

Dangerous Nanoparticles Hidden in Vaccines & Our Environment”: “Nanoparticles Are Extremely Reactive, Can Hardly Be Degraded, and Disrupt and Destroy All Tissues They Come in Contact With.”

[Dangerous Nanoparticles Hidden in Vaccines & Our Environment](#)

“Nanoparticles Are Extremely Reactive, Can Hardly Be Degraded, and Disrupt and Destroy All Tissues They Come in Contact With.”

TCTL editor’s note: As a service to our readers, [this article](#), written in German, has been translated to English with the aid of translation software. Please understand that this is not a perfect translation. I do not speak German. However, the key concepts come through very clearly.

The work of Stefan Lanka and ‘Next Level – Knowledge Reconsidered’ challenges the entire paradigm around western medicine’s understand of biology (and the make-believe “science” of virology).

It is vitally important that we come to understand the danger

of this long-planned, destructive transformation and total control of humanity and all living beings. We need to awaken to the truth about our own biology and the totality of our multi-dimensional existence. The quality of life for all who inhabit earth depends on sharing real knowledge, questioning the old paradigms built on lies, and the rise of empowered humanity. ~ Kathleen

Nanoparticles: So Small and Yet So Dangerous

by **Next Level – Knowledge Reconsidered**

As has already been learned, the new corona vaccines come with accompanying substances declared as “additives”, the so-called nanoparticles.

Although their high risk potential has already been sufficiently investigated in the past, this is accepted with approval. No consideration is given to the health of the individual, and even possible long-term consequences for those affected are accepted.

By means of continuously running the epidemic mind-frame through clever propaganda, expensive advertising and the generation of social pressure, one pulls out all the stops to get each individual to “roll up their sleeves”.

Yes – to indulge in this vaccine!

One protects even scarcity, in order to awaken needs in the people. And all this despite the fact that it cannot be called effective, let alone safe.

In this article, I share with you various information revolving around the issue of nanoparticles, and can only

appeal to your sanity to keep your hands (arm ☐) off this vaccine and let others know this as well.

In a nutshell:

Real biochemistry: nanoparticles are extremely reactive, can hardly be degraded and disrupt and destroy all tissues they come in contact with. The body reacts to this disruption for repair purposes by forming globulins, which are misinterpreted by conventional medicine as antibodies.

Why do those responsible claim that nanoparticles are necessary as an additive?

Of special importance are the RNA vaccines, which are additionally equipped with nanoparticles.

So it says in [Focus-Arztsuche](#):

Nanoparticles as mini-transporters. But making the right RNA molecule does not mean you have a working vaccine.

" It is difficult to get the RNA into the human body cells," says Cichutek.

Gene shuttles with nanoparticles are supposed to solve the problem. Measuring only a few millionths of a centimeter, they carry the packaged strands of genetic material through the cell wall and prevent the vaccine from degrading too quickly in the body.

One of the problems in the preparation and administration of mRNA vaccines is the natural instability of mRNA.

In order to prevent, or at least delay, the degradation of mRNA and to deliver the administered (e.g., injected) mRNA to the site of the claimed effect (i.e., into the cells where the ribosomes then carry out the desired protein synthesis), a variety of highly complex additives are used.

So far, meaningful safety studies are available for very few

of these additives (Roier S. 2019. [Trillium Immunology 3/2019](#). Retrieved 03.05.2020), and some of the most commonly used adjuvants are related to nanotechnology (e.g., lipid nanoparticles/LNPs), for which in any case only very limited and contradictory experience in human use is available.

The danger of nanoparticles used in food, vaccines and others

The fact that these nanoparticles are extremely controversial and known to pose a high risk is strangely swept under the rug.

But what shocks me personally the most: How can scientists, whose job it is to check how dangerous the use of these nanoparticles and other toxins is in a person's organism, completely play this down and even endorse it, as if we were dealing with the most normal thing in the world?

