

# Fake Terrorism and the Genocide Agenda

## [Fake Terrorism and the Genocide Agenda](#)

by [Paul Cudenec](#), *Winter Oak*

originally published February 26, 2024



The [criminocracy](#) is in danger of losing its carefully-constructed shield of invisibility as it accelerates its deranged bid for total and permanent global control.

It is therefore obliged to ramp up its attacks on those who dare expose its existence, its crimes and its lies.

While [Julian Assange](#) is the most famous victim of its war on real journalism, another important case is that of Richard D. Hall.

Iain Davis [writes](#): “UK independent journalist, researcher and documentary filmmaker Richard D. Hall faces conviction, sizeable damages and an injunction that could potentially end his career and his livelihood.

“The High Court of Justice has denied Hall the opportunity to present any kind of meaningful defence. This travesty of justice has potential implications, not just for Richard D. Hall, but for all journalists who dare to question power”.

The overall situation is that Hall is being sued by two alleged victims of the 2017 Manchester Arena “bombing”, which he convincingly argues was nothing of the sort, but a manufactured psy-ops.

In a [recent video](#), Hall describes in detail the issues involved and wonders whether the case against him is really being instigated by the alleged victims or by other, hidden, forces.



He mentions in particular Marianna Spring, the BBC’s first ever “disinformation specialist and social media

correspondent”, who has been [actively seeking](#) to discredit his work.

Kit Klarenberg [writes](#) on *The Grayzone* site that there are “troubling questions” about [Spring](#), who appeared out of nowhere to take up the newly-created thought-police post in March 2020, at the tender age of 24.

She played a leading role in “diminishing and discrediting sizable anti-lockdown protests that engulfed the streets of central London” and depicted them as “comprised almost entirely of fringe lunatics”, he writes.

Klarenberg points to Spring’s links with the extremely dodgy “think tank” the Institute for Strategic Dialogue, which I described in [this](#) recent article.

As I explained, the ISD was co-founded by ardent Zionist George Weidenfeld and enjoys an “institutional partnership” with the even more ardently pro-Israel Anti-Defamation League.

A 2022 episode of the BBC’s *Panorama* programme presented by Spring [featured](#) ISD boss Sasha Havlicek discussing “how and why people come to believe that terror attacks are hoaxes”.

Hall’s admirable forensic investigation into the Manchester event was presented as evidence of a supposed “mainstreaming of extremism, hatred and conspiracy”, with Spring and Havlicek stressing “the impact these conspiracy theories have on the survivors of terror attacks”.

The ISD’s Zionist affiliations are particularly pertinent here, since the Manchester “bombing” is officially regarded as having been the work of “Islamic extremists”.

Wikipedia [describes](#) it as “the deadliest act of terrorism and the first suicide bombing in the United Kingdom since the 7 July 2005 London bombings”, also [blamed](#) on “Islamist terrorists”.



The same familiar enemy is said to have been behind pretty much every big “terrorist” attack of the 21st century, starting with 9/11, and pesky “conspiracy theorists” have been asking [questions](#) about all of them.

There is certainly historical evidence to suggest that terror attacks are often not what they appear to be.

Gianfranco Sanguinetti [wrote](#) in 1980: “I have never said that the secret services were behind every single attack, given that these days even a Molotov cocktail or a workplace sabotage are considered to be ‘attacks’: but I have said, and I have been saying for nearly ten years now, that all spectacular acts of terrorism are either remote-controlled, or directly carried out, by our secret services”.

He was referring to the terrorist attacks, in Italy and across Europe, which are now known to have been [co-ordinated by NATO](#) under what is often termed Operation Gladio.

The aim of that wave of killing – which was not faked but very real – was seemingly to push scared populations into the arms of the security state and to discredit radical groups falsely accused of being responsible.



The first of these aims is most likely still true today – who, since 2020, can seriously doubt that deliberate fearmongering plays an important part in keeping populations under control?

But the second aim must be slightly different now, because the “terrorists” involved are said to be “Islamist”.

