

Ricardo Maarman & Thousands of South Africans File Constitutional Lawsuit Against the President, Speaker of Parliament & Governor of SA Reserve Bank for 'Pandemic'-Related Crimes Against South Africans

[Ricardo Maarman & Thousands of South Africans File Constitutional Lawsuit Against the President, Speaker of Parliament & Governor of SA Reserve Bank for 'Pandemic'-Related Crimes Against South Africans](#)

[Truth Comes to Light](#) editor's note:

Dr. Faiez Kirsten, of [Show Us the Virus](#), announced today that the constitutional application papers have now been filed.

The Case Number for this matter is [CCT 299/21](#).

[Download a PDF copy of the court case affidavit.](#)

[South Africans can sign the "Show Us the Virus" petition, demanding a referendum, here.](#)

Listen to the announcement by Ricardo Maarman in the video provided below. We have also provided a transcript of his powerful statement.

Ricardo Maarman holds a BA Degree in Politics/Philosophy and Economics obtained at the University of South Africa and an MA International Politics obtained at the University of Leicester in the UK. He specialized in the Post-Cold War World Order, International Security, Intelligence and Security, and US Foreign Policy.

[Join Show Us the Virus on Telegram](#)

[See all details about this court case at their website.](#)

by [Dr. Faiez Kirsten](#), [HWP Institute](#) and [Ricardo Maarman](#), [showusthevirus.info](#)
September 30, 2021

Original video available at HWP Report [Brighteon](#) channel.

[As a service to protect truth from censorship and to share widely, mirrored copies of this video are available at Truth Comes to Light [Odysee](#), [BitChute](#) and [Brighteon](#) channels. All credit, along with our sincere thanks, goes to the original source of this video. Please follow links provided to support their work.]

Transcript:

Good Day, everyone. Today I have a very important message for the people of South Africa. We have filed our case with the Constitutional Court of South Africa, case number CCT 299/21.

Despite the many difficulties that we have faced

along the way, we have successfully filed this case.

I would like to thank Dr. Faiez Kirsten for his unwavering commitment to the cause. I would like to thank those more than 8,700 South Africans who have signed our petition, and in so doing, have become co-applicants to the case.

I would like to thank those who have given us financial support. I would like to thank those who have prayed for our success.

I would like to thank my wife for her loyal partnership, for her wavering commitment to the cause of truth, of justice and her service to God.

Finally, and most importantly, I would like to thank God in whom we put all our trust.

The case that we have brought to the Constitutional Court is against the president of South Africa, the South African Parliament, and the South African Reserve Bank.

Now, what has the president done wrong? Why have we brought a case against hi?

Well, the president heard claims made by the Chinese government that there was a virus that broke out in Wuhan. And subsequently, the World Health Organization has declared an international or global pandemic. He followed suit. He declared a national disaster in South Africa.

What he has done wrong here is he did not confirm the claim that was made by the Chinese government, or the claim that was made by the World Health Organization, as he should have done before he

imposed a lockdown on the South African people.

What the president should have done was to send scientists to China and to ask the Chinese government to provide a pure sample of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, separated and isolated from all other contaminants and substances. Once a scientist was in possession of this pure sample of the SARS-CoV-2, he should have instructed them to infect a living, healthy organism with this pure virus only to see whether it produces the symptoms of Covid-19 – and to see if Covid-19 is indeed as deadly as the Chinese and the World Health Organization claim it to be.

He should have repeated this a few times. After doing so, he should have presented his facts and his information to the people of South Africa, to Parliament. And then he should have declared a national state of disaster.

The president did not do so, therefore he did wrong. The president should have shown the people of South Africa the virus before he declared a national disaster based on the virus. In doing so, the president has contravened the Constitution. He contravened Section 36.1 that says he can only limit the rights of the people of South Africa, the Bill of Rights, if he had reasonable justification and if he shows that reasonable justification to the people of South Africa as in an open and democratic society.

He also failed Section 52.1 of the Constitution that says if you take someone's rights away then you should provide him information that could help him to understand why his rights have been taken away,

or to fight for his rights to be restored. The president simply failed to do so.

What is the case that we have brought against the South African parliament?

Well, when the president declared the national state of disaster, Parliament went along without any question. That was wrong.

Parliament should've called a debate. They should have questioned the president. They should have asked the president to show us the virus before they agreed to support these measures of the lockdown and the national disaster declaration. Parliament failed to do so. That is why they did wrong.

