The Ruse of Exemptions: When Government Calls The Shots

The Ruse of Exemptions: When Government Calls The Shots
by Rosanne Lindsay, Nature of Healing
April 10, 2022

Exemptions are privileges. What the government giveth, the government can taketh away.

Rights are not gifts from government.

- Nature of Healing

The Ruse of Benefits & Privileges

Government laws exist to grant "BENEFITS and PRIVILEGES" to citizens who agree to be governed. Benefits and privileges do not usurp birthrights, rights that are inborn or inherent. Thus, birthrights supersede laws, mandates, and exemptions.

A government exemption is an "opt out." By asking permission of government to *opt out* from any government-imposed health mandates, you agree to bypass birthrights.

Under exemptions, you are requesting permission from government to make a choice that you already possess. Remember, bodily autonomy is a BIRTHRIGHT. You come into this world alone. You leave this world alone, without government approval. Therefore, an exemption or an Exemption Act, is a form of entrapment known as <u>Color of Law</u>.

Color of law refers to an appearance of legal power to act that may operate in violation of law. Since the inception of the United States in 1776, both presidents and governors have been bypassing the laws through <u>illegal Executive</u> Orders (E.O.s) under Color of Law. Even though it might be argued that ALL federal Acts fall under the Color of Law, the chances of adjudicating an equitable solution through the court system is about as likely as isolating the Coronavirus in a lab. The CDC still admits there is no gold standard for the isolation of any virus.

So it is by the will of the people that allows government to usurp its authority and ensure the end of freedom of choice for all. In the near future, in order to attain an education, or maintain a job, to enter a hospital or clinic, to shop or travel, in order to live within any community, or function on any practical level within society, people will be made to get government shots.

The Ruse of Exemption

Have you been told to get a medical or religious exemption by your employer? Think again.

Government-granted exemptions for government-imposed health mandates are strategically designed to fail by entrapping those members of the community who sign onto them. Why?

Because here is no legal defense or enforcement for religious or medical exemptions since businesses have no legal *duty of care* from imposing mandates in the first place, based on the The Doctrine of Assumption of Risk.

The Doctrine of Assumption of Risk states that no business is liable to protect others from a risk that's widely known or believed to exist in the community.

An exemption means there has to be a legal duty of care. If there is no legal duty, there is no exemption. A <u>legal duty</u> is a legal obligation, the breach of which can result in liability. Businesses that impose mandates must have an insurance policy. Without one, no court will take

jurisdiction. A plaintiff in court will never win. This is another reason you do not have to beg for a right you already possess.

In addition, laws cannot conflict with each other. So, for instance, when mandates, statutes, Acts, or Executive Orders conflict with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), then there is a violation of the legal duty of care.

What about Constitutional protections? New policies appear to obsolete the Constitution by empowering state and local officials to issue vaccine mandates. This begs the questions, 1) Is the Constitution <u>null and void</u>? and 2) was the Constitution merely a contract <u>written and signed by a small group of wealthy men to protect themselves and their interests?</u>

The Ruse of "Public Health"

Federal (statutory) Acts attempt to protect "the public health" with "statutory rights." Beware: A "statutory right" is an oxymoron, similar to public health, safe vaccine, and honest thief. It is meant to confuse.

All federal Acts steer "the public" into a herd by the language of legalease. In reality, there is no such thing as "Public Health." Public Health does not exist outside of individual health. You cannot wear a life jacket to keep others afloat. So to consent to "Public Health mandates" is to give up bodily autonomy in exchange for Public Rights (i.e., Children's rights, Gay rights, Parent rights, Women's rights) granted by the State. State Rights can be modified, suspended, and revoked. See how California revoked all vaccine-related exemptions. By taking the Public out of Public Health, we begin to reclaim responsibility for choice and freedom.

The success of any Act depends from which perspective you view its success. From the <u>first Act</u>, passed in 1784, to the latest draft government Acts, ALL Acts appear to be an extension of

The <u>CIRCUS Act.</u> From the people's perspective, success rates are dismal thanks to exemptions and exceptions to exemptions in every Act. A few examples include:

The <u>CLEAN AIR Act</u> of 1970 <u>with exemptions</u>, and The <u>CLEAR SKIES Act</u> of 2019 — serves to create dirty skies with <u>exemptions for oil refineries and power plants</u> and the most toxic <u>bunker fuel operations</u>.

The <u>CLEAN WATER</u> Act of 1972 and its <u>exemptions</u> that serve to pollute the waters.

The <u>US PATRIOT Act</u> of 2001 and US Patriot and Reauthorization Act of 2005 "to unite and strengthen America," with <u>exemptions to banking agencies</u> which serve to divide and weaken America.

