

Dr. Mark Bailey: Virology's Event Horizon

[Virology's Event Horizon](#)

by [Dr. Mark Bailey](#)

April 5, 2024

Along with our allies we have spent the last four years dismantling every aspect of the virus model whether it concerns "isolation", antibodies, genomics, PCR, proteomics, electron microscopy, or animal and human studies. In 2022, I published [A Farewell to Virology](#), to date one of the only treatises that outlines a formal refutation of the entire virus model. This was inspired The Perth Group's 2017 epic [HIV – a virus like no other](#), the most comprehensive document refuting the existence of 'HIV' specifically.

In my recent [webinars](#) with Dr Tom Cowan we have been discussing the scientific method, along with the concepts of independent variables and controlled experiments. Clearly the virologists have resorted to anti-scientific practices to make their various claims including the foundational claim of virus existence.

It motivated me to write an essay specifically addressing the apical logical fallacy in the cell culture technique – something that has been noticed previously but perhaps not formally expressed. The virologists have claimed they perform control experiments and sometimes describe these as 'mock-infected' cultures. In recent months we have also been contacted by people in the 'no virus' community asking whether John Enders inadvertently performed a control experiment in his 1954 measles paper. [Dr Stefan Lanka](#) exposed the lack of a control experiment in this paper in the Stuttgart Higher

Regional Court in 2016 and I make some further comments expanding on this in note 20.

The pivotal issue is that the virologists do not have an independent variable and their experiments cannot make a hypothetical particle real. The 'gold standard' technique for "isolation" cannot possibly determine the presence (or existence) of viruses no matter how they attempt to control it. The paradigm that was created in the 1940s to keep virology alive was dead on arrival because the technique relies on a reification fallacy and logical errors that disqualify the entire process from being scientific.

We have had some feedback that although fairly brief, this paper is difficult to follow in some parts. (It helps to read all the endnotes.) If you have not already seen it, I would recommend watching Kate Sugak's excellent presentation at the XXII Russian Scientific Conference: "[The scientific vacuum: The scientific method and its absence in virology](#)". Kate covers the crucial scientific considerations articulated in my paper in an easy to follow format and shows that the virologists have nowhere left to hide.

I would like to acknowledge [Christine Massey](#) and [Steve Falconer](#) for their helpful suggestions.

[Please download the paper below.](#)




Loading...



Taking too long?

 Reload document

|  [Open in new tab](#)

[Download \[2.00 MB\]](#)

[**Connect with Dr. Mark Bailey \(and Dr. Sam Bailey\)**](#)

Cover image based on creative commons work of [naobim](#) & [OpenClipart-Vectors](#)