

Pulitzer Prize Winning New York Times Reporter: January 6 Media Coverage 'Overreaction,' FBI Involved, Event Was Not Organized Despite Ongoing Narrative

[Pulitzer Prize Winning New York Times Reporter: January 6 Media Coverage 'Overreaction,' FBI Involved, Event Was Not Organized Despite Ongoing Narrative](#)

by [James O'Keefe, Project Veritas](#)

March 8, 2022

- NYT National Security Correspondent, Matthew Rosenberg, contradicts his own January 6 reporting: *"There were a ton of FBI informants amongst the people who attacked the Capitol."*
- Rosenberg: *"It was like, me and two other colleagues who were there [January 6] outside and we were just having fun!"*
- Rosenberg: *"I know I'm supposed to be traumatized, but like, all these colleagues who were in the [Capitol] building and are like 'Oh my God it was so scary!' I'm like, 'f*ck off!'"*
- Rosenberg: *"I'm like come on, it's not the kind place I can tell someone to man up but I kind of want to be like, 'dude come on, you were not in any danger.'"*

- Rosenberg: *“These f*cking little dweebs who keep going on about their trauma. Shut the f*ck up. They’re f*cking b*tches.”*
- Rosenberg: *“They were making too big a deal. They were making this an organized thing that it wasn’t.”*
- Rosenberg RESPONDS: *“Will I stand by those comments? Absolutely.”*

[NEW YORK – Mar. 8, 2022] Project Veritas published a bombshell video on Tuesday showing Pulitzer Prize winning New York Times correspondent, Matthew Rosenberg, speaking about the events of January 6, 2021, in a way that contradicts his own reporting.

Rosenberg, who covers national security matters for the Times says on the undercover video that “there were a ton of FBI informants among the people who attacked the Capitol.”

This revelation is a break from [Rosenberg’s reporting on the matter](#) where he characterized such a notion of FBI informants in the crowd as a “reimagining of Jan. 6.”

This was not the only time Rosenberg’s commentary to Project Veritas’ undercover reporter directly contradicted his own published words. Despite telling a Veritas journalist that January 6 was “no big deal,” his article says that downplaying the events of that day was “the next big lie.”

Soundbites of Rosenberg published Tuesday show him saying, “It’s not a big deal as they [media] are making it, because they were making too big a deal. They were making this an organized thing that it wasn’t.”

Project Veritas founder and CEO James O’Keefe revealed that Rosenberg’s article titled, “The Next Big Lies: Jan 6 was No Big Deal, or A Left-Wing Plot,” was written around the same time as he was making contradictory statements to a Project

Veritas undercover reporter.

In the video, Rosenberg also revealed that January 6 was “fun,” a contradiction to his reporting that January 6 was “a violent interruption to the transition of power in American history.”

Rosenberg said, “It was like, me and two other colleagues who were there outside and we were just having fun.”

He even appears to make fun of his New York Times colleagues in one soundbite saying, “I know I’m supposed to be traumatized, but like, all these colleagues who were in the [Capitol] building, and are like, ‘Oh my God it was so scary!’ I’m like, ‘f*ck off!’” He adds, “I’m like come on, it’s not the kind place I can tell someone to man up but I kind of want to be like, ‘dude come on, you were not in any danger.’”

Rosenberg concludes, “These f*cking little dweebs who keep going on about their trauma. Shut the f*ck up. They’re f*cking b*tches.”

[Connect with Project Veritas](#)