

Goodbye War on Terror, Hello Permanent Pandemic

[Goodbye War on Terror, Hello Permanent Pandemic](#)

What happened to the War on Terror? World leaders have swapped it out for a new narrative: the permanent pandemic, where society will be controlled under the guise of “pathogen vigilance.”

by [Children’s Health Defense Team](#), [The Defender](#)

April 2, 2021

Those in positions of power have long [recognized](#) that conditions of fear and panic furnish exploitable opportunities to restructure society. [COVID-19](#) is certainly a textbook example of this observation, illustrating that well-tuned fear campaigns can persuade many people to abandon essential [medical and individual freedoms](#).

One of the key elements in the propagandist’s toolkit for [perpetuating fear](#) is repetition, particularly if the fear messages come from different directions and sources and are cloaked in a veneer of officialdom and respectability.

Thus, in the first few months of 2021, we have seen a proliferation of admonishments telling Americans that pandemics pose an “[existential threat](#)” to the United States and are [here to stay](#).

‘Existential threats’ – history repeats

In January, a bipartisan commission released a dramatic 44-page [report](#) calling for an “Apollo Program for Biodefense,” explicitly comparing the proposal to the efforts that first landed humans on the moon. The commission laid the groundwork

for its report in [2015](#), when it published a National Blueprint for Biodefense.

Now, seizing the COVID-19 moment, the commission is making the case for a vastly expanded biodefense budget – amounting to billions of biodefense dollars annually – to implement its conveniently ready-to-go blueprint.

Key members of the Biodefense Commission used the “existential threat” [language](#) in the aftermath of 9/11 in reference to terrorism – the same language they are using now regarding pandemics. Commission Chair Joseph Lieberman [championed](#) the post-9/11 creation of the Department of Homeland Security; Co-chair Thomas Ridge served as the first Homeland Security [director](#).

Around 2014, world leaders began signaling their intent to swap out the War on Terror for a [new narrative](#). That fall, President Obama hosted the first [major meeting](#) of the [Global Health Security Agenda](#) (GHSa) – which he later elevated to a [national priority](#) – and soon thereafter pronounced the terrorist threat “[over-inflated](#).”

Observing the downplaying of terrorism by Obama and senior administration officials, including then-Vice President Biden, journalists at The Guardian [chimed in](#), calling assertions of an “existential [terrorist] threat” hyperbolic, “zany” and “absurd.” The next year, the Biodefense Commission issued its National Blueprint.

Brace yourself

Dovetailing with the Biodefense Commission’s report, the media are telling the public to “start planning for a [permanent pandemic](#).” For example, deploying the loaded language so favored by [propagandists](#), German-American writer Andreas Kluth [warned Americans](#) on March 24 (in Bloomberg) of a “[global arms race](#)” pitting “coronavirus mutations ... against vaccinations,” suggesting that [SARS-CoV-2](#) could “become our

permanent enemy, like the flu but worse.”

A former writer for The Economist and a self-styled interpreter of historical [successes and failures](#), Kluth conjures up a foe – a mutating virus too “protean and elusive” to ever be conquered – that undoubtedly hits the biodefense wonks’ sweet spot. Far from rejecting pandemic hyperbole as “zany” or “absurd,” Kluth instead cheerlessly advises Americans to brace for “[endless cycles](#) of outbreaks and remissions, social restrictions and relaxations, lockdowns and reopenings.”

Ironically, Kluth argued last July in favor of a revival of “classical liberalism,” clarifying that he meant “not in the American sense of ‘left’ but in the European sense of ‘[freedom](#).’”

Kluth also assures residents of the U.S. and other wealthy nations that vaccination “a couple of times a year” will be [part of](#) the “new normal.” Arguing for realism, however, he cautions that vaccination against “the latest variant in circulation” will never occur “fast or comprehensively enough to achieve herd immunity.”

The most positive notes Kluth seems able to strike are his conclusions that this “Brave New World needn’t be dystopian” and that, with each successive lockdown, “we [will] damage the economy less than in the previous one.”

Global control grid

As Children’s Health Defense and [others](#) have pointed out, COVID-19 – and the spectre of pandemics more generally – offer a handy pretext for the wider financial and governance overhaul that is unfolding, benefiting the few while building out a [global control grid](#) for the many.

In this context, we should not be surprised to see that the Biodefense Commission’s report highlights 15 [core technology](#)

[priorities](#) that would fundamentally restructure society and daily life – in both the physical and digital realms – in the service of pathogen vigilance. These [include](#):

- A National Public Health Data System to “integrate, curate and analyze” granular data at all levels in “real time.”
- Artificial-intelligence-driven “digital pathogen surveillance” involving tracking of data sources like social media, online forums and internet search queries.
- “Pathogen transmission suppression in the built environment,” including “air filtration and sterilization systems” that could involve diffusion of [nanoparticles](#) (no consent required) via HVAC systems.
- “Needle-free” methods of drug and vaccine administration to “increase uptake” and work around “the logistical challenges of delivering these pharmaceuticals to potentially billions of people.”

In light of these stated priorities, it is interesting to note that the Biodefense Commission’s Ridge heads up an eponymous Beltway security consulting firm, while Lieberman serves as [senior counsel](#) for a New York law firm whose roster of financial services, real estate and (bio)technology clients [includes Google](#) and Israel’s Teva Pharmaceuticals.

Teva announced in February that it is [in discussion](#) with [COVID-19 vaccine](#) makers about possible “co-production” of some of the shots. The same day, Teva’s CEO [told CNBC’s Meg Tirrell](#) (who asked about this “very bright spot in Teva’s business”) that the company was “proud to be the partners” for the distribution and logistics of [Pfizer’s](#) experimental vaccine in Israel which, as of mid-March, had administered the shots to [nearly 60%](#) of the population, “more doses per capita than any other country,” according to Tirrell.

Teva’s CEO said nary a peep about the experts who are warning

that Pfizer's injection of Israelis is producing [mortality](#) far in excess of what would be expected from COVID itself.

Like Teva's CEO, Andreas Kluth has been an enthusiastic booster of [messenger RNA](#) (mRNA) vaccine technology, happy about synthetic mRNA's [endless permutations](#) and the possibility of telling cells "to make whatever protein we want."

While acknowledging that experimental mRNA vaccines had problems in the past (such as their tendency to cause "[fatal inflammation](#)" in [animals](#)), Kluth celebrates the COVID-19 pandemic as the "grand debut of mRNA vaccines and their definitive proof of concept," stating: "Henceforth, mRNA will have no problems getting money, attention or enthusiasm – from investors, regulators and policymakers."

In short, permanent pandemics promise to be good for technocracy and good for Big Business.

[Connect with Children's Health Defense, The Defender](#)

© April 2021 Children's Health Defense, Inc. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children's Health Defense, Inc. Want to learn more from Children's Health Defense? [Sign up](#) for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. Your [donation](#) will help to support us in our efforts.