

The Government “Can’t Find” \$20 Trillion, While Pension Funds are Tanking

Source: No More Fake News

by Jon Rappoport

December 31, 2018

Alert to pension fund managers all over the planet—and to everyone else—

“If 1,000 US and global pension fund managers start asking questions it could change everything – like stopping a nuclear war.”

That’s a statement from former US Assistant Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, and now president of [Solari, Inc.](#), Catherine Austin Fitts, who is a financial analyst like no other in our time.

Among other feats, she has identified a giant sucking black hole in the US government. And what has disappeared down that hole is money. Over the years, *at least \$20 trillion.*

Unaccounted for.

Gone.

If you’re a pension fund manager, stop reading this article and immediately switch over to these two articles from Fitts: [“The State of Our Pension Funds”](#) and [“‘FASAB \[Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board\] Statement 56’: Understanding New Government Financial Accounting Loopholes”](#).

You could begin to see a blinding light that changes your mind

and changes your approach to the staggering debt your fund is dealing with. And in the process, you could help lead the way to a peaceful revolution. A far-reaching revolution, in which wide-ranging prosperity, not doom, sits up the road.

As for everyone else, here are a few of Fitts' quotes from her mind-repairing article:

"So what is the problem? If it's not a problem for \$21 trillion [to go missing from DOD and HUD](#), and, [if] it's possible [for the government] to come up with more than \$20[plus] trillion to give or loan to the banks [in a bailout] – when there is no legal obligation to do so, and, when we [the government] can transfer trillions of the most valuable technology in the world to private corporations at zero cost to them and [at] great cost to the taxpayers, [then] I assure you that fixing whatever pension fund problem there is, is not difficult. However, the political will must exist and want to. That is the problem."

"If we can print money to give \$20 trillion [plus] to the banks, and, [if we can] let \$21 trillion go missing from the federal government, [then] why is it a problem to print \$5 trillion to fund the pension funds?"

[Failing pension funds are on the hook for \\$5 trillion](#) (see also [this short article](#) from 2010), and the federal government has no answer? Well, that is a supreme con job, because, as Fitts points out, the government is playing far larger money games without a shred of concern.

And this is just the beginning of the rabbit hole Fitts has been traveling for the past several decades. Here is her basic position: Prosperity for the many, not the few, is eminently possible and doable.

Starting from that premise, and deploying her relentless skills as an analyst, she has discovered the strategies the government and mega-corporations have been deploying to

undermine and torpedo an economically healthy society.

Finding and illuminating these strategies was not her basic intent. Her basic intent was lifting all boats for the citizenry. In pursuing that course, she came upon the secret obstructions.

And because her desire to help people did not waver in the slightest, she didn't turn away. She exposed the obstructions. She continues to do so.

She writes: "Family wealth has the distinct advantage of returning control of investment decisions to individuals. However, this is hardly what the US establishment wants."

"Our planetary governance and financial system currently operates significantly outside of the law. Whether the cost of war, organized crime, corruption, environmental damage, suppression of technology or secrecy, this lawlessness – and the lawlessness it encourages in the general population – represents a heavy and expensive drag on all aspects of our society, our economy and our landscape."

Fitts cites an example of corporate choices in this lunatic money scam—General Electric: "By some estimates, its pension fund is underfunded to the tune of \$31 billion. However, during the time its pension fund became so underfunded, GE spent \$45 billion to buy back its publicly traded common stock. The needed funds were there at one point; it's just that the leadership of the company decided to funnel it into stockholders' hands rather than to the pensions of the employees who helped build the company."

Do you have a pension fund manager? Do you know a pension fund manager? Link them to Fitts' article. It's long past the time when they can sit back and moan about the trouble they're in. They need to learn about the underlying forces at work. (And if they're a conscious part of the problem, let them learn that their game is exposed.)

Look around you. Money is everywhere. Titanic piles of it are flowing. The question is, to whom is it flowing, and how, and why? Within the current system, there are designated winners and losers. This has to do with criminal controllers posing as benefactors. They steer the money ship. They dump shipments of money at certain favored ports and keep shipments from reaching many other ports.

I know there are people out there who will say, "It's all about the illegal Federal Reserve and the transnational bankers." That's like saying the drug problem is all about the Mexican cartels—but then, digging further, you also come across the expanding opium poppy fields in Afghanistan, the hands-off collusion in Chicago that permits the city to act as a primary hub for drug distribution in the US, the pharmaceutical companies that traffic millions of opioid pills to dealers, and [the 2016 law that strangled DEA efforts to bust those companies](#).

The devil is in the details, and Fitts has uncovered an astonishing number of them.

I first came across her work about ten years ago, when we spoke several times about her specific method enabling local communities to discover money flows—the sources of money coming into their towns and cities, and the destinations of money going out. This brilliant tool would give communities the power to see exactly how money was impoverishing them, rather than enriching them. In an effort to make that tool widely available, thus pointing the way for communities to change those flows and foster local prosperity, [Fitts ran into legal trouble with the federal government](#)—and "trouble" is a vast understatement.

She emerged, after a long battle, with her primary goals securely intact.

She has answers and solutions.

Answers that are vital for our time.

Fitts was once an insider and had a front row seat at the money circus. Now, her ongoing enterprise is [Solari, Inc.](#) I highly recommend it to you.

[Related Solari Report post: [Listen to Catherine Austin Fitts in conversation with Joseph P. Farrell](#) as they discuss missing money, secret space program, the Vatican bank and other topics that tie into secrecy around money and control.]

Humanity's Reluctance to Becoming Free

The Best of the Solari Report 2018

"We know today that man, essentially, is a being of light. And the modern science of photobiology ... is presently proving this. In terms of healing the implications are immense. We now know, for example, that quanta of light can initiate, or arrest, cascade-like reactions in the cells, and that genetic cellular damage can be virtually repaired, within hours, by faint beams of light. We are still on the threshold of fully understanding the complex relationship between light and

life, but we can now say emphatically, that the function of our entire metabolism is dependent on light.” ~ Dr. Fritz Albert Popp, The International Institute of Biophysics

by Catherine Austin Fitts

[\[read entire post here\]](#)

Why does the world seem so out of balance? I believe the primary reason is that so much of what is happening is kept secret – hidden behind the secret books and secret operations of banks and corporations under the umbrella of National Security. When I was in New Zealand in May, I interviewed **Amy Benjamin on *The Cost of Secrecy***. When family and friends ask “How could this be happening and we not know?” Amy gives us exactly what we need to explain how secrecy is engineered. How can the Deep State run the government and major operations and finances outside of the law? Here’s how.

When I was in Europe last February, our videographer Robert Dupper and I took a road trip from the Netherlands to Switzerland to interview [Present Age](#) publisher **Thomas Meyer on *The Future of Europe***. This is a wide-ranging conversation on how we build a human future. Thomas always provides an inspiring antidote to help restore and nurture our spiritual and cultural grounding.



I was in France this summer when several of us sat down to watch **Ulrike Granögger’s documentary for the [Solari Future Science Series](#), *The Wave Genome – Quantum Holography of DNA***. This was a paradigm shifting experience for me. How could I be so ignorant of my scientific reality? If you have not watched *The Wave Genome* yet, here’s your chance. This is invaluable intelligence that will open your mind and open your

heart.

We are daily buffeted by a fundamental reordering of institutions and cultural wars encouraged by the establishment. Maintaining our coherence is an art form. I asked **Harry Blazer** who is the host of the [Solari Food Series](#) to join me for a discussion on how to live a free and inspired life and maintain coherence. One afternoon we sat in my den in Hickory Valley to record and ***Learning to Walkabout*** was the result.

Our four selections for the *Best of the Solari Report 2018* give you an opportunity over the holidays to connect with four of the most inspiring people I know. Enjoy!

The Pentagon's Missing Trillions: What You Need to Know

by [James Corbett](#)

December 18, 2018

[Source](#)

Podcast: [Play in new window](#) | [Download](#) | [Embed](#)

Dr. Mark Skidmore of Michigan State University joins us to discuss his research with Catherine Austin Fitts into the \$21

trillion in unaccounted transactions on the books of the US Department of Defence and the US Department of Housing and Urban Development. We discuss what we know and don't know about the subject, the Pentagon's nonsensical and inadequate excuses for the debacle, the new accounting guideline that legally allows every department of the federal government to create fake and altered books for public consumption, the recent failed Pentagon audit, the government's refusal to provide any information about the problem, the failure of congress to pursue the issue, and the failure of the press to report on it.

Watch this video on [BitChute](#) / [DTube](#) / [YouTube](#) or [Download the mp4](#)

SHOW NOTES AND RELATED RESOURCES:

[The Missing Money at Solari.com](#)

[MSU scholars find \\$21 trillion in unauthorized government spending; Defense Department to conduct first-ever audit](#)

[David Norquist "Explains" Missing Trillions in Journal Vouchers](#)

[Has Our Government Spent \\$21 Trillion Of Our Money Without Telling Us?](#)

[Is Our Government Intentionally Hiding \\$21 Trillion In Spending?](#)

[Exclusive: The Pentagon's Massive Accounting Fraud Exposed](#)

[MSU professor shines spotlight on Pentagon's massive accounting irregularities](#)

Billions Missing from Russian Space Agency

Source: Giza Death Star

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#)

December 4, 2018

There's an old adage: once is unusual, twice is a coincidence (or perhaps, a "synchronicity") but three or more is a pattern. Well, this story was shared by Catherine Austin Fitts, and Mr. T.M., and as one might imagine, it has my high octane speculation motor running in overdrive. Why? Well, there has been (finally) an acknowledgement in the lamestream media that there is a lot of missing money in the US Federal budget, trillions of dollars' worth of missing money. Now, this is not news for those who've been following Ms. Fitts' regular blogs, interviews and articles over the years. Recently Dr. Mark Scidmore also has chimed in. And of course, let's not forget former Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, who revealed that about two trillion dollars could not be accounted for, on September 10th, 2001. Of course, that story was quickly forgotten in the aftermath of 9/11. Nor is it news for those who've been following my speculations about hidden systems of finance, obfuscated amounts of gold and so on, over the years in connection to the bearer bonds scandals.

