

Responding to Freedom of Information Requests, 69 Health/Science Institutions Failed to Provide Proof of “SARS-Cov-2” Isolation – by Anyone, Anywhere, Ever

[69 Health/Science Institutions Globally All Failed to Cite Even 1 Record of “SARS-Cov-2” Purification, by Anyone, Anywhere, Ever](#)

by [Christine, Fluoride Free Peel](#)
June 6, 2021

69 health/science FOI's institutions globally had all failed to provide or cite even 1 record of “SARS-COV-2” isolation/purification, by anyone, anywhere, ever.

Click this link to see the actual responses: <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

Below is a list of the institutions.

Australia	ACT Government (Government of the Australian Capital Territory) / Canberra Health Services (CHS)
Australia	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation – CSIRO

Australia	Department of Health
Australia	Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity
Britain	Health and Safety Executive
Canada	Institutes of Health Research
Canada	City of Toronto, Ontario
Canada	Department of Health & Community Services, Newfoundland Labrador
Canada	Health Canada
Canada	Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec
Canada	Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington Public Health, Ontario (re “any variant”)
Canada	McGill University
Canada	Ministry of Health, Ontario
Canada	Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario
Canada	National Research Council
Canada	Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
Canada	Provincial Health Services Authority, British Columbia
Canada	Region of Peel, Ontario
Canada	Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario
Canada	University of Toronto
Canada	Vancouver Coastal Health Authority, British Columbia (re “the UK variant”)
Canada	McMaster University
Canada	Peterborough Public Health
Canada	Peterborough Police Service
Canada	Public Health Agency of Canada

Canada	Toronto Police Service
Canada	Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization- International Vaccine Centre (VIDO-InterVac) at University of Saskatchewan
Canada	Ministry of Health, British Columbia
Canada	Centre for Disease Control, British Columbia
Canada	Grey Bruce Health Services
Denmark	Statens Serum Institut
England	Public Health England
Europe	Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
Netherlands	Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport
New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Hon Jenny Salesa
New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Hon Peeni Henare
New Zealand	Associate Minister of Health Julie Anne Genter
New Zealand	Bay of Plenty District Board
New Zealand	Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet
New Zealand	Institute of Environmental Science and Research – ESR
New Zealand	Ministry of Health
New Zealand	University of Auckland
New Zealand	University of Otago
Norway	Norwegian Ministry of Health and Cares Services & subordinate agency the Directorate of Health
Republic of Columbia	Ministry of Health & Social Protection
Republic of Ireland	National Virus Reference Laboratory, University College Dublin

Scotland	Public Health Scotland
Slovenia	Department of Health
Slovenia	Faculty of Medicine and Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Ljubljana
Spain	Ministry of Health
United Kingdom	Cabinet Office
United Kingdom	Department of Health and Social Care
United Kingdom	Government Office for Science
United Kingdom	House of Commons
United Kingdom	House of Lords
United Kingdom	Imperial College London
United Kingdom	Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency
United Kingdom	Prime Minister's Office
United Kingdom	University of Warwick
United States of America	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
United States of America	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
United States of America	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases – NIAID
United States of America	Oregon Health Authority
Uruguay	Faculty of Chemistry – University of the Republic (UdelaR) – Bettina – already added to file that I sent Bettina to check over
Uruguay	Clemente Stable Biological Research Institute, Ministry of Education and Culture – release when Bettina gives OK
Wales	Public Health Wales

India	Indian Council of Medical Research
Czech Republic	Univerzita Karlova
Czech Republic	Ministry of Health

[Connect with Flouride Free Peel](#)

6/10/2021 – Update by Truth Comes to Light editor:

See related Freedom of Information Act response from Melbourne, Victoria, Department of Health and Human Services as shared with us by a reader. The closing statement is most relevant:

The division advised that a scan of the publicly available literature found that there is currently no test available that 100% positively identifies COVID-19 in a living human beyond any reasonable doubt, as all diagnostic tests have a margin of error.



Department of Health and Human Services

50 Lonsdale Street
Melbourne Victoria 3000
Telephone 1300 650 172
GPO Box 4057
Melbourne Victoria 3001
www.dhhs.vic.gov.au
DX 210081

Our ref: F20/0685

Ms Helena Sn [REDACTED]

Sent via email to: [REDACTED]

Dear Ms Sn [REDACTED]

NOTICE OF DECISION FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

I refer to your application made pursuant to the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (the Act) cited below and received by the department on 5 May 2020:

'One document that shows and provides scientific factual evidence, of the testing procedure being used in Australia that 100% positively identifies Covid-19 otherwise known as SARS-CoV-2 (not any other type of Corona Virus) in a living human, beyond any reasonable doubt.'

Freedom of Information Act 1982 (Vic)

The Act establishes a general right of access to documents held by the department. To protect essential public interests and the private and business affairs of individuals, the right of access does not apply to a document identified in the Act as exempt.

If a document contains exempt material the Act allows for an edited copy to be released after the exempt matter has been deleted and where it is practicable to do so.

Documents

On the basis of your request, the Regulation, Health Protection and Emergency Management division conducted a thorough and diligent search and advised that no relevant documents have been located.

The division advised that a scan of the publicly available literature found that there is currently no test available that 100% positively identifies COVID-19 in a living human beyond any reasonable doubt, as all diagnostic tests have a margin of error.

