How BlackRock Conquered the World — Part 1


<u>How BlackRock Conquered the World - Part 1</u>

A Brief History of BlackRock

by <u>James Corbett</u>, <u>The Corbett Report</u> November 12, 2022

Let's play a little game.

Let's imagine you're Joe Q. Normie and you need to run out for some groceries. You hop in the car and head to the store. What store do you go to? Why, Walmart, of course!



And, being an unwitting victim of the sugar conspiracy, what do you buy when you're there? Coke, naturally!



Top Institutional Holders

Holder	Shares	% Out
Berkshire Hathaway, Inc	400,000,000	9.25%
Vanguard Group, Inc. (The)	359,394,421	8.31%
Blackrock Inc.	299,838,038	6.93%

And you can get <u>jabbed at Walmart</u> these days, right? Well then, you might as well make sure you get your sixth Moderna booster while you're there!



Top Institutional Holders

Holder	Shares	% Out
Baillie Gifford and Company	45,280,023	11.79%
Vanguard Group, Inc. (The)	27,648,457	7.20%
Blackrock Inc.	26,217,382	6.82%

And don't forget to fill up with gas on your way home!



Top Institutional Holders

Holder	Shares	% Out
Vanguard Group, Inc. (The)	368,328,315	8.94%
Blackrock Inc.	287,427,472	6.98%
State Street Corporation	234,554,069	5.70%

Is this creeping you out? Then why don't you shut yourself in

your house and never go out shopping again? That'll show 'em! After all, you can always order whatever you need from Amazon, can't you?

Top Instituti	onal Holders	
Holder	Shares	% Out
Vanguard Group, Inc. (The	689,093,882	6.75%
Blackrock Inc.	587,459,057	5.76%
State Street Co poration	326,727,487	3.20%

Are you noticing a pattern here? Yes, in case you haven't heard, BlackRock, Inc. is now officially everywhere. It owns everything.

Sadly for us, however, the creepy corporate claws of the BlackRock beast aren't content simply to clutch onto a near plurality of the shares of every major corporation in the world. No, BlackRock is now digging its talons in even further and flexing its muscles, putting that inconceivable wealth and influence to use by completely reordering the economy, creating scamdemics and shaping the course of civilization in the process.

Let's face it: if you're not concerned about the power BlackRock wields over the world by *this* point then you're not paying attention.

But don't worry if all of this is news to you. Most people have no idea where this investment giant came from, how it clawed its way to the top of the Wall Street dogpile, or what it has planned for your future.

Let's fill that gap in public understanding. Over the course of this investigative series, you're going to get a crash

course in the creepiest company you've never heard of.

This week I will give A Brief History of Blackrock and describe how it came to be the economic and political juggernaut it is today.

In Part 2 of this series, we will examine how BlackRock's Going Direct reset paved the way for the massive economic and monetary transition that we have just lived through under the cover of the scamdemic.

And in Part 3, we will examine the Aladdin system and the other creepy ways BlackRock is planning to use its power to mould society in its own interest.

Part 1: A Brief History of BlackRock



"Hold on a second," I hear you interject. "I've got this! BlackRock was founded as a mergers and acquisitions firm in 1985 by a couple of ex-Lehmanites and has since gone on to become the world's largest alternative investment firm, right?"

Wrong. That's Blackstone Inc., currently headed by Stephen Schwarzman. But don't feel bad if you confuse the two. The

Blackstone/BlackRock confusion was done on purpose.

In fact, BlackRock began in 1988 as a business proposal by investment banker Larry Fink and a gaggle of business partners. The appropriately named Fink had managed to lose \$100 million in a single quarter in 1986 as a manager at First Boston investment bank by betting the wrong way on interest rates. Humbled by this humiliating setback (or so the story goes), Fink turned lemons into lemonade by crafting a vision for an investment firm with an emphasis on risk management. Never again would Larry Fink be caught off guard by a market downturn!

Fink assembled some partners and brought his proposal to Blackstone co-founders Pete Peterson and Stephen Schwarzman, who liked the idea so much that they agreed to extend Fink a \$5 million line of credit in exchange for a 50% share in the business. Originally named Blackstone Financial Management, Fink's operation was turning a nice profit within months, had quadrupled the value of its assets in one year, and had grown the value of its portfolio under management to \$17 billion by 1992.

