

# Doctors for COVID Ethics: Getting Away From the Control Grid

## [Doctors for COVID Ethics: Getting Away From the Control Grid](#)

### [Symposium 5, Session III: Getting Away From the Control Grid](#)

by [Doctors for COVID Ethics](#)

originally published January 20, 2023

*all videos available at Doctors for Covid Ethics at [Rumble](#)*

[Session III](#) of our [fifth symposium](#), *In the Midst of Darkness Light Prevails*, focussed on the means by which the entities and actors responsible for the abuses of COVID-19 have circumvented due process, regulatory safeguards, and the law.

## **Introduction**

**Catherine Austin Fitts** of Solari Inc. opened Session III by inviting viewers to consider speakers' presentations with the following principle in mind: If we can understand the nuts and bolts of the how the incoming control grid is invading our lives and communities and societies, we can stop helping, and we can refuse to comply.

## **Part 1 – John Titus: CBDC Suicide Pill for Sovereignty**

*(18 minutes 40s)*

Attorney John Titus discussed how and why the Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) currently being proposed and trialled in a number of countries spell an end to individual and national sovereignty. He defined sovereignty in terms of answering the question 'who decides?' If central banks can

decide how you spend your CBDCs, as Augustin Carstins, General Manager of the Bank of International Settlements (BIS), foreshadowed in October 2020, “the impact on personal sovereignty ought to be obvious enough.”

“Less obvious though,” John Titus said, “is how that is going to end national sovereignty as well.” He went on to outline why CBDCs are a “Trojan horse for global control of nations.”

“The real reason for central banks’ dominance over commercial banks within any given country,” he explained, “is not that the central bank regulates those other banks.” It is that central banks create the cash that depositors (citizens) are legally entitled to withdraw from the commercial banks. In this way, central banks keep commercial banks “on a short leash”, by controlling the liquidity they need in order to honour their legal commitments to depositors.

John Titus said that in parallel fashion, on a global level under CBDCs one single entity, like the BIS (which describes itself as the central bank of central banks), will keep the national central banks such as the US Federal Reserve on the same short leash. This will make national banking systems “subordinate to the [global] BIS... That is going to be more or less how people and how nations lose their sovereignty via CBDC. And Carstins decides what you and your country are allowed to buy, and are allowed to eat.”

John Titus concluded, “You don’t like that? I have three words for you: *vote down CBDC*. Or three other words: *stick with cash*.”

## **Part 2 – Overriding Sovereignty with International Treaties and Organizations.**

### **Corey Lynn: Laundering with Immunity – The Control Framework**

(9 minutes 18s)

Investigative journalist Corey Lynn of [Corey's Digs](#) described a number of mechanisms by which many of the world's most globally powerful organisations operate not only above the law "but completely outside it." One key mechanism enabling this is the little-known *International Organisations Immunities Act* of 1945. The Act grants sweeping legal immunities to transnational organisations with deeply vested interests, including the WHO, the UN, and the Gates-founded Global Fund.

Corey Lynn explained that the *International Organisations Immunities Act* was passed by US Congress after WWII under the guise of an imperative to rebuild without impediment. It stipulates that any organisations nominated by presidential executive order, "shall enjoy the same immunity from suit and every form of judicial process as is enjoyed by foreign governments." Those immunities include:

- Immunity from search and seizure
- Exemption from taxes
- Exemption of officers and employees from customs checks
- Exemption of officers and employees from legal action in regards to activities related to work
- Exemption of officers and employees from alien registration or fingerprinting, and registration of foreign agents

"And here we are 77 years later", she pointed out, with 76 organisations still enjoying legal immunity under the Act, granted by Presidents from Truman to Obama. Those organisations include:

- The WHO
- All branches of the UN
- The Gates-founded Global Fund, pertaining to vaccines
- All five branches of the World Bank
- The IMF
- And many more

Corey Lynn noted that in addition to the US Immunities Act, various treaties and headquarters agreements, for instance in Switzerland, grant additional organisations similar immunities, including Gates-founded GAVI the Vaccine Alliance and CERN. The Bank of International Settlements also enjoys sovereign immunity, with constituent immunities extending to its 63 member banks. Together with Big Pharma's immunity from legal liability for harm by its vaccines, this vast global network creates "an entire system operating outside the law." For more detailed information see the [extensive report](#) on Corey's Digs.

## Panel Discussion

(  
*15 minutes*)

**Catherine Austin Fitts** was joined by **Attorney Carolyn A. Betts Esq.** for a panel discussion on real world implications of an entire global system operating outside the law. They covered:

- The tens of trillions that have gone missing from the US Department of Defense and Department of Housing and Urban Development, with the potential to launder those trillions around the globe
- The global financial crisis of 2008 and the legal immunity enjoyed by banks
- The potential to engineer reduced life expectancy as a means of addressing the US crisis in retirement savings
- Atrocities and abuses committed in the name of COVID-19, where a series of immunities have been delivered in the healthcare sector, and through the application of military laws to "vaccine" authorization and manufacture under emergency powers, to be discussed by upcoming speakers. All of which dovetails, they noted, with the immunity enjoyed by international financial organisations such as the BIS, IMF and World Bank, which has enabled a "tusanami of money" to prop up WHO

directives and subsequent military-medical countermeasures.