-In [Der Spiegel](#) it says:

Federal Environment Agency warns against nanotechnology, quote:

"In animal experiments, the particles have migrated right into the nucleus of body cells and damaged the genetic information there,"

or

"Their tininess, however, also poses the risk that they are much more likely to overcome natural barriers in the body – such as the blood-brain barrier."

-The [mdr – Nanoparticles can cause cancer](#) reported:

"It has already been established that when nanoparticles are inhaled, they cause inflammatory reactions in the lungs."

[Rolf Buschmann, Technical Environmental Protection

Officer, BUND]

[...]

"You always have to ask yourself the question: what happens to it in the organism then? That's why we are particularly skeptical."

[Rolf Buschmann, Technical Environmental Protection Officer, BUND]

-In a study published in the International Council on Nanotechnology (ICON).

["Exposure to nanoparticles is related to pleural effusion, pulmonary fibrosis and granuloma"](#) states:

"Using transmission electron microscopy, nanoparticles were observed to settle in the cytoplasm and karyoplasm of lung epithelial and mesothelial cells, but were also found in the mammary fluid. These cases raise concerns that long-term exposure to some nanoparticles can cause severe damage to human lungs without protective measures. Pulmonary fibrosis and foreign body granulomas of the pleura."

The [Federal Ministry of Education and Research – BMBF](#) knows about their dangerousness and writes itself:

"Can these substances also make us sick?"

"There is increasing evidence that nanoparticles in polluted air can have a negative effect on our brains."

"Observational studies have shown, for example, that people who live near busy roads and breathe this air permanently have an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease. Toxicological studies must now prove whether there is a direct causal relationship."

"We are currently investigating this at our institute. But we are also wondering whether nanoparticles in products can"

have harmful effects on our brains.”

[...]

“We have studied several nanomaterials. We were able to detect conspicuous features in nanosilver. This substance is used for detergents or toothbrushes, for example, because it kills bacteria.”

[...]

“Of course, we can’t yet say whether this can lead to illness.”

[...]

“Too little is known yet about whether nanoparticles are toxic to nerve cells and tissue. We would like to help close this knowledge gap.”

[...]

“Toxicological tests unfortunately cannot always provide one hundred percent certainty. We are dealing here with complex mechanisms of action, some of which have not yet been elucidated. So it can’t be ruled out that a new substance comes onto the market that only afterwards proves to be harmful to health.”

This article from the Federal Ministry of Education and Research is dated 04/06/2019.

The Federal Government has knowledge of all this and yet – without regard for just one single life – has approved the new mRNA vaccine and is vaccinating people with it at this very moment and intends to use it on our youngest children as well.

Please forgive me, but the deliberate ignoring of such clear facts, which are known to all involved, can only be glossed over with a heavy heart as an oversight on the part of those

responsible.

In this context, I would like to refer to the vaccine Pandemrix, which was used in the so-called “swine flu” pandemic and [caused considerable side effects](#). [Cf. WDR]

Dr. Stefan Lanka (molecular biologist, virologist and winner of the measles trial [[See our video](#)]), had already warned in 2009, before the use of the then vaccine Pandemrix, shortly before its market launch:

“The strong destructive power of cells by nanoparticles, such as the so-called “auxiliary substance” (adjuvant) MF59 in the flu vaccine for the elderly, is based on the known fact that transport between cells in organs and tissues occurs with particles of this size and the cell cannot distinguish between ‘foreign’ and ‘own’.

“The penetration of the nanoparticles into the cell envelopes damages them and destroys the cells.

“Due to the fact that these nanoparticles are also very stable in the body, it is known that, for a longer time, cells in the body are destroyed. And this reacts with the formation of globulins as a sealing substance of the cells. And this increase of the globulin concentration is claimed by vaccine [manufacturers], against better knowledge, as antibodies and as protection against freely invented pathogens.

“When globulins are present in greater concentration, their binding to all kinds of proteins is detectable.”

The Paul Ehrlich Institute suppressed as long as possible the devastating and inconceivable fact that nanoparticles were already present in other vaccines. Only after diverse pressure was exerted, the PEI had to admit this fact.