Now firmly established as a viable business in its own right, Schwarzman and Fink began musing about spinning the firm off from Blackstone and taking it public. Schwarzman suggested giving the newly independent company a name with "black" in it as a nod to its Blackstone origins and Fink—taking roguish delight in the inevitable confusion and annoyance such a move would cause—proposed the name BlackRock, saying, "You know if we do something like this, all of our people will kill us."

The two evidently share the same sense of humour. "There is a little confusion [between the companies]," Schwarzman now concedes. "And every time that happens I get a real chuckle."

But a shared taste for causing unnecessary confusion was not enough to keep the partners together. By 1994, the two had

fallen out over compensation for new hires (or perhaps due to distress over Schwarzman's ongoing divorce, depending who's telling the story) and Schwarzman sold Blackstone's holdings in BlackRock for a mere \$240 million. ("That was certainly a heroic mistake," as Schwarzman admits.)

Having made the split with Blackstone and established BlackRock as its own entity, Fink was firmly on the path that would lead to his company becoming the globe-bestriding financial colossus that it is today.

In 1999, with its assets under management standing at \$165 billion, BlackRock went public on the New York Stock Exchange at \$14 per share. Expanding its services into analytics and risk management with its proprietary Aladdin enterprise investment system (more on which in Part 3 of this series), the firm acquired mutual-fund business State Street Research & Management in 2004, merged with Merrill Lynch Investment Managers (MLIM) in 2006, and bought Seattle-based Quellos Group's fund-of-hedge-funds business in 2007, bringing the total value of assets under BlackRock management to over \$1 trillion.

But it was the Global Financial Crisis of 2007-2008 that catapulted BlackRock to its current position of financial dominance. Just ask Heike Buchter, the German correspondent who literally wrote the book on BlackRock. "Prior to the financial crisis I was not even familiar with the name. But in the years after the Lehman [Brothers] collapse [in 2008], BlackRock appeared everywhere. Everywhere!" Buchter told German news outlet DW in 2015.

Even before the Bear Sterns fiasco materialized into the Lehman Brothers collapse and the full-on financial bloodbath of September 2008, Wall Street was collectively turning to BlackRock for help. AIG, Lehman Brothers, Fannie Mae, and Freddie Mac had all hired the firm to sort through their spiraling mess of credit obligations in the months before the

meltdown. BlackRock was perceived to be the only firm that could sort through the dizzying math behind the complicated debt swaps and exotic financial instruments underlying the tottering financial system and many Wall Street kingpins had Fink on speed dial as panic began to grip the markets.

"I think of it like *Ghostbusters*: When you have a problem, who you gonna call? BlackRock!" UBS managing director Terrence Keely <u>told CNN</u> at the time.

And why wouldn't they trust Fink to sort through the mess of the subprime mortgage meltdown? After all, he was the one who helped_launch_the_whole_toxic_subprime_mortgage_industry in the first place.

Oh, did I forget to mention that? Remember the whole "losing his job because he lost \$100 million for First Boston in 1986" thing? That came just three years after Fink had made billions for the bank's customers by constructing his first Collateralized Mortgage Obligation (CMO) and almost single-handedly creating the subprime mortgage market that would fail so spectacularly in 2008.

So, depending how you look at it, Fink was either the perfect guy to have in charge of sorting through the mess that his CMO monstrosity had created, or the first fink who should have gone to jail for it. Guess which way the US government chose to see it?

Yes, you guessed right. They saw Fink as their saviour, of course.

Specifically, the US government turned to BlackRock for help, with beleaguered US Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner personally consulting Larry Fink no less than 49 times over the course of the 18 months of the crisis. Lest there be any doubt who was calling the shots in that relationship, when Geithner was on the ropes and his position as Secretary of the Treasury was in jeopardy at the end of Obama's first

term, <u>Fink's name was on the short list</u> of those who were being considered to replace him.