Catherine Austin Fitts noted that we are now watching a “pincer movement of immunities, indemnifications and protections... One group of society is literally getting away with murder while the other side of society is subject to exploding numbers of laws.” Carolyn Betts stressed that the primary objective of the founders of the BIS was indeed to create just such an organisation that, “basically is not subject to any laws.”

Carolyn Betts concluded by highlighting the promise of legal actions against COVID-19 measures “to educate people nationally and internationally about what’s been going on, and what’s been leading up to where we are today.” Catherine Austin Fitts added, “I dare any international organisation to march into court and say that their sovereign immunity gives them the power to implement mass atrocity and murder worldwide.” Carolyn Betts agreed: “I just don’t see how you can say there’s sovereign immunity for murder.”

### **Part 3: Overriding Sovereignty with Military Law and Emergency Power**

*(Alexandra Latypova: 20 minutes 20s, followed by Panel Discussion: 11 minutes 20s)*

#### **Alexandra Latypova: Intent to Harm – Evidence of Conspiracy to Commit Mass Murder by the US DoD, HHS and Pharma Criminal Enterprise**

Pharmaceutical entrepreneur Alexandra Latypova followed by providing bombshell revelations concerning several pieces of legislative architecture, dating back decades, that combined in 2020 to hand US military-intelligence agencies control over COVID-19 vaccines and interventions. Contrary to public knowledge, this legislative framework enabled COVID ‘medicine’ to be taken out of medical regulators’ hands, and placed under

the control of the National Security Council (NSC) and the Department of Defense (DoD). The shift from public health to military oversight took place on orders from the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS), a political appointee. It set in motion an organizational structure and money flow enabling what Alexandra Latypova described as a criminal enterprise to deploy products that were toxic by design.

Alexandra Latypova underlined the fact that COVID vaccine manufacturers and regulators have flagrantly circumvented [usual safety and efficacy](#) standards and [good manufacturing practices](#), as she has detailed previously. “In fact there is no enforcement of the current Good Manufacturing Practices by any regulatory body in the world, which should be a big red flag for everyone,” she said.

Drawing on the research of Katherine Watt at [Bailiwick News](#), Alexandra Latypova pinpointed three recent pieces of legislation that have enabled abrogation of the usual checks and balances over COVID vaccines, and militarization of their deployment. These include the *Emergency Use Authorisation* (EUA) law of 1997, a 2015 amendment to the *Other Transaction Authority* (OTA) law, and *The PREP Act* with its “Public Health Emergency” provisions, which were “significantly bullet-proofed” under Trump, shortly before the announcement of a public health emergency in 2020.

These three pieces of legislation “clicked together” in 2020, along with other laws, to create a “legal cage” and “pseudo-legalization of murder,” Alexandra Latypova explained. The legal framework was activated once a Public Health Emergency had been declared, and the COVID vaccines designated a “countermeasure” by the Secretary of HHS (which occurred on March 10, 2020, retroactive to February 4, 2020). From that time, the usual clinical and ethical standards could be dispensed with, as **countermeasures “are NOT required to meet any standards.”**

Alexandra Latypova stressed that the authorization of 'countermeasures' under EUA law is subject only to the sole discretion of the HHS Secretary, who unilaterally decides whether any given countermeasure, including the COVID vaccines, 'may be effective'. The HHS determination may be made irrespective of whether the necessary evidence is available. The FDA, in contrast, has "no authority to regulate countermeasures." As a result, the FDA's role in the COVID response has amounted to nothing other than "performance art".

*"And in fact the FDA is fully aware of this because they cited this particular piece of law in their draft guidance for the development of COVID-19 vaccines. This is a very important piece of deception that the FDA has practiced on everyone: on US citizens but also a global audience, and global regulators probably, and governments. Because they all follow the FDA."*

In further revelations Alexandra Latypova revealed that not only did the FDA have no legal authority over COVID vaccines, it was the NSC – the US president's national security forum, devoid of any public health agencies – that directed COVID policy, not HHS. Under NSC direction, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) rather than HHS led the US pandemic response, which was the first time FEMA had ever taken charge of a public health incident.

Contracts for supply of COVID vaccines and other COVID products, moreover, were struck by the DoD, with the vaccines defined not as pharmaceutical products, but as "military prototypes". This was enabled by legal sleights of hand under Other Transaction Authority (OTA) legislation, which relegated COVID vaccines to the catch-all category of "other", placing them outside any normally regulated or accountable contracting arrangements. In tandem, the PREP Act conferred immunity to all manufacturers and contractors in the DoD contractual chain.

For a more detailed overview of the militarized pseudo-legal structure governing COVID countermeasures and vaccines, see Alexandra Latypova's longer [video presentation](#), *Intent to Harm – Evidence of the Conspiracy to Commit Mass Murder by the US DoD, HHS, Pharma Cartel*.

