

# Legal Action Update: Government Controlling Weather Forecasts to Cover Climate Engineering Crimes

Source: [GeoengineeringWatch.org](http://GeoengineeringWatch.org)

by [Dane Wigington](#)

May 7, 2018

How much longer can our government continue to hide the global climate engineering assault in plain sight? How much longer will populations continue to blindly accept the official government narrative of lies and denial in regard to the clearly visible and rationally undeniable [ongoing geoengineering operations](#)? How is it possible for the power structure to create and maintain the official denial of fully deployed climate intervention programs? By completely [controlling the flow of information](#), including the weather forecasts themselves.



*Geoengineered skies, Phoenix, Arizona (3/21/18). Photo credit:  
Joe Cornwall*

[GeoengineeringWatch.org](http://GeoengineeringWatch.org) and Stop Geoengineering Minnesota are relentlessly continuing with our legal efforts to force disclosure of the ongoing illegal climate engineering operations. The statement below was made by the lead attorney for our Legal Alliance to Stop Geoengineering, Julio Gomez, Esq. The statement is a response to a handful of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) documents that have finally been released to us as a direct result of the [lawsuit that we filed to force NOAA to release the information](#). Though thousands of documents have been promised for release, to date only a few have actually been disclosed and received. The documents we have been able to acquire make it clear that the US government is doing all they can to completely control weather forecasts all the way down to the local level. Why? So that forecasts are based on the scheduled weather produced by the climate engineering operations, not by

nature. In order to help hide the ongoing climate engineering crimes, the government is desperate to enforce consistent and completely controlled weather “forecasts”.

#### *PROPOSED ANNOUNCEMENT*

*Last week the NOAA – The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration released a series of documents concerning the restructuring of the National Weather Service under a program entitled Operations and Workforce Analysis. The documents were released pursuant to a Freedom of Information Act Request made by Stop Geoengineering Minnesota in collaboration with [GeoengineeringWatch.org](http://GeoengineeringWatch.org) and was filed last year. The documents are being released because Stop Geoengineering Minnesota filed a [legal suit against the US Department of Commerce](#) for failing to respond adequately to the FOIA request.*

*The documents are the first tranche in a series of releases that are planned every 30 days for the next few months. This set we just received contains statements and communications between the National Weather Service and the employee union, the National Weather Service Employees Organization or NWSEO. According to these documents National Weather Service employees were asked to sign a non-disclosure agreement to prevent them from discussing steps the National Weather Service is taking to restructure the agency, the steps included the following:*

- Reductions in personnel and/or not filling between 300-600 vacancies in NWS offices across the country which strain resources and personnel;*
- the possibility that local meteorologists will no longer “own the forecast” in their region;*
- that the role of local forecasters will be diminished; that they will be disincentivized or even prohibited from making changes to the forecast models they receive;*
- that local forecasters are being removed from the forecast process and being replaced with supercomputers and upgraded forecast models.*

According to these documents, the National Weather Service's Weather Prediction Center in Maryland will have the final say or "last touch" over all local forecasts. Thus, the restructuring can be viewed as an attempt to **centralize and control weather forecasts** for the whole country.

It can be inferred that the National Weather Service wanted to gag their employees and keep them from speaking about these issues because they do not want the public to know that **the National Weather Service is restructuring to control and have final say in all local forecasts.**

According to statements attributed to the employees' union in these documents:

**"it is difficult to give management claim[s] much credibility when we already have reports from Central Region that local forecasters are being highly discouraged or possibly even prohibited at certain local Weather Forecast Offices from making changes to the raw "Central Region Superblend" [forecast] model output, which is being automatically loaded into the forecast databases of those offices (wiping out the existing forecast) twice daily."**

[GeoengineeringWatch.org](http://GeoengineeringWatch.org) is committed to defending the right of National Weather Service employees to speak freely about the restructuring and to voice their concerns; Geoengineering Watch will continue to monitor this restructuring and report back on future document releases.

On the most recent scheduled date for NOAA document releases to our attorneys, not a single additional document was produced by NOAA (in spite of their legal obligation to do so). Public pressure to disclose information must be brought to bear on our so called government and all the agencies that our government controls, like NOAA. [Awakening populations to what is occurring in our skies is the great imperative](#), this effort will require all of us. If we can reach a critical mass of awareness, we can alter the equation in the right direction. [GeoengineeringWatch.org](http://GeoengineeringWatch.org) and Stop Geoengineering Minnesota will continue with our legal efforts to force disclosure of the ongoing geoengineering operations, more updates to come. Help us in the critical effort to sound the alarm, help us to share [credible data](#), [make your voice heard](#).

DW

*May be freely reprinted, so long as the text is unaltered, all hyperlinks are left intact, and credit for the article is prominently given to [GeoengineeringWatch.org](http://GeoengineeringWatch.org) and the article's author with a hyperlink back to the original story.*