

The OTHER Globalist Conference

[The OTHER Globalist Conference](#)

by [James Corbett](#), [The Corbett Report at Substack](#)

June 25, 2022

There was no shortage of coverage in the independent media of the recent World Economic Forum meeting in Davos, the first in-person gathering of so-called “Davos man” since the beginning of the scamdemic.

Similarly—as noted in a [recent edition of New World Next Week](#)—there was at least *some* coverage of Bilderberg 2022 in the alt media, although arguably not enough.

And you’re probably going to see some coverage in the alternative press about next week’s NATO Summit in Madrid. (Have you heard that the summit will be [hosting Asia-Pacific leaders](#) for the first time?)

But there was *another* assembly of globalist super-gophers that took place last week. Didn’t hear about it? That’s not surprising. *This* conference—unlike the flashier Davos or Bilderberg or NATO meetings—barely gets any mention at all in the Western press, MSM or independent.

Today, let’s learn more about this neglected elitist confab and why you likely didn’t hear anything about it.

WHO ARE THE BRICS?



Everyone has heard of the BRICS by now.

Many know that “BRICS” is an acronym for Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa, the states that comprise the membership of this alliance.

A few might even know that it was originally BRIC, South Africa not joining the group until 2010, the year after the inaugural BRIC summit.

But how many people know where the idea for a BRICS (or even a BRIC) grouping originated? Not that many, I’d venture to say.

Luckily, you’re a student of Corbett Report University so you already know (or are about to find out) that the BRICS grouping was not the brainchild of some diplomat or politician who found some connecting thread uniting these seemingly unrelated states. Nor was it the spontaneous decision of the countries’ leaders to unite around a specific cause.

No, the BRIC concept was first proposed in a Goldman Sachs white paper called [“Building Better Global Economic BRICs,”](#) where Goldman’s then-chief economist, Jim O’Neill, identified Brazil, Russia, India and China as the world’s four fastest-growing developing nations and suggested that “world policymaking forums should be re-organised” to reflect this fact. (BONUS FACT: Jim O’Neill is now [chair of the Chatham House Council](#), another fact that Corbett Report University grads will [understand the significance of.](#))

Of course, the fact that the BRICS actually originated in a Goldman Sachs white paper is not in and of itself reason for suspicion of the grouping. To argue such would be to employ the [genetic fallacy](#). But, as I have pointed out time and time again, that is most assuredly *not* the only reason to be suspicious of claims—frequently heard in certain quarters of the suspiciously pro-Putin and pro-Xi “independent” media—that the BRICS are actually some sort of anti-globalist organization.

For instance, in [Phony Opposition: The Truth About the BRICS](#), I noted that the BRICS’ New Development Bank—touted in those same pro-Putin/pro-Xi circles as an alternative to the [International Monetary Fund \(IMF\)/World Bank](#) mafia—was in fact *staffed* by the head stooges of that very IMF/World Bank mafia:

What none of these experts has bothered to report (for obvious reasons) is the remarkable fact that the Vice President of the NDB [is also an Executive Board member of the IMF](#), who then [went on to pledge cooperation and joint action](#) between the NDB and IMF. Also missing from this narrative is the fact that the NDB’s chief, [Kundapur Vaman Kamath](#), is a former staffer of the supposed NDB “rival” Asia Development Bank.

And in [The BRICS Summit: What You Need to Know](#), I pointed out that the grouping’s Ninth Summit—held in Xiamen in Southeast China in 2017—featured a reaffirmation of the group’s commitment to the United Nation’s Agenda 21/2030 scam, a recommitment to fostering a “global economic governance architecture” and a renewal of their subjugation to the World Trade Organization.

In fact, nothing about this Goldman Sachs-conjured group has ever lived up to the promise of “anti-globalist crusading” that its boosters have assured us this

group *really* represents.

But they just held their 14th summit in Beijing this past week, so maybe they unleashed their plan for taking down the New World Order when no one was looking, right? Let's take a look.

THE BRICS MEET AGAIN



So, did the BRICS leaders use the occasion of the 14th BRICS summit in Beijing to stick it to the globalists?

Well, before I answer that question, take a look at the leaders photo from the summit above. Does it look odd to you? As if it's been photoshopped? Well, you're right! It is a fake (although official) ["virtual" photo](#), constructed from separate photos of each of the leaders.

Why? Because they weren't all in the same room for this year's summit. In fact, they weren't even in the same country. This was a virtual summit. You know, because of the deadly COVID scamdemic. Committed pushers of the globalist COVID scamdemic

like Xi "[Lockdown](#)" Jinping, Vladimir "[Clot shot](#)" Putin, Narendra "[Test, Trace and Isolate](#)" Modi, Cyril "[Save the Vaxx Plant](#)" Ramaphosa and . . . well, actually Jair Bolsonaro has been [better than most](#) world mis-leaders in standing up to the scamdemic nonsense, but he's the exception that proves the rule. The RICS, at any rate, have pushed the biosecurity nightmare as hard as any of the Western globalist regimes, which should give you an indication of how interested they are in "sticking it to the globalists" and freeing the peoples of the world from the death grip of the technocrats.

