

Dr. David Martin w/ Hilda Labrada Gore: On Our Real State of Emergency – Medical Tyranny & the War Against Humanity

[Dr. David Martin w/ Hilda Labrada Gore: On Our Real State of Emergency – Medical Tyranny & the War Against Humanity](#)

[A Different Take On Our State Of Emergency](#)

by [Hilda Labrada Gore](#), [The Weston A. Price Foundation](#)

February 8, 2021

There is much frustration and confusion surrounding everything that's happened in the past 12+ months, related to COVID-19. Lockdowns, shuttered businesses, curfews and more have left a lot of us scratching our heads... and asking important questions. [Dr. David Martin](#), the Founder and Chairman of M-CAM Inc., is an author, public speaker, and innovator who has done a lot of research on our current state of affairs.

Today, David offers key facts that help us understand this state of emergency from a different perspective. He reveals how the CDC filed a patent application on SARS-CoV in the early 2000s and explains why this is important. He discusses evidence that indicates that SARS-CoV2 has been manipulated to limit freedom and compromise our health. He unpacks the legal and health contradictions of new COVID treatments like the mask and the vaccines. And he offers insights on what each of us can do to fight for health freedom.

Listen to the episode here:

Episode Transcript

Within the below transcript the **bolded text** is Hilda Labrada Gore and the regular text is Dr. David Martin.

There is much frustration and confusion surrounding everything that's happened related to COVID-19. Lockdowns, shuttered businesses, curfews and more have left a lot of us scratching our heads and asking important questions. This is Episode 294 and our guest is Dr. David Martin. He is the Founder and Chairman of [M-CAM Inc](#), an international leader in innovation, finance trade and asset finance. He is an author, a public speaker and a man who has done a lot of research on current events.

In this episode, David offers key facts that help us understand our state of emergency from a very different perspective. He reveals how the CDC filed a patent application on SARS-CoV in the early 2000s. He explains why this is important. He discusses the evidence that indicates that SARS-CoV-2 has been manipulated to limit freedom and compromise our health. He unpacks the legal and health contradictions of new COVID treatments like masks and vaccines, and he offers insights on what each of us can do to fight for health freedom.

Welcome to the show, [David](#).

Thank you so much. It's great to be here

You're not a health guy, you're a legal guy, right?

No, my training was in medicine. I was on the faculty of the University of Virginia Medical School, Radiology Orthopedic Surgery. I ran the FDA clinical trials program for the medical devices for UVA for a decade. I have a lot of backgrounds but

I have a legal background as well, but my professor position was in the medical school at the University of Virginia.

What's your take on what's happening with the virus right now?

Let's start with I don't think something's happening with the virus right now. I think this is a very significant criminal operation, which is an act of terrorism. I think that's what this is. The reason why I think that is because I've been monitoring since 1999. In 1999, we noticed that for the first time, the United States officially started funding work to what effectively was amplified biological toxins. They used the Coronavirus model as a way to do that. From 1999 to 2002, there was an explicit program to figure out how to get the coronavirus, which historically has been a nuisance to humans but not a big problem. It's been a big problem to animals.

In fact, the fundamental research for a decade before the '90s was in cardiac myopathy in rabbits, not in people. The guy who was leading this program under the funding of NIAID with Anthony Fauci had gotten money to amplify the pathogenicity of a part of Coronavirus. He made it more toxic. Not surprisingly, the places where he was researching and the places where he was collaborating are where the Coronavirus outbreak allegedly started with the SARS outbreak in 2002 going into 2003. I have always said, I find it interesting that the official story we're supposed to believe is that somehow or another, this mysteriously came out of the blue and it happened to come out of the blue where biological weapons labs were also happening.

It's amazing how nature backed into the, "There's a weapons lab. Why don't we go ahead and have an outbreak there?" The fact of the matter is we, as humans, manipulated Coronavirus and then we had SARS. Here's the funny thing. After 2003, the problem was Coronavirus resolved itself. It went through the population, had an effect and it resolved itself. Rather than celebrating, "We survived this thing," some people got sick,

some people died, that's a tragedy but it was not the pandemic everybody thought it was going to be.

We survived it without a vaccine.

No vaccine, no intervention at all and they seriously publicly lamented the fact that it wasn't virulent enough. Starting in 2005, there was an active program with the DARPA and with NIAID to begin work on figuring out ways to amplify the pathogenicity of this biological substance. They specifically focused on two pieces. One was the S1 spike protein and one was the ACE2 receptor. The ACE2 receptor is important because it's the thing that makes lung tissue sensitive to this. That was the mysterious piece because it didn't used to be a lung problem. It used to be a vascular problem but they amplified the ACE2 receptor component and they amplified the S1 spike protein, which is a very toxic component.