The Federal Reserve, too, put their faith in BlackRock, turning to the company for assistance in administering the 2008 bailouts. Ultimately, BlackRock ended up playing a role in the \$30 billion financing of the sale of Bear Stearns to J. P. Morgan, the \$180 billion bailout of A.I.G., and the \$45 billion rescue of Citigroup.

When the dust finally settled on Wall Street after the Lehman Brothers collapse, there was little doubt who was sitting on top of the dust pile: BlackRock. The only question was how they would parley their growing wealth and financial clout into real-world political power.

For Fink, the answer was obvious: to move from the petty crime of high finance into the criminal big leagues of government. Accordingly, throughout the last decade, he has spent his time building up BlackRock's political influence until it has become (as even Bloomberg admits) the de facto "fourth branch of government."

When BlackRock executives managed to get their hands on a confidential Federal Reserve PowerPoint presentation threatening to subject BlackRock to the same regulatory regime as the big banks, the Wall Street behemoth spent millions successfully lobbying the government to drop the proposal.

But lobbying the government is a roundabout way to get what you want. As any good financial guru will tell you, it's far more cost efficient to make sure that no troublesome regulations are imposed in the first place. Perhaps that's why Fink has been collecting powerful politicians for years now, scooping them up as consultants, advisors and board members so that he can ensure BlackRock has a key agent at the heart of any important political event.

As William Engdahl details in his own exposé of BlackRock:

BlackRock founder and CEO Larry Fink is clearly interested in buying influence globally. He made former German CDU MP Friederich Merz head of BlackRock Germany when it looked as if he might succeed Chancellor Merkel, and former British Chancellor of Exchequer George Osborne as "political consultant." Fink named former Hillary Clinton Chief of Staff Cheryl Mills to the BlackRock board when it seemed certain Hillary would soon be in the White House.

He has named former central bankers to his board and gone on to secure lucrative contracts with their former institutions. Stanley Fisher, former head of the Bank of Israel and also later Vice Chairman of the Federal Reserve is now Senior Adviser at BlackRock. Philipp Hildebrand, former Swiss National Bank president, is vice chairman at BlackRock, where he oversees the BlackRock Investment Institute. Jean Boivin, the former deputy governor of the Bank of Canada, is the global head of research at BlackRock's investment institute.

And it doesn't end there. When it came time for Biden's handlers to appoint the director of the National Economic Council— responsible for the coordination of policymaking on both domestic and international economic issues—naturally they turned to <u>Brian Deese</u>, the former global head of sustainable investing at BlackRock Inc.

Indeed, by 2019, BlackRock's ascension to the height of political power was complete. At the same time that the World Economic Forum was appointing Fink as a member of its Board of Trustees, then-presidential candidate Joe Biden was making the pilgrimage to Wall Street to beg for BlackRock's support in the fight against Trump. "I'm here to help," Fink reportedly assured Biden.

And the rest, as they say, is history.

. . . or, more accurately, the present. Because when we peel back the layers of propaganda from the past three years, we find that the remarkable events of the scamdemic have absolutely nothing whatsoever to do with a virus. We are instead witnessing a changeover in the monetary and economic system that was conceived, proposed and then implemented by (you guessed it!) BlackRock.

And that, ladies and gentlemen, will be the focus of part 2 of this exploration. Stay tuned! . . .

<u>Connect with James Corbett</u>

<u>website</u>

<u>substack</u>

The Deadliest Disease Known to Man Is Ignorance!

The Deadliest Disease Known to Man Is Ignorance!

by <u>Gary D. Barnett</u> November 11, 2022

"A wise man makes his own decisions, but an ignorant man mindlessly follows the crowd."

~ Chinese Proverb

We live in a world of lies, deceit, propaganda, staged narratives, and because this deceit has been widely accepted

by the crowd, mass ignorance has been the result. This universal ignorance cannot be blamed solely on government, indoctrination centers called 'public' schools, politicians, or the media, except that these entities do advance every form of lie possible in order to fool the people into accepting storied fables leading them toward slavery. And as should be obvious at this stage of the game, the people have been thoroughly fooled, and have swallowed hook, line, and sinker, every bald-faced lie imaginable. The result sought and gained by the state, can be evidenced by the total submission and gross obedience to this heinous and politicized ruling class of psychopathic monsters.