[The Paul Ehrlich Institute indirectly admits that this is the](#)

[case](#), stating there:

“Even if some of these components are located in a size range that is in the nanometer range, they are not technologically targeted nanoparticles, especially not nanoparticles made of metals or plastics.”

Regulatory agencies, including the German Paul Ehrlich Institute (PEI), completely ignore this issue.

[Measles vaccine genetically contaminated: PEI refuses to investigate](#)

Note: Amazing that they first denied that nanoparticles were present at all and then, caught, manipulatively tried to wriggle out of it by pretending that they were “not purposefully” manufactured.

Let me tell you something: The task of the Paul Ehrlich Institute is to check from the outset whether harmful substances are present in a vaccination and not to determine only after the child has fallen into the well!

How can we continue to believe such institutions when it comes to our precious health? It is best to leave it alone. I don't even want to mention the other outrages of the PEI, **such as the concealment of the many [dead vaccinated babies by the vaccine](#) Hexavac** (How safe are vaccines really? – Dr. med [medical doctor] Klaus Hartmann) ...

The BioNTech mRNA vaccine is a danger for mankind, for whose side effects including death. [Uğur Şahin](#) is personally responsible.

From the point of view of orthodox medicine, the vaccination should not be used.

- Because RNA is transformed into DNA by several mechanisms and damages chromosomes.
- Because it will hit the body's own enzymes, which are

misinterpreted as components of the virus.

Strictly speaking, the BioNTech RNA vaccine is even more dangerous than nanoparticles themselves, because the RNA to be vaccinated is encased in lipid nanoparticles, and here we find a double-reactive mixture that will accumulate mainly in the brain and cause much more narcolepsy than was the case with the swine flu vaccine.

2. QUALITATIVE UND QUANTITATIVE ZUSAMMENSETZUNG

Dies ist eine Mehrdosendurchstechflasche, deren Inhalt vor der Verwendung verdünnt werden muss.

Eine Durchstechflasche (0,45 ml) enthält nach dem Verdünnen 6 Dosen von je 0,3 ml, siehe Abschnitte 4.2 und 6.6.

1 Dosis (0,3 ml) enthält 30 Mikrogramm COVID-19-mRNA-Impfstoff **(eingebettet in Lipid-Nanopartikel).**

Einzelsträngige, 5'-gekappede Boten-RNA (mRNA), die unter Verwendung einer zellfreien *in-vitro*-Transkription aus den entsprechenden DNA-Vorlagen hergestellt wird und das virale Spike (S)-Protein von SARS-CoV-2 kodiert.

Vollständige Auflistung der sonstigen Bestandteile, siehe Abschnitt 6.1.

Auszug aus dem BioNTech-Impfstoff https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/comirnaty-epar-product-information_de.pdf

The vaccine from Mainz (mRNA) contains fats in their non-dissolvable and constantly-very-reactive nano-particle form, including the known allergen, the solvent PEG (polyethanol glycol).

In addition, the vaccine will cause chromosome strand breaks in an unknown number of people, resulting in energy depletion, infertility and disability of offspring if the chromosome breaks also happen in the “germ line” of males and females.

This is the shortest possible description of the vaccine damage for which Uğur Şahin is personally responsible. For sure, there will be an observable number of deaths, which will then be said to have happened as a result of the virus.

With the engrained belief in an evil biology (orthodox

medicine), coupled with the collective compulsion for return on investment, one might almost assume that medical professionals actually believe that vaccination could help.

Most practicing physicians have never studied this information and trust the responsible scientists themselves and [do so] completely blindly.

So our task should not be to demonize those responsible, but to point out to them their error.

One of the simplest ways is to look to see if any studies at all have been done on the so-called pharmacokinetic properties.

“Pharmacokinetics describes the totality of processes that a drug undergoes in the body. This includes the drug’s uptake (absorption), distribution in the body (distribution), biochemical conversion and degradation (metabolization), and excretion (excretion).”

In short, what happens to all toxins (disguised as additives) within the organism?

