

# On the Elimination of Individual Thought and Dissent: Why Flatulent Cows Matter

## [On the Elimination of Individual Thought and Dissent: Why Flatulent Cows Matter](#)



*“The goal is not the elimination of cows; it’s the elimination of thinking and dissent. If we keep the above in mind as a process rather than an intended outcome, we have a greater ability to focus on the critical issue.*

*“To be sure, there are those entities that would like to eliminate red meat and feed people insects as a replacement. But that’s not the central issue here.*

*“The core objective is nothing less than the elimination of individual thought and dissent. It’s essential in the creation of a fully collectivist state, and it’s at the very heart of the overall globalist objective.”*



## [Why Flatulent Cows Matter](#)

by [Jeff Thomas](#), [International Man](#)

July 31. 2023

We've all heard nonsense about cows presenting a danger to the continuance of life on earth – that methane gas from cow flatulence will bring on climate change faster than John Kerry's jet.

Any thinking person (a sub-species of Homo sapiens that's in decline but not yet endangered) would agree that the notion that an animal that's existed in harmony with nature for over two million years could destroy the earth within fourteen years if they're not exterminated is truly absurd.

And yet those whose ability to reason is on the decline are inclined to believe the claim. Presumably, these individuals are the same ones beginning to believe that men can have babies and that an individual can become something he or she is not simply by "identifying" as such.

But those of us who see the absurdity in such clearly nonsensical beliefs are disinclined to laugh as we observe that these concepts are being disseminated by globalist governments through a compliant media... and, worse, are being accepted by more than a few people.

As a case in point, recently, a publication – Natural News – did a piece entitled, *"13 Nations agree to engineer global FAMINE by destroying agriculture, saying that producing food is BAD for the planet."*

In that article, they describe a conference led by US Climate Czar John Kerry, in which representatives from thirteen countries are stated to have committed to a diminished cow population worldwide to combat climate change.

Well, that conference did take place, and a topic of discussion was methane produced by cows, and thirteen attendees did agree that measures of some sort were needed.

But it is not the case that thirteen countries have enacted legislation to eliminate cows.

We might take a step back here and examine what actually occurred. In so doing, we may not only learn whether or not red meat will soon be eliminated globally; we might also gain some insight into how globalist governments seek to achieve their ends.

In most countries, the role of Minister for the Environment is a lowly ministerial position, given to a loyal party member as a token. Most Ministers of the Environment pontificate a fair bit but rarely implement significant change. So, let's follow the thread of what has taken place.

- John Kerry contacts the Environmental Ministers in a host of "lesser" countries around the world on the vague premise of "making a difference." They're pleased to take part, as Kerry gives them higher visibility and legitimizes their otherwise rather pointless jobs.
- A conference is held at a four-star hotel somewhere for a few days. Everybody listens to the speakers wringing their hands over the dangers of climate change, and each minister tries to get their photos taken with John Kerry.
- There's very little in the text of the keynote presentation by Kerry – mostly vague comments about the dangers of methane and the need for each country to commit to making a difference.
- At the end of the conference, the attendees are proud to sign a document that's devoid of detail but says that they're all in agreement in hoping to make a difference.
- A press release is issued, showing all the ministers together, stating that methane is dangerous and that all the countries are in agreement regarding the concept of a worldwide methane control policy.
- The message received by the public is that all the experts agree on whatever they're saying, although what

they're saying is still quite unclear.

- A publication such as Natural News publishes an article with a suitably alarming title.
- The perceived overstatement by Natural News is regarded as a provocation by controlled information sources such as Wikipedia to alert the public. Interestingly, whenever a publication, group, or individual is discredited by Wikipedia, they always do so in the very *first line* of their description, i.e.,
- “*Natural News* is a far-right, anti-vaccination conspiracy theory and fake news website known for promoting alternative medicine, pseudoscience, disinformation, and far-right extremism.”

That's essentially the process that's now consistently being [utilized by globalists](#).

Wikipedia now divides all publications, pundits, and others as either truth tellers or far-right conspiracy advocates. The real issue here isn't farting cows any more than it's whether men can have babies. These are mere exercises.

So, if we take a step back and consider an overview of what this all means – why it's so prevalent and why the process is being so consistently utilized – we might be conclude the following:

**The issues are absurdly extreme for a reason. The objective is not the achievement of the issues themselves. It is the alteration of the psyche of the populace.**

Once the public has spent several years having their heads divided between “far-right extremism” and what's approved by the Ministry of Truth, enough people will have been converted into non-thinking proles that a bill can be put forward with the broad and intentionally non-specific objective to outlaw far-right extremism in all its forms.

In order to assure the passage of the bill, a significant

majority of people will have to have already reached the stage in their new thought process that they feel that the law is not only justified but essential. Those people who can still think will be expected to comply.

The goal is not the elimination of cows; it's the elimination of thinking and dissent. If we keep the above in mind as a *process* rather than an intended outcome, we have a greater ability to focus on the critical issue.

To be sure, there are those entities that would like to eliminate red meat and feed people insects as a replacement. But that's not the central issue here.

The core objective is nothing less than the elimination of individual thought and dissent. It's essential in the creation of a fully collectivist state, and it's at the very heart of the overall globalist objective.

**[Connect with International Man](#)**

*Cover image credit: [Alexas\\_Fotos](#)*