Those two amplifications started being amplified and funded through NIAID in 2005. In 2012 going into 2013, when we had the MERS outbreak in the Middle East, the National Science Foundation, National Academy of Science, NIAID and others started going, "Maybe we're doing something we shouldn't be doing." There was a question of the ethics and the morals of doing this Gain-of-Function research leading to the 2013, 2014 decision to stop Gain-of-Function research is what the public was told. What the public wasn't told was the people who were involved in the BSL-4 defense labs were allowed to keep amplifying this viral pathogen.

Let me interrupt you to ask a question. What was the justification they were giving for amplifying this virus?

The cover story is this. Biological weapons could be developed by some rogue nation or by some bad actors. If that happened, we should be prepared to develop vaccines. That's what we were told. As early as March of 2005, I wrote in a public briefing to law enforcement intelligence agencies that this was not a

just in case problem. It was, in fact, a program that included the dispersion of explosive biological material, such that you could put toxins into rocket-propelled grenades. I don't know about you, but when I hear that, it doesn't sound like a public health program to me. I published this book in March of 2005.

It doesn't sound defensive. It sounds offensive.

When people tell me, "It's all in the interest of public health. It was all about making sure we were safe from potentially rogue actor states." I'm sitting there going, "That smells like BS," because it is. We have the evidence that in fact these programs were dual-use programs. These were programs that in fact did have a public health vaccine development treatment program. That's true but they also had an offensive military application as well. We're tracking all this stuff and we've been tracking it since 1999. Lo and behold, we started looking at the fact that coming into the spring of 2019.

This is nine months before they're supposed to be a thing, we start seeing a lot of documents start showing up with the language about an accidental or intentional release of a respiratory pathogen. If that came out in one document and we go, "Somebody was concerned about that," when it starts showing up in a bunch of documents, it shows up in March 2019, it shows up again in May 2019, it shows up again in September 2019 in the World Health Organization Global Preparedness Monitoring Board Program, you start going, "Hold on a minute, we're being told something's happening."

It's like they were hinting somehow.

Except they are not very much hinting. They are going, "You keep saying an accidental or intentional release of respiratory pathogen." We were not surprised when we expected to see something happen in Wuhan or in Italy or in North

Carolina or in any of the places where we know the BSL labs were manipulating the Coronavirus. For me, the whole idea that this was somehow an accidental thing fails on its face because you can't get an accident with premeditated planning and then have nature come along and go, "By the way, humans are talking about doing something. Why don't I fly a bat over a wet food market in Wuhan and somehow make this mysteriously happen?" The amount of improbabilities to land an accident of nature in a place where you also have a biological weapons lab is zero.

What are the implications of something being done deliberately?

This is an act of war is what it is. It's war in the new way we're doing war because the new way we're doing more is with financial, biologic, health and living standards and everything else. War in the old lineup the muskets and shoot people, it's not how we're doing war anymore. We're doing war by depriving people of their liberty, of their livelihoods, of their access to medicine, the access to health, to life and to whatever they're doing. That's the new war.

Who is coming to war against us?

This is a massive transition between what used to be what I refer to as the Westphalian Nation-State Model, where it used to be you took the map and you drew lines on the map and you said, "That's France. That's Britain." That era has come to an end quite a long time ago, probably around the time that Nixon took us off the gold standard. What's happened is slowly corporations and corporate interests and financial interests have moved in as the thing that makes the difference. This is a war against the Westphalian Nation-State Model. It's a coup of that model where corporations and financial interests have said, "We're the ones that call the shots."

Now we know that there are hosts of individuals who manipulate elections, who buy politicians and who buy everybody. We know

that those organizations don't officially have nation-states standing. When you know that a person like Bill Gates or Jeff Bezos or Anthony Fauci, never elected, never appointed, never anything that has a legal democratic process around it. When you have those people who show up on every head of state stage, whispering in the ear of every head of state and saying, "This is how you're going to act," that's not they're advising and giving their best input. They're running the show.

What we're experiencing right now is the most insidious form of what is effectively a civil war where the democratic nation-states are being erased by corporate interests and financial interests who have decided they are going to be taking the position that they've already paid for. They bought Congress and legislatures. They bought Governor's offices all over the country. They've bought heads of state around the world and now they're moving in and taking what they bought.

It's not the sickness that's the element of war as much as also the collapse of the economy and fear that is running rampant. I see these as tools as well.

This is more a financial crisis than a health crisis. Now we could both agree that our definition of health has been corrupted a long time ago. Health as a construct probably was hijacked somewhere around the 1770s when we started manipulating and this is Thomas Jefferson and others started manipulating pathogens to try to figure out how to control the epidemic-type and plague-type experiences. Whether it's the poxes that came over from Europe, whether it's the animal to human transfers that were a concern at the end of the 18th century, what happened was we decided that somehow or another chemistry was the basis of health. We stopped looking at the vitality like we're standing out in the cold.

