

# More California Wildfires, and Antarctic Strangeness...

Source: [Giza Death Star](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#)

December 10, 2017

California is once again suffering severe wild fires. We have already witnessed the fires that raged through Napa and the northern bay area, and have even commented that some aspects of those fires appear to be highly anomalous. It would indeed be difficult to deny, for most of us have seen the pictures of (1) burned and flattened buildings, and right next to them, trees or shrubbery that remains unburned and untouched, or (2) pictures of trees and shrubbery bent over and twisted into strange shapes, an effect of microwaves, and a phenomenon correlated with the bending of plants in crop circles under similar intense microwave conditions. (One thing that might be investigated is whether the California plants show signs of the nodules found in crop circle plants... however, it might be too late to do so now).

Now southern California is facing a similar fire apocalypse, and those who've been following the geoengineering story are blaming at least *part* of the magnitude of the disaster on the chemtrail-spraying phenomenon. Consider this article (found again by Mr. V.T.):

[California Firestorms: Geoengineered Catastrophe](#)

While I hate to speculate in the midst of a disaster, when people are losing their homes and having their lives turned upside down, I have to do so, because I suspect that most of us, as we watch these disasters afflicting California, have

the same sense or intuition that this is horribly wrong, and that something is wrong, that it isn't entirely natural, or just the El Nino winds, or draught, and so on. In this case, I've been receiving a few emails this week about the fires, and most people emailing are expressing some version of this idea that something is wrong, and they've looking for answers, from deliberate acts of arson/terrorism, to geoengineering, or plots involving the UN and so on. Frankly, I'm open to all of them, but lean toward the geoengineering explanations for a very simple reason: I would never have entertained it nor paid much attention to such an explanation had I not seen with my own eyes the "spraying" and "chemtrail" phenomenon while on a recent trip from southern California to the bay area a couple of years ago with two friends.

There was, however, something in *this* article that not only caught my attention, but really threw me for a loop. In fact, it's so loopy that one might be inclined to think that I've not only walked off the end of the twig but have walked straight into a lush padded room... do not pass kookery, go directly to padded room. The first statement that caught my attention was this:

*Geoengineering operations are not only [cutting off precipitation from the US West](#) and [destroying the ozone layer globally](#), the desiccant particulates from the fallout create an incendiary dust that coats foliage and structures.*

Ok... if there is incendiary dust sticking to foliage, why in the pictures are some things burning, but some palm trees appear untouched... or am I missing something?

But the thing that *really* caught my attention was *this*:

*While California continues to go up in flames, regions of the Canadian Arctic and Greenland have recently been basking in record warm temperatures [nearly 60 degrees above normal](#). At the same time that [record heat is occurring in the polar](#)*

regions and elsewhere, snow is forecasted (scheduled) to fall as far south as Chihuahua, Mexico (2017 also saw record low ice at BOTH poles). The climate engineers will continue to use patented chemical ice nucleation processes to fuel ever more extreme weather whiplash scenarios. The climate engineers are manufacturing the illusion of winter in some regions while overall global temperatures continue to soar toward a runaway scenario.

Now, it is true that regions of the world that we normally associate with “cold” are experiencing record “balminess,” and like Mr. Wiggington, author of the article and “geoengineering watcher”, I suspect that much of the global warming/climate change hype is on shaky ground, but for a very different reason than the “concerned scientists” maintain. It has become something of a mantra in certain quarters that this “global warming”/“climate change” is due to human activity and that as such we need to limit that. Of course, this agenda is being driven by those who, while lecturing us on our greed and consumption, continue to live in mansions, run up gigantic electric bills, and fly in their private jets. I’m *not* talking about *that* kind of global warming/climate change-humans-are-responsible stuff. Frankly, I’ve never bought it, and don’t now.

But what I *do* buy into is that geoengineering itself – the “solution” – might very well be the *problem*.

And that brings me to today’s high octane speculation on my walk from the twig to the padded room. Imagine, for a moment, that you’ve decided to engage in a massive planetary geoengineering project, spraying all manner of junk into the atmosphere for whatever reason. The reasons many advance are themselves an indicator of a multi-leveled agenda: increasing the electrical conductivity of the atmosphere for nefarious purposes ranging from missile defense to mind control, induction of genetic modified (and *modifying*) materials into

the human population, and so on. So while you're doing this, you then cynically exploit another agenda as you watch the temperatures rise, and watch California burn: you say it's all due to too much cattle flatulence, carbon emissions, and other activity of the general populace.

But there might be yet another stupendous colossal agenda, and herewith the "padded room" part of today's high octane speculation. And yes, you're fully permitted to laugh and tell me I've completely lost it, that I've gone round the bend and that I am just a few flies short of a Happy Meal. Suppose you suspected that there was "gobs of stuff" down there in Antarctica underneath all that ice. How to get to it. Well, you could drill and mine, but that would be relatively expensive, and it wouldn't give you access to *all* of it.

So...

... you would have to melt the ice.

And you could blame all that resultant flooding on the cattle rancher dairy farmer and John and Jane Q Public, tax them for their carbon emissions, accomplish a few *other* objectives along the way, and make the public pay for your project.

Yea, I know. It's insane. It's goofy. It's just as goofy as that nutcase member of the Rothschild family who proposed, some years ago, buying massive amounts of dry ice and cooling the world down that way. That's the sort of planet-sized insanity and kookery too much inbreeding results in.

In the case of my own kookery today, I cannot plead inbreeding as my excuse.

I can, however, point to that planet-sized kookery of a powerful famdamnlly as an example of such planet-sized agendas and the willingness to indulge them. The trouble, in other words, is not that *I'm* that crazy, but that the so-called "elites" are.

See you on the flip side...