

Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. Admits Controversy Over Existence of SARS-CoV-2 – and of All Viruses

[Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. Admits Controversy Over Existence of SARS-CoV-2 – and of All Viruses](#)

by [Eric F. Copolino](#), [Chiron Return](#)

April 27, 2022

[[See transcript](#) of my interchange with RFK, or [hear audio](#)]

<https://audio.pwfm.tech/documents/220424-rfk-hyatt-edit.mp3>

GREENWICH, CT – Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. has acknowledged the controversy within his own community over whether SARS-CoV-2 physically exists, and whether any viruses exist, or make people sick. He made the comments at a fundraising event here Sunday, April 24, 2022.

Kennedy said that the issue erupts regularly on the email discussion list of [Children’s Health Defense](#) (CHD), the vaccine safety and education organization that he founded in 2016.

“On our list, there’s a number of people who make those kinds of arguments” about how viruses allegedly don’t exist, Kennedy said in his remarks. “And other people on the list server, and these are all very brilliant people, ridicule them and dismiss them, and have them produce a lot of evidence.”

He made the remarks in reply to a question about why no government can produce evidence of having a sample of SARS-

CoV-2 taken from a patient, rather than artificially created using a computer model.

Kennedy, the son of Sen. Robert F. Kennedy and the nephew of Pres. John F. Kennedy, is considered one of the leading voices in the international movement against covid-related mandates, lockdowns and safety issues over covid injections. It is the first time he has publicly commented on the virus-existence issue.

Scientists on all sides of the issue agree that viral particles have not been physically isolated (with purified samples) and then sequenced.

What is Being Used to Prime the Covid Test?

The matter of whether the SARS-CoV-2 virus physically exists has dual significance. The obvious issue is that if there is not a virus, what then is making people sick? And what are they being vaccinated against?

Second, and less obvious: If the government cannot prove that it has a sample of natural SARS-CoV-2, then what is being used to prime the PCR test that is supposed to match and find the genetic code of an actual virus in a patient?

Scientists on all sides of the issue agree that viral particles have not been physically isolated (with purified samples) and then sequenced. Rather, hypothetical viruses are assembled from mixed biological samples, and these “in silico genomes” are then assumed to not only exist in nature but come from inside a pathogenic particle. They have many names:

“mimicked human specimens” and “contrived viruses” (in the words of the CDC); or “synthetic nucleotide technology” (in the words words of the authors of the WHO test for covid).

One virologist told me in July 2020 that SARS-COV- 2 was being assembled “like pages from a book,” necessary because no natural virus particle was available to sequence. The problem is that nobody has demonstrated these pages actually belong to the proposed book.

Covid tests look for sequences attributed to the “virus” merely via computer models – but these “found” sequences almost always originate from somewhere else (including the testing process itself).

Performance Characteristics

Analytical Performance:

Limit of Detection (LoD):

LoD studies determine the lowest detectable concentration of 2019-nCoV at which approximately 95% of all (true positive) replicates test positive. The LoD was determined by limiting dilution studies using characterized samples.

The analytical sensitivity of the rRT-PCR assays contained in the CDC 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel were determined in Limit of Detection studies. Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA (N gene; GenBank accession: MN908947.2) of known titer (RNA copies/ μ L) spiked into a diluent consisting of a suspension of human A549 cells and viral transport medium (VTM) to mimic clinical specimen. Samples were extracted using the QIAGEN EZ1 Advanced XL instrument and EZ1 DSP Virus Kit (Cat# 62724) and manually with the QIAGEN DSP Viral RNA Mini Kit (Cat# 61904). Real-Time RT-PCR assays were performed using the ThermoFisher Scientific TaqPath™ 1-Step RT-qPCR Master Mix, CG (Cat# A15299) on the Applied Biosystems™ 7500 Fast Dx Real-Time PCR Instrument according to the CDC 2019-nCoV Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel instructions for use.

CDC document pertaining to detection limits in the CDC “covid” test, admitting that purified isolates of SARS-CoV-2 are not available. Yet this long, technical paragraph admits something else: how they go about making their contrived virus (mimicked human specimen), rather than sequencing actual virus. Were viruses available to anyone, it would be the federal government of the United States. What they are admitting is that the virus has not been isolated or purified; the writer admits outright that they are using made-up samples that mimic clinical specimens. The technical notes describe the manufacturing process for in silico sequences that are used in the “covid” test. The notes make reference to MN908947, a synthetic, claimed, partial metagenomic transcript (not actual sequencing) of the “N-gene” – which was later abandoned in its entirety in the Corman-Drosten assay.