What *is* different about this story is that this missing money is not dollars, but rubles – 785.5 billion of them – missing from the Russian space agency, Roscosmos:

[Russia space agency targeted over "stolen" billions](#)

Now, as the article notes, 785.5 billion rubles is a “mere” 11.9 billion dollars, a veritable drop in the bucket compared to the trillions mentioned by Mr. Rumsfeld, or tracked by Ms. Fitts or Dr. Scidmore. Nonetheless, the article contains some intriguing statements, and more interestingly, does *not* contain other statements:

The Russian space agency Roscosmos, whose reputation has already been hit by the failure of a Soyuz rocket last month, was on Sunday targeted by the country’s audit court over financial irregularities including “stolen” billions.

“We have serious problems with Roscosmos,” the Accounts Chamber chairman Alexe Kudrin said in televised comments.

“Procurement procedures are bad, prices are too high, many projects are unfinished or halted, and funds stand unused for months, and several billion have been lost – stolen – and investigations are ongoing, ” the former finance minister added.

A 2017 report by the court signalled(sic) a total of 151 irregularities within the space agency accounts, totalling(sic) 785.5 billion rubles (\$11.9 billion), Russian news agency reported.

What’s interesting in what it *does* say is that the explanations given for the lost money have been placed on the usual culprits in the former Communist nation: bad procurement procedures, inflated prices, unused funds, and just plain good old fashioned theft. Well, if “bad procurement procedures” are to blame, then Russia is a bastion of fiscal responsibility and efficiency, compared to Rumsfeld’s Pentagon or the federal budgetary “process” in America.

But I find much more interesting the things that are not being said. The impression given in the article is that this loss was just for 2017, yet that is not explicit. We’re left with a

mere impression. We don't really know how many years the investigation covered, nor are we given any indication of *who* supposedly stole some of the money, and what percentage of this 785.5 billion rubles was thought to be stolen, not simply missing or gobbled up in "bad procurement procedures".

It's the absence of explanation of that stolen money that intrigues me, and as one might guess, has my high octane speculation running in overdrive. A mere 785.5 billion rubles, or a mere 11.9 billion dollars in missing and/or stolen money might not seem like much in the context of American missing money stories, but as I've pointed out in other contexts, while Russia spends far less than the USA on military and space matters, it gets more bang for the ruble, for one of the consequences of a hidden system of finance, lots of missing money, and reserve currency status, is that prices inflate, corruption dominates the system, and comparative technologies becomes higher priced in the one context that might cost a fraction of that in the other.

So with that somewhat simplistic idea in mind, I turn to my high octane speculation of the day:

Recently in one of this website's members' vidchats, the question was asked if I thought there was a similar hidden financial system, and "breakaway civilization" or "secret space program" in other countries, particularly Russia. My answer was yes, and then the observation was made that one never hears about massive amounts of missing money from Russia, which is why I find this story so interesting. Recently I observed that the amounts of missing money in the US federal budget were now so astronomically huge, that the old explanation of \$20,000 toilet seats and \$10,000 wrenches and coffee makers were no longer an adequate cover for inflating ledger prices of supplies to cover the diversion of money into classified and deep projects. Thus the possibility emerges that Russia – with its smaller economy – might still be in the era of cooking the books to divert funds into deeper

classified projects. And the fact that this is occurring within Roscosmos is suggestive enough that one possibility for that diversion of funds is into classified secret space projects.

See you on the flip side...

The Pentagon Fails Its First Audit

Source: [Giza Death Star](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#)

November 20, 2018

This was another one of those stories that my email inbox was flooded with this week, and it's a doozie. The Pentagon...er... the Pentagon, has failed its first audit. Let me repeat that: *FAILED* its first audit. So, thank you to all of you who noticed this story and passed it along, because as one might imagine, I have my own end-of-the-twig high octane speculation to advance on it:

[Pentagon Fails Its First-Ever Official Audit](#)

[The Pentagon failed its audit, but officials aren't surprised](#)

[Here's what the Pentagon's first-ever audit found](#)

Now, reading all this, I suspect one might have the same reaction that I had: I didn't know whether to laugh or cry, so I did both, with the meter tipped at first ever so slightly on

the laughter side of things, until it became hysterical humor. For one thing, they spent an awful lot of money (from the third article), on this audit:

One figure that is available: the [audit itself cost](#) \$413 million, which the department notes is roughly 1/30 of one percent of the Pentagon's overall budget. In addition, \$406 million was spend on addressing issues found by the department, with another \$153 million on "financial system fixes," per a DoD factsheet – a total in FY18 of \$972 million.

Yes, that's right, the audit itself cost \$413 million, with a mere \$153 million spent on various "fixes", and another \$406 spent on "issues." Isn't that nice? They spent on "fixes" and "issues," and the total cost of the audit, and its "issues" and "fixes" was nearly a billion dollars. I don't know about you, but I'm glad these people aren't preparing my taxes; my tax lady is much better at this, and she doesn't cost me \$413 million dollars either.

But wait, there's more: they spent all this money on an audit that they *knew* ahead of time would be a failure (leaving aside the all important question of *how* and *why* did they know that ahead of time? The fix was in? Falling SAT scores for the Joint Chiefs of Staff? The sensitivity training was failing? The trans-gendered bathrooms on the aircraft carriers that don't work don't work?):

"We never thought we were going to pass an audit, right? Everyone was betting against us that we wouldn't even do the audit," Shanahan told reporters Thursday at the Pentagon.

"Some of the compliance issues are irritating to me because the point of the audit is to drive better discipline in our compliance with our management systems and our procedures," he added. "Some of those things frustrated me because we have a job to do and just have to follow our procedures."

Among those issues are what Shanahan called “inventory accuracy,” or issues where the central database at the Pentagon identified inventory that simply wasn’t there in the real world. He also hit on the need to be “better” at cybersecurity compliance and discipline. (Emphasis added)

Ok... so the *really important* thing is, “at least we tried, huh? Can we get ‘high fives’ for at least trying? After all, it’s the process, not the result, that’s important.” Well, hear hear: give the Pentagon a trophy for at least “participating.” It’s all the rage these days, and if elementary schools in Amairkuhn quackademia can do it, why not the Pentagon?

But the real clincher is that one of the “issues” that they spent \$406 million on was the fact that apparently the Pentagon has been buying inventory that doesn’t show up... anywhere. Hooray... no national security problem there, folks: we can outfit 20 armored divisions and 30 wings of fighters and bombers, on paper at least.

Now, if you’re like me, and you’ve been following all this “missing money”, the needle on your Suspicion Meter is in the red zone, and if you’ve purchased your Shriek-o-Meter from Catherine Austin Fitts’ *Solari* site, the Shriek-o-meter has passed the Screaming-Hair-pulling mark, and is headed toward the Babbling Lunacy mark. Either way, both meters are detecting pure steaming piles of cow pucky.

Now, as one might expect, I have some high octane speculation to advance in this respect: First, I suspect that today’s “inventory that isn’t there” is yesterday’s thirty thousand dollar toilet seat and fifteen thousand dollar wrench. One can only launder so much money with toilet seats and wrenches. It’s much easier to launder money for much more expensive toys that one doesn’t even have to build, and all the corporations, lobbyists, and other cutouts can take their share of the

management fees and commissions along the way. But secondly, I strongly suspect that the “inventory” isn’t missing at all, it’s just been intentionally mislabeled. In other words, the inventory exists, but it’s a very *different* inventory than what appears on the balance sheets. That would go to things like Secret Space Programs, covert operations, a little off-planet tithe or tribute here and there, and a little into that other phenomenon I’ve been rattling about for a few years: a completely hidden system of finance.

So in a way, the audit didn’t fail at all. It just confirmed what we already suspected, and added yet another data point to the mix.

See you on the flip side...

The Government “Can’t Find” \$20 Trillion, While Pension Funds are Tanking

Source: [No More Fake News](#)

Note: the missing \$20 trillion does not refer to the national debt.

by Jon Rappoport
April 24, 2018

Alert to pension fund managers all over the planet—and to everyone else—

"If 1,000 US and global pension fund managers start asking questions it could change everything – like stopping a nuclear war."

That's a statement from former US Assistant Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, and now president of [Solaris, Inc.](#), Catherine Austin Fitts, who is a financial analyst like no other in our time.

Among other feats, she has identified a giant sucking black hole in the US government. And what has disappeared down that hole is money. Over the years, *at least \$20 trillion.*

Unaccounted for.

Gone.