This disease called ignorance, now consumes the minds of most all of this population, and is eating away entirely the ability to consider fact, to realize truth, to practice logic, to reason, and to muster any ability whatsoever to think critically as an individual. This state of being has been the common thread of this and other populations for a long time, but the complete lie of a fake disease called 'covid,' has exposed that mass ignorance is not only alive and well, but has infected almost to a man, this entire society and the world. There is no proven disease called 'covid,' there is no real scientific proof of 'covid' or any 'virus' whatsoever, but regardless of this truth, the whole world has fallen to its knees in a display of mass and pathetic gullibility so outrageous as to be insulting to any thinking individual.

This all comes down to the very unscientific false belief in germ theory, and the complete negation of terrain theory; a mistake of epoch proportion. There is no reason for one versus the other, but only the honest assessment of reality that is the human body. Even Louis Pasteur, the so-called father of germ theory idiocy, is said to have admitted on his death bed that the "pathogen is nothing, the terrain is everything." But to this day, real medicine is ignored in favor of very harmful prescription drugs sold by huge pharmaceutical companies, and

surgery, as the only 'legitimate' treatment for any illness. Not prevention or cure mind you, but constant and forever treatment and death; treatment that brings hundreds of billions of dollars each year to what is now mistakenly called modern medicine and 'health care' administered by state whores.

Considering just the past three years, the fundamental issue should focus on whether or not this so-called 'covid' virus even exists, and once it is established that no valid scientific procedures have been accomplished to prove without a doubt that this virus actually exists, then it is imperative to discuss the claim that any virus exists, as none have ever been properly isolated or identified. It is also important to scrutinize all those who profit at extreme levels due to the lie of 'covid.' Some of those would include the entirety of the medical field, the pharmaceutical companies, the politicians and all the ruling class who desire control, the large corporations who gain more monopoly due to the purposeful destruction of the lower and middle class economic capabilities, and the owners of everything by the big banks and investment houses that gain trillions due to the massive money printing based on this 'covid' lie.

There are still many who claim both sides of this argument, and that has helped greatly the expansion of the lies, because what might be people who would normally question the state narrative, have become supporters of that same narrative. This is very confusing to those people who have placed their trust in these hypocrites who are either acting as controlled opposition purposely, or actually are ignorant of the obvious truth. These people are certainly contradicting themselves, whether they are doing so intentionally or not. Many of those taking what is considered a 'libertarian' approach, are simply agreeing to both sides of the argument by claiming that 'SARS-CoV-2 ('covid-19') is real and is a virus, and a massive threat to humanity. They are agreeing with the state's false

narrative, and at the same time, claiming to be against the bio-weapon 'vaccine' injection. You know who these people are, but do you doubt their pretended sincerity? I think, at least in most cases, you do not. This is just a recipe for more confusion, and confusion leads right back to ignorance.

The so-called 'science' that advocates perpetual treatment, perpetual 'vaccines,' and perpetual wealth building for its drug pushers, is the quackery labeled virology. Of course, as one might expect, this is the 'science' of viruses, but since no virus in history has ever once been separated, fully isolated, or identified, how can such a 'science' exist? If there are no viruses, how can there be virology? One might also ask; if there is no direct threat, how can there be legitimate medical war against the people? In both cases, there is no legitimacy in virology or a 'health war,' but there is a valid and justifiable argument that virology is also a war against us; a war on humanity, because it is used to simply enrich the perpetrators of this fraud, to poison the masses, and to gain power and control over all.

The 'covid' and 'vaccine' frauds have been largely exposed, although the mainstream, and those in the alternative media as well, who continue to push the lie that 'covid' is real, was produced in a lab, and accidentally or purposely released on the world, are losing ground. Their next obvious move was to create the lie of variants; variants that came from a nonexistent virus, and would be the next killer. This required even more poisonous injections, and 'vaccine' boosters. But these threats never panned out of course, so other threats were invented, such as the staged war in Ukraine, the lie of manmade 'climate change,' and then the threat of nuclear annihilation. Now, the tide has turned back to yet another falsely claimed dangerous 'viral disease' called respiratory syncytial virus, or RSV; a so-called sickness that is similar to a mild cold, but according to the drug-dealing medical establishment, it requires yet another killer 'vaccine.' The

first toxic 'vaccine' used to 'combat' this same claimed affliction, was actually used and sickened and killed children in the 1960s who were said to have the very same RSV 'sickness.' You just can't make up this degree of lunacy.