Dieses Arzneimittel wurde unter „Besonderen Bedingungen“ zugelassen. Das bedeutet, dass weitere Nachweise für den Nutzen des Arzneimittels erwartet werden.

Die Europäische Arzneimittel-Agentur wird neue Informationen zu diesem Arzneimittel mindestens jährlich bewerten und, falls erforderlich, wird die Zusammenfassung der Merkmale des Arzneimittels aktualisiert werden.

5.2 Pharmakokinetische Eigenschaften

Nicht zutreffend.

5.3 Präklinische Daten zur Sicherheit

Nichtklinische Daten zeigten auf der Grundlage konventioneller Studien zur Toxizität bei wiederholter Verabreichung sowie zur Reproduktions- und Entwicklungstoxizität keine besondere Gefahr für den Menschen.

We see that a simple “not applicable” was noted in the SUMMARY OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MEDICINE.

These studies are omitted for just about all vaccines. A statement about whether this vaccine potentially harms the body and how the mixture of the injected material behaves in the body is simply left to fate by those responsible!

If this information does not take your breath away, then I suspect you are not taking it very seriously in other respects either :).

New studies confirm: Various vaccines are contaminated by micro- and nanoscale particles and described as non-biodegradable and non-biocompatible.

Volume 4 Issue 1 - 2017

Antonietta M Gatti^{1,2*} and Stefano Montanari³

¹National Council of Research of Italy, Institute for the Science and Technology of Ceramics, Italy

²International Clean Water Institute, USA

³Nanodiagnosics srl, Italy

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Antonietta Gatti, National Council of Research of Italy, c/o Nanodiagnosics
Via E. Fermi, 1/L, 41057 San Vito (MO), Italy, Tel:
059798778; Email: gatti@nanodiagnosics.it

Received: November 30, 2016 | **Published:** January 23, 2017

Unknown to most people is the fact that today's

vaccines are already contaminated with nanoparticles, as random tests have shown:

[New Quality-Control Investigations on Vaccines: Micro- and Nanocontamination.](#) [This article was published in English. Download the PDF [here.](#)]

Among other things, it states:

“The quantity of foreign bodies detected and, in some cases, their unusual chemical compositions baffled us. The inorganic particles identified are neither biocompatible nor biodegradable, that means that they are biopersistent and can induce effects that can become evident either immediately close to injection time or after a certain time from administration. It is important to remember that particles (crystals and not molecules) are bodies foreign to the organism and they behave as such. More in particular, their toxicity is in some respects different from that of the chemical elements composing them, adding to that toxicity which, in any case, is still there, that typical of foreign bodies. For that reason, they induce an inflammatory reaction.”

[...]

“After injection, these microparticles, nanoparticles, and aggregates can remain at the injection site and form swellings and granulomas ... However, they can also be transported through the bloodstream, eluding any attempt to guess their final destination ... As with all foreign bodies, especially those so small, they trigger an inflammatory response that is chronic because most of these particles cannot be broken down. In addition, the protein corona effect can ... due to a nano-bio interaction ... generate organic/inorganic composite particles that can stimulate the immune system in undesirable ways...It is impossible not to add that particles of the size commonly observed in vaccines can enter cell nuclei and interact with DNA ...”

“After being injected, those microparticles, nanoparticles and aggregates can stay around the injection site forming swellings and granulomas.¹⁷ But they can also be carried by the blood circulation, escaping any attempt to guess what will be their final destination...

*“As happens with all foreign bodies, particularly that small, they induce an inflammatory reaction that is chronic because most of those particles cannot be degraded. Furthermore, the protein-corona effect (due to a nanobio-interaction can produce organic/inorganic composite particles capable of stimulating the immune system in an undesirable ways. It is impossible not to add that particles, **the size often observed in vaccines, can enter cell nuclei and interact with the DNA.**”*

Several important questions arise from the results of this 2017 study that demand answers:

- Are some of these nanoparticles intentionally introduced into vaccines?
- Does the standard manufacturing process for conventional vaccines UNFORTUNATELY lead to dangerous and destructive nano-contamination?
- New nanotechnology is already being used to manufacture several vaccines – ostensibly to “improve efficacy.” In fact, the upcoming COVID-19 vaccine may be a nano-vaccine. Does this manufacturing process bring with it the inevitable effect of a hurricane of nanoparticle contamination?
- How many cases of brain damage and autism in children can open the door to [seeing] nanoparticle contamination?
- Finally, where are these contaminated vaccines being manufactured?

