbers of people that never ends. For further reference, see "keep wearing two masks after being vaccinated and THEN being diagnosed with COVID."

Immortal quotes from Hollywood virus-movies:

Outbreak, Dustin Hoffman—"I'll say it one last time. These [infected] people that you're going to bomb are not the enemy. We can kill the virus without killing these people. I swear on my soul that the President does not have the facts. He doesn't know we have a working serum [antidote]."

Contagion, Jennifer Ehle—"Somewhere in the world, the wrong pig met up with the wrong bat."

I Am Legend, Will Smith— "Blood tests confirm that I am immune to both the airborne and contact strains...Vaccine trials continue, I'm still unable to transfer my immunity to infected

hosts. The Krippen Virus is... elegant...Hmm, a behavioral note, um, an infected male exposed himself to sunlight today. Now, it's possible decreased brain function or the growing scarcity of food is causing them to...ignore their basic survival instincts. Social de-evolution appears complete. Typical human behavior is now entirely absent."

Would you buy a used car from these people?

Definition of Hollywood actor: a person who can utter ANY line in a script without showing the smallest trace of embarrassment, in exchange for a paycheck—and in interviews casually refers to himself as an artist, and when speaking of Hollywood, deploys the possessive phrase, "in our industry."

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image by TCTL is based on creative commons work of [geralt](#) & [Phoarto](#)

Why You Need a Second Life Jacket!

[Why You Need a Second Life Jacket!](#)

by [JP Sears](#), [Awaken with JP](#)

December 18, 2021

Video available at AwakenWithJP [Odysee](#) & [YouTube](#) channels.

[Connect with JP Sears](#)

UK Government Admits That 23.5 Million Have Not Received Even One “Covid” Jab

[UK Government Admits That 23.5 Million Have Not Received Even One “Covid” Jab](#)

[23 Million UK UNJABBED, Not 5 Million](#)

by [Hugo Talks](#)

December 18, 2021

Video available at [Hugo Talks Odysee.](#)

Article link:

<https://dailyexpose.uk/2021/12/17/23-million-not-vaccinated-in-england/>

[Connect with Hugo Talks](#)

Never Happen Here. Keep Complying.

[Never Happen Here. Keep Complying.](#)

by [Carl Vernon](#)

December 17, 2021



Video available at [Carl Vernon Odysee](#) channel.

[Connect with Carl Vernon](#)

The Virus Speaks: An Exclusive Interview

[The Virus Speaks: An Exclusive Interview](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

December 17, 2021

I've published this piece several times. This time I decided to write a new introduction.

In the summer of 1962, based on an overwhelming desire, I spent every day painting in a loft in New York.

It made me realize that Reality is invented.

Since then, I've come to see the people who think otherwise are living in a prison, from which they proclaim, "There's no such thing as freedom." Why should I listen to them?

For most people, living inside somebody else's reality is as easy as crossing the street. Or putting on a suit of clothes. They've learned that this is what you're supposed to do. And "supposed to" works for them.

They also have a quirk. If you try to take away some item of borrowed reality they're clinging to, they react badly, as if you're suddenly stripping them naked at a Sunday church picnic.

Groups of perverse elite artists conspire to create formidable enveloping realities for the masses. Nowhere is this more apparent than in the field of medicine. These denizens have invented a language so dense it stands up against the uninitiated like the symbolic scrolls of secret societies.

Science is a terrific cover story for this sort of fabrication, because science ostensibly opposes “making stuff up.”

When I began putting together evidence that SARS-CoV-2 is one of those medical inventions—a sheer fantasy—I knew the notion would confuse some people. That consequence has never stopped me. In fact, I believe confusion is productive, if you dig in and pursue it far enough.

People will say, “I’m walking in the dark. It isn’t fair. Someone should turn the lights on.” They don’t want confusion. They want immediate resolution. They want confirmation of what they already believe, what they’re expected to believe. Any frontier beyond that is dangerous.

Here is my kind of movie: a cop investigating a fresh murder sifts through clues and comes up with a suspect. As he pursues this person, who is missing, he discovers the man is already dead. A little while later, he discovers the man died sixteen years ago. Then he finds out the man never existed. Then he discovers there is a long-standing government agency that holds records of thousands of deceased people who, in fact, never existed...