If you're a pension fund manager, stop reading this article and immediately switch over to Fitts' article, ["The State of Our Pension Funds."](#)

You could begin to see a blinding light that changes your mind and changes your approach to the staggering debt your fund is dealing with. And in the process, you could help lead the way to a peaceful revolution. A far-reaching revolution, in which wide-ranging prosperity, not doom, sits up the road.

As for everyone else, here are a few of Fitts' quotes from her mind-repairing article:

"So what is the problem? If it's not a problem for \$21 trillion [to go missing from DOD and HUD](#) and it is possible [for the government] to come up with more than \$20[plus] trillion to give or loan to the banks [in a bailout] when there is no legal obligation to do so and when we [the government] can transfer trillions of the most valuable technology in the world to private corporations at zero cost to them and great cost to the taxpayers, I assure you that fixing whatever pension fund problem there is, is not

difficult. However, the political will must exist and want to. That is the problem."

"If we can print money to give \$20 trillion [plus] to the banks and let \$21 trillion go missing from the federal government, why is it a problem to print \$5 trillion to fund the pension funds?"

Failing pension funds are on the hook for \$5 trillion, and the federal government has no answer? Well, that is a supreme con job, because, as Fitts points out, the government is playing far larger money games without a shred of concern.

And this is just the beginning of the rabbit hole Fitts has been traveling for the past several decades. Here is her basic position: Prosperity for the many, not the few, is eminently possible and doable.

Starting from that premise, and deploying her relentless skills as an analyst, she has discovered the strategies the government and mega-corporations have been deploying to undermine and torpedo an economically healthy society.

Finding and illuminating these strategies was not her basic intent. Her basic intent was lifting all boats for the citizenry. In pursuing that course, she came upon the secret obstructions.

And because her desire to help people did not waver in the slightest, she didn't turn away. She exposed the obstructions. She continues to do so.

She writes: "Family wealth has the distinct advantage of returning control of investment decisions to individuals. However, this is hardly what the US establishment wants."

"Our planetary governance and financial system currently operates significantly outside of the law. Whether the cost of war, organized crime, corruption, environmental damage,

suppression of technology or secrecy, this lawlessness – and the lawlessness it encourages in the general population – represents a heavy and expensive drag on all aspects of our society, our economy and our landscape.”

Fitts cites an example of corporate choices in this lunatic money scam—General Electric: “By some estimates, its pension fund is underfunded to the tune of \$31 billion. However, during the time its pension fund became so underfunded, GE spent \$45 billion to buy back its publicly traded common stock. The needed funds were there at one point; it’s just that the leadership of the company decided to funnel it into stockholders’ hands rather than to the pensions of the employees who helped build the company.”

Do you have a pension fund manager? Do you know a pension fund manager? Link them to Fitts’ article. It’s long past the time when they can sit back and moan about the trouble they’re in. They need to learn about the underlying forces at work. (And if they’re a conscious part of the problem, let them learn that their game is exposed.)

Look around you. Money is everywhere. Titanic piles of it are flowing. The question is, to whom is it flowing, and how, and why? Within the current system, there are designated winners and losers. This has to do with criminal controllers posing as benefactors. They steer the money ship. They dump shipments of money at certain favored ports and keep shipments from reaching many other ports.

I know there are people out there who will say, “It’s all about the illegal Federal Reserve and the transnational bankers.” That’s like saying the drug problem is all about the Mexican cartels—but then, digging further, you also come across the expanding opium poppy fields in Afghanistan, the hands-off collusion in Chicago that permits the city to act as a primary hub for drug distribution in the US, the pharmaceutical companies that traffic millions of opioid pills

to dealers, and [the 2016 law that strangled DEA efforts to bust those companies](#).

The devil is in the details, and Fitts has uncovered an astonishing number of them.

I first came across her work about ten years ago, when we spoke several times about her specific method enabling local communities to discover money flows—the sources of money coming into their towns and cities, and the destinations of money going out. This brilliant tool would give communities the power to see exactly how money was impoverishing them, rather than enriching them. In an effort to make that tool widely available, thus pointing the way for communities to change those flows and foster local prosperity, [Fitts ran into legal trouble with the federal government](#)—and “trouble” is a vast understatement.

She emerged, after a long battle, with her primary goals securely intact.

She has answers and solutions.

Answers that are vital for our time.

Fitts was once an insider and had a front row seat at the money circus. Now, her ongoing enterprise is [solari.com](#). I highly recommend it to you.

**Amairikuhn Kulcher: So
Radicalized that Radicals**

Think Radicals Are ...

Source: [Giza Death Star](#)

by Joseph P. Farrell

February 27, 2018

Warning! Rant alert!

This one is nuts, I mean completely, totally, bottom-of-the-barrel nuts. And it's sadly another measure of just what a "kulcherul" swamp Amairikuh – under the "leadership" of its two progressive parties – has become. One of my friend's friends called the fetid putrid swamp that is Amairikuhn kulcher a "stinking, sinking garbage barge." If that's the case, then it's a stinking sinking garbage barge being piloted by lunatics, nitwits, and kooks.

What's more interesting to me is that several readers of this website found this article, and a few drew my attention to it by posting it on my Facebook wall. (A big thank you to all of you, although to be on the safe side, I don't check Facebook regularly, so email articles to me via the contact button on the website.) I find that interesting simply because the general *culture* is something that people are now following closely.

But back to the lunatics, nitwits, and kooks in charge of piloting the garbage barge. One person who emailed this article to me expressed disbelief and wondered if the article was real. I had to stop and ponder that one, for when I read the article, nothing in it surprised me. That is to say, my "gut reaction" – before I could *think* about the article – was that it was, indeed, real. And that alone is a sad statement on the garbage barge, because it's now listing severely to port and by the bow.

Here's the article(you'll have to copy and paste):

<http://www.miamiherald.com/news/nation-world/national/article201604224.html>

Yes, that's right folks, the nuttery of the modern USSA has reached such a state that the radical sign, or square root sign, in mathematics has students thinking in terms of guns. Of course, I suspect that a certain amount of that is to be expected, in a "kulcher" that Doctors of Edubabble has managed to dumb down with their theories incubated in the teacher certification courses in the quackademy and after so many students are drugged into zombies and go on killing sprees. (Yes, there I said it: want to regulate guns? Well, then we need to look long and hard at regulating the daylights out of doctors of edubabble, increasingly Marxist colleges of teacher certification and the goofy kulchers they produce in our schools, and most importantly, psychiatrists and doctors and nurses and big pharma pushing all these drugs on our kids.)

The symbol for the square root of a number, a gun.

Think about that. It sounds goofy, utterly goofy at first, but I contend it carries a deeper significance, a cultural significance, because for people to get into the state of mind to be able to *think* that, then it's the whole cultural complex that's killing people, not just guns. We survived in this country for decades with an armed population – including teenaged boys armed with hunting rifles in their trucks (I know, growing up in South Dakota, I saw them all the time) – and we didn't go around shooting up schools and schoolchildren. What changed? Drugs... and, I would aver, the feminization of Amairikuhn kulcher. I call it the "gynocracy": boys will be boys, but now, that's not a normal natural condition, but a "behavior issue" to be treated with drugs. With luck and enough drugs, maybe they can be induced to be confused about which toilet to use after the gynocracy is done making men feel guilty for being men.

Yet, all that isn't what really leapt out and grabbed me in this article. Oh no! Quite the contrary!

What grabbed me was *this*:

A discussion among students at Oberlin High School in Oberlin, La., about a mathematical symbol led to a police investigation and a search of one of the student's homes, [according to the Allen Parish Sheriff's Office.](#)

On the afternoon of Feb. 20, detectives investigated a report of terroristic threats at the school, where they learned that a student had been completing a math problem that required drawing the square-root sign.

Students in the group began commenting that the symbol, which represents a number that when multiplied by itself equals another number, looked like a gun.

After several students made comments along those lines, another student said something the sheriff's office said could have sounded like a threat out of context.

Police searched the student's home, where they found no guns or any evidence that he had any access to guns. Authorities also wrote there was no evidence the student had any intent to commit harm. (Emphasis added)

Let *that* one sink in for a moment. First, can one blame a bunch of students for sitting around, in the wake of the prior week's tragic school shooting, and having guns on their mind? No, one cannot. Can one even blame the local police for (presumably) getting a search warrant to search his home for having remarked that radical signs in mathematics look like guns? Again, in the wake of the prior week's tragic deaths (and the now emerging story that the FBI was warned, but was too busy trying to prove Russian collusion with Trump to look into it), one certainly can't blame them either. But ponder *that* one too: *all it takes now to (presumably) get a search*

warrant to search someone's home is for their child to merely mention the word "gun" even though there was no stated intention to cause harm.

This is Amairikuhn kulcher. And it's time to face the facts: this is what one hundred years of progressivism has produced: a generation of hypocritical, entitled, narcissistic, drugged up and dumbed down nitwits. The grand experiment, in other words, has been a complete and total failure.

The solution: as Catherine Austin Fitts has remarked on occasion, if you're not in a conspiracy, then you need to start one, and start figuring out how to take the institutions back. All of them.

See you on the flip side...

Q-Anon: Disinformation Campaign Aimed at the Independent Research Community?

Source: [DarkJournalist](#)

Published on Jan 8, 2018

Deep State Trial Balloon/Marketing Op Aimed at Alternative Media?

In this episode Dark Journalist Daniel Liszt welcomes back Oxford Scholar Dr. Joseph P. Farrell the author of the popular Giza Death Star Book Series.

