GMIs [Genetically Modified Insects] for GMOs

Source: Giza Death Star

GMI's for GMO's

by <u>Joseph P. Farrell</u> October 10, 2018

If you've been thumbing through your *Primer of Biblical Apocalypses* or browsing through *Apocalypses for Dummies* lately, you've probably noticed how oddly synchronous all those dire predictions from the past are and how much they resemble the "if-we-can-think-of-it-let's-do-it" attitude of contemporary corporations, mad scientists, and insane government agencies like DARPA, which — thanks to a suggestion by Mr. J.B. — we respectfully refer to on this site as the Diabolically Apocalyptic Research Projects Agency. We'd almost expect the current Director's name to be Lucy Furr. And for those of you who are unfamiliar with what synchronicities are, they're somewhere on the spectrum between coincidence and ineluctable Calvinism.

And if you've been following the saga of GMOs and Mon(ster)santo (lately become I.G. Farbensanto after German chemicals giant Bayer bought the company), you'll also be familiar with the fact that Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., son of the murdered Senator, has been closely following or actually involved with recent lawsuits against against the company for glyphosate which some studies have identified as a carcinogen. And that's just the beginning, because there are hundreds more lawsuits in the wings. And while we're talking about synchronicities somewhere between coincidence and ineluctable Calvinism, don't forget that strange Bayer-I.G. Farbensanto

connection to 9/11 via Mohammad Atta, whose stint in Germany was sponsored by the Carl Duisberg Gesellschaft, Duisberg being the lovely chap who headed Bayer during World War One, who helped found the notorious IG Farben cartel after said War to End All Wars, and who recommended during said War to End All Wars to bring 60,000 or so Belgians to Germany as slave labor. He was a veritable cauldron bubbling with ideas, including the idea of using poison gas and chemical warfare. That didn't work out too well for Germany as France and England had chemists too (go figure), but all ended well as Bayer made lots of money, some of which doubtless helped to purchase Mon(ster)santo.

Well, the spirit of Carl Duisberg lives on, for Mr. B.B. spotted and shared this article about the latest "if-we-canthink-of-it-let's-do-it" project going on at DARPA:

<u>Scathing Report Accuses the Pentagon of Developing an Agricultural Bioweapon</u>

Now, if you thought GMOs were bad enough, consider the following revelation:

A new technology in which insects are used to genetically modify crops could be converted into a dangerous, and possibly illegal, bioweapon, alleges a Science Policy Forum report released today. Naturally, the organization leading the research says it's doing nothing of the sort.

The <u>report</u> is a response to a ongoing research program funded by the U.S. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). Dubbed "<u>Insect Allies</u>," the idea is to create more resilient crops to help farmers deal with climate change, drought, frost, floods, salinity, and disease. But instead of modifying seeds in a lab, farmers would send fleets of insects into their crops, where the genetically modified bugs would do their work, "infecting" the plants with a special virus that passes along the new resilience genes.

The technology at the heart of this research could herald an entirely new way of genetically modifying crops. Instead of having to wait for a plant to pass its newly-acquired traits onto the next generation, genetic changes would be imposed upon living organisms, a process known as horizontal genetic alteration. Hence the technology's name—Horizontal Environmental Genetic Alteration Agents, or HEGAAs.

For HEGAAs to work, a lab-developed genetic modification needs to be inserted into the chromosome of a target organism. And that's where the insects come in. The system would utilize leafhoppers, whiteflies, and aphids genetically altered in the lab using CRISPR, or some other gene-editing system, to carry an infectious virus to pre-existing crops. Each plant would be infected with a transgene, triggering the desired gain-of-function, such as improved resistance to drought or frost.

Now, most readers here are familiar with the biggest criticism leveled against GMOs: the utter <code>lack</code> of long-term transgenerational studies; they were simply rubber-stamped and allowed out (during the <code>[mis-]administration</code> of G.H.W. Bush). At the time of course there were <code>German generals</code> people who said that using poison gas wouldn't work because <code>France</code> and <code>England had chemists too</code> further testing needed to be done of environmental impact, long term crop yields, and human health impact, but no one listened to them, and the result was predictable: <code>England and France started using poison gas</code> long term independent studies began to confirm that long term yields fell as prices rose, and a whole host of animal and human problems began to occur. Now, without any long-term studies, DARPA wants to create and release Genetically Modified Insects (GMIs) to Genetically Modify Crops.

And that's the *positive* spin!

The potential for weaponization of this Lucy Furrian idea is definitely there, so like Carl Duisberg, DARPA plunges ahead anyway, forgetting that England and France have chemists too China and Russia have genetic and robotical engineers too, and GMI warfare becomes an apocalyptic possibility.

All it will take is for some heartlessly brilliant corporocrat colossally stupid and greedy Carl Duisberg to recommend that the insects can be released on farmers' fields through gigantic artillery bombardments...

... oh... wait... we have airplanes for that now.

And gee, what a coincidence synchronicity that they're spraying like crazy. Why not just add some GMIs to the mix? ...sigh...

See you on the flip side...