Dr. Tom Cowan Challenges Dr. Peter McCullough's Statements on The Last American Vagabond & Answers "Why Does It Matter That People Come to Realize That There Are No Viruses?"

<u>Dr. Tom Cowan Challenges Dr. Peter McCullough's</u>
<u>Statements on The Last American Vagabond & Answers "Why</u>
<u>Does It Matter That People Come to Realize That There</u>
Are No Viruses?"

video by <u>Dr. Tom Cowan</u>

commentary by <u>Truth Comes to Light</u>

February 15, 2023

Video available at Dr. Tom Cowan Odysee & Rumble channels.

In this video, Dr. Tom Cowan addresses the question "Why does it matter that people come to realize that there are no viruses?" He addresses comments made by Dr. Peter McCullough in an interview with The Last American Vagabond.

Excerpts:

"This is a historical misconception that, in a sense, has been weaponized or used against us — us being the people of the world — to our detriment.

And to put it another way, the virus theory, which is a subset of the whole germ theory, is a basic component of a worldview that is a domination worldview — that was espoused by such people as the Rockefeller medicine cabal.

And I, more and more, have come to the opinion that unless we get rid of this misconception and this whole domination worldview, that we cannot live the lives that humans were meant to live and create the world that we know we can create — because it's based on a worldview which is a) wrong, and b) toxic."

"So those of you who think this may be over and that we are done with the virus narrative, that is far from the case. We are as far away from that as you can possibly be. And that's why I think I need to keep going here."

"And the only thing I'm going to say in the beginning is one would think with the name like Last American Vagabond. I'm not sure exactly what that means, but it connotes, at least to me, a kind of rebel organization populated by rebellious people who are not falling for the dominant narrative. And all I can say is the virus narrative is about as conventional domination, mainstream narrative as you can get."

"So are blood clots a unique symptom to a virus? First of all, there is no evidence that the virus exists. So how would you know that the virus is causing the blood clots? I would love to hear Dr. McCullough trace those two and say that that is a new and unique symptom that couldn't possibly be caused by something else.

In other words, if you don't know why those buildings got bombed, then the default position is it must be the invisible exploding unicorns. That's the thinking that's going on here.

And we heard this in a debate the other day. 'If you can't tell me what else is causing people to get sick, then my default position is I go with the dominant narrative, which it therefore must be a virus'. That is magical thinking."

"So is there any other possible reason why people have blood clots? Well, here's two articles just on a cursory look that show that radiation sickness has all the symptoms, including damage to the endothelial lining and blood clots. You can see this in an article called <u>The Commonalities</u> between COVID-19 and Radiation Injury.

Forgetting about the fact that they had no way of knowing whether anybody had COVID-19 or not, so the paper is obviously flawed. All they can say is both conditions initiate a cytokine storm and both conditions have symptoms of blood clots.

Here's another paper. Again, even though it's very flawed paper, I'm sure some of you have seen this <u>Evidence for a connection between coronavirus disease-19 and exposure to radiofrequency radiation from wireless communications 5G — even though there is no coronavirus disease-19. So the paper is flawed, but what they can tell you is that wireless radiation is a ubiquitous environmental stress, and it creates all the symptoms.</u>

And they go on to say, including blood clots and all the rest of the symptoms, which we erroneously ascribe to — here's hypercoagulation impairs the microcirculation.

So all this is clear. There is a clear correlation between

a variety of environmental toxins such as pharmaceutical drugs, including some of the ones that apparently Dr. McCullough is recommending to those who he claims have the first infection, even though the test and the symptoms that he's using to claim first infection have never been validated and are not even approved by the FDA except under the bogus emergency use authorization.

So the whole argument falls apart. There is no new symptom called blood clots, which is unique to a new "disease called COVID". None of these tests, none of these studies have ever been correlated to an actual virus that is easy to demonstrate and easy to prove. And so the whole narrative just falls apart.

Now, what was the other part of this?

One of the things that is becoming more and more clear to me is that one of the biggest problems we're having is that people who are in the "freedom community", who go on to shows, podcasts, interviews, events, et cetera, and nobody seems to question them about the basic science.

I don't know whether it's a matter of politeness or whether they don't know the science. They don't know how to ask the questions. They don't know how to ask a simple question. 'Dr. McCullough I'm a rebel and a vagabond, and therefore I don't believe in the normal narrative. I know that the current scientific paradigm is used to enslave people and tyrannize people and separate people. And I don't buy it. And my whole show is based on we look at things in a different way here. Dr. McCullough, can you please explain how you know these people got infected with a virus? Can you follow that whole chain of events?'

Rather than nod and say they need pharmaceutical antivirals. 'And by the way, how did you demonstrate that these so called nutraceutical antivirals or over-the-

counter antivirals, how did you demonstrate that they actually kill viruses? And kill viruses in you?'

Because I know how they demonstrate that. They basically put it in a cell culture and the cell culture doesn't die as quickly. And they somehow say the increased length of time before the cell culture dies somehow means they've actually killed a virus. This is crazy thinking.

But this should be the responsibility of all ethical, responsible, informed journalists and podcasters and interviewers to ask these people every single event. How do you know these things you are saying? Because I know this paradigm, this way of thinking, this victim mentality — that you've been dominated by this unseen virus and, therefore, have to separate and not go to events and not be around your loved ones and wear the face diaper thing, and the whole bit. And take toxic drugs. How do you know this is based on good science? And they never asked that.

...My call for help is— this is where I need all the people who listen to me, who also listen to various podcasts and interviewers and other things that they may also respect for different views on different things. They need to know that it's time they start holding everyone, myself included, everyone's feet to the fire and start asking the hard questions.

Because as I said in the beginning and the Marburg hoax is just one more example of that, as they're now going apparently to target the African people who didn't fall in line so much with the COVID thing.

We cannot build the world that we want while still believing in that domination-inspired paradigm of the germ theory. It just won't happen.

We need to change the way we see the world. We need to change the way we think.

And everybody that we encounter who is is in the public sphere, who is speaking out of that paradigm, needs to be challenged. And the only way that's going to happen is if all of you get involved and say to people — in a very cooperative, friendly, polite, respectful way — 'Hey, Last American Vagabond people, here's the science. It's time for you to ask all these people who come on here, how do you know this is a virus? How do you know this is a first infection? What are the steps that they used? How do you know something is an antiviral? We need you to be our mouthpiece and our questioner and ask people this over and over again so that we finally see if they can stand up to scientific scrutiny. Because at the end of the day, we know that they can't.'

That's when things are going to change, people are going to realize that this emperor has no clothes and we don't need an emperor in the first place.

We're heading towards a kind of voluntary freedom society, I hope, or I think, or I'm expecting that to happen. And want to participate in the birth of something like that, which maybe we've never seen before. And these old ways of thinking, they just have to go."

Connect with Dr. Tom Cowan