Farrell delves deeply into the online group posts of Q Anon and its rapid spread through the Alternative Media under the guise of an anonymous insider.

Farrell Poses the question: Is the Q Anon material just the latest wave of sophisticated disinformation that Catherine Austin Fitts calls "Hope Porn"?

Disinformation Campaign

Farrell sees a sophisticated disinformation campaign aimed at the independent research community to create an Imitation Wikileaks style vehicle that will act as an All-knowing Oracle Insider for a variety of important Geopolitical situations. It is apparently designed to propagate the promise of Cabal Arrests and Elites being tried at Gitmo as a kind of Soma to pacify the growing online audience that are raising questions about the actions of the Deep State on a global scale.

<http://www.DarkJournalist.com>

Related article:

[Project Blueballs – Fulford, Wilcock and the False Hope Energy Heist](#)

UFOs & the Missing Money

Source: [Dark Journalist](#)

Catherine Austin Fitts: UFOs & the Missing Money! New York Times Fake Disclosure – Dark Journalist

[DarkJournalist](#)

Published on Dec 23, 2017

SPECIAL UPDATE: Fitts Speaks Out on the New York Times Harry Reid Tom DeLonge UFO Story & Fake Disclosure! Dark Journalist Daniel Liszt welcomes Former Assistant Housing Secretary Catherine Austin Fitts to give her take of the recent news wave of Fake UFO Disclosure via the New York Times, Harry Reid and Tom DeLonge. She also points out that the fake alien threat story will be used as a smokescreen to coverup the real story on UFOs and distract from hardcore research into The Missing Money (\$21 Trillion) at HUD, NASA & the Department of Defense! They also give a preview of their major look at 2018, The Space Based Economy and Catherine's latest Solari Report Control 101.

Speculation on the Connection

Between Human Trafficking, the Deep State and Hidden System of Finance

Source: [Giza Death Star](#)

LORD BLACKHEATH SURFACES AGAIN... BUT THIS TIME IT'S NOT ...

by Joseph P. Farrell

July 31, 2017

All of you remember Lord James of Blackheath, don't you? Well, in case you've forgotten who he is, he was the British peer who, a few years ago, stood in the House of Lords and gave a most peculiar speech that cited unusual amounts of gold in the world. I wrote about his speech – which was causing something of a minor fuss on the internet at that time – in my book *Covert Wars and Breakaway Civilizations*. In fact, I cited much of his remarks, as Hansard reported them, in that book. The upshot of Lord Blackheath's remarks was that he was trying to get to the bottom of how much gold there was in the world, and as a result, contacted acquaintances in the Old Lady of Threadneedle Street (the Bank of England), and was given answers that amounted to approximately 1500 tons. There was, of course, much more to Lord Blackheath's remarks than just that, but that was one of the things that grabbed my attention, for at around the same time, the calls within Germany by Germans to audit their country's gold reserves had reached such a pitch that the Bundesbank decided to begin the process of repatriation of Germany's gold deposits from London, Paris, and most importantly, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Of course, I don't for a moment assume these

pressures were the only reason Germany decided to do this. If anything, they were convenient pressures, when the real reasons were probably geopolitical, and growing mistrust between Berlin on the one hand, and London and Washington on the other.

In any case, the amounts being cited by Lord Blackheath were far below even the reported amounts of just German gold allegedly on deposit in New York. And of course, Lord Blackheath himself expressed no considerable mystification at the time at not being able to get any rational approximation from his contacts.

Well, Mr. J.R. found this article and passed it along, and I regard it as so significant in terms of my “high octane speculations” about hidden systems of finance, that I absolutely have to comment on it. Here’s the article:

[Lord James of Blackheath: I Helped Smuggle Children Used For Slavery And Sex](#)

Now, much as I’d like to belabor the moral myopia of helping to smuggle children out of the United Kingdom when one suspects “something might be going on but I did it anyway,” I suspect the article itself does a decent enough job of that.

So I will pass on to my high octane speculation of the day by way of a bit more background: my friend and colleague, former Assistant Secretary of Housing and Urban development Catherine Austin Fitts has expressed the opinion – during an interview with Daniel Liszt, a.k.a. the “Dark Journalist” – that the western elites have always admired slavery as a system of economic privilege and control. The problem, she averred, was that the capital could not be “perfected,” after all, slaves ran away to pursue a life of freedom and their own economic self interest. Now, however, the means of “perfecting the capital” are available, as the following article suggests:

[Wisconsin Company to Implant Microchips in Employees](#)

Most of us, I'm quite certain, who read the second article will have a "John of Patmos moment" contemplating the dire implications of that development.

But if one is running covert human trafficking rings – whether for child sex slavery, adult sex slavery, or other forms of slavery – such technology does allow one to "keep track of the cargo," i.e., to perfect the "capital."

Which brings me chin-to-chin with my...

...High Octane Speculation of the day: For years, in several books, blogs, and interviews, I've maintained that there is in existence a hidden system of finance, whose basic "mechanics" is the trade in bearer securities backed by "gold", and hence, for me, I am of the opinion that the various "bearer bond scandals" are not, in spite of all official protestations to the contrary, easily dismissible as "simple counterfeiting scams." We are assured – at one time even by President Obama himself – that the "securities" recovered during these scandals are completely fake, and that there is absolutely nothing to it. Yet, the same scam is run repeatedly, over and over. As I've observed several times: "one does not counterfeit a seven dollar bill." In other words, even if the "securities" recovered during these scandals are fakes, no counterfeiter would attempt to run the same scam over and over again, unless there was an element of truth lurking somewhere in the center of it. The fact that many of these "securities" are "gold-backed" bearer bonds, takes us back once again to lord Blackheath's mystification a few years ago on the floor of the House of Lords, as recorded in Hansard's. My argument then was, in order to make a hidden system of finance work, and remain off the books, one key mechanism was the physical movement of such "securities."

Further research, however, revealed something else, namely, that the term "gold" often functioned as a codename for drugs, and given the overwhelming size of the underground drug

economy as a proportion of the financial system, I also concluded that the "gold" backing these "securities" may not have been exclusively actual bullion, but drugs.

In recent years, however, we've seen an increase of stories about human trafficking and sex-slavery rings, involving every demographic from little children to Siamese women. The extent of these stories has touched every continent, implying that there are world-wide networks involved in this "business," which, given its vast extent, must also comprise an underground economy of considerable size. The Taken series of movies with Irish actor Liam Neeson explores this brutal system in fictional guise. The political purpose of such networks is, of course, rather obvious, for it entangles the rich and politically powerful in compromising activity, which create what Catherine Fitts has described as "control files" to blackmail compliance. With this possibility, one is looking at the implication that such rings are deeply and intimately entangled with the "deep state" and various intelligence agencies, and thus, with my hypothesized hidden system of finance.

Which brings me back to Lord Blackheath, and a final, new, speculation. What if "gold" is code not only for "drugs," but for human "cargo" and "capital", as part of this enormous network? In other words, what if slavery itself is a crucial component of this hidden system of finance? Need laborers to help build all those underground secret installations? If that sounds far-fetched, don't forget that there's precedent: the Nazis did it, and incidentally, they did it within an economic empire being run by the SS, where every unfortunate victim was tagged, tattooed, and numbered as the "capital assets" of the system.

Such a speculation goes a long way, for example, to explain the difficulties facing Vatican bank reform attempts, for if my speculation be true, then that bank would be intimately connected to these "financial activities," and hence, attempts

to deal with clergy scandal abuses and Vatican financial reform are not two separate issues, but intimately connected. Just recently, George

Cardinal Pell, who was tasked by Pope Francis to oversee the Vatican budget, has returned to Australia to answer sexual abuse charges.

However, if what I am proposing is true, then the extent of this human trafficking-finance ring will not be confined solely or exclusively to the Vatican: it will be intimately entwined with other large financial institutions. The Vatican might just end up being the (convenient) patsy. The hypothesis might even go a long way to explain one possible reason behind all the mysterious banker deaths and “suicides” of the past few years.

See you on the flip side...

Breakaway Truth: A Tale of Two Civilizations

CATHERINE AUSTIN FITTS – BREAKAWAY TRUTH: A TALE OF TWO CIVILIZATIONS – DARK JOURNALIST

Published on Jun 18, 2017

<http://www.DarkJournalist.com>

In this special episode, Dark Journalist Daniel Liszt welcomes back Former Assistant Secretary of Housing and Urban Development Catherine Austin Fitts. Catherine is the President

of The Solari Report, a quarterly journal and website that integrates economic trends with a larger geopolitical picture.

Ascent of the Black Budget Underworld

Catherine sees the forces vying for power in the 21st century as a combination of Black Budget underworld with its covert influence in drug running, money laundering and high finance, and the robotic, transhumanist corporate culture that is attempting to create a new kind of digital slavery.

In either case, the public civilization is only vaguely aware of the impact of these forces on their daily lives. In everyday living in modern society, an average person doesn't often get the opportunity to see the massive plan being brought to bear for the global dominance of a worldwide smartgrid capable of cataloging every person according to its harvesting algorithm.

Secret Space Psyops: Celebrity Whistleblowers – Bill Ryan's Interview with Dark Journalist, Part 3

Published on May 20, 2017

The Most Important Interview of The Series!

In this fascinating and wide ranging conclusion to the 3 part interview series by Dark Journalist Daniel Liszt of Project Avalon's Bill Ryan, they continue to peer through and untangle the tangled web of mind control entertainment memes that are

being developed by Corey Goode and David Wilcock around the topic of the Secret Space Program.

