

Dr. Sam Bailey: On Health Freedom Advocates Who Attack Anyone Who Dares to Question Virus & Germ Theory | How RFK, Jr. Was Recently Drawn Into the Viral Existence Debate

[Dr. Sam Bailey: On Health Freedom Advocates Who Attack Anyone Who Dares to Question Virus & Germ Theory | How RFK, Jr. Was Recently Drawn Into the Viral Existence Debate](#)

Truth Comes to Light editor's note: In the video below, Dr. Sam Bailey talks about specific attacks, coming from within the health freedom movement, on the work of those who are questioning the foundations of virus theory.

At this point, most people in the health freedom movement, not to mention the general population, don't even know that there is a strong debate about the existence of viruses. But awareness is growing.

The so-called, 'settled science' of virology must be looked into carefully because, as Dr. Sam Bailey has stated, virus and germ theory "is a system that can and will be used repeatedly to promulgate fear and compliance in the population."

Dr. Bailey is careful to emphasize that RFK, Jr. is not one of

those attacking the work of those who question virology. In this video she shares a segment from a recent public Q&A session wherein Eric Coppolino asks RFK, Jr. some basic questions, pointing to the fact that SARS-COV-2 has never been shown to exist outside of imagined computer models.

You will find a transcript below the video with links to referenced articles and papers.

RFK Jr. Enters The Viral Existence Debate

by **Dr. Sam Bailey**

May 10, 2022

RFK, Jr. has been a tireless campaigner in warning the public about the problems of vaccines. However, with regards to the viral existence problem, he has been reluctant to get involved.

Let's find out what happened when he was drawn into the debate in a recent Q&A session...

To listen to RFK's original Q&A session with Eric Coppolino:
<https://chironreturn.org/rfk-jr-acknowledges-controversy-over-existence-of-sars-cov-2-and-of-all-viruses/>

Connect with Dr. Sam Bailey

Transcript prepared by **Truth Comes to Light:**

Dr. Sam Bailey:

Questioning the existence of viruses can be a risky business, as myself and others have found out. However, once you've seen the problems with viral theory, it's not something that can be unseen. It becomes a realization that much of what you were told is factual is not founded in scientific evidence at all. You start to research the material and find that many of the narratives are driven by industry participants and folklore rather than organic science.

While most probably don't have a dog in the fight, those defending the virus narrative can get pretty hostile.

However, others such as RFK, Jr. simply appear uneasy about mentioning the virus existence issue.

So let's find out what happened when one of the champions of the health freedom movement was unexpectedly drawn into the debate.

From the start I would like to make it clear that I consider RFK Jr. an ally in promoting health freedom and autonomy. He is a world leader and raising awareness about the risks and ineffectiveness of many vaccines.

I would also say that he has not been ambiguous with regards to his public statements relating to the existence of SARS-CoV-2 or other viruses. As far as I'm aware, he has stated that he believes such viruses exist. Although, in many cases the risks to health and the necessity for a lot of vaccines have been overstated.

I'd also suggest that the virus existence debate does not mean the current health freedom movement will be fractured as some seem to fear.

I don't mind if other people believe in viruses and germ theory. However, as we point out in 'Virus Mania' that is a system that can and will be used repeatedly to promulgate fear and compliance in the population. Once the fatal flaws in the contagion theory are understood, people no longer buy into any of it and don't get distracted trying to explain different aspects of the scam.

But before we get into RFK Jr.'s recent statements, there have been a few other prominent health freedom fighters who have made forays into the virus existence debate this year.

One was Steve Kirsch. He has been very outspoken about the dangers of the Covid-19 vaccine. Kirsch has realized that many doctors, governments and pharmaceutical companies are playing a game of deception with the public.

But then, on the issue of virus existence he places his faith in the high priests of virology. In early January this year, he decided to announce in his popular blog that SARS-Cov-2 has been isolated and shown to exist.

First, he smeared Drs. Lanka, Kaufman and Cowan with completely inaccurate portrayals of their work and received a huge backlash from his followers in the comment section. Instead of realizing that he might need to conduct his own research into this topic, he then decided to include [Christine Massey](#) and myself in the smears.

In a subsequent article 11 days later, curiously Kirsch suggested that we would not front if a live debate was offered.

Well, I can tell you from a series of emails that took place, which Kirsch was part of, that Drs. Bailey times two [Drs. Mark and Samantha Bailey], along with Stefano Scoglio, Drs. Lanka, Cowan and Kaufman, all volunteered to take part in a live debate with any experts that Kirsch was able to produce.