Metagenomics: The Creation of Hypothetical Sequences

These hypothetical sequences are developed using technology called *metagenomics* – without any reference to actual purified suspected viruses. This artificial-intelligence process assembles a hypothetical “virus” from information gathered either from a crude human body fluid sample, or by making a “cell culture” experiment by mixing the fluid with monkey cells, cervical cancer cells, fetal calf serum, antibiotics and other poisons. In all cases where covid is concerned, scientists have used the latter. Because there is no actual virus available as a reference, there is no way to verify if the proposed sequences are valid. They are all theoretical, and no two are alike.

Said another way, in the absence of a real virus specimen, covid tests look for sequences attributed to the “virus” merely via computer models – but these “found” sequences almost always originate from somewhere else. And “positive” results can emerge from nearly anywhere, including the testing process itself). Yet if someone “tests positive” for one of these claimed viral sequences, they are said to be “infected” with SARS-CoV-2.

Previously, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has admitted that the polymerase chain reaction has had a 100% false positive rate and has caused several widely-documented “false epidemics.”

The claimed existence, transmissibility and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 were used to declare a global pandemic that by March 31, 2020 had 4.5 billion people around the world living under a stay-at-home order or house arrest.

“On our list, there’s a number of people who make those kinds of arguments. And other people on the list server, and these are all very brilliant people, ridicule them and dismiss them, and have them produce a lot of evidence.”
– Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.

Seeking Documents from Governments, Agencies and Institutions

At a Q-and-A session at a fundraising event here Sunday, April 24, I asked Kennedy about the work of Christine Massey in the Toronto area, a statistician who is coordinating the worldwide effort [to officially query governments, agencies and institutions](#) about whether they have a sample of the claimed virus taken from a human.

Here is what I asked him verbatim [[see transcript](#) or [hear audio](#)]:

<https://audio.pwfm.tech/interviews/220429-christine-massey.mp3>

“Christine Massey in Toronto has amassed 182 responses under various Freedom of Information Law requests from institutions, provincial state, and federal, national governments, which all say that no one has a sample of SARS CoV-2 taken from a human. Would you please comment on that?”

Kennedy replied: “On our list, there’s a number of people who make those kinds of arguments. And other people on the list server, and these are all very brilliant people, ridicule them and dismiss them, and have them produce a lot of evidence. I actually saw an exchange yesterday, where somebody made that exact statement and then 10 people jumped on him on with examples, of where that’s not true.”

The issue over the nature and existence of viruses represents

the single biggest split in the covid-truth and anti-mandates movements. I first [documented this divide in May of 2020](#).

“RFK Jr. now relies on popular opinion and ridicule to evaluate science?

When did he declare incompetence with simple logic?”

– Christine Massey, statistician and coordinator of the virus FOIA project

‘I Am Amused Reading These Exchanges’

He added: “I am kind of amused reading the exchanges, and my inclination is that the viruses do exist and they do make people sick. I could be wrong. It could all be a big hoax, but to me, it all seems like viruses are real.”

But Kennedy answered a different question than the one I asked. I did not present him with an argument, or ask him whether he thought viruses were real. He admits that he uses a kind of mob rule to make up his mind over critical scientific issues when he says, “And other people on the list server, and these are all very brilliant people, ridicule them and dismiss them, and have them produce a lot of evidence.”

Reading Kennedy’s response, Christine Massey said, “RFK Jr. now relies on popular opinion and ridicule to evaluate science? When did he declare incompetence with simple logic? And why is a man dedicated to protecting children from medical harm uninterested in one of the greatest medical frauds of all time?”

She also demanded the data from the 10 people on Kennedy’s

list who claimed to prove that the virus had been isolated.

So far, no governments have produced a scientific paper saying that they or anyone have such a sample, despite the claim that a contagious virus has killed more than 5 million people worldwide.

Asked About a Legal Issue – Not Scientific

Kennedy said he believed viruses exist, but I did not ask him about that. Rather, I presented him with a legal issue, asking him to comment about how someone well-known and established in covid truth circles over the past two years has collected 182 responses from top-level government agencies and institutions, all saying they do not have a sample of SARS-CoV-2 extracted from a human host.

So far, no governments have produced a scientific paper saying that they or anyone have such a sample, despite the claim that a contagious virus has killed more than 5 million people worldwide.

I followed up and said to him, “The governments have said they don’t have a sample.”

Kennedy, an attorney, responded: “Freedom Information Laws do not require the government agency to do science, or to answer specific questions. What they do is, the Freedom of Information Laws make it obligatory for the government to give you existing documents. So if you are telling the government, ‘I want you to verify these, there are documents’, they say,

listen there's nothing to verify it. It doesn't mean it's not true. It means they've got nothing."

So far all have said no such records exist. This includes the U.S. CDC and the FDA, as well as Health Canada and the National Health Service (NHS) of the UK. None of the 182 agencies and governments queried have replied in the affirmative.