The cartoonish narratives and unvetted claims of blue avian aliens selecting Goode to be a time traveling astronaut have strained even the open minded UFO research community and the latest developments of Goode's tale being launched as a comic book appears to sacrifice the final shred of investigative value to a case that has called into question the rationale and integrity of the New Age media production company Gaia TV.

The Celebrity Whistleblower Problem

In the course of 70 years of research into UFOs and over a decade of research into the Secret Space Program many retired Military personnel, Astronauts, and covert operators have come forward and shaped a legacy of whistleblowers that have risked tremendous repercussions to bring us the truth that the Government and the Media have kept behind a wall of total secrecy. In the case of UK hacker Gary McKinnon, he risked decades in prison to leak information concerning the fact that the United States had developed an Off-World Officer fleet with no public awareness of the program.

Recently, we've seen a disturbing number of individuals with no credentials, no evidence and no verifiable paper trail come forward for their 15 minutes of fame and claim to be involved as key members of this covert program. The problem is with nothing to back up their story and the additional claims they make of being messengers of elaborate galactic beings, the entire trend seems almost engineered to discredit legitimate research into the missing trillions gone from the federal budget. It is theorized by research experts like Former Assistant HUD Secretary Catherine Austin Fitts and Oxford Scholar Joseph Farrell that the missing money is being pumped into a secret space infrastructure that has no public accountability. That verifiable line of inquiry is often drowned out by the celebrity whistleblowers and their predictions of imminent ET Disclosure and the ascension of

humanity through the intervention of a Space Alliance.

Psyop or Sellout?

Ryan's article 'The Truth About Corey Goode' that set the stage for this informative series of interviews basically asks the question: are we looking at a psyop being perpetrated by intelligence groups to take the attention away from legitimate UFO research and replace it with story lines that won't hold up to scrutiny and celebrity whistleblowers that will eventually be discredited and discarded by the unscrupulous entertainment companies that seek to profit from the confusion around the entire Secret Space Program topic.

Come What May

[Come What May](#)

by **[Sharon James](#)**, *[Contributing Writer at Truth Comes to Light](#)*

November 2, 2021

This morning I woke feeling sad. I cannot count how many sad mornings there have been for the past twenty months. On my early morning walk I watched a bank of clouds swallow up the sunrise. My family and friends tell me to stop reading and listening. They tell me to take breaks.

But you do not take breaks from friends who are suffering with so many degrees of struggle and loss. You cannot let the clouds swallow them up too. You read and listen to help those who cannot – to help them navigate in this nightmarish storm. And maybe you can rescue some who do not yet realize they are

drowning.

My first listen today was of a dad and a young daughter speaking before a city council. They were choking on the stranglehold the mandates are putting on their community.

You want to know what he does for a living?

He runs the heart and lung machine that maybe your grandma or brother were on during open heart surgery. He says there are only two in his local hospital who do that work and neither will take the job. So people will die when they are fired for their choice. Some will call him foolish or selfish and some will call him a hero.

He struggled to remain composed. He said he has tried to see his way around it and through it but, in the end, standing for freedom is the only thing that makes sense for the long term. Because, well, we do not know what they will ask us to do next.

My sad did not end there. We needed a few things at a local store whose name you would know. There were bright red signs on every cooler door and on end caps and more. "Hiring Event – Today!" Other local stores have signs that say "Immediate Interviews". Lines are long and shelves have gaps.

Manned tables were placed strategically. People were stopping by. There sat a tall man with a long beard – older. I overheard. The woman in control harshly said, "You cannot fill out an application online?" Him: "No." Her again: "Do you have a cell phone you could fill one out on?" Him, dejected: "No."

I walked away, knowing he was not going to get a job, no matter what. He wanted a job. He was willing to work. But he was not even good enough for a large chain retail discount store who were having a "Hiring Event – Today!". What a false hope they held out to him and others.

With my cart filled with more than we need – because one never knows – I checked myself out. I opened my wallet to pay cash because [right now, more than ever, it is important to use cash](#). That is another right being threatened.

After feeding the machine my money I made my way to the parking lot. It was still cloudy like my heart. I loaded the groceries into my van and drove out the side exit, heading home.

I live in rural Iowa near a very small town. I shop in a town that is bigger, but still small – less than 30,000 residents. So seeing what I saw next is rare – a beggar by the side exit of the store parking lot. Even more rare, it was a young woman.

I could have looked the other way. That is sometimes easier in the bigger cities when you cannot tell if it is a ruse or real. But I chose to read her sign. The first phrase had me.

“I have kids.”.

I pulled over. I opened my wallet, still well supplied. The tears from the dad and daughter talk threatened to spill again. I put the window down and looked into the grateful eyes of a young East Indian woman – a surprise in this small town.

Her accent when she said “God bless you” confirmed her origins. A couple of scars on her face said she had suffered. I could only get out “You, too” when I handed her less than I should have. Whether her need was real or not – God bless her.

Turning left, I headed north. A sudden realization came as did the tears. My kids’ generation rarely carry cash. If you do not carry cash, how do you help in a situation like that? If people do not carry cash how does someone in need ask for help?

What if, one day, I am the beggar? Then a song came on the

radio... [“Sometimes heartache is the gift I need...down broken roads You’re still my rock. My hope remains, I’ll rest in the arms of Jesus, come what may.”](#)

Come what may, I will stand firm for what I know is right.

Come what may, I will help my neighbor.

Come what may, I will trust the Lord who will care for me.

What is your ‘come what may’ list?

See my previous essays:

[**My Solitary Journey Through Fear: A Life-Changing Paradigm Shift – From Pandemic Believer to Uncovering the Truth**](#)

[**My Approach to Opening Those Feared Yet Needed “Pandemic” Conversations**](#)



Sharon James – along with her husband, children & visiting grandchildren – has lived and worked for nearly 35 years on a century farm in Iowa.

She is an avid researcher and life-long learner with a degree in education and strong interest in natural healing. Her now-adult children were all home schooled.

Sharon James is a contributing writer at [Truth Comes to Light](#).

Sharon welcomes your comments or questions. Her email address is:

SunriseWalking@protonmail.com

cover image credit: [StockSnap](#) / pixabay

Attorney Renate Holzeisen: “Covid Vaccines Violate European Legislation”

[Attorney Renate Holzeisen: “Covid Vaccines Violate European Legislation”](#)

by [Senta Depuydt](#), [Children’s Health Defense Europe](#)

July 21, 2021

Senta Depuydt: [00:00:02] Hi everyone, I’m Senta Depuydt from CHD Europe, and today I’m with [Renate Holzeisen](#), who I consider the number one lawyer litigating Covid vaccines issues at the level of the European institutions. Renate is with me for two very important legal actions against the European Commission, and we will ask people from all over Europe to participate. So Renate is a highly trained barrister in the field of economic and fiscal matters. She’s also specialised in international and European law. And since the beginning of the pandemic, she has been on the front line to defend our fundamental rights. So she has filed an impressive number of cases related to Covid vaccines. She’s from Sud Tyrol, the German speaking part of Italy so her main language is German, and she often collaborates with Austrian and German colleagues such as Reiner Fuellmich, for example. Finally,

Renate is a member of the CHD Europe's advisory board. And we are very, very proud to have you with us.

Renate, thank you for joining us. I know your time is very precious. Before you explain what we want to do today. Can you first tell us a little bit about the different legal actions you already have taken at the European level? Thank you.

Renate Holzeisen: [00:01:32] Thank you. It's a pleasure to be with you today. So we have already filed 4 actions for annulment, according to Article 263 of the Treaty on Functioning of the European Union against the deliberations of the European Commission for the conditional authorisation of the four currently authorised so-called Covid-19 vaccines, which in fact are experimental gene therapeutic products. We found that the deliberations taken by the European Commission are fundamentally against European law of the conditional authorisation of medicines and vaccines, if they were vaccines, which we know are gene therapeutics products. They (the decisions) have to be taken according to European regulation 507 of 2006. And one of the conditions fixed by the European legislator is that the product has to guarantee a positive balance between benefits and risks.

So first of all, we have to explain that **these substances weren't studied and authorized for the prevention of the infection with the sars-cov-2 virus**. They were only studied and authorised for the prevention of the disease Covid-19. And already there, we see a lot, not only of misunderstanding, but in fact, of a real propaganda, disinforming propaganda by the institutions and by the European governments. And this is a very unacceptable circumstance. We see it now with the legislations which are announced in the different European countries like France and Italy regarding the so-called Green Pass...

Senta Depuydt: [00:04:48] Yes, I'm just going to come back on this because you say so many important things there. And I

remember very clearly – we published an article on this fact that when the EMA, and there is still that interview, I believe – when the EMA released this conditional authorisation, they had a full interview with the experts and they said: “Oh, we don’t know if the vaccine is going to prevent transmission. It’s actually not been studied.. It’s not provided in the data. We have no idea about this”. On the contrary, every evidence points to the fact that in all the countries where there was this huge vaccination campaigns, Israel, UK, etc. we see that there are new variants and it didn’t it work on the transmission. So you’re really pointing to the key element of the fraud. How does the European Union or how do the different countries continue (with this)? You know, do they provide false evidence, false data to suddenly say: “Oh, yeah, well, it does actually block the transmission”. My question to you..

