The Planscamdemic's Latest Twisted Twist

The Planscamdemic's Latest Twisted Twist

by <u>Joseph P. Farrell</u>, <u>Giza Death Star</u> March 3, 2021

Whopper-doozie alert! This one is so over the top that I simply had to blog about it. It was shared by W.G., whom I thank for bringing to my attention. To be honest, this is *such* a whopper-doozie that I don't even know where to *start* with respect to today's high octane speculation, and I rather suspect — once readers dive into the article — that it will stimulate your own speculations. I'm presenting *three* different sources or versions of this story, because it *is* so unbelievably breathtaking in its implications:

<u>How Pfizer tried to bully Argentina and Brazil in exchange for vaccines</u>

'Held to ransom': Pfizer demands governments gamble with state assets to secure vaccine deal

<u>Pfizer Demands Governments Gamble With State Assets To Secure</u> <u>Vaccine Deal</u>

Let's look at the second article linked above. Consider these breathtaking paragraphs:

Pfizer has been accused of "bullying" Latin American governments in Covid vaccine negotiations and has asked some countries to put up sovereign assets, **such as embassy buildings and military bases**, as a guarantee against the cost of any future legal cases, the Bureau of Investigative Journalism can reveal.

In the case of one country, demands made by the pharmaceutical giant led to a three-month delay in a vaccine deal being agreed. For Argentina and Brazil, no national deals were agreed at all. Any hold-up in countries receiving vaccines means more people contracting Covid-19 and potentially dying.

Officials from Argentina and the other Latin American country, which cannot be named as it has signed a confidentiality agreement with Pfizer, said the company's negotiators demanded additional indemnity against any civil claims citizens might file if they experienced adverse effects after being inoculated. In Argentina and Brazil, Pfizer asked for sovereign assets to be put up as collateral for any future legal costs.

One official who was present in the unnamed country's negotiations described Pfizer's demands as "high-level bullying" and said the government felt like it was being "held to ransom" in order to access life-saving vaccines. (Boldface emphasis added)

That pretty much sums it up; "Muck Pharmaceuticals" as we like to call Big Pharma here, in the form of Pfizer, is allegedly demanding collateral against potential lawsuits against its "vaccine." Now my high octane speculation of the day comes in the form of three questions: (1) Why would Pfizer be so concerned about potential lawsuits if it was confident its "vaccine" was safe? (2) why would it ask nations for embassies and military bases? And (3) was the idea of collateralizing embassies and military bases the objective of the planscamdemic/"vaccine" operation one of the goals at the outset of the whole planscamdemic to begin with?

In looking at question one, we gain a bit of a speculative

clue: seizing a nation's embassies and military bases is, from one point of view, a real estate scam, one which anticipates that lawsuits are likely to be both many and costly, which is in its way a tacit admission that there are "problems" with the "vaccines." The amounts of money are likely to be vast, and thus, hard assets are required in order to minimize Muck Pharmaceutical's exposure to the risk.

But that brings us to question two: why ask for embassies and military bases? This, in my opinion, means one of two things, and possibly both together: either Pfizer's action is on behalf of someone else whom it anticipates can buy those assets — and has the money to do so — should lawsuits ensue, or Pfizer itself views itself as a sovereign entity, in need of embassies and military bases to enforce its corporate will, which implies its having plans for a professional corporate military, or its has already developed one. Or, as I already stated, it is some combination of these two. With regard to the first prospect, there are few actors on the world stage that would have the liquidity to buy such hard assets, and use them for their original intended purpose. China would certainly be one primary suspect, and after all, the planscamdemic did originate there in a certain sense. But there could be others as well, including extra-territorial actors.

And that leads us to question three: was this one of the goals (among many others) that Mr. Globaloney wanted to accomplish through the planscamdemic? I suspect it's a strong possibility, since it is clear that Mr. Globaloney is using the whole farce to further his agenda of control. And control doesn't work unless one has centers for intelligence operations that are "sovereign territory" (embassies) and a means to enforce its dictates (military bases).

When one considers that there are other effective methods of covid treatment, this draconian effort regarding vaccines seems to make the agenda all too obvious.

See you on the flip side...

Follow Joseph P. Farrell's blog at Giza Death Star

Cover image credit: <u>The Bureau of Investigative Journalism</u>