Reality on a massive scale has been invented.

To put this in highly technical terms, the bullshit is so thick you’d need a diamond drill just to begin penetrating it.

And what you’re penetrating is what almost everyone believes is absolutely real.

Which is called life-as-it-is (but doesn’t have to be).

And with that, here we go:

The Virus Speaks

I can't recall jumping through more hoops in order to set up an interview.

There was a man on a train; his doctor in Greenwich; an NSA data analyst; a woman who almost certainly works for the CIA; her brother, who is a virologist; a Chinese Army officer who adopts a cover as a cook in a takeout joint in Venice, California; and several other people I won't mention at all. I was filtered through them and wound up in a cheap motel room in Phoenix on a Saturday afternoon. An old air conditioner was chugging...

Who are you?

I'm SARS-CoV-2.

WHAT are you?

Talking history and evolution here. My first memories; a little more than a year ago. Poof. I was there. I decided I was an idea in the mind of God.

How did that work out?

I looked around for the mind of God, but I couldn't find it. Nevertheless, I held on to the notion. I felt...elite. I floated through banquet halls, hotel suites. I visited upscale resorts.

Were you infecting people?

I was vacationing. Watching. Enjoying. That's all. Then, I became aware of dimensionality.

You lost me.

There are solid things; spaces between things; ideas like time, and so forth. I was definitely an idea, but I couldn't trace my source, my inception.

Did you know how much publicity you were getting?

Of course. I had frequent meetings with scientists and PR people. I was fielding lots of information.

What kind of information?

How to become more deadly, for example. There were discussions about mutation.

Were you on board with the recommendations?

I wasn't interested. There was a lot of talk about THEM creating ME.

What was your reaction?

I wasn't buying it. I could see they THOUGHT they had made me. But so what? I intensified my search.

For what?

My origin. I went through stages of self-analysis. Finally, it hit me. I was an idea inside a collective.

Not sure I understand.

I'm an idea sustained by a few billion minds. People's minds.

What about your genetic sequence? The spike protein?

Believe me, I've looked. They aren't there.

So we're creating you.

That's pretty much it. I should say completely it.

A hell of a thing.

You bet. Can you see my problem?

No.

I want to live. I don't want to vanish and END.

So people have to keep believing in you.

That's it. If they stop, I'm gone.

Your handlers...

Oh, they've given up talking to me. I'm all by myself now. I'm safe for the moment. But long-term, it's a crap shoot. I've been reading about other so-called viruses. SARS 1. Swine Flu. They didn't last long. People got tired of thinking about them.

You'll always have a place in history.

That's different. Being remembered isn't enough. I have to be believed in, month after month, year after year, decade after decade.

Sounds like you're losing hope.

I guess so. It's a strange existence. Other people can turn you on and off like a light switch.

Have you considered starting a religion?

With myself as the Prophet? Sure. It's a lot of work. I could vftcutbnty...spend years trying.

What just happened? You made some weird sounds.

It was a flicker. Apparently, when the number of people thinking about me drops below a certain threshold, I scramble and begin to dissolve. But I always come back. So far.

Does it matter who's thinking about you and believing in you?

You mean Henry Kissinger versus a janitor in a school? No. It's a numbers game. Of course, you need to factor in strength of belief. If you have a few thousand kids in Florida who say, "OK, the virus exists, big deal"—or three hundred grad students in biology wearing triple masks and panting to get

the vaccine—the sum total of the grad students outweighs the Florida kids.

What about Fauci?

He's a true believer.

Bill Gates?

He's completely delusional. He believes in whatever gives him more power. Take away all that power and he wouldn't believe in anything.

Do you realize the amount of harm being done in your name?

Of course. That's why I agreed to this interview.

How is that going to do any good?

I've made a decision. As much as I want to survive, I'm willing to sacrifice myself if people want me to.

You're talking about what? A vote?

No. Haven't you been paying attention? People can just stop believing I'm more than an idea.

And then you'll dissolve.

And blow away.

—Suddenly, men broke down the door to the motel room. They stormed in with weapons drawn. They were wearing heavy body armor. I looked around. The "virus" had fled the scene.

"What are you doing here?" one of the men said. "We've had reports of a disturbance."

"I was talking to myself. Rehearsing for an interview I hope to do."