Renate Holzeisen: [00:06:22] Yes, we have to be very clear. We have the authorisation by the European Commission on the positive report of the EMA and from the official documentation of the European Commission, in which everybody can have a look entering in the website of the Human Medicines Register, where you can find on the top immediately the Covid-19 vaccines and clicking on the reports, you’ll find then all the deliberations of the European Commission with the attached documents. And from these documents and the documents of the EMA, which you can find on the website of the EMA, you’ll see that the European Commission and the EMA, are declaring that these substances are authorised for the prevention of the disease. **They never declared any authorization for the prevention of the infection because EMA itself is declaring that it is absolutely not proven that these substances are working for the prevention of the infection. Therefore, the European Commission, couldn’t authorize these 4 substances which are Cominarty (Pfizer/BioNtech), Moderna now called Spikevax, AstraZeneca now called Vaxzevria and Janssen or Johnson & Johnson. They aren’t authorized for the prevention**

of the infection with the virus, and that is legally binding.

This is the basis, the legal basis we have to start from and therefore all these 'green passes' (covid pass) introduced, which are referring to the proof of the vaccination, with one of these four substances: they are illegal. They are not founded in facts. So this legislation already failed before they were introduced. And we, lawyers, are now working on that, because it is clear that we would have an absolutely unconstitutional discrimination between people not treated with these experimental substances with regard to people vaccinated. And even the people who were treated with these substances, they weren't vaccinated in order to prevent the infection.

Senta Depuydt: [00:09:54] Yes and that's how they justified all these measures.

Renate Holzeisen: [00:09:57] Yes, it is clear that we have from the start on an absolutely not legal discrimination. And I think if we are bringing this evidence with the documentation, legal documentation of the European Commission and the EMA to the courts, the Green (Covid) pass law has to fall immediately. And also the mandatory vaccination. We have this mandatory vaccination already in Italy for the health workers. And I'm now going to file starting tomorrow, hundreds of legal actions in order to ask the annulment of the suspension already disposed by the South Tyrolean Health Authority with which hundreds of health workers were suspended from their work without wages. They have no earnings at the moment and this is obviously an absolutely unacceptable situation.

Senta Depuydt: [00:11:37] And unfortunately, we see that these kind of situations like in Italy, which is the forerunner, are coming to France. I'm sure you heard of it. And I'm sure other countries will follow.

Renate Holzeisen: [00:11:49] Yes. And it is all based on the manipulation by the governments of the facts, even off the official documents of the European Commission and the EMA, because that is the reality. Our governments are declaring the false. They are declaring that these substances are to prevent infection with the virus where these substances aren't authorized for that.

Senta Depuydt: [00:12:27] I have a question because you and I certainly realize that the role of the European Union is really key in this. They are the ones who pushed this Accelerator Act to change all the legislation and get a waiver for the approval of gene technology. They negotiated all those bad deals for the vaccines, no transparency, no guarantees. They did this approval with almost no data, created a huge debt for citizens, etc, the Covid safety pass. And then they play the good cop, bad cop. And they are also the ones, every five minutes, every day, to change the colour codes of every country with the ECDC. "Oh, you can go Wow it's green. Fantastic. Stop now, it's red!"

You know, they're just playing with the European citizens and European member states. But I'm not aware, except for you, if there are more lawyers litigating directly against the European Commission or institutions or the EMA or the European CDC. Can you tell me more about that. Are there others, other actions? And why would you go directly? Well, most would say no, no, we need to litigate at the national level.

Renate Holzeisen: [00:14:00] We have to do both, obviously. We know that, because of the jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice, it reduced a lot, absolutely too much in my opinion, in violation of the European charter of fundamental rights, the active legitimation of the citizens to file actions for annulment before the European courts... (going) against what the European legislator wanted. So we have, in my opinion, the need of a change in that jurisdiction.

And I remember the words of the advocate general Jacobs, who made a very, very important intervention regarding that. He said: "If they are going in that direction, there will be no real rights of defense (left) for the European citizen. And we are in this situation. So our actions for annulment, to fight against this condition, the authorizations of these experimental substances will be crucial in showing if the European court will base its' decisions on the law and on the Charter of the fundamental rights, or if we European citizens, at the end are left alone. I found it a very crucial point. And reading what General Advocate Jakobs said years ago, our situation now is exactly what he meant. He said "Attention! The developments you are taking as a court is to deprive the citizens from a real defense in courts".

Senta Depuydt: [00:16:54] This is well, this is a...

Renate Holzeisen: [00:16:56] Very interesting situation.

Senta Depuydt: [00:16:59] Can I come back on the actions you are filing now? So if I may sum it up, you have filed annulment cases for the four different vaccines that got a conditional approval. So you started some actions we (CHD Europe) already helped promote and find intervenors for.

Can you explain (this one)? So you need to file your litigation, one case per vaccine. You already did it for Pfizer, Moderna and AstraZeneca. And for these, we have no opportunity anymore, let's say, to intervene. But for the last one, the Johnson & Johnson, we can all participate as intervenors from other countries.

Renate Holzeisen: [00:17:52] Yes, you're right.

Senta Depuydt: [00:17:54] Give us the details. What do we need to do to join you?

Renate Holzeisen: [00:17:58] So, citizens from all over the European Union and, first of all, belonging to the categories

which now are facing a mandatory vaccination, the health workers, but also others. I think about teachers, pilots and workers in a public transport system, they can intervene as sustainers of the Italian actors (plaintiffs). **I brought the action for annulment against the authorisation of Janssen or Johnson & Johnson's for Italian health workers. So now, French health workers, Belgian, German, Austrian health workers and other European citizens can intervene with their lawyers in order to sustain the action of the Italian health workers. This is one (action).**

Then, next week, **I will also file a specific action for annulment regarding the deliberation of the European Commission for the condition of the Authorization of Cominarty Pfizer/BioNtech for children from twelve years on.** It is, it was a criminal act in our eyes and we have to ask judges to annul this authorization. But because what is done already with children all over the European Union is incredible. We have this experimental substance as well. We know that the balance between benefits and risks, especially for children, could never be positive. It is really negative because children have no problem with this virus SARS-COV-2 as the facts are showing, but they are incurring incredible risks by this experimental gene therapeutics pulled out. So that is a real crime to now inoculate the children these substances. And we have to stop it.

Senta Depuydt: [00:20:58] Well, I have two questions. The first one is, that we, as Children's Health Defense, our main organization is in the United States, we really follow everything that's happening with the FDA, the CDC, because it's really like the United States is pushing those policies. And as soon as something is approved by the FDA, it gets approved, more or less automatically, by the EMA. I can now see that the trend is moving very fast in the United States. Last I heard, they want to vaccinate babies from six months old and they even speak about vaccinating (kids) without

parental consent or even without parental information. So you would not even know that your child, if you know he comes from school and something happens, you wouldn't even know that he's vaccinated. So do you think that this policy is really, let's say, pushed by the US? Because I know that the European CDC is also taking a lot of their information, their science, if we can say so. Is it pushed by the United States? What do you think about it, if you look at it?

Renate Holzeisen: [00:22:30] Yes, I see that this authorization authorities EMA and (others)... They are in the hands of the pharmaceutical lobby or more precisely the lobby are the members of these authorities. So we are in a very critical situation, and therefore, we adults we have to defend the children. We have to defend them... We adults have to block it. They depend absolutely on the help we have to provide. And I can only say that **on the basis of Article 24 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, the needs and the rights of the children are at the top and stand before everything else.** And that we, now, even in the European Union, are using children as guinea pigs, it's an incredible scandal and I ask every parent, every grandmother, every grandfather, every uncle and aunt to think about what we are doing with the children. We don't know the effects on the long term of these substances. They weren't studied. We know the effects these substances have in a very short term. We have thousands, 11000 deaths only in Europe. And we have hundreds of thousands of very severe damages caused by these substances. And we know this is only a little part of the reality because we haven't an active pharmacovigilance. And this is one of the breaches of the European law. It is absolutely unlawful to inoculate an experimental substance even without an active pharmacovigilance. It is crazy. But they know this and they don't want any extra pharmacovigilance, because if there was a real pharmacovigilance, we would have figures at least ten times more, at least.

Senta Depuydt: [00:25:37] Yes, I'm absolutely aware of that. Renate, so how can we join? Let me try to explain what we can do with our friends at Children's Health Defense and then you correct me if I'm wrong. So what people can do in every country.

You're filing in German. The people will need to file in German as well. So we will need to translate the action and then, the form, because you have forms for people to join as intervenors. And somebody in each country will need to find a lawyer, at least one lawyer per language.

Renate Holzeisen: [00:26:32] Yes. I would say that's the easiest way. It is not very tricky because we are providing the translation of the action that have already filed. Actions are already translated in English, in Italian and I think even in French. And the form for the intervenors, I prepared in the original German language, because they have to be filed in German, because the proceeding is in the German language. But we have it all translated. So the lawyer who brings in the intervenors they have it all prepared by me.

Senta Depuydt: [00:27:45] Who needs to sign the form? So the lawyer will fill in the details of the people who need to say, "OK, I want to participate. This is my name, these are my details, my ID".

Renate Holzeisen: [00:27:58] Every lawyer needs obviously the power given by his clients.

Senta Depuydt: [00:28:09] And they (lawyers) need to be registered at e-Curia (online EU bar). But that's quite simple to do if I understand.