'Kennedy hasn't read any of my records requests'

Massey replied to this in an email: "It appears that Kennedy hasn't read any of my records requests. I didn't ask governments to 'do science' or answer 'specific questions'. All of my requests have been for studies/reports in the possession, custody or control of an institution."

I asked Massey how she words her letters seeking documentation of a sample of the claimed virus from a human host.

She provided this example of what she is seeking, and what so far all governments she has queried deny having:

"All studies and/or reports in the possession, custody or control of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and/or the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) describing the purification of any "COVID-19 virus" (aka "SARS-COV-2", including any alleged "variants" i.e. "B.1.1.7", "B.1.351", "P.1") (for example: via filtration, ultracentrifugation and chromatography), directly from a sample taken from a diseased human where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic

material (i.e. monkey kidney cells aka Vero cells; fetal bovine serum).”

And so far all have said no such records exist. This includes the U.S. CDC and the FDA, as well as Health Canada and the National Health Service (NHS) of the UK. None of the 182 agencies and governments queried have replied in the affirmative.

“It erodes popular faith in democracy when public officials insist that their arbitrary policies are ‘science based’ and yet cannot produce a single study to support sweeping mandates.”

– Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.

‘No Records Exist’ is an Important Response

Getting a “no records exist” reply is common, and seeking such a reply is a common strategy for establishing that there has not actually been a regulatory process for a policy issue. It is one of the most important uses of open records laws.

In late 2020, the New York State Department of Health (NYS-DOH) responded to an open records request saying it had no studies to prove that masks are safe or effective at preventing the spread of viruses or other diseases. For that same kind of “sorry no documents” FOIL reply, Kennedy was much more outspoken.

At the time, he wrote to his Instagram followers, “It erodes popular faith in democracy when public officials insist that their arbitrary policies are ‘science based’ and yet cannot produce a single study to support sweeping mandates. This

letter illustrates the hazard of abandoning due process.”

Previously, he had remained agnostic on the issue of masks and whether masks work. He finally took a position in response

New York State saying it had absolutely no data about whether masks are safe or effective.

“It’s a needlessly divisive issue, with people screaming, on both sides, as if it were the key to this whole thing – which it isn’t.”
– Prof. Mark Crispin Miller

‘Forget the Title. Read the Methodology’

Mike Wallach is the director of a new documentary called [*The Viral Delusion: The Pseudoscience of SARS-CoV-2*](#).

“They did not isolate a virus,” Wallach said. “The reason it’s so confusing for people is that they claim to have done so in the titles of the key scientific papers, but if you read the methodology sections, it’s blatantly clear: they never isolated a virus. They never found anything. The evidence is overwhelming.”

He added: “I respect the importance of political leaders like RFK Jr. keeping an open tent, they have to. But at the same time, this is an issue that should be front and center for the world public, and nobody should be repeating this dogma about the existence of viruses.”

Mark Crispin Miller, professor of communication at New York University, said, “It’s a needlessly divisive issue, with

people screaming, on both sides, as if it were the key to this whole thing – which it isn't. What will make the whole narrative collapse is not the argument that there are no viruses, but the recognition that the authorities we've all been listening to – the medical establishment, Big Pharma, Academia, the media et al. – are malign, and intent on killing us.

“That's it. Everything else is a distraction. Whether the ravages of COVID-19 have been exaggerated, or whether there's no virus there at all, is ultimately beside the point. And since Bobby's role is in large part political, as he attempts to keep this movement in one piece, his disinclination to take sides here ought to be respected.”

***“Are all based on in-silico modeled synthetic phenomena, which has never been scientifically proven as coming from an actual virus.”
– Dr. Kevin Corbett, expert in diagnostic testing***

‘This was what happened with HIV’

Dr. Kevin Corbett did his doctoral work on diagnostic testing associated with HIV and AIDS, including research into the PCR. He said this week that the existence of SARS-CoV-2 and associated tests, “Are all based on in-silico modeled synthetic phenomena, which has never been scientifically proven as coming from an actual virus.

“This was what happened with ‘HIV’, which The Perth Group of scientists [in the 1990s] first proved was never isolated or purified. Those powerful voices like Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.,

who sadly ignore this issue, are badly misguided, because they fail to address this fundamental caveat in 'covid science'."

Corbett cautioned, "Their efforts will only act to further socially embed the popular hysteria of there being a contagion, and therefore will enable further public health mandates forcing masks, social distancing and the latest covid killshot."

Eric F. Coppolino is the host of [Planet Waves FM](#) on the Pacifica Network, and author of the [Comprehensive Covid Chronology](#).

[Connect with Eric Coppolino](#)

cover image credit: [geralt](#)