Instead of admitting that he was in over his head, Kirsch posted a third article the following week, embarrassing himself even further with declarations such as: 'The reason nobody has purified the virus is there is no need to do so in today's world where gene sequencing is readily available.' And, 'if the virus doesn't exist, then how can 600 labs across the country find the same sequences for the virus in infected samples.'

These kind of statements indicate he's unaware of the fundamentals of the virus existence debate.

Kirsch doesn't see that he relies on other "experts" to inform him on the issue. And my husband Mark has written about why this is not a good idea, outlining the nature of the evidence such experts present in his article '[Warning Signs You've Been Tricked by Virologists](#)'.

As Kirsch has worked out that people selling vaccines may be misleading people, then we would suggest that he peel back another layer to check whether the pharmaceutical and virology establishment, who have billions of dollars of vested interests, may be misleading people with regards to viruses as well.

And some other information I can give you is that I reached out to Steve after he posted his articles offering him a chance to connect, as well as a complimentary copy of 'Virus Mania'. But he never responded.

In any case, he seems to have gone quiet on the virus existence front, perhaps because he genuinely thinks it's [...] science. Although I would hope that he has some inkling now that there's more to this than he thought.

Another interesting smear attack against me from a supposed health freedom fighter came from Dr. Roger Watson, writing for The Daily Sceptic in March this year.

This was surprising on a number of fronts. Firstly, because the website developed out of lockdown sceptics and has the motto “question everything”.

However, it seems that questioning the existence of SARS-CoV-2 and the existence of viruses in general is a bridge too far for the so-called ‘Sceptic’.

Secondly, along with my allies including Andy Kaufman and Kevin Corbett, Watson co-signed the viral challenge letter to Boris Johnson demanding that the British prime minister provide proof of the Covid-19 virus. And, if not, then all measures against the nonexistent virus should be dropped.

Obviously, Watson changed his mind at some point and I’m not clear on why that happened. In any case, I had some fun dismantling Watson’s various allegations in my articles [‘The COVID “Sceptics” Who Spread Viral Dogma’](#).

Watson’s article was arguably worse [...]

Like Kirsch, Watson did not want to enter into a debate about the topic and couldn’t find anyone to front up in his place either. But at least he responded to our emails.

So, now we get to RFK, Jr., which is a slightly different story, as he has not been involved in any smears against me.

In fact, those of you familiar with ‘Virus Mania’ will know that he wrote an important section for our book titled ‘Greed, Negligence and Deception in the Vaccine Industry’.

RFK, Jr. is certainly aware of the controversy surrounding the existence of HIV. As he outlined in his 2022 publication ‘The Real Anthony Fauci’, our friend Tom Cowan even gets a mention in the book when he says: “The first time that someone – Dr. Tom Cowan, a physician from northern California – suggested to me that HIV was not the

sole cause of AIDS, I dismissed the comment as ridiculous.”

However, in Chapter 5, ‘The HIV Heresies’, RFK, Jr. goes on to explain how his own research made him realize that there were major problems with the HIV theory.

He is even aware of The Perth Group and the devastating criticisms of the very existence of an infectious HIV particle. Commenting, “In my conversations with Turner and Papadopoulos, and in my reading [of their paper](#), I find their arguments clear and convincing. However, I recognize that there are some fifty thousand articles on AIDS in the scientific literature. A casual novice like myself has little chance of unraveling this baroque controversy in a vacuum.”

However, most of RFK, Jr.’s focus in the book is on the fact that Anthony Fauci has been instrumental in controlling the HIV/AIDS model and has ruthlessly suppressed dissenting voices.

My hope is that he will read The Perth Group paper ‘[HIV – a virus like no other](#)’ one more time and he’ll see there’s no evidence that a pathogenic particle termed HIV exists. And there is no need to read most of the fifty thousand AIDS articles if they fallaciously assert otherwise.

So what happened on April 24 this year – the fundraising event taking place at the Grand Hyatt Hotel in Greenwich, Connecticut?

During the Q&A session, my friend and journalist extraordinaire, [Eric Coppolino](#), was there to put some key questions about the existence of SARS-CoV-2 to RFK Jr.

So let’s take a listen to the exchange that takes place between the two of them on that Sunday afternoon.

Eric Coppolino:

Hi Bobby. Thank you. Christine Massey in Toronto has amassed 182 responses under various Freedom of Information law requests from institutions, provincial, state, and federal, national governments which all say no one has a sample of SARS-COV-2 taken from a human. Would you please comment on that?

RFK, Jr.:

Yeah, I really am not qualified to comment on it, but ... My inclination if there are people who say that viruses don't exist, that there is no virus... I don't, you know, my inclination is that that simply is not, you know, that's not true.