There is a massive amount of evidence available to discount virology and to completely expose the ridiculous notion of germ theory, but changing the minds of the entire population after many generations of lies and brainwashing, is a difficult task to accomplish. It requires individual thinking and scrutiny of the atrocious state 'medical' policy that has consumed the public. One only has to understand who gains from the fraud, and which corporations and individuals control the medical field, in order to awaken to the fact that powerful criminal elements are involved.

As the never-ending idiocy of virology continues to rule the day, the Pfizer chief crows and brags to investors that the 'covid' fraud will continue to be a multi-billion dollar franchise for many years to come; and expects massive profits to continue. This is the thought process of those who profit from the false flag 'virus' fearmongering who desire to destroy humanity for money, power, and control; this as they increase the price of this poisonous bio-weapon injection by astronomical amounts, all the while knowing of the deadly harm caused by this toxic killer.

Open your minds, do your own research, understand the horror of the U.S. medical establishment and its pharmaceutical masters, and take proper care of your health instead of allowing the criminal system to harm you. Turn away from Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca and all the other drug-dealing pharmaceutical companies, take responsibility for yourselves and your own health instead of relying on the evil state narratives that are only lies. Do not accept ignorance as the fall-back position; and instead inform yourselves about the terror of the state. The worthless election is over, nothing will change, except things will likely worsen, so abandon and

negate this wicked state instead of hiding from the truth.

"The ignorance of the oppressed is strength for the oppressor."

~ A.R. Bernard

Reference links:

Terrain versus Germ Theory

No virus has ever been proven to exist

Virology and pretenders

The virus that doesn't exist

The lost history of medicine

<u>Missing the unproven viruses</u>

An idiot's guide to germ theory—Pasteur and Bechamp

Bechamp had his finger on the magic of life

<u>Pfizer chief brags that 'covid' is his multi-billion dollar</u> franchise

Connect with Gary D. Barnett

cover image based on creative commons work of CDD20 & MiroslavaChrienova

'The Origins of America's Secret Police': New Documentary Released

'The Origins of America's Secret Police': New Documentary Released

New Documentary Released: 'The Origins of America's Secret Police'

by <u>Matthew Ehret</u>, <u>Matt Ehret's Insights</u> November 7, 2022

Do leading members of secret societies managing many of the levers of influence throughout history wield genuine "knowledge known only to the inner elites"... or is something else at play?

In this Canadian Patriot Review documentary produced by Jason Dahl, narrated by myself and based on the work of Cynthia Chung, you will be introduced to the ancient origins of the occult societies that penetrated the heart of America's intelligence agencies after the murder of William McKinley in 1901.

This journey will take you into the heart of ancient occult societies that managed wars, financial and cultural policies over two millenia ago. You will learn of the underlying methodology of manipulation used to induce foolish kings and generals into self destruction during the days of the Persian

Empire which continue to be used to this very day.

With this overview, you will be introduced to 1) the British roots of the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry founded in 1801 by British grand strategists in South Carolina, 2) a figure named Albert Pike who led in the largest expansion of this foreign agency within the USA after Lincoln's victory in 1865 and 3) the "seat of government" which 33rd degree FBI director J. Edgar Hoover managed in the USA during the course of eight presidencies.

This dark history is contrasted to the courageous efforts of men who devoted their lives resisting the growth of this occult agency including President Franklin Roosevelt, Senator Thomas J Walsh, Congressman Hale Boggs, Attorney General of New Orleans Jim Garrison, Martin Luther King Jr, Bobby Kennedy and his brother John F Kennedy.

This documentary was based on the essay "<u>The Origins of America's Secret Police</u>" by Cynthia Chung, whose new book on the growth of 20th century fascism can be purchased <u>here.</u>

Watch the full movie on Rumble, Youtube, Bitchute and don't forget to share it far and wide.