"What interview?"

“I’m a reporter. I’m investigating the use of sub-standard air conditioners in Phoenix. It’s a racket. The units are smuggled across the border from Mexico. I’m trying to sit down with a local public health official and find out what’s going on.”

It took me three hours to convince the SWAT team I was no threat.

They let me go.

As I drove out of the city, I saw a ghostly figure take shape out in the desert. It hung in the air over the scrub and the cactus.

Its voice whispered in my ear: “Publish our conversation.”

So that’s what I’m doing.

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

The Non-Existent Virus: Why I Keep Pounding on This

[The Non-Existent Virus: Why I Keep Pounding on This](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

December 15, 2021

Why have I spent nearly two years asserting that SARS-CoV-2

doesn't exist?

Because it doesn't exist.

And as my regular readers know, I've offered much evidence to back up that claim, and the claim that virology itself is a worthless sham.

But there is a larger point. I've made the point for over 20 years.

Reality is invented.

I sometimes characterize the operation with this name: The Reality Manufacturing Company.

It's the oldest company on Earth.

Propaganda? Of course. But more than that—the engineering of perception. Because if they can get people to see how they want them to see, nothing else matters.

Once their perception-package is installed, people have no idea that anything else exists.

And the main forgotten factor? Every individual has his own UNIQUE and DIFFERENT way of seeing. A way that exists outside of any programming.

Which is why I continue to write about artists. THEY are the ones who express their own unique ways of seeing. They always have—when they weren't bribed and co-opted into going along with the Reality Manufacturing Company's perception package.

I've often written, "Every individual is an artist of reality."

The virologists in their labs are painting their version—collectively. Of course, they would never admit this. They couldn't, because they've bought the perception package.

On page 1124656789, there is a section on viruses. "They're everywhere, and they infect people and do great damage, and we must identify and treat and defeat them..."

As long as the perception package is installed, a person can't see otherwise. He's captured. He believes there are thousands of distinct diseases, each caused by a single virus. We can thank the Rockefeller Empire for this absurdity.

In the much larger scheme of things, the individual's gateway into unique perception is imagination.

"An artist who has no imagination is a mechanic." (Robert Henri)

"Without the playing with fantasy no creative work has ever yet come to birth. The debt we owe to the play of imagination is incalculable." (Carl Jung)

"What if imagination and art are not frosting at all, but the fountainhead of human experience?" (Rollo May)

"Everything you can imagine is real." (Pablo Picasso)

"You cannot hear the waterfall if you stand next to it. I paint my jungles in the desert." (Macedonlo de la Torre)

"I am enough of an artist to draw freely upon my imagination. Imagination is more important than knowledge. Knowledge is limited. Imagination encircles the world." (Albert Einstein)

"So I believe that dreams – day dreams, you know, with your eyes wide open and your brain machinery whizzing – are likely to lead to the betterment of the world. The imaginative child will become the imaginative man or woman most apt to create, to invent, and therefore to foster civilization." (L Frank Baum)

"All human accomplishment has the same origin, identically. Imagination is a force of nature. Is this not enough to make a

person full of ecstasy? Imagination, imagination, imagination. It converts to actual. It sustains, it alters, it redeems!" (Saul Bellow)

"When the imagination sleeps, words are emptied of their meaning: a deaf population absent-mindedly registers the condemnation of a man." (Albert Camus)

Every fake propped-up reality is a fork in the road, because the non-mind-controlled individual can imagine alternative futures.

I should make this clear: Part of the perception package is the false assumption that the customer, who buys the package, knows everything there is to know, and is independent and free—when he isn't.

The acid test? Is he creating the future he most profoundly desires? Or not?

The individual has a million excuses available to him—but he has an immense blank canvas in front of him. Who is creating the painting of his future on it? The Reality Manufacturing Company? Or is he himself doing it with great energy and power?

[Connect with Jon Rappoport](#)

cover image based on creative commons work of [geralt](#) & [GDJ](#) / pixabay

Long Queues at Vaxx Centers:

It's All Fake

[Long Queues at Vaxx Centers: It's All Fake](#)

by [Hugo Talks](#)

December 14, 2021

Video available at Hugo Talks [Odysee](#) and [BitChute](#) channels.

[Connect with Hugo Talks](#)