Solar Forcing: A Huge Paradigm Shift

by Joseph P. Farrell
October 10, 2019
Source

I've been saying it for years now, and finally, it may be happening: the real driver of weather on Earth is the Sun, and all weather systems are at a fundamental level electromagnetic in nature. And there's been a sea-change in climate science because of this and – guess what? – it's not due to human activity or cattle flatulence nor even the flatulence coming out of the weather-apocalypse mongers on the lamestream corporate media or their darlings in the U.S. House of Misrepresentatives. G.L.R. noticed this paper and passed it along, and I want to draw your attention to it:

Solar forcing for CMIP6 (v3.2)

Pay attention to that last paragraph:

> *CMIP6 models with a well-resolved shortwave radiation scheme are encouraged to prescribe SSI changes and include solar-induced stratospheric ozone variations, in order to better represent solar climate variability compared to models that only prescribe TSI and/or exclude the solar-ozone response. We show that monthly-mean solar-induced ozone variations are implicitly included in the SPARC/CCMI CMIP6 Ozone Database for historical simulations, which is derived from transient chemistry–climate model simulations and has been developed for climate models that do not calculate ozone interactively. CMIP6 models without chemistry that perform a preindustrial control simulation with time-varying solar forcing will need to use a modified version of the SPARC/CCMI*

> *Ozone Database that includes solar variability. CMIP6 models with interactive chemistry are also encouraged to use the particle forcing datasets, which will allow the potential long-term effects of particles to be addressed for the first time. The consideration of particle forcing has been shown to significantly improve the representation of reactive nitrogen and ozone variability in the polar middle atmosphere, eventually resulting in further improvements in the representation of solar climate variability in global models. (Emphasis added)*

Or to put that "country simple": if your climate change model does *not* include the effect of solar radiation and activity on the Earth's climate and some other stuff like galactic bursts and cosmic rays – which most of the merely chemical models of "coming ice age/global warming" models of the past few decades did not – then your model isn't of much use, because the biggest driver of weather is the Sun and its radiation.

There is, of course, a high octane speculation, or rather, question, squatting in the middle of all of this: if that is so, then why the standard "greenhouse gases/ozone layer/human activity" memes we've been fed for so many decades? That the Sun would be the biggest weather influence would seem to be common sense. Was the promotion of the memes just done by stupid or lazy people? Or was something else involved? Was it perhaps deliberate misdirection?

When G.L.R. sent along the above-linked paper, the email also included a video, and trust me folks, this *is* a video worth watching, and pay careful attention to what is said around the five minute mark:

So why would there be potential misdirection about this subject? Permit me to walk to the very end of the twig of speculation here. If humans *are* contributing to "climate change," then it is not the result of industrialization or greenhouse gases or the usual stuff chattered about by blithering bug-eyed hysterical socialists in the House of Misrepresentatives. It is rather the result of sophisticated technologies able to play with and alter the properties of the Earth's atmosphere (and potentially, the Earth's magneto-sphere), technologies like the ionospheric heater, or perhaps Tesla-like technologies able to "get a grip on the Earth"(his words, not mine), and harness the energy of the planet in order to "tickle" the Sun.

With that speculation in mind, here's another one, right off the end of the twig: I've always thought it perhaps was more than merely coincidental that the chatter about "global warming" began to emerge in the same approximate time frame that the USA, the USSR and a few other countries began to build their ionospheric heaters in the 1980s and 1990s. And rest assured, some of their experiments were likely matching their data to solar activity and local magnetic effects.

The good news about this development is precisely that it is recasting the whole climate change model; there has been a huge paradigm shift, and the fact that solar data *must* be incorporated into the discussion means that the way is also open to discuss those weather manipulation technologies like the ionospheric heater.

See you on the flip side…

Apropos of today's main blog, here is the full length video on solar forcing, and as you watch this, keep thinking "ionospheric heaters" and "chemtrails":