Connect with Matthew Ehret

Conspiracy Author David Icke Banned From EU, Labeled a

"Terrorist"

Conspiracy Author David Icke Banned From EU, Labeled a
"Terrorist"

Was set to speak at event in Amsterdam this weekend.

by <u>Paul Joseph Watson</u>, <u>Summit News</u> November 4, 2022

Conspiracy author David Icke has been banned from entering the EU and designated as a "level three terrorist," according to his son Gareth Icke.

The public speaker and former BBC television host was due to attend an event in Amsterdam this weekend, but will now reportedly be prevented from entering any country in the European Union for a period of two years.

"Received an email from the Dutch. My dad, David Icke, has been banned from entering the EU for two years," tweeted Gareth Icke. "They claim he is a "level three terrorist," he added.

Received an email from the Dutch. My dad, David Icke, has been banned from entering the EU for two years.

They claim he is a "level three terrorist".

- Gareth Icke (@garethicke) November 3, 2022

"The old man is banned from entering the Netherlands," wrote Icke in another tweet. "Zero convictions, zero crimes committed. Banned by the government. Wow."

The old man is banned from entering the Netherlands.

Zero convictions, zero crimes committed.

Banned by the government

Wow.

- Gareth Icke (@garethicke) November 3, 2022

Icke had been set to feature at an event called Together for the Netherlands, but far-left activist groups complained to the government and demanded he be barred from entering the country.

The municipality of Amsterdam also <u>asked</u> the Dutch IND (Immigration and Naturalisation Service) "to investigate whether Icke can be refused entry to the country," an appeal that was apparently successful.

The municipality complained that Icke had made "anti-Semitic statements in the past that are unacceptable and deeply hurtful," although it didn't cite any specific examples.

The same authority even asked that if Icke had been allowed into the country, his speech at the event "be closely monitored by the Public Prosecutor to assess whether criminal statements are being made."

Questions about the event were also <u>asked</u> in the Dutch parliament.

It remains to be seen what justification Dutch authorities actually used to ban Icke from speaking in the country, and why the ban also reportedly applies across the entire European Union.

Icke was previously deplatformed by multiple Big Tech platforms for opposing lockdown and vaccine mandates.

Some respondents to the news asserted that Icke had been banned for being outspoken against former Dutch Prime Minister Mark Rutte and his role within the World Economic Forum. This is why the Netherlands has banned David Icke from entering the country... deeming him a level 3 terrorist... because he exposed Mark Rutte and the WEF plans... pic.twitter.com/lXx3iXpJ3a

- Pelham (@Resist_05) November 4, 2022

Connect with Summit News

Alberta's New Premier Under Attack for Refusing to Associate With WEF

<u>Alberta's New Premier Under Attack for Refusing to</u> Associate With WEF

by <u>Tyler Durden</u>, <u>ZeroHedge</u> October 31, 2022

Recently noted as an opponent of vaccine and mask mandates, new Alberta Premier Danielle Smith is breaking previously established ties with the World Economic Forum, which has been deeply involved in a "health consulting agreement" revolving around the province's covid response.

"I find it distasteful when billionaires brag about how much control they have over political leaders," Smith said at a news conference Monday after her new cabinet was sworn in.

"That is offensive ... the people who should be directing government are the people who vote for them."

The United Conservative Party premier said she is in lockstep with federal Conservative Leader Pierre Poilievre, who has stated he and his caucus will having nothing to do with the World Economic Forum. Earlier this month, on her first day as premier, Smith stated that people not vaccinated against covid are the most discriminated group she has seen in her lifetime.

In response, the Canadian mainstream media is pursuing a thorough <u>hatchet campaign against Smith</u>, consistently referring to all opposition to the WEF as being based in "conspiracy theory." As they say, if you want to know who is really in power, all you have to do is find out who you are not allowed to criticize.

After two years of authoritarian lockdowns and attempts to enforce vaccine passports in Canada, Alberta was one of the only regions in the country that asserted political opposition to executive dictates. This helped to support the antipassport protests by truckers and other Canadians, and led to Justin Trudeau using provisions for terrorism to confiscate donations to the movement. Alberta's covid averages in terms of infections and deaths are no worse than provinces with strict mandates, proving once again that the mandates achieved nothing in terms of safety, but everything in terms of control.