For additional detail about the role of the DoD, including an undisclosed collaboration with a Chinese conglomerate headed by a high-ranking CCP member, see her stunning [Substack exposé](#), *The Role of the US DoD (and Their Co-investors) in "Covid Countermeasures" Enterprise*.

See also her [interview with Clayton Morris](#) of *Redacted News*.

## **Panel Discussion**

[From 20 minutes 20s at the video above](#)

Catherine Austin Fitts, Dr Meryl Nass and Sahsa Latypova closed Session III by reflecting on the implications and wider context of the issues raised.

**Dr Meryl Nass MD** observed that the DoD has long been looking for a grey area between experimental products and licensed medicines, both of which are tightly regulated. She stressed that, assuming the information presented by Alexandra Latypova and Katherine Watts is accurate, "some of this is clearly illegal... This all has to be put in front of a judge."

**Catherine Austin Fitts** recalled the importance of public opinion to the judiciary, as discussed in Session II. She noted that one lesson learned as an official in Washington is that if something continues to go on despite not being effective, the real goal is not the stated goal, but what is transpiring – in this case injury and death. In light of that reality, "how do we help the popular culture come to the very difficult task of facing the fact that what we are looking at is mass murder?" she asked.

**Alexandra Latypova** answered by describing her experience



combining data on vaccine harms with the contextual reality of the money flow and organizational structure. The fact that the COVID vaccines are military products, owned and deployed by the DoD, can prompt a broader awakening, she found. Meryl Nass followed up by addressing the obstacle posed by a corporate media bent on censorship, and stressed the importance of “talking one-on-one, to everyone we know... We have to steel ourselves and find a way. Maybe it’s asking questions, maybe it’s telling jokes... We have to find the way in... Because as soon as people don’t comply, it’s over.”

**Watch all of Session III here**

*(1 hour 15 minutes)*

**Session III Presenters**

[Click here for Session III presenters’ links and resources](#)

**Carolyn A. BETTS, ESQ.** is a self-employed attorney, practicing as part of John E. Stillpass Attorneys in Blue Ash, Ohio and part-time general counsel and journalist for Solari, Inc. She served as the lead financial advisor for the USA Federal Housing Administration. She also served as an associate and then partner in the corporate finance departments of Omaha and Washington, DC law firms, representing affordable housing development, federal government, capital market, financial services and other major clients in connection with large mergers and acquisitions, mortgage securitizations and other finance transactions, many involving commercial real estate and affordable housing, and with securities and regulatory compliance matters. During the savings and loan crisis, her practice group represented Resolution Trust Corporation in designing and executing transactions involving assets of savings loans in government receivership.

**Catherine Austin FITTS** is the president of Solari, Inc., publisher of the Solari Report, and managing member of Solari Investment Advisory Services, LLC. Catherine served as

managing director and member of the board of directors of the Wall Street investment bank Dillon, Read & Co. Inc., as Assistant Secretary of Housing and Federal Housing Commissioner at the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development in the first Bush Administration, and was the president of Hamilton Securities Group, Inc. Catherine has designed and closed over \$25 billion of transactions and investments to-date and has led portfolio and investment strategy for \$300 billion of financial assets and liabilities. She graduated from the University of Pennsylvania (BA), the Wharton School (MBA) and studied Mandarin Chinese at the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

**Alexandra LATYPOVA** is serial entrepreneur and a founder of iCardiac Technologies, a company based on technology developed by students and faculty at the University of Rochester. It has received in excess of \$7 million in venture capital funding and currently serves 6 of the top 10 pharmaceutical companies in addition to a broad range of clients across North America, Europe and Asia. Prior to iCardiac Technologies, Ms. Latypova worked at VirtualScopics, Inc., a technology spin out from the University of Rochester and Analysis Group, Inc., a Boston-based economics, financial and strategy consultancy.

**Corey LYNN** is an investigative journalist whose popular website, Corey's Digs, has been helping readers "learn truths, go deeper, and understand what's coming down the pike" since 2018. Lynn's fearless and wide-ranging investigations use detailed analysis of primarily open-source information and timelines to connect the dots and trace money flows in areas such as education, health, science, technology, law and order and human trafficking. In addition to shining a light on topics ordinarily left in the shadows, Corey's Digs offers reflections on consciousness and encourages solutions to combat tyranny and create new social and financial structures that benefit everyone.

**Meryl NASS, MD, ABIM** is an internist with special interests in

vaccine-induced illnesses, chronic fatigue syndrome, Gulf War illness, fibromyalgia and toxicology. As a biological warfare epidemiologist, she investigated the world's largest anthrax epizootic in Zimbabwe, and developed a model for analyzing epidemics to assess whether they are natural or man-made. She has played a major role in creation of a coalition that has fought the Anthrax Vaccine Immunization Program. Nass is active in assisting legal teams defending anthrax vaccine refusers and ill service members in the U.S. and Canada.

### **[Connect with Doctors for COVID Ethics](#)**

*Cover image credit: [Mysticartsdesign](#)*