

Shedding, Vaccines and Graphene Machines

[Shedding, Vaccines and Graphene Machines](#)

by [Dr. Sam Bailey](#)

video published February 22, 2022

Partial transcript provided by [Truth Comes to Light](#):

Dr. Sam Bailey:

We should clarify that these injections are gene therapies, rather than vaccines.

Of course, as we have seen numerous times in recent years, definitions need to be changed to fit the new narratives. For example, not so long ago the Merriam Webster dictionary stated that a vaccine was a preparation of killed micro organisms, living attenuated organisms, or living fully virulent organisms that is administered to produce or artificially increase immunity to a particular disease.

Then, in January 2021, the definition suddenly changed. Now, what was happening around that time, the new definition in the dictionary look quite different and included: a preparation of genetic material (such as a strand of synthesized messenger RNA) that is used by the cells of the body to produce an antigenic substance (such as a fragment of virus spike protein).

Now, the fact checkers were quick to gaslight the public and say it was all taken out of context. Sit back and relax, they advised, because it was about the new technology of mRNA vaccines made publicly available in response to the Covid-19

pandemic.

Injecting genetic sequences into a human, in order for it to be taken out by the cells and then cause a change in cellular expression, is a gene therapy. Even wikipedia, at the moment at least, states under the gene therapy page that there are a variety of gene therapy modalities including RNA, DNA and gene editing tools such as CRISPR. And BioNTech, Moderna Therapeutics and CureVac focus on delivery of mRNA payloads.

This is not that important to those of us that know that vaccines are one of the greatest scams being run, as we are not interested in taking any of them.

However, it is important for the gene therapy recipients, as well as for the shedding question.

The sequences being injected are smuggled into the cells via the lipid nanoparticle technology. Without it the [...] mRNA would be quickly broken down after injection.

These injections cause the body to produce the so-called spike protein. This is alleged to be a modified version of the SARS-COV-2 virus spike protein. However, the virus is a fictional construct, as the virus has never been shown to exist.

The spike protein comes from somewhere else.

And, even though Dr. Fauci was talking about spike protein vaccines back in 2005, after SARS 1, that virus was never shown to exist either.

The spike protein sequences can be found in lab experiments that stress and kill mammalian cells.

...

In any case, there is enough known about the spike proteins to see that they can be toxic if they are released inside the body. Anyone telling you otherwise is trying to sell a product

or is making excuses for it. You may hear claims that, as it is only a tiny part of the virus, it's safe. However, as there has been no virus shown to exist, they are simply parroting the virologist's claims and big pharma marketing.

But can these spike proteins shed from the bodies of the injected and affect another person?

My supporter mentioned that shedding of particles seems to be a valid concept as it can occur with things like body odor. This is true and that the sweat glands secrete various compounds including proteins which can mix with skin organisms – and the resulting particle aerosols so that other people can smell them. The secretions themselves could also come into direct contact with another person.

However, I don't believe this puts another person at significant risk from any potential spike proteins. Proteins coming into contact with intact skin are not going to be able to get in. And proteins are generally broken down rapidly if they are swallowed or inhaled.

Another question was whether Pfizer admitted that there was some risk of shedding from recipients, due to the way the original clinical trial protocol was written.

For example, under section 8.3.5, there are clauses stating environmental exposure may occur. 'A male family member or healthcare provider who has been exposed to the study intervention by inhalation or skin contact, then exposes his female partner prior to or around the time of conception.'

It goes on to say this: 'In the case of a live birth, the structural integrity of the neonate can be assessed at the time of birth. In the event of a termination...the structural integrity of the terminated fetus should be assessed by gross visual inspection.'

...

One of the reasons I did not find it plausible that spike protein shedding could significantly affect other people is that we exposed the lack of evidence that proteins can be infectious in a chapter in '**Virus Mania**', which we titled **BSE: The Epidemic That Never Was**.

In 1997 Stanley Prusiner put forward his theory of infectious proteins, known as prions, being responsible for spongiform brain diseases, such as mad cow disease. Despite all the excitement and senseless slaughter of animals that it caused, the model was never demonstrated to be relevant in nature.

Experiments involving injecting protein mixtures directly into animals brains can hardly be said to be a representation of what happens in natural settings. Like the virus theory, the required evidence is conspicuously absent.

Of course, there are particular scenarios where transmission will occur and the most obvious is that of unborn babies whose mothers are producing spike protein. In fact, everything that has been injected into the mother is potentially going into the fetus or embryo – and that includes the spike protein, the mRNA coding sequence, the lipid nanoparticles and the undeclared constituents that we'll get into soon.

...

The spike proteins are not the only thing we have to worry about though. Numerous laboratories around the world have found graphene-based particles and what looks like nanotechnology, both in the vaccine vials and blood of recipients.

Pathologist Professor Arne Burckhardt in Germany, Dr. Pablo Campra of La Quinta Columna in Spain, Dr. Zandre Botha in South Africa, Dr. Marcelo Dignani in Argentina, and recently a physician here in New Zealand, are among those who have found these undeclared constituents.