The Canadian Press and other media outlets claim that criticism of the WEF is built on "online conspiracy accusations, unproven and debunked, that the forum is fronting a global cabal of string-pullers exploiting the pandemic to dismantle capitalism and introduce damaging socialist systems and social control measures, such as forcing people to take vaccines with tracking chips."

Every "conspiracy" noted in that statement is true - none of

them have been "debunked" except perhaps the "tracking chip" claim, which is unnecessary because the <u>WEF was already encouraging</u> governments to use cell phone tracking apps to monitor the <u>vaccine status and movements</u> of their respective populations. Many of these apps were approved <u>by the CDC</u> in the US, and in countries like China they <u>are mandatory.</u>

The World Economic Forum, acting as a kind of globalist thinktank for future policy initiatives, was instrumental in promoting many of the failed restrictions used by various national governments during the pandemic.

WEF head Klaus Schwab specifically mentions in his writings that the institution saw covid as a perfect "opportunity" to implement what he calls the "Great Reset" which includes the concept of the "Shared Economy," a global socialist technocracy meant to replace free markets and end capitalism as we know it. As the WEF states, you will "own nothing, have no privacy" and you will like it.

This is not conspiracy theory. This is openly admitted conspiracy fact. It is undeniable.

The use of the "conspiracy theory" label is generally a tactic designed to circumvent fair debate based on facts and evidence. If the Canadian Press was forced to defend their position based on the information at hand, they would lose. So, they instead try to inoculate their readers to opposing arguments by calling them "conspiracy theory" in the hope that those readers will never research the information further.

The Canadian media then cites quotations that specifically argue that not working with the WEF would put the Alberta public at a disadvantage because it would cut them off from information that the WEF provides.

It's important to mention that there is no evidence that the WEF has provided any life saving health information to date concerning the covid pandemic. In fact, there is no evidence

that the WEF is useful to the Canadian public in any way. The mainstream media's bizarre and antagonistic reaction to Smith's shunning of a foreign organization of elitists that has no loyalty to the Canadian citizenry suggests that they may be operating from a foundation of bias.

Danielle Smith's bravery in cutting off WEF influence from Alberta is being met with a dishonest media response, but in the long run, she is making the best decision possible. Taking advice from a potential parasite is not good leadership.

Connect with ZeroHedge

Predictive Programming, HG Wells and the Clash of Two Creativities

<u>Predictive Programming, HG Wells and the Clash of Two</u> Creativities

by <u>Matthew Ehret</u>, <u>Matt Ehret's Insights</u> October 17, 2022

In this interview conducted in January 2021 with Patrick Timpone on One News Network, I details the historical precedents of the Orwellian paradigm threatening to undermine the nation state system and broader multipolar alliance. Special focus is given to the often overlooked matter of

cultural warfare and the new techniques in predictive programming in the field of science fiction innovated by HG Wells which shaped the zeitgeist for over 120 years and continues to shape popular culture and cinema to this day.

[Video available at <u>Canadian Patriot 1776</u> & <u>Patrick Timpone</u> BitChute channels.]

Topics Covered:

What are the roots of the Great Reset?

What was H.G. Wells' connection to British eugenicists Julian Huxley and Leonard Huxley?

Why was The British Empire's Round Table Movement such an important tool of Anglo-Saxon globalism?

How was World War 2 just act 2 of World War 1? aka: global division for the profiting of corporations and banking institutions.

Why did the British Empire require a cultural matrix rooted in pessimism?

How are pessimistic movies like Soylent Green and Planet of the Apes products of a deliberate global divide-and-conquer strategy?

How is dystopian science fiction different from the optimistic world of Jules Verne, who was happy with technology as long as it included morality?

Connect with Matthew Ehret

See related:

H.G. Wells' Dystopic Vision Comes Alive With the Great Reset
Agenda

<u>Matthew Ehret - H.G. Wells' Dystopic Vision Comes Alive With</u> <u>the Great Reset Agenda; How Darwinism Took Over the World -</u> <u>January 5, 2021</u>

C.S. Lewis vs H.G. Wells: A Journey out of the
Deep Black Void (and Invitation to lecture #2)

China "Epidemic": The Strange Role of the Middle Class

by <u>Jon Rappoport</u> January 29, 2020 <u>Source</u>

Sometimes, during years of research, unusual dots pop up, and you see connections you never suspected were there.