In fact, biosecurity nonsense was featured heavily in discussions at this year's BRICS summit. Ramaphosa used the opportunity of [his pre-recorded speech](#) to complain about "Lack of access to lifesaving vaccines and treatments," [Modi's speech](#) centered on the post-COVID economic recovery (without noting that without the scamdemic policies there would be no slump to recover from), and [Xi](#), true to form, managed to tie praise for the United Nations' Agenda 2030 Sustainable Development scam into the COVID-1984 biosecurity scam:

Today, the global development process has hit major roadblocks, the momentum of international development cooperation is being weakened, and development gap between the North and the South keeps widening. As a result, the global efforts to implement the UN's 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development have suffered major setbacks. Almost 1.2 billion people in nearly 70 countries are confronted with COVID-19, food, energy and debt crises. What has been achieved in decades of global poverty reduction efforts could be lost.

In case anyone missed the point, later in his speech he once again reiterated his call on all countries "to implement the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development" and to "engage in cooperation on COVID-19 response and provide more anti-COVID medicines to developing countries so as to beat the virus at

an early date.”

But it wasn't all COVID nonsense, of course. There were some legitimate grievances aired at this year's BRICS summit as well. Xi took time to [call out the US for “weaponizing” the financial system](#) with its sanctions against Russia (including getting Russian banks blocked from the SWIFT interbank payment network) . . . without so much as naming the US or SWIFT. “Politicizing, instrumentalizing and weaponizing the world economy using a dominant position in the global financial system to wantonly impose sanctions would only hurt others as well as hurting oneself, leaving people around the world suffering,” he said in the practiced blather of the lifelong politician.

But it's one thing to point out the perfectly obvious problem. The rubber *really* meets the road when you ask: What are you planning to *do* about the problem?

Putin's answer apparently involves a BRICS currency basket, which, he suggests, could serve as an alternative to the IMF's [Special Drawing Rights](#) currency basket and thus as a potential world reserve currency. “We are exploring the possibility of creating an international reserve currency based on the basket of BRICS currencies,” he [announced](#), adding that “Together with BRICS partners, we are developing reliable alternative mechanisms for international settlements.”

Ahhhh, yes, An [“alternative” payment system](#) to go with the “alternative” reserve currency. Of course! I mean, we all saw how well that went with the “alternative” New Development Bank, right? Or do you remember when [China created a SWIFT “alternative”](#) . . . that relied on the SWIFT network to transmit its payments?

Yes, as you might have guessed *this* currency/payment “alternative” is a booby trap, too. It turns out that what the BRICS leaders mean when they say they need an “alternative”

payment system is that they want to create some form of digital currency grid (perhaps an mCBDC bridge!) that will smooth over payments so that no SWIFT middleman is necessary. As Peking University economist and BRICS booster Cao Heping puts it in a recent [CCP propaganda piece](#): “Along with the development of the mobile internet, digital payment has also become a tool for cross-border transactions. More opportunities are expected in this regard.”

Yay.

Beyond that, the BRICS are also getting into the 21st-century's next multi-trillion dollar military-industrial boondoggle: space. That's right, according to the China National Space Administration, 2022 marks the “year of launch” for cooperation on joint observation and data sharing among the BRICS nations' respective satellites. Yes, that awkward phraseology is direct from their [propaganda puff piece](#) and might lead the casual reader to believe that the BRICS are going to jointly launch a satellite this year. In reality, they're only agreeing to share data among their existing satellites (China's Gaofen-6, Ziyuan-3 02, the CBERS-4 co-developed by Brazil and China, Russia's Kanopus-V type, and India's Resourcesat-2 and 2A, for those keeping track at home).

In short, this year's BRICS summit was about what you'd expect from a public-facing globalist confab (as opposed to a private one, like Bilderberg): A lot of hot air and political blather interspersed with some genuinely alarming statements about the global nightmare that these world mis-leaders are working to bring about, from the UN's Agenda 2030 to the world of digital currency.

WHY YOU DIDN'T HEAR ABOUT IT



As I said at the beginning, whether you follow the dinosaur mainstream media or the online independent media, chances are you didn't encounter anything substantive about this year's BRICS summit in your usual news feed.

Why is this?

Well, for starters, the BRICS summit didn't involve any of the the leading Western powers, so those who only [see the world through an anti-NATO lens](#) are inherently disinterested. And the lack of Kissingers and Schwaubs in attendance means it doesn't flip any of the switches with the online conspiracy crowd.

Secondly, the BRICS are increasingly seen as a failure even by the mainstream pundits whose job it is to prop up all of the sides in the fake and staged wrestling match that is global politics. Even the BRICS' progenitor, Jim O'Neill, has given up on the group, penning editorials about how the grouping has provided "[20 Years of Disappointment.](#)"

Thirdly, the summit didn't provide much opportunity for the supposedly independent media pundits who propose the BRICS bloc as some sort of globalist resistance force to make their case. Other than touting the idea of a BRICS currency basket

(without mentioning the digital currency push), what would they highlight, exactly, to make their case that the BRICSers are standing up to the global agenda? Certainly not Xi's constant praise for the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. (Oh, and did I mention that the BRICS [launched a Vaccine Research and Development Center](#) this year to "pool together the advantages of the BRICS countries in the field of vaccine development and research which will boost the capacity of the BRICS countries to control as well as avoid infectious diseases"?)

No, the BRICS summit is something that all sides are happy to sweep under the rug. But that does not mean that we should let them do it. We need reminding from time to time that the belief that the BRICS group (or any combination of its members) represents a true opposition to the global agenda is [hopium](#) of the worst sort. And what better way to do that than by quoting their own speeches from their own socially-distanced, biosecurity-promoting, "vaccine"-loving, digital currency-pimping summit?

*This weekly editorial is part of **The Corbett Report Subscriber** newsletter.*

To support The Corbett Report and to access the full newsletter, please [sign up](#) to become a member of the website.

[Connect the The Corbett Report](#)