The above study did not attempt to find out. It was outside the scope of the research. It is common knowledge that, for example, in the case of the U.S.,

vaccines or their ingredients are not domestically produced in many cases. Where does this lead to control safety? For example, in China, where there have been numerous pharmaceutical scandals related to product contamination?

- The vaccine company is not showing the slightest interest in answering any of these questions. They are busy pretending that the questions do not exist.
- It would be suicidal to trust the establishment.

Even more explosive in connection with RNA and nano-vaccines is the reference to the Gene Drive Files, which the Heinrich Böll Foundation uncovered a few years ago. These show that the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation commissioned a PR firm to secretly undermine an important UN process on [the subject of synthetic biology](#).

Although all this is well known, Christian Drosten (Berlin Charité) comes up with the following words: "[Gene-based vaccines have potential](#)".

The only conclusion can be: Prof. Drosten does not know what he is talking about!

The Medical Research Center for Prophylaxis and Health Protection in Industrial Workers confirms "nanotoxicity" on human health

Combined subchronic toxicity of aluminum (III), titanium (IV), and silicon (IV) oxide nanoparticles and their alleviation with a complex of bioprotectors

Summary

"The use of nanoparticles-including metallic nanoparticles-has exploded in industry, commerce, and medicine in recent decades. A Russian research team studied the 'nanotoxicity' of three types of metal nanoparticles (titanium, silicon, and aluminum oxide) alone and in combination. Repeated injections in rats showed that all three were "toxic to

multiple target organs.”

“For the majority of these effects,” however, the alumina nanoparticles were found to be “most harmful,” even though the aluminum dose was only half that of titanium and silicon. No other publications have reported on the combined toxicity of these metal nanoparticles, despite their ‘potentially hazardous nano-effects on human health’.”

Source: [IA Minigalieva, BA Katsnelson, LI Privalova et al. International Journal of Molecular Sciences , March 2018; 19 \(3\): 837.](#)

The HELMHOLTZ Center for Infection Research has been exploring other avenues for years: [Vaccination without a syringe](#) via nanoparticles through creams to be applied to the skin or application via nasal spray.

Quote:

“The nanoparticles penetrate the skin through the hair follicles and trigger an immune response in the body,” says Hanzey Yasar of HIPS. “Such a vaccine would be very easy to administer and would certainly be well received by the population.”

Veteran physician [Dr. Larry Palevsky confirmed to Connecticut’s Public Health Committee on Feb. 19, 2020](#), that the aluminum nanoparticles in vaccines cause massive damage.

In it, he describes not only how the safety claims of pharmaceutical manufacturers are made without any scientific study, but also how they can be completely refuted based on scientific evidence.

It is known from medicine that a high concentration of nanoparticles leads to fibrotic changes in lung tissue.

There is also evidence that these particles are associated with respiratory diseases as well as an increase in inflammatory markers and an increased tendency to blood clotting disorders, which can increase the incidence of cardiac arrhythmias, heart attacks and strokes.

Nanoparticles cross the blood-brain barrier and it is unexplored what may be triggered by this.

With the background knowledge that all vaccines are based on a false foundation and do little harm at best – adding to the fact that the dangers of the nanoparticles used are known to the entire science bench as well as to critical colleagues – these dangers must be addressed and cannot continue to be ignored, or suppressed.

Act. If not for yourself, then for the children!

The entire NEXT LEVEL – Knowledge Reconsidered team will support you and answer your questions.

NEXT LEVEL – Knowledge Reconsidered is present on various social media platforms, including. [Telegram](#), [Youtube](#), [Odysee](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#).

[Connect with Next Level \(German language\)](#)

Cover image credit: [waldryano](#)