Why would the system feel the burning need, one might ask, to create fake or false-flag events to discredit Islamist groups that do not present an obvious domestic *political* threat to the governments of the various countries targeted?

The answer, I suspect, lies in the way in which our political institutions have been [systematically captured](#) by elements favourable to, and often funded by, Israel – a reality that has become all too obvious since the onslaught against Gaza began.



We might also consider a [document](#) published by Jerusalem Summit nearly 20 years ago. *The Acorn* [reported](#) in 2016 that

the [leadership](#) of this Zionist organisation included Daniel Pipes, the pro-Israel and anti-Islam US commentator, and Britain's Baroness Cox, [described](#) by Craig Murray in 2014 as "a prominent supporter of organisations which actively and openly promote the ethnic cleansing of all Palestinians from Gaza".

The document in question envisages "relocation" of Palestinians from their homes in Israeli-controlled territory "to allow them to build a new life for themselves and their families in countries preferably, but not necessarily exclusively, with similar religious and socio-cultural conditions".

The United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East ([UNRWA](#)) has been in the limelight in recent months, accusing Israel of crimes against humanity and, in turn, being depicted as a tool of Hamas by Israel and its supporters.

Interestingly, the archived Jerusalem Summit document declares that "the dissolution of UNRWA is an essential prerequisite for any comprehensive, durable solution of the Palestinian issue".

Also, crucially in the context of this article, it states: "The de-legitimization of the Palestinian narrative becomes a vital prerequisite to any comprehensive resolution of the Palestinian issue".

## C. Conclusion

1. The establishment of a Palestinian State must be removed from the international agenda.
2. However, removing the issue of a Palestinian state from the international agenda will not eliminate the humanitarian predicament of Palestinians residing in Israeli-administered areas.
3. This is clearly an issue that must be addressed and resolved. But it must be addressed not in political terms but in humanitarian ones.
4. Thus, to successfully resolve the Palestinian problem, the **Political Paradigm** must be replaced by a **Humanitarian Paradigm**. This, however, can only be done if the current Palestinian narrative, which fuels the Political Paradigm, is de-legitimized.

**5. Thus, the de-legitimization of the Palestinian narrative becomes a vital prerequisite to any comprehensive resolution of the Palestinian issue.**

How exactly could that “narrative” be delegitimized – thus allowing the ethnic cleansing of Palestinians, by whatever means necessary, to go ahead without too much global opposition?

One way would be to associate Palestinians, in the minds of the international public, with terrorists who have been attacking their *own* communities.

Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu has long been trying to make this link, [claiming](#) back in 2014: “[ISIS](#) and Hamas are branches of the same poisonous tree. When it comes to their ultimate goals, Hamas is ISIS and ISIS is Hamas. And what they share in common, all militant Islamists share in common”.

He made the same claim in October 2023, [declaring](#): “We have always known what Hamas is. Now the whole world knows. Hamas is ISIS... We will defeat [Hamas] precisely as the enlightened world defeated ISIS”.

With many people pointing out that Hamas was [created and propped up by Israel itself](#), insisting that ISIS is “[a US-Israeli creation](#)” and wondering if the October 7 attacks were a [false-flag event](#), a disconcerting possibility emerges.

Could it be that all or most of the big “Islamist” terror attacks of the first two decades of this century were fake or false-flag events, designed to whip up hatred and fear of Muslims and thus of Palestinians, to demonise and dehumanise

them in order to achieve the “de-legitimization” of their cause, as recommended by Jerusalem Summit?

Was this all part of a long-term plan to pave the way for the ethnic cleansing horrors that we have seen unfolding in Gaza since October 2023?

If so, is this why the Israel-linked IDS is so keen, through its boss Havlicek and her sidekick Spring, to shut down all investigation of the truth behind these events and the genocidal agenda they were designed to advance?

[[Audio version](#)]

*Richard D. Hall's videos about the Manchester Arena “bombing” and other subjects can be viewed [here](#).*

**Connect with Paul Cudenec:** [Winter Oak website](#) | [substack](#)

*Cover image credit:* [Syaibatulhamdi](#)