Our bodies have adapted for the cold. What has happened? Our blood supply is out of our faces. It's going into our core

because that's how bodies were designed to deal with cold. That's not a bad thing. That's health. In fact, we would be unhealthy if that didn't happen but that's not a chemistry thing. That's neurologic. That's physiologic. That's all kinds of systems engaged. The problem is you can't meter those systems. You can't dose those systems, which means you can't monetize them. What happened was we started saying health was about things you could monetize because if I can dose you something, then I can charge you something. If your body is working, my body's working, then nobody can make any money off it.

I've thought of that before. The hospitals only make money if they're full of sick people.

By the way, all the nonsense about wellness and all this stuff that you hear about, that is a cover story. It's a fraction of a fraction of a percent of what's spent in what we call healthcare. Healthcare is about end-of-life extension. It's not about living, it's not about health. It's about disease management. It's not about living in health. I am 53 years old, almost 54 in 2021. I have the vitality that I had when I was in my twenties. Why? It's because I care about my health and my vitality. How often do I go to a doctor? With the exception of trauma surgeries that I've had a couple of times where I'm very grateful that there were doctors, I just don't go. Why? It's because I'm not consuming a dependency on chemistry or consuming a dependency on a metered version of what health is.

I'm actually living health, which means I'm walking and I'm cycling and I'm doing yoga. I'm doing exercise. I'm eating well. I'm doing all the things I'm doing because that's health. The problem is you can't meter people like me. You can't put a tax on me because I'm not getting a syringe every day for my diabetes. I'm not taking a pill every day for my other chronic disease and because of that, I'm not controllable. What we're doing now in the guise of health is

we're saying, "If you don't have something that needs metering, you're not healthy and you're going to have to get something that needs metering."

This helps me understand the asymptomatic carrier BS, if you will. I'm like, "How can someone who has no symptoms be sick?" It's like a mental game they're playing on us.

If you think of women who get pap smears and they get an abnormal cell. For a long time, you just had a hyperplastic cell or you might have atypical cell, but now what do you call it? It's precancerous. It's not cancer. It's not pre-something. It's not the thing. What's to happen, just like an asymptomatic carrier. What's an asymptomatic carrier? What a crazy notion. I don't not have a thing. I don't not have cancer. I don't not have a thing and I'm an asymptomatic, soon-to-be something patient. I'm a healthy person. My immune system is working and my body was working.

This whole idea of asymptomatic pathogen vector that is now what each one of us is supposedly is so nonsensical but it's there so that we have to now be a consumer of face masks, social distancing, hand sanitizer or whatever else. Even if we're perfectly healthy, we still have to buy something, which is the metered definition of health. That's the big breakthrough and we need to call it what it is. This is the manipulation of health for metering commerce around an illusion built on chemistry.

Now that we're aware of it or at least starting to become aware of it, the fact that we're in a war right now, how do we fight against it, David?

What I'm doing here in DC, what we're doing all over the world right now is we're exposing all of the evidence that's required for people to take legal action from both criminal and civil statutes. The majority of even legal experts fail to understand the complexity of these laws simply because the

average person has no experience with anti-trust, terrorism, terrorism finance and with all of the kinds of laws that are germane to what's going on here. A huge amount of our efforts right now is to educate people on what the law is to help them support their cases that they are filing. Gradually, what we're doing is we're getting the legal side of this conversation along the lines of where it needs to go. The other thing is we have to ask people to start talking about health the right way.

We're not doing that. We're still in this politically correct era where it's unfashionable to be well. We supposedly are supposed to be, "We can't say obese anymore. We can't say a lifestyle disorder because that's being insensitive." That's nonsense. We need to model what health is. We need to live what health is. We need to experience what health is and we then have to go forward with a lived experience of what good health and vitality is all about. There's an individual role each one of us plays and there's the community role that we're trying to lead right now which is to say, people who've violated the laws need to be held accountable for what they've done to hijack your and my experience of living.

In The Weston Price Foundation, we are always talking about health and how to take our health back into our own hands. As you're saying, living empowered, healthy lives that are vibrant, not just disease-free but living optimally. Speak to us a little bit about this legal bit because our folks don't know what the legal implications are of what's happening right now.

There's a bunch of things. First of all, the Center for Disease Control in 2003 violated the law. They patented the Coronavirus isolated from humans. A lot of people have had issues with me saying that but here's the problem. The problem is under Section 101 of US Code 35, you are not allowed to patent nature. That's a statement. That's a fact. You can't alter that fact. One of two things occurred, either SARS

Coronavirus was made in a lab, in which case it violated biological and chemical weapons laws, or it was natural and CDC should never have filed a patent on it. The actual sequence ID in which the patent includes not only the whole genome but also all nucleic acid sequences associated with SARS.