Dr. Sam Bailey:

At least he has admitted that he is relying on inclination, rather than having looked into the evidence himself as he has done with vaccines.

RFK, Jr.:

I can't argue with you, and I can't...I actually, on our list there's a number of people who make those kind of arguments. And other people on the list server...and these are all very brilliant people, ridicule them and dismiss them, and have them produce a lot of evidence.

Dr. Sam Bailey:

It's hard to know exactly what he's talking about here. If it's the same virology papers we've been looking at, it is certainly unclear how this constitutes a lot of evidence. In my experience, they are usually reciting the paper's title without critiquing the methodology, which is where all the problems are.

RFK, Jr.:

I am kind of amused reading the exchanges and my inclination is that viruses do exist and do make people sick. I could be wrong. It could all be a big hoax, but to me, it seems like viruses are real, and ... look, I should have just shut up from the beginning and say I'm not gonna answer that question.

Eric Coppelino:

The governments have said they don't have a sample.

RFK, Jr.:

...You know what? Actually I saw an email exchange yesterday where somebody made exactly that statement and then ten people jumped on him with examples of where that's not true.

Dr. Sam Bailey:

This was news to me and I know it was news to Christine Massey, coordinator of the SARS-CoV-2 Freedom of Information Project, who demanded the data from the 10 people on Kennedy's list who claim to prove that the virus had been isolated.

RFK, Jr.:

...The other thing is, I do know this, when you make a freedom of information request, the freedom of information laws do not require the government agency to do science, or to answer questions, specific questions. What they do is, they, the Freedom of Information laws make it obligatory for the government to give you existing documents. So, if you're telling the government, "I want you to verify this." They look at their documents and say, "There's nothing here to verify it." It doesn't mean it's not true. It means they've got nothing. But, listen, again, I am not a ... scientist. I don't pretend to be. I find those arguments

interesting. And there's a guy in California, who I deeply respect, Tom Cowan, who makes those arguments and it really... I can't answer the question.

Dr. Sam Bailey:

This is another interesting statement and perhaps a chance for RFK, Jr. to reflect on the same battle he faces regarding raising awareness about vaccine problems. The mainstream could dismiss RFK Jr.'s arguments as "not being taken seriously by a lot of other people" because the majority of the medical industry still promote all vaccines. However, 'appeal to popularity' is a form of faulty reasoning and has no place in a scientific discussion such as this one.

My experience, and I'm sure Tom Cowan and all others in the movement can attest to, is that the majority of people are simply unaware of this debate and don't even know that questioning the existence of a virus is a thing. And the individuals and corporations that gain from the virus theory often engage in active suppression of the debate.

Prior to widespread internet usage, dissident authors such as [The Perth Group](#) were refused publication opportunities in the medical journals. And in the modern era, material such as mine is banned on all the big tech platforms.

The virus theory was put forward in the late 1800s and, for most of us, it is a revelation to go back through the scientific literature and see the key postulants have not been fulfilled .

One of the most amusing, and perhaps tragic, things you'll see is websites such as AIDSTruth claiming that the science is settled. In 2015 they announced that they were retiring the website because apparently their work was done. The first sentence of their self-congratulatory announcement shows just how disingenuous they are when they use the term

'AIDS denialism', knowing very well that what is in dispute is the HIV/AIDS theory or whether an infectious particle, termed HIV, actually exists.

The group also referred to 'bumps in the early years of treatment' which is an obscene way to refer to deaths caused by AZT.

In any case, I wonder if the team might consider resurrecting their website or if they are now too busy working on other projects under organizations such as the World Economic Forum and Johns Hopkins.

I think if they do decide to get back into it, they'll find that the number of individuals and groups opposing their position on the HIV/AIDS theory has gone up dramatically.

Mike Stone of Viroliegy, put together a collection of some of the websites questioning viral theory. And many of them, including Viroliegy itself, have appeared in the last two years.

All the individuals I have personally spoken with, that have or are currently pointing out the flaws in viral theory, they share a number of things in common. Firstly, they all believed in the viral theory at some stage. But when they investigated it for themselves, something changed their minds. Secondly, they have all paid a price whether being publicly censured, smeared or blocked from working in the professions. Thirdly, they are all incredibly generous with their time and share the knowledge with everyone that is interested. And lastly, and perhaps most importantly, they have a passion for exploring the possibilities and following the scientific trail to wherever it takes them by freeing themselves from the shackles of institutional policies, industry capture and public regulatory bodies.

My feeling is that far more people are moving into the questioning the viral theory camp rather than the other way

around.

Who knows. Perhaps now that the door has been opened, RFK. Jr. might take more of a look around.

So that we don't lose touch please find me at drsambailey.com and sign up for my free newsletter.