They contravened the Constitution Section 37.1. Parliament should have made sure that there is a real threat to the life and property of the people of South Africa before they went along. Parliament should have made sure, according to Section 22.3, that the president has a reasonable justification for taking away the rights of the people of South Africa – before they agreed to go along.

According to Section 55.2, Parliament should have put all measures in place to find out whether the virus exists and whether it's dangerous. And whether it's deadly. Parliament should have asked the president to show us the virus. They had the power to do so, and he would have had no other choice but to answer them.

Parliament has failed the people of South Africa.

The South African Reserve Bank, when they heard the president make a declaration of a national state of

disaster, they then funded the president. They instituted financial measures to provide finance for the lockdown measures. That is what the South African Reserve Bank did.

Before they provided such finance, what they should have done was to confirm whether the virus was real, confirm whether the virus was indeed deadly before they agreed to finance the government. They had a responsibility to do this under Section 224.2 of the Constitution. They have a responsibility to act independently without fear or favor. They have failed to do so.

Now we have great evidence against these responses.

To start with, Christine Massey of Canada and many others went around the world and they asked more than 100 laboratories, health laboratories around the world, to show them the SARS-CoV-2 virus. And the answer they got from these laboratories was, no, they cannot show the virus because they don't have it. More than 100 health laboratories around the world.

The president and the scientists rely on the PCR test in order for them to demonstrate death rates and infection rates. But the inventor of the PCR test method they said that it shouldn't be used for that. Yet they continue to use it for that.

The inventor of the PCR test method, Dr. Mullis [Kary Mullis, PhD], died shortly before the outbreak of the pandemic. But, luckily for us, that those who know him well, and who have the same expertise as him, have given a sworn testimony to verify and to confirm that he indeed has sent them that these

tests should not be used for this. We have sworn testimony to this and we have presented this to the court.

The PCR test has been found unreliable by a Portuguese court. And, in this matter, according to Section 39.1 of the Constitution, the Constitutional Court must consider that Portuguese case. But it makes it's ruling here. And the Portuguese case ruled that the PCR test is unreliable.

The PCR test is not calibrated to find SARS-CoV-2 based on a pure genetic sample that was taken from a pure sample of the SARS-Cov-2 virus. Rather it is based on a computer-generated, genetic sequence.

The NICD [The National Institute for Communicable Diseases] has claimed that it had a photograph of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Now how did they obtain this photograph?

According to their own version, found on their website, the NICD says that it took samples from patients that tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 using a PCR test. And then it took those samples and mixed it with green monkey kidney cells amongst other things. And then it took a picture of this mixture and claimed that this picture is a photograph of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

Well, it is wrong. One cannot rely on such a picture because all you have is a picture of a mixture.

According to the [...] high court in Germany, ruled that when you take samples that are supposedly from infected people of a virus and you mix it with green monkey kidney cells or any other thing, then you cannot rely on such identification of the virus.

Because how do you discount the green monkey kidney cells?

And this is exactly what the NICD has done in the supposed photograph. We placed this before the court and the court must consider this as per Section 39.1 of the Constitution.

This is overwhelming evidence that is supported by sworn testimony from scientific experts. Dr. Qureshi from Canada. Dr. [...] from the United States, Dr. Corbett from the UK and, of course, the evidence that I have shown you from Christine Massey.

We thank them greatly for providing such sworn testimony to us. In addition to this sworn testimony, we also have incriminating evidence coming out of the mouths of the president, of the speaker of Parliament, and of the Reserve Bank governor themselves.

The president has admitted under oath at the Zondo commission, that his government has people or officials in high office that are captured. He admitted this.

He also said that members of Parliament from the African National Congress are in Parliament because of the party. And they are there to serve the party and represent the party, not the people of South Africa. This is out of his own mouth – sworn testimony.

The former speaker of Parliament Thandi Modise also delivered sworn testimony at the Zondo commission. And she said at the Zondo commission that sometimes members of parliament don't ask unnecessary questions because they have ambitions of becoming

ministers in future.

Thandi Modise did not ask the president to show us the virus. And now Thandi Modise is the Minister of Defense.

