

# The Circular Nature of Reporting on the 'Covid' Narrative Propaganda: It Perpetuates the Lies

## [The Circular Nature of Reporting on the 'Covid' Narrative Propaganda: It Perpetuates the Lies](#)

by [Gary D. Barnett](#)

September 4, 2021

*"This was a tall order, and one could criticize it on the grounds that it was somewhat circular: how can you justify your methods of reasoning on the basis of those same methods of reasoning? It is like lifting yourself up by your own bootstraps."* ~ Douglas R. Hofstadter, [Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid](#)

One of the aspects of the perpetuation of tyranny during this scam called the 'Covid pandemic,' is the "too smart by half" approach, which leads to a circular argument against a circular narrative. In this instance, nothing is ever fully refuted, little is ever achieved; the result being the promotion of the original narrative. This is very common concerning the current situation, and the most harm is being caused by many of those claiming to be on the side of right and liberty.

An example of this is the argument by 'libertarians' and independent writers and reporters who continue in their efforts to fight for the right to gain universal treatment of 'Covid-19' by promoting what they call 'cures' in the forms of Ivermectin and other claimed remedies. By doing this, they are

accepting that there is a deadly 'virus' called 'Covid,' and that it can be 'cured' by using their preferred method. But there has never been any pure isolation, separation, or identification of anything called 'SARS-CoV-2' or 'Covid-19.' In other words, it does not exist, so how can one or another remedy 'cure' it?

Arguing against the atrocious standard CDC 'Covid' treatment protocol by promoting another protocol, simply solidifies the original propaganda that a deadly 'virus' called 'Covid' has caused a 'pandemic.' It has not; there is no 'pandemic.' In the case of Ivermectin, it is useful in that it is a substance meant to attack and neutralize harmful parasites, but it does not cure disease, and certainly cannot cure a phantom 'virus.' If one is sick and fraught with discomfort, that would mean that their body's immune system was working to rid itself of the toxins by the natural healing process. Should the body's bacteria not be able to complete this task, and if the problem were due to parasite infestation, then Ivermectin would likely alleviate that problem and give some relief, but would not cure anything. The only useful defense against this fraud is not to find a 'cure' for something that does not even exist, but to expose the deceit of this plot, and expose the agendas of those who perpetrated this scam.

Arguing in circles has no validity, and can only strengthen the position being argued against. This is not to say that promoting the positive uses of natural products or medicines is not warranted and appropriate, but doing so by accepting the state's lies about a contrived 'pandemic' is more harmful than not. Staying healthy is one thing, claiming cure is something else. There have been any number of promoted 'cures' for a non-existent 'virus' discussed over time, but the cure for the real problem, which is tyranny, is never fully addressed. This is exactly what the evil controlling class desires, and many of those who claim to be on the side of freedom, whether wittingly or not, are helping to maintain the

politically motivated status quo that a real 'virus' exists.

This brings us to the fake 'vaccine' for the fake 'virus,' as the same situation exists here. There is constant arguing on both sides concerning the efficacy of these very poisonous injections. How can there be a 'vaccine' for a 'virus' that does not exist? How can there be a 'vaccine' for something that is said openly to mutate constantly? Since this is the case, why would so many advocate for more testing, more trials, and so-called legitimate approval by the FDA, an accomplice in the state's efforts to harm, murder, and control all of us, instead of simply denouncing any and all of these toxic shots as illegitimate? They are bioweapons after all, so instead of going in circles concerning which one is safer, which one has less side effects, or which one kills fewer people, why not denounce them all?

Words mean things, phrases mean things, debate can be deceiving, and language can go a long way in gaining control over people by promoting and maintaining the nefarious state narrative. Proper argument against wrong is vitally important, but arguing at the margins, arguing in circles, arguing to gain favor or position, or in-fighting to make a point, can do so much more harm than good, and in the process help to solidify tyranny.

Never trust the narrative, and always question everything. Do not get caught up in the argument, seek and accept only truth. This will require tenacity, strength, self-responsibility, and the ability to see through the hyperbole. It is time to tell it like it is, and accept reality, regardless of the consequences.

*"The ideal subject of totalitarian rule is not the convinced Nazi or the dedicated communist, but people for whom the distinction between fact and fiction, true and false, no longer exists."* ~ Hannah Arendt

**Source links:**

[The true catalyst behind 'Covid-19'](#)

[Concepts of "virus," "infection," "disease"](#)

[Example of circular 'Covid' argument video](#)

*Copyright © 2021 GaryDBarnett.com*

[\*\*Connect with Gary D. Barnett\*\*](#)

*cover image credit based on work of [GDJ](#) / pixabay*