Here is a bottom line connection. When the poor and disenfranchised people mount a protest against some draconian action of the State or corporation, the super-rich controllers don't register outright panic. They believe they can manage the situation. They can offer more aid, more welfare, more sympathy, more empty promises. They can divert and re-channel problems. They can form groups that essentially go nowhere.

However, when protestors show up who are obviously from the middle class, alarm bells sound. These middlers are supposed to be allies of the controllers. They have very nice perks

from the system. They know how to look the other way when horrible things happen to others. They spend most of their time protecting what they have.

At that point, when the middle class goes rogue, weird things happen. Unpredicted things.

I have three examples.

In 1982, when I was just starting out as a reporter for *LA Weekly*, I interviewed Bill Perry, who had quit his plush job as head of PR for Lawrence Livermore Labs in Berkeley, California, where they do research on better nuclear weapons.

Bill told me this job was the culmination of a long upward struggle for him. He had arrived at a pinnacle. He could now call press conferences in fancy hotels, and reporters would flock to his events and cover them. A dream realized.

This was the time of a movement called the Nuclear Freeze. Groups around the world were calling for a moratorium on the production of atomic and hydrogen weapons. Outside the gates of Lawrence Livermore, protestors gathered every day.

At first, Bill paid no attention to them. But eventually, he began looking. He saw more and more people wearing suits and business clothes. These were the middle class. That shook him up. He started thinking about the real meaning of his job and the work of the Lab. He found a conscience. You could say he was a representative of "the controllers." One day, he just handed in his resignation papers and left the cushiest job he would ever have. Who could have foreseen that?

Recently, as New Jersey legislators were trying to pass a bill that would eliminate religious exemptions from the vaccination of children, a large group of protestors gathered every day outside the building where the debate was taking place. Again, many of these parents were middle class. They should have been happy robots—but they weren't. Far from it.

Suddenly, the pro-vaxx legislators didn't have the votes to pass the bill.

Last summer, in Wuhan, China, a city where air pollution often reaches very dangerous levels, protests erupted. Against all odds, in a brutal police State, thousands of people took to the streets. They and their children were experiencing serious lung problems. Again, many of these protestors were the very people who were benefiting from the economic juggernaut that is Wuhan. Middle class.

And a few months later, voila. Suddenly, China announces that a very convenient coronavirus is causing a very serious disease. One of the major features of this supposed epidemic? Lung problems. Not only that, the whole city of Wuhan must be locked down. Protests? Totally vanquished. Outlawed.

Is it fair and just that the poor and disenfranchised are ignored or "re-purposed" when they rebel, while the middle class is paid great attention? Of course not. But that's the way it is. And it's understandable when you think about it, because: FROM THE POINT OF VIEW OF THE STATE, OR A MAJOR CORPORATION, these middle class people are being groomed for success. They're being "paid off" to think like properly programmed entities. They're supposed to support whatever the State is doing, because they benefit. From the point of view of the State, when the "payoffs" stop working, that's a sign of trouble.

And when significant numbers of the middle class gather and show up in one place and make it clear they're sick and tired of the all-powerful dragon blowing smoke at them, that's an even bigger sign of trouble.

Here's a fourth example. Go watch <u>Vaxxed2</u>, a new film in which parents tell the heartbreaking stories of what happened to their children after they received vaccinations. Damage. Brain damage. These parents are credible. Some of them look and

sound like the middle class neighbors of other middle class people. They're supposed to be happy and complacent, and walled off from the problems of society. But they aren't. They're grief-stricken and outraged. If they once believed they were protected from the usual tragedies that beset others, they don't believe it anymore.

The State and its corporate partners have a monolithic view of power and how it operates. They can lay on fancy propaganda and media drivel, but underneath it all, they think they're kings. Kings rule, everyone else follows.

When that concept begins to break down, the kings start looking at themselves and wondering where their vestments have gone and why they are naked.