This is a thing where one of two things happened and both of them are illegal. You either patented the genome, and if you did that, that's a violation of law or you made it, in which case you've also violated laws. Neither way is acceptable. Why would the CDC want a patent on the genome of the virus? It turns out that if you control the genome, you control the ability to test for it. You control the ability to trade it. You control the ability to develop vaccines for it. All of which they, in collusion with NIAID, controlled for eighteen years. For eighteen years, they have manipulated and controlled 100% of this entire campaign, which means that we get to 2020, we're told how we are going to measure Coronavirus. It turns out, the only thing we could do is use CDC's patented RT-PCR technology because they controlled the technology and they could never get it approved without Emergency Use Authorization.

When Alexander Azar in January of 2020 declared a national emergency, what happened in the first week of February is that all of a sudden the FDA comes along and says, "What never was legal to use RT-PCR as a diagnostic, because of the emergency, it now has become legal." This is the most egregious violation of the law you could hope for. The fact of the matter is that's what happened. If we wanted to end this epidemic, by the way right now, lift the state of emergency because the minute you lift the state of emergency, you can't use the RT-PCR test. You can't use the vaccine. You can't use any of these things because they're only legally used if the state of emergency is in place. If anybody wanted to change this right now, like literally now, lift the state of emergency and now

it's illegal to use RT-PCR. It's illegal to use what is being called vaccines that aren't vaccines that are genetically-modified toxins that are going into your cells. It's illegal to do it. It's solvable and no one is solving it.

I feel like the medical professionals and government officials have been persuaded that this is a legitimate virus. They may be doing the lockdown and all of these restrictions in the state of emergency because they think they're protecting the public that way.

I don't believe any of that. I can accept maybe a few people here and there might accidentally be doing the wrong thing because they're trying to do the best thing. I think this is a criminal collusion and I've got all the evidence that says that it is. Let's start with the Federal Trade Commission. The Federal Trade Commission makes it illegal to say that you can treat or diagnose a disease with the medical technologies unproven. Face masks have never been proven to stop a single viral transmission ever. That has never happened. Every governor is telling you that your face mask is somehow going to stop a viral transmission. It turns out that's empirically false and it violates the Federal Trade Commission Act, which says you're not allowed to say something has a treatment that does not in fact have medical, empirical proof that says it's a treatment.

I feel like I'm living in an upside-down world right now.

You are and we are. The cool thing is we're going to turn it on the right side.

You said you have all this evidence. I have to ask, are you pursuing any lawsuits to rectify things?

We are involved in several lawsuits and we're working right now to build out a case, which is in fact, the Federal criminal case, which is going to be the Federal criminal case against Anthony Fauci, Robert Redfield, Alexander Azar, the

Secretary of Health and Human Services. We're building that case right now.

Who is that 'we' that you keep referring to?

Me and the team of lawyers that are doing it. I'm leading it.

We will look for that. Is there anything else, David, that you can tell the ordinary citizen right now who's like, "How can I fight for my freedoms and my right to live healthily right now?"

Two things. One is stop talking about vaccines that aren't vaccines. The thing that's being sold by Pfizer and Moderna is not a vaccine. It's a pathogen that is injected into your cell to elicit the creation of a toxin. That's what it is. Vaccines are legally defined as a thing that interrupts the immune process in your system and prevents transmission. Neither one of those things is what's happening. What they're calling a vaccine isn't and we need to stop calling it a vaccine. That's number one. Number two, about your own life, what you need to be is you need to take the legal documents, including things that I've posted on [Inverted Alchemy](#), which is a place where I posted a legal action.

Every single person in America can download and use that which says you cannot violate the Federal Trade Commission Act by saying that my mask works, my social distancing works, any of these things work because it violates the law. 21 Code of Federal Regulations, 18 US Code, 8 US Code, tons of US codes, 15 US codes, all being violated and all of those are itemized. If anybody wants to take action, take action. Go make the effort. Inverted Alchemy's not hard to type into the browser. It's all there. People can do stuff. They need to be doing it, not wait for somebody else.

I want to wrap up by asking the question I always ask my guests. If the reader could do one thing to improve their health, and you talked about meditation and the things that

you do, what would you recommend they do?

There's no question. Take your shoes off and put your feet back on the ground. Find a place where you can put your feet on the ground. Remember what it's like to be human. Feel the Earth, feel your ecosystem. Once you do, let yourself breathe into that because the minute you do, you realize you're a wonderful human being. You're on a beautiful planet and you can make the best of it.

Thank you for your time. I appreciate it.

You're most welcome. Thanks very much.

[Connect with Dr. David Martin](#)

[Connect with The Weston A. Price Foundation](#)