There is much speculation about what the purpose of these particles are. But some appear to be nanorouters. It is also being reported that they are capable of receiving and transmitting signals, and even generating internet MAC addresses...

...

Whatever they can do, it is important to know about the nature of graphene and it's useful to see what engineers had to say about the subject.

It is difficult to comprehend that graphene sheets can be a single layer of carbon atoms in thickness. And, as discussed in this 2013 publication, have extra ordinary electrical, mechanical and thermal properties. The paper points out that, because the particles are so minute, they can get into people quite readily through inhalation.

This is being studied previously and mice where researchers found that the particles cause harmful health effects in the lungs due to the inability of the host to clear them, and the failure of macrophages to clean them up. Their retention in the tissues then sets up an inflammatory response and through the tissue damage.

However, they did conclude that 'our initial data also suggests that the graphene nanoplatelets are not fully bio-persistent, and clear slowly to the mediastinal lymph nodes. More research was required to see if the particles are subsequently cleared from the lymph nodes in body'.

Back to the engineers' paper and they go on to say that, 'in addition to occupational exposures, graphene family nanoparticles may be deliberately implanted or injected for biomedical applications that include biosensors, tissue scaffolds, carriers for drug delivery or gene therapy, antibacterial agents and bioimaging probes.'

...

They performed experiments to see how human cells responded to challenge with graphene microsheets. In these scanning electron micrographs, image A shows the graphene particles penetrating a human lung cell, while image C and D show the particles penetrating human skin cells.

The authors concluded – they ‘hypothesize that graphene microsheets that penetrate into hydrophobic lipid domains may be recognized as damage-associated molecular patterns by target cells that are the first line of defense against particles... The ability of graphene microsheets with large lateral dimension to penetrate and enter cells... may lead to cytoskeletal disruption, impaired cell motility, compromised epithelial barrier function, or other geometric and steric effects that deserve further study.’

In other words, the particles can slice through cells, disrupt the structure and normal function, and activate a generalized inflammatory response.

Combined with the fact that the body struggles to clear such particles, that could be a recipe for chronic inflammatory conditions and even cancer.

Despite the fact that graphene is bad news for humans, in 2021 these researchers associated with AstraZeneca produced Graphene Quantum Dot to deliver messenger RNA into cells.

...[image shown in video shows page 666 ChemistryOpen 2021, Wiley Online Library] on page 666 of the chemistry Europe publication. And they proceeded to describe the creation of the ‘Franken-molecule’ consisting of the graphene quantum dots linked to mRNA sequences.

They seem happy to announce that graphene quantum dots are able to respond to physical stimuli such as magnetic fields, ultrasound, and light. They also make the curious claim that

the graphene quantum dots are not toxic, although cellular toxicity is a problem for these first-generation modified particles.

Cellular toxicity means they are toxic.

What is most disturbing to me about this work is that it demonstrates the integration between synthetic nanoparticles and biological molecules. While this might excite Dr. Evil and his acolytes, it is a desecration of our bodies and an example of how out of touch they are with the nature of life in the universe.

So, many of us will avoid intentional exposure to graphene nanoparticles, but can people injected with them shed these particles in and affect others in contact with them?

It would seem, from the published research discussed here, that the particles are difficult and slow to clear from the body. This would not be coming out of injected recipients very readily. However, if they were cleared, then potentially someone in close contact could be exposed.

I have received communication from a dark field microscopist who compared blood film from an injected and a non-injected subject. And a few nanoparticles were seen, albeit at a much lower concentration, in the non-injected subject.

This raises questions about how the small number of particles got into the non-injected subject. And exactly what we are seeing.

I can't give you a definitive conclusion at this stage as we await more information. We know that the globalists have some set agendas they are attempting to roll out. And they want to disconnect us from spirituality in their perverted plan for humanity.

Dr. Harari and his devil buddies are so unconscious that they

think they are gods. To them, you are livestock that is ripe for their transhumanist experiments and eventual enslavement. In other words, you need to be on your guard.

However, if you do not already know this, I can tell you that they don't really have any power over you unless you give it to them. It is as easy as ignoring them and not walking into the cages that they are directing you towards.

...

A small number of us sounded the alarm in 2020 that the alleged Covid-19 pandemic was a ruse. It has been pushed with fraudulent science. But unfortunately, from my experience, even 99 percent of doctors cannot properly interpret the papers that claimed to show the existence of SARS-CoV-2.

It does not require a large number of people to be in on the scam. Most have been tricked and have gone along with it in ignorance.

The good news is that around the world more people are waking up. Many that have been injected are realizing that they had been deceived, and increasingly I've been contacted by people who have been jabbed but will never take another vaccine again.

I suspect plenty of individuals received the shot and didn't produce spike proteins. There haven't been damaged in that way.

With regards to the undeclared nanoparticles, there a lots of unknowns...

I have faith that people can heal from what has been done to them. But the first step is to stop listening to big pharma and the globalist sociopaths, to take back control of our own health.

[Connect with Dr. Sam Bailey](#)

cover image credit: [Layers](#) / pixabay