Corporate Media Flips Its Script: Vaccine Risk Is Real, Science Isn't Settled

Corporate Media Flips Its Script: Vaccine Risk Is Real,
Science Isn't Settled

by J<u>efferey Jaxen</u>, <u>The HighWire</u> September 21, 2020

Suddenly...Corporate media is telling Americans we should be more diligent about vaccine safety?

At face value, this sudden, rapid, coordinated narrative switch throughout corporate media (and echoed by select medical mouthpieces and government officials) is baffling. Outlets like StatNews, CNN, Wired and others for years all gleefully hurled the "anti-vaxxer" slur at anyone who questioned vaccine safety.

But now? They're grandstanding as beacons of the scientific method and steadfast guards of vaccine safety science! Hooray?

It. Is. Disgusting!

Parents and their vaccine-injured children who have been abused, targeted and neutralized by the historically deceptive media practices of those outlets haven't forgotten so easily.

Nor have the doctors, nurses and scientists who have lost their licenses, jobs and funding. These courageous iconoclasts dared to speak about the many inconvenient truths surrounding poor, incomplete vaccine safety science.

CNN recently attempted to chronicle past vaccine disasters.

CNN mentioned things like the Cutter Incident, SV40 cancers, and the 1976 swine flu scare, [though they didn't mention Tuskegee].

Many people reading the piece thought the content was welcome, considering it came from CNN. But they liked it better the first time they read it, in the 2013 book <u>Dissolving Illusions</u>.

All the troublesome vaccine 'incidents' chronicled by CNN had in common was the way they harmed and killed countless people. CNN tried to downplay and frame that narrative, claiming all of those incidents were due to a rushed 'vaccine making process.'

The sudden about-face from corporate media and politicians is due to two factors: To get ahead of the COVID vaccine-injury reality coming in the near future, and to counter President Trump's 'Warp Speed' vaccine rhetoric.

Over the decades, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) was untouchable on the topic of vaccine safety. Questioning their expertise or the science they relied upon to license vaccines was tantamount to 'anti-vax' nonsense.

Now, in a dramatic shift of events, even Bill Gates is <u>openly</u> wondering if the FDA can be trusted. Far from being called names, Bill Gates is given a free platform from the corporate media to ramble on about the reasons he doesn't trust the FDA.

Unfortunately, Gates and his media allies have been silent during several key failures from the FDA about vaccine safety.

For example, while experts have rattled off about 'robust' safety profiles of flu shots every year, many including Flucelvax, FluLaval, Flublok, Fluarix, Afluria, Fluzone, and Fluvirin, contain variations of the following statement on their product insert:

Available data on [name of vaccine] administered to pregnant women are insufficient to inform vaccine-associated risks in pregnant women."

In other words: They don't know if the vaccine is dangerous for pregnant women.

What Bill Gates and his media cohorts do know, but don't tell you, is that the FDA has no science in this instance.

FDA failed to produce any clinical trials and safety studies that were used to license both the flu and TDaP vaccines for use in pregnant women. When presented with a FOIA request, the FDA was taken to court.

Ultimately, the agency <u>conceded</u>, "Clinical studies for TdaP and inactivated influenza vaccines did not specifically enroll pregnant women."

The FDA legal response <u>went on to admit</u> that they "have no records responsive to your [plaintiff's] request." In short, the agency licensed the shots for use in pregnant women without the required scientific studies to ensure safety.

In addition to FDA licensing the flu and TDaP vaccines outside of the law and their own policy, the agency also <u>actively</u> <u>promotes and markets</u> the flu shot to pregnant women!

It gets worse.

Hepatitis B vaccines, the first shots given to the most physiologically-fragile newborn and premature infants, received a free pass from the FDA as well. The clinical trials relied upon to license Engerix-B and Recombivax HB; the two Hepatitis B shots only assessed safety for up to five days after injection.

Therefore, the trials did not comply with the applicable federal statutory regulatory requirements necessary to prove

they were "safe" prior to licensure.

Bill Gates...corporate media....silent....surprised?

Let's hit closer to home with a similar and specific example. In 2009, during the 'swine flu' outbreak, a very similar situation was foisted upon populations. There was a 'deadly' pandemic, or so we were told, even though the World Health Organization (WHO) changed the definition of a pandemic. Previously, a pandemic was defined as outbreaks causing 'enormous numbers of deaths," but that part got taken out. Still, when the public hears "pandemic," they still think "mass death."

In addition, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) stopped counting swine flu cases in the middle of the 'pandemic.'

That didn't stop a familiar face from making promises not backed by science. Dr. Anthony Fauci, then director of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), took to the airwaves to speak about the safety of the coming "swine flu" vaccine: "The track record for serious adverse events is very good. It's very, very, very rare that you ever see anything that's associated with the vaccine that's a serious event."

Health bodies in the U.K. were making similar promises. In an analysis of the situation, Peter Doshi at the BMJ wrote:

...governments around the world had made various logistical and legal arrangements to shorten the time between recognition of a pandemic virus and the production of a vaccine.

"In Europe, one element of those plans was an agreement to grant licenses to pandemic vaccines, based on data from prepandemic "mock-up" vaccines produced using a different virus (H5N1 influenza)."

The shot went on to hurt many, while documents now show

undisclosed problems in early postmarking safety reports were hidden from the public.

Back to COVID-19.

We now suddenly see another curious turn of events. Roll Call reports that states are planning to independently vet COVID-19 vaccine data because some Governors are expressing mistrust in federal health agencies due to Trump's influence.

What an incredible turn of events!?! Donald Trump, the only president who ever questioned the safety of the current vaccine schedule [campaigning in 2016], is now having states get in line to independently vet vaccine safety.

The number of childhood injections has risen from 11 in 1986 to 53. During that same time childhood chronic illness and developmental disability prevalence in children has risen from 12.8% to 54%. Many believe the two are related.

Imagine how different the world would be if the media held their largest donors, Big Pharma and their for-profit injection product lines, to the same standard of vaccine safety as they are beginning to do now with 'Trump's Warp Speed shot.'

Imagine if CNN or another disingenuous outlet would report that still, to this day, the CDC hasn't done a vaccinated vs. unvaccinated study to compare health outcomes. You don't have to take that on faith, CNN...the agency admitted it themselves in court just last month when challenged.