One question that people want to know: are any costs involved to join or any financial risks?

Renate Holzeisen: [00:28:28] So I first of all, the intervenors do only sustain the position of the (actors =

plaintiffs) and don't bring in their own arguments. So they don't amplify the needs of defense of the counterpart, which is the European Commission, and could also be the pharmaceutical producer. So the costs are zero, as they don't amplify the arguments brought to the court, there should be no financial risks. This is one argument.

I would also say another very important thing in order that people can understand the basis of this action for annulment. First of all, as I said, we have no positive balance of risk and benefits. And **another very important argument is that there is no real gap of care (absence of treatment) of Covid-19.**

And there we can see another time the very bad role played by the EMA. This is one of the conditions foreseen by the European legislator in order to give authorisation to pharmaceutical products not studied in all their aspects. We have to know that for these experimental substances there weren't, even pre-clinical studies. Studies on animals weren't made, which are part of fundamental studies.

Renate Holzeisen: [00:30:56] So this is only possible, according to European Union regulation 507 of 2006, if there is a real gap in (an absence of) the therapy of a disease. We know that especially in the European Union, but also in the United States, that they are blocking very good therapies based on products like Ivermectin for example. And we know that especially Ivermectin works very, very well. And we saw that **the EMA two months ago, again, blocked the use of Ivermectin in the European Union.** That's the bad game they are playing. And this is one of a fundamental arguments we bring in with this action for annulment. We say "we can't see the benefits of these substances. The risks are enormous, enormous. You can't even calculate the balance, because you don't know all the risks. We know that there are very high risks in the short term and all the rest we don't even know. So we aren't in the condition even to make a balance. So these

authorisations have to be annulled immediately”.

Senta Depuydt: [00:32:58] Let me stop you there, because you mentioned many very important things. We have some **legal case in Belgium** exactly on this reason, you know, against the authorization of the vaccines, because there are treatments available.

And also we just did an interview a couple of days ago with **Dr. Tess Lawrie for Ivermectin Day**, because there's so many doctors also who want to have the right to prescribe. So you're really touching a very, very important element here.

I wanted to say, do you know who is actually responsible for those recommendations at EMA level? Do we know if they follow the advice of the United States, some studies, flawed studies or of the WHO? Can we find individual liability at some point and say: “OK, this individual here is really responsible for manipulating the data or withholding the data?”

I heard on Ivermectin that the first report was very good and then that at the last minute, in France for example, some key people just came in and added little sentences like “Well, it's still not conclusive. We cannot authorize. There is a lack of data” or something like that. Can we do anything more about it. Can we sue those people?

Renate Holzeisen: [00:34:42] Absolutely! I think we have to do the same thing as the **Indian Chamber of Lawyers. After filing this fifth action for annulment regarding the specific situation of our poor children, we have also to think about a specific action for damages.** We have to bring in this, according to Article 265, before the European general court against the European Commission and EMA, because (for) blocking the use of these very effective and useful medicines like Ivermectin. I think we have now enough facts in order to bring it in this way before the European General Courts. Because this is the only way they are able to go on with the

application of these high risk experimental substances on all of the population. And we see the consequences. We are now in no longer democratic systems with governments introducing mandatory so-called vaccination with these 'gene therapy experimental' products. So we have also to take now the European organs (=organisations or agencies) before the court asking them for damages. And damages are enormous, not only the direct damages regarding life and health of the people, but in direct connection with the economic huge damages. So we have to work also on that now.

Senta Depuydt: [00:37:15] I'm very happy you say that. And I like to remind everyone – and perhaps you want to comment – on the fact that some people, for example, the Doctors For Covid Ethics or other doctors or experts have sent notices of liability to the people of the EMA, to different health agencies or to the members of the European Parliament. We know that perhaps it's not going to have an immediate effect, but they can't say "we didn't know". I remember very well in December 2019, I was at the WHO summit, the Vaccine Safety Summit, and then we had one of the Filipino health agency's, Dr. Kenneth Hartigan-Go, who really explained the whole narrative during the dengue crisis. It's a bit of a similar situation in a way. So they did an emergency approval because it was a pandemic (epidemic), and then it turned into a mandate of the vaccine and then they had a lot of casualties.

Senta Depuydt: [00:38:44] And so first the doctors kept silent because they were afraid to speak. And then they started to see the death cases. People got out on the streets and they stopped the campaign. It all ended up with thirty two people in criminal court, himself included, people from Sanofi, people from the agencies who had approved (the vaccine), you know, the Filipino FDA etc. So of course, they could say "we didn't know about the risks involved".

And especially, because you have specific risks of introducing a vaccine during a pandemic that were already raised before

they started the vaccines. You remember a year ago everybody said "Oh a Covid vaccine can be dangerous because there can be aggravation of the disease, etc." So after that, they quickly signed all these contracts exempting the pharmaceutical industry of any responsibility, because the risk was so big and then they've obviously forgotten that argument. So when we send notices of liability, would that be of any use in this (case) so that people can't say "We didn't know".

Renate Holzeisen: [00:40:08] Absolutely. Absolutely. I personally sent a notice on December 20th, just one day before the European Commission authorized the first of these four substances Cominarty Pfizer/BioNtech always on behalf of a group of Italian health workers... to the European Commission, to the president, to the commissioner of Health and a lot of other representatives of European nations, but also of the World Health Organization. So they can't say they didn't know what they did.

And then obviously, the notices sent by the Doctors For Covid Ethics, which are very precise regarding the scientific basis, and they put very clear questions to the EMA. And EMA didn't give any answer to that. So it's absolutely clear that the workers, the persons responsible for EMA took personal responsibility for all (future damage) costs by these authorisations and the continuing in the authorization of these substances. The legal principle is very clear.

Senta Depuydt: [00:42:02] So let's hope it works. And last thing, you mentioned the economics, and especially since it's also part of your expertise. On our advisory board, we also work with **Catherine Austin-Fitts**. And she just did a report called "The "Going Direct Reset" where she really shows how the whole pandemic response from the financial point of view was really already in place to move ahead with an agenda, because we were in the economic crisis and collapse before the pandemic.

Now we can blame everything on the pandemic, of course. I really recommend that everybody reads that (report). She starts also to identify a few of the key players. So I really hope that you and Catherine (Austin-Fitts) and Reiner (Fuellmich) and Bobby Kennedy and everyone takes action, because those are really international actions. I know that one action has now been filed at the international tribunal in The Hague from French lawyers and organizations to really show also individual responsibilities, at the WHO with Tedros (Adhanom) for example, and President Macron. France has also a big role in this. So, you know, I really look forward to spread the message, to participate. And you're really one of my heroes.

Renate Holzeisen: [00:43:52] I think the real heroes are the single citizens who are facing the situation on the front, opposing what happens. This for me are the real heroes. I say to every doctor, to every health worker who is coming to me, asking my help and thanking me, I always express my deep thanks to them because without them, we, lawyers won't be able to bring this very, very important, and for our future fundamental questions, to the courts.

Senta Depuydt: [00:44:45] Thank you so much, Renate, and we'll see you soon. Wish you a lot of success for all of this. Thank you very much. Bye bye.

©July 2021, Children's Health Defense Europe, A.S.B.L.. This work is reproduced and distributed with the permission of Children's Health Defense, A.S.B.L.. Want to learn more from Children's Health Defense Europe? [Sign up](#) for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., Senta Depuydt and the Children's Health Defense Europe team. Your [donation](#) will help to support us in our efforts.

[Connect with Children's Health Defense Europe](#)

Do You Consent to Color of Law?

[Do You Consent to Color of Law?](#)

by [Rosanne Lindsay](#), Naturopath, [Nature of Healing](#)

May 30, 2021

Color of law refers to an appearance of legal power to act that may operate in violation of law. Appearances can be deceiving.

In his book, [The Color of Law: A Forgotten History of How Our Government Segregated America](#), author Richard Rothstein, a leading expert on housing policy, describes the myth that cities came to be racially divided through de-facto segregation, that is, through individual prejudices, income differences, or the actions of banks and real estate agencies.

Rothstein makes clear that it was laws and policy decisions by local, state, and federal governments that directly promoted the discriminatory patterns. From racial zoning in the 1920s to urban planning of the 1950s, to federal subsidies to builders not to build homes for Blacks, it was plain, open discrimination, sanctioned by government, that violated laws that continue unabated today.

The color of law is a *cover* of law.

Color of Law = Unlawful Mandates

Color of Law operates today by unlawful mandates and Emergency Orders, which serve one purpose: to allow one group of people

to abolish your inherent rights. Remember, no one has more rights than anyone else.

No school, no store, no bank, no library, and no governor can make any order or policy that violates your rights under Natural law. Natural laws are determined by fundamental forces within Nature. Natural law supersedes all other laws, including man's laws. The Constitution is codified based on the Universal law that no man has the right to rule over another man, which will always be the truth from the day of birth to the day of death. Natural Law is based in two principles: Truth and Do no harm.

Natural Law is based in principles of truth about the reality we live in. Principles are first and foremost, at the root, the most necessary and important, a foundation to build upon. The word "principle" expresses Natural Moral Law in the very way we use the word itself, such as "in principle" and "on principle". Natural Law is an essential property of existence; it is born into being and is forever there in our reality without human causality. Our goal is to put these principle first-things first in our lives, to recognize and align with them because they are based in truth, not belief. Man's society is not putting original, generative, beginning, foundational principles first, but trivialities, lies, and deception. Therefore, Natural Law is not man's law.