The South African Reserve Bank Governor, when he was helping, at the same time that South Africa incurred billions of dollars of debt with the IMF [International Monetary Fund], the South African Reserve Bank Governor was helping the government put together the budget in which this loan was decided upon. And at the same time, he was an advisor to the IMF, the entity to which the South African people would be eventually sold into debt slavery.

He was working for the IMF and he was working with the South African government the same time – benefitting the IMF with this loan and it was eventually taken up. This was a clear conflict of interest. Highly unacceptable. How can we expect that the Reserve Bank would have acted independently without fear or favor in such circumstances, as it should have done, when its governor was so highly conflicted.

As you can see, we have a very, very strong case here against these respondents. And we are asking the court to grant the South African people relief.

And the relief that we are asking from the court is: Number one, for the national state of disaster and all its measures including the lockdown etc. to be declared invalid and to be set aside immediately.

We are asking the court that the Covid-19 budget, or the budget that was decided upon on the 24th of June 2020, in which the South African people incurred,

indirectly, a good deal of debt, to fund the lockdown and the national disaster – that that budget must be declared invalid and set aside. And that the South African people must not be responsible for any debt incurred as a result of this unproven pandemic.

We are asking the Constitutional Court that all the respondents be held financially liable personally for all the financial losses suffered by South African people – because of their actions or their failures to act in the correct manner.

Asking the court to hold the South African Reserve Bank liable as an institution, as an organization, because the South African Reserve Bank is the financial authority of the country. So as the financial authority, who acted wrongly in this instance, that the South African Reserve Bank should be held liable financially for all losses suffered by the South African people.

We are asking that all these respondents must resign voluntarily in an orderly manner. We are asking the court to grant the people of South Africa a referendum in which the people of South Africa can have a vote of no confidence in all of these respondents.

We are asking the court that the South African people be granted a referendum where they can decide whether to have a direct presidential election with individual candidates instead of party-based system that we have now, so that we can remedy this conflict of interest and this confusion that ANC party members have – whether they should represent the people or whether they should represent their

party. We should have a referendum to solve this matter once and for all.

We are asking the court to grant the people of South Africa a referendum to decide whether we should liquidate the South African Reserve Bank in order to finance all of the financial losses suffered by the people of South Africa because of the failures of the South African Reserve Bank.

We are asking the court to grant the people a referendum so that the people can decide on a metal-based currency and the abolition of interest, to ensure that in future our financial system cannot be manipulated by anyone.

As you have seen here in my explanation for you, that our case is simple, clear and just.

We have faced many difficulties. Some of our witnesses that were supposed to give sworn testimony pulled out under threat, under coercion, under fear.

But we have labored on and we are here. This is a serious time in the history of South Africa and in the history of the world.

I ask all of you who are listening to this video that – should something happen to me – that all those co-applicants have the right to have this case continue to the end, until justice is done.

Please support our efforts. Please support our case. Please pray to God for our success.

As I have said, our case is simple, our case is clear, and our case is just. Thank you very much.

[Connect with Show Us the Virus](#)

See related articles:

[Christine Massey Interviewed by Prof. Michel Chossudovsky: On FOIA Requests & Responses to the Question – Has SARS-CoV-2 Ever Been Isolated? Does the “Virus” Exist?](#)

[SARS-CoV-2 Has Not Been Proven to Exist: The Shocking Research of Christine Massey](#)

[Phantom Virus: In Search of Sars-CoV-2](#)

[In Response to Freedom of Information Act Requests: CDC Admits There Is No ‘Gold Standard’ for the Isolation of ANY Virus](#)

[The Emperor Has No Corona](#)

[Does the Virus Exist? SARS-CoV-2 Has Not Been Isolated? “Biggest Fraud in Medical History” – A Review](#)

[Statement On Virus Isolation \(SOVI\)](#)

[Turning Virology & Modern Medicine on Its Head: Dr. Andrew Kaufman w/ Brian Young](#)

[The Fraudulent Use of PCR / RT-PCR Techniques for the Manipulation, Harm and, Ultimately, the Destruction of Humanity](#)

[The Truth About PCR Tests & the Mysterious Death of Dr Fauci’s Most Notable Critic](#)

[We Are Being Lied To! Here Is How...](#)

[Attorney Dr. Reiner Fuellmich on “This Infectious Disease Theater” & the People Behind It](#)

[David Icke: Wuhan Lab ‘Virus’ Leak Is a Calculated](#)

Diversion From the Simple Truth – There Is No ‘Virus’