

# Rising Severe Weather Disasters

## [Rising Severe Weather Disasters](#)

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#), [The Giza Death Star](#)

June 5, 2024

If you're a member of this website [[The Giza Death Star](#)] or a regular follower on its forums, you will know that over the past couple of years there has been an increasing inability for me to schedule regular events such as vidchats. The reason for this is very simple: weather, and, to be more precise, the possibility of severe weather. I live in an area where, quite literally, severe weather can "spin up" very quickly and with little warning, leaving me but few precious seconds to scoop up my dog and my radio, and scramble to the storm shelter in the back yard. As this procedure is alone somewhat risky, if there is a probability of severe weather – massive hail or winds or tornadoes – I will cancel events to allow me to monitor weather radars and to take shelter long before the sirens are blown. Indeed, if you're hearing the sirens and are not in your shelter when they blow, it's probably too late. And over the years, as the storms seem to become more intense, I've adopted the "better safe than sorry" philosophy.

But this year has been the absolute worst. During last month (May, 2024), the cycle of storms seemed to hit with weekly regularity, and virtually every time they did, there were warnings of severe weather and tornadoes. We were in our storm shelter no less than five times in four weeks, for a total of about 15 hours spent in it. From Texas to Ohio the country has been pummeled with storms, and as I've remarked, we seem to be incapable of having a simple *rain* with a rumble of thunder

every now and then. Every storm now becomes severe. Other people, when I talk about the weird weather, are perceiving the same thing. But before we get to today's high octane speculation, we must take notice of the following article kindly shared by E.G., because there is a telltale *financial and economic* indicator that this perception is not merely some sort of "group subjective phenomenon"; it is *very real*:

### [The Rise In America's Billion-Dollar Extreme Weather Disasters](#)

This article says it all, and it's best to begin at the beginning:

Since 1980, there have been 383 extreme weather or climate disasters where the damages reached at least \$1 billion. In total, these disasters have cost more than \$2.7 trillion.

Created in partnership with the [National Public Utilities Council](#), this chart, [via Visual Capitalist's Jenna Ross](#), shows how *these disasters have been increasing with each passing decade.* (Emphasis added)

But note carefully the following important points: adjusting for inflation, *the costs of weather disasters has been steadily rising* (and note also that benchmark cate of 1980; we'll get back to that):

The [U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration \(NOAA\)](#) tracks each disaster and estimates the cost based on factors like physical damages and time losses such as business interruption. They adjust all costs by the Consumer Price Index to account for inflation.

Decade	Total No. of Events	Total Inflation-Adjusted Cost
1980s	33	\$216B
1990s	57	\$330B
2000s	67	\$611B
2010s	131	\$978B
2020s*	95	\$568B

\* Data is as of May 8, 2024.

Both the number and cost of extreme weather disasters has grown over time. In fact, not even halfway through the 2020s the number of disasters is **over 70%** of those seen during the entire 2010s.

Note that according to the above table, we are not even halfway through the 2020s, but are well over halfway to the previous inflation-adjusted cost of weather disasters in the 2010s, and notice the steady increase of the costs.

In other words, *measured by the monetary costs, the weather is, indeed, getting worse, **much** worse.* It is *not* merely a “group subjective impression.”

And that brings me to today’s high octane speculation: the question is *why?* Of course, we know that the “climate change” nitwits will trot out their usual sauce of whining claptrap and nonsense: cow farts, methane, greenhouse gases, farmers, too many people. But nary a peep will ever cross their ideologically blinded eyes and mute lips about weather modification *technologies*, from the simple procedures of cloud seeding, to Baal Gates’ (and others’) kooky and insane idea about blotting out the sun with chemical spraying, to the use of ionospheric heaters to actually alter and engineer the ionosphere and magnetosphere, and hence, to be able to manipulate the weather.

Remember, the original patents for HAARP *included weather modification and missile defense as one of the uses of the technology*, and *that* was in the late 1980s! Let us add to this the *chemical spraying* that began with the Reagan

Administration to increase the electrical conductivity of the atmosphere as a component of its Star Wars program (and of course, that would make those ionospheric heaters more efficient). In other words, that 1980s date is important, because it is an indicator, a symbol, that mankind is indeed causing the climate change, but for none of the "reasons" offered by the climate change advocates. *Their* version of it is merely a cloak for the military-industrial manipulation of the weather, and all the blowback happening as a result of that interference and manipulation.

In the very apt words of Elana Freeland, and to put it country simple, there is no longer any such thing as purely natural weather; the use and deployment of these technologies has made that impossible. But that does not mean they have total or complete *control* either. They can "steer" systems (look at the HAARP patents again, and think of them in connection with the strange behavior taken by Hurricane Katrina before its landfall at New Orleans), and perhaps even *increase or attenuate* the strength of weather systems, and perhaps even create them.

But they have not mastered 100% control; *part* of what we're experiencing is, I maintain, the blowback from a complex of interlocked systems they have yet to master. However, that same increase of severity over the years also means that they must continue to experiment with these technologies of the apocalypse in order to learn the basic laws of their functioning and systemic blowback, *much like they did with the first nuclear bombs, and the inability to calculate yields without considering wider systemic energy transduction into the reaction.*

Why do this at all? Well, to return to our speculation in last Monday's blog about the saturnian moon Pan and the Norman Bergrun scenario of *The Ringmakers of Saturn*, if one wants to *deter* a potentially hostile extraterrestrial intervention, especially from what looks to be Saturn-sized technology, a

Kardashev Class One civilization type technology literally right next door in our own celestial neighborhood, then one must demonstrate a similar ability to engineer systems of a planetary scale: enter ionospheric heaters and the types of weather and geophysical systems manipulation they entail.

*In other words, the experimentations are also exercises in deterrence; they are the planetary demonstrations of an ability for "others" to observe, just as nuclear tests were to demonstrate to the Soviets (or the Americans, or the British, or the French, or the Chinese, or the Pakistanis &c) that "we can do this; don't mess with us."*

And along the way, they can literally destroy property, throw people out of their homes, and pick up land and assets for pennies on the dollar. It's called disaster capitalism, or as I like to call it, disaster crapitalism. Or to put this point differently in case it is being missed or overlooked: the pattern of increasing disaster is also a pattern similar to the escalation of a war, a weather war being waged on its own people. And while we're talking about weather war, the technologies are known to more than just the USA, and thus the very real possibility arises that one party might be using this technology to modify an adversary's weather, and that adversary is attempting to defend against that, and to retaliate, thus inducing even more factors into the equation which may result in unintended blowback.

The end result of all of this is that Mr. Globaloony wants you to blame your local cattle rancher or farmer, or fossil fuels, or what have you, when in reality the types of systems they are playing with are way beyond the ability of methane gas emissions to manipulate. There's an old adage whose truthfulness in this context goes way beyond the humorous circumstances in which it was offered: "the smeller is the feller." In this case, the people raising the most noise about climate change are the only people with enough power to access the technologies that can actually do it.

That's why, when I'm huddling with my dog in our storm shelter and hoping and praying I have a home to return to when we emerge, I'm not thinking of my local farmer or cattle rancher. I'm thinking of John "Ketchup" Kerry, of Baal Gates, of der Hochklaus, of Ronald Reagan and Mikhail Gorbachev discussing mutual planetary defense in case of an ET invasion, and of the whole miserable and rotten political class, of the whole bloviating mass of the Davos, Bilderburgers, and the Bohemian Grove set.

See you on the flip side...

[Connect with Joseph P. Farrell](#)

*Cover image credit: [KELLEPICS](#)*