What you need to know in 8 easy steps:

1. Your rights and freedoms are inherent or inborn, a birthright, granted by your Creator.
2. Government institutions do not grant rights. Institutions are established to *protect* your inherent rights.
3. Governments can only grant benefits and privileges, which comes with limits and consequences.
4. Governments cannot mandate anything under coercion or

duress, such as, "Do this or else."

5. Governments cannot use fear or [safety](#) as reasons to take peoples' rights.
6. Therefore, a "mask policy" set up under government powers is a crime under *the color of law* that violates your rights and the principles of Natural Law.
7. Defending your rights and freedoms is a personal *responsibility*, ie., an ability to respond (appropriately, reasonably, morally).
8. Being accountable is the ability to account for your response.

Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law

[Section 242 of Title 18](#) makes it a crime for a person acting under color of any law to willfully deprive a person of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

For the purpose of Section 242, acts under "color of law" include acts not only done by federal, state, or local officials within their lawful authority, but also acts done beyond the bounds of that official's lawful authority, if the acts are done while the official is purporting to or pretending to act in the performance of his/her official duties. Persons acting under color of law within the meaning of this statute include police officers, prisons guards and other law enforcement officials, as well as judges, care providers in public health facilities, and others who are acting as public officials. It is not necessary that the crime be motivated by animus toward the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status or national origin of the victim.

The offense is punishable by a range of imprisonment up to a life term, or the death penalty, depending upon the circumstances of the crime, and the resulting injury, if any.

If you understand that a government cannot abolish rights which it has no ability to grant in the first place, then you

are armed with enough knowledge to begin the process of calling out the facade when you see it practiced. Each success brings confidence for future successes. Humanity, as a whole, moves from oppression to expression, from tyranny to freedom.

Christopher Key, a man who had enough of unlawful dictates, stood up to the Jefferson County School Board in Alabama, and called them out.

[Pro Se litigant Luis Ewing](#) shares information on maintaining your rights of public accommodation (without masks and medicines) based on religious discrimination, and violations of the state and federal constitutions under the 1964 Civil Rights Act pursuant to Title 42 Section 1983.

Texan, Randall Kenton sued the Texas Governor by name, in court, causing the [governor to rescind the State mask mandate](#), allowing all bars and restaurants to reopen at full capacity. Twenty state governors followed suit, lifting their own mandates out of fear they would lose their insurance for fraud. [See the complaint filed](#) that could be used as a template anywhere. [Health officials continued to recommend wearing a mask](#) based on “personal responsibility” but no law: another example of Color of Law.

These people do not win by a belief. They win by a knowing. They know who they are. By holding their positions and protecting their rights, not only are they showing personal responsibility, but they are an example for others.

Cancel The Master-Slave Paradigm

What Christopher Key and others are showing is that when you reveal that a FRAUD has been perpetrated, and you follow it up by naming names, the perpetrators stand down. Behind all corporations are names of men and women. In general, suing corporate entities does nothing for the greater good, and never has. Corrupt companies, [such as Pfizer](#), may be found

criminally liable and fined in court over and over, but they continue to operate and to cause harm. However, going after individuals by name affects their pocketbooks.

No individual governor or prosecutor or judge or school board member wants their insurance rates to go up or to be dropped by insurers. Push just a little and the game has suddenly changed in the peoples' favor.

Illegal, unlawful, and immoral acts are not only reprehensible but are also a violation of your Natural rights, as expressed in the American Declaration of Independence, and as reflected in the national and state constitutions. If you are the subject of intimidation or coercion by agencies or governments or schools or employers, forcing a medical experiment upon you as a condition to participate in society, your rights have been trampled.

Why do the majority of people become subservient to lawless authorities [without any evidence of a clear and present danger](#)? Why do people refuse to stand up for their rights and freedoms? Why do people believe they do not have a choice when it comes to forced muzzles or forced medicines when they do?

Because the majority of people have been indoctrinated through the 15,000-hour public school system and programmed by the Tel-A-Vision to not think for themselves. They have been conditioned to accept government handouts that create co-dependence. They have been dumbed down and emasculated to accept a master outside themselves.

What if accepting stimulus handouts increased the federal debt to bankrupt a nation, which amounted to a federal crime? What if knowing that a national bankruptcy could be the excuse used to reset the debt credit currency system to a [Crypto credit system with your body as collateral](#)?

What if this whole scenario already happened during the 1918 Spanish flu pandemic where masking was found to be the cause

of secondary pneumonia and an invisible virus became the tool to rest society? The Great Depression followed the Spanish flu and a new system was established to enslave people using the birth certificate and social security system. From the Great Depression to the Great Reset, an invisible virus that never existed was blamed. Government promised people benefits and privileges in exchange for their rights. For more details, read [Recycling the Spanish Flu Pandemic](#).

No government. No business, no medical professional, no school, and no employer can require or mandate ANY medical treatment or intervention, including injections or face coverings that block your ability to breathe freely. To require any injection as a condition to participate in society is unlawful coercion according to state law.

Use State Law In Your Favor

You can use state law in your favor. In California, Peggy Hall of thehealthyamerican.org teaches people how to protect their rights using the State Constitution and business codes. She provides documents on her website that you can print and carry with you, such as the [right to public accommodation](#), as well as tools against [discrimination](#). [According to California Law:](#)

24170: This is the Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act

24171: The Legislature hereby finds and declares ... the right of individuals to determine what is done to their own bodies.

24172 This is the “experimental subject’s bill of rights,” and states that individuals...

(j) Be given the opportunity to decide to consent or not to consent to a medical experiment without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, coercion, or undue influence on the subject’s decision.

In the [Minnesota Constitution, Article I, Section 16](#) says:

Sec. 16. FREEDOM OF CONSCIENCE; NO PREFERENCE TO BE GIVEN TO ANY RELIGIOUS ESTABLISHMENT OR MODE OF WORSHIP. The enumeration of rights in this constitution shall not deny or impair others retained by and inherent in the people. The right of every man to worship God according to the dictates of his own conscience shall never be infringed; nor shall any man be compelled to attend, erect or support any place of worship, or to maintain any religious or ecclesiastical ministry, against his consent; nor shall any control of or interference with the rights of conscience be permitted, or any preference be given by law to any religious establishment or mode of worship; but the liberty of conscience hereby secured shall not be so construed as to excuse acts of licentiousness or justify practices inconsistent with the peace or safety of the state, nor shall any money be drawn from the treasury for the benefit of any religious societies or religious or theological seminaries.

Shakespeare wrote, *All the world is a stage*. This is why appearances can be deceiving. In this world of appearances, you can separate yourself from the crowd. Do you beg for rights from government? Do you know who you are? Do you know where you live?

You live in your body. Therefore, you have inherent rights. Your rights include the right to say NO to deception. You can say NO CONTRACT. I DO NOT CONSENT to any offer, contract, testing, treatment, intervention, or injection. Ask them to show you the laws. Man's laws compel artificial or legal [PERSONS](#), but do not compel you. Know the difference. And think twice before signing your name.

You are not subject to masters unless you are a subject. You are not a slave unless you accept a master outside yourself. You have the power to shift the direction and devolution of humanity by taking responsibility for your actions.

Simple know who you are.

The Liberty of man consists solely in this, that he obeys the Laws of Nature, because he has himself recognized them as such, and not because they have been imposed upon him externally by any foreign will whatsoever. – [Mikhail Bakunin](#)

Rosanne Lindsay is a Naturopath, writer, earth keeper, health freedom advocate and author of the books [The Nature of Healing, Heal the Body, Heal the Planet](#) and [Free Your Voice, Heal Your Thyroid, Reverse Thyroid Disease Naturally](#).

Rosanne Lindsay is [available for consultation](#) through Turtle Island Network. Subscribe to her blog at natureofhealing.org.

[Connect with Rosanne Lindsay](#)

James Corbett Offers His Take on Assange

by James Corbett

April 19, 2019

[Source](#)

This is a presentation of Questions for Corbett. The question about Julian Assange appears at approximately 16:09. (Video link starts at that point.) Hint: He's skeptical about many things surrounding Assange.

<https://youtu.be/0Zd3j5lZTMg?t=969>

What's your take on Assange? Have you heard of Unit 731? And just who is Percy Corbett, anyway? Get James' answer to these and other important questions in this edition of Questions For Corbett.

SHOW NOTES:

[The WWI Conspiracy](#)

[Interview 461 – Catheine Austin Fitts](#)

[Solutions: The Peer-to-Peer Economy](#)

[Unit731.org](#)

[Zbigniew Brzezinski lays out Wikileaks conspiracy theory](#)

[Meet Zbigniew Brzezinski, Conspiracy Theorist](#)

[John Young on The Corbett Report](#)

[How to protect your online privacy in 2019 | Tutorial by TheHatedOne](#)

[Why Big Oil Conquered the World](#)

[And Now For The 100 Trillion Dollar Bankster Climate Swindle...](#)

[And Now For The 100 Trillion Dollar Bankster Climate Swindle... \(video\)](#)

[Climate change costs will have knock-on effect on interest](#)

[rates, Reserve Bank warns](#)

[Climate Change and the Economy](#)

[Previous Patrick Wood Interviews,](#)

[Environmentalism is Corporate Controlled](#)

[Russiagate: A Conspiracy Theory](#)