The <u>QUARANTINE Acts OF 1710</u>, and <u>1720</u>, The <u>QUARANTINE Act</u> of 1951 — originally applied to commercial vessels for the separation of infected people, which became the <u>Public Health Act of 1896</u> in Ireland, The <u>Public Health Act of 1936</u> in Britain, The <u>Public Health Service Act</u> of 1944 in America, to <u>The CANADA QUARANTINE ACT</u> of 2005 — to quarantine all people, healthy and sick.

The <u>PREP Act</u> of 2005, allows government to bypass Rights and Freedom. The <u>DHHS Amended Version</u> authorizes an increased workforce to administer COVID (experimental) vaccines. And <u>The PREP Act 2022</u> — limits liability for COVID countermeasures.

See the article <u>Transcending The Hegelian Dialectic</u> for more information.

Note also, that any discussion of science for "public health" purposes is a purposeful distraction away from inherent rights. Do not be distracted by the vaccine debate or by the <u>Vaxxed vs. Unvaxxed study</u> that will never be formally

approved by government. The science debate is merely a <u>ruse to</u> eliminate freedom.

Contagions and The American With Disabilities Act

John Jay Singleton, of <u>TheZunga</u>, helps people to exercise their RIGHTS to bodily autonomy under the ADA. TheZunga.com endeavors to extract people caught in the government web of exemptions under COVID19 policies. Singleton writes:

Having a contagious disease is defined as having a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Rehabilitation Act, under the standards of the Americans with Disabilities Act, precludes employers from imposing any accommodations upon employees unless they meet the criteria for establishing that the employee is a direct threat following an individualized assessment (diagnosis). Employers are prohibited by law from requiring any medical examination in this process as it is an accommodation for which the employer must advise the employee that he has the right to accept or refuse

Singleton contends that the ADA, a federal law, requires businesses to aid and encourage those with disabilities in the exercise and enjoyment of their rights. This means that not only can a business owner not impose such measures on anyone, he must actively protect everyone from any violation of this law, at least by not imposing them.

To exercise and enjoy your rights doesn't mean you have to have a disability. It means you're regarded as having a disability its because the government announced a public health disaster, so the legal duties come into play with the ADA. And the legal duty of care is on anyone trying to force these measure on you. John Jay Singleton

In summary, exemptions bind people to an arbitrary, and a

constantly changing, list of demands. These demands supersede basic freedoms, and thereupon deny people of their inalienable, God/life given rights to self-determination of their bodies. As the government giveth, so, too, can the government taketh away, on a whim.

No Consent

Governments have inverted inherent rights by statutes, policies, Acts, E.O.s and exemptions. In doing so, they have bound freedom, itself, to a contract. All government Acts apply to government entities and persons; not to men and women. Men and women are not subject to Acts, because they are not subjects.

Exemption or no exemptions, **the power of NO** always applies, as long as you can voice it. One way to say *No Thank You* is through a <u>Conditional Acceptance</u>, a lawful response to any offer to contract. Can they sign a statement agreeing to <u>your conditions</u> to their offer? If not, there is no contract and you remain in honor. See more at Youarelaw.org.

All Acts attempt do one thing: to allow the government to legislate choice and freedom, that is, *if you consent* to the offer. However, if offered an experimental product, make sure you are provided with *Informed Consent* (45 CFR § 46.116) before you consent, because you become a subject taking part in a clinical study.

When it comes to any mandate, it is important to appreciate that all exemptions (medical, religious, or philosophical/personal belief), are fundamentally illegal, because they transpose an inherent human RIGHT into a PRIVILEGE, on the presumption that you acknowledge, and thus sacrifice or forfeit your natural BIRTHRIGHTS to an external authority.

For instance, there is no American authority for compulsory vaccination, in the sense of forcing one to submit even if

policies require compliance. When it comes to commerce, everything is an offer to contract. When it comes to Acts, *All the world is a stage*.

Shakespeare titled his play, As You Like It, as if to say, you always have a choice. There is no law that compels anyone to do anything related to mandated restrictions, whether COVID-related or not. The freedom to choose is non-negotiable. You always have options just like you have opinions. However, in this era, freedom must be defended and claimed as a BIRTHRIGHT.

Do you trust a government calling the shots under the ruse of exemptions? Now is the time to seize your courage, to wake up, to rise up and to find your will to act for yourself. As always, freedom lives in you!

Updated from May 21, 2019.

Related Articles

- Freedom Exists Under Natural Law
- The Ruse of Children's Rights
- Taking the Public Out of Public Health
- Vaccine Debate: Ruse to Eliminate Freedom
- <u>U.S. Constitution Expired. California Exemptions</u>
 Revoked. Natural Law Stands.

Rosanne Lindsay is a Naturopath, writer, earth keeper, health freedom advocate and author of the books <u>The Nature of Healing</u>, <u>Heal the Body</u>, <u>Heal the Planet</u> and <u>Free Your Voice</u>, <u>Heal Your Thyroid</u>, <u>Reverse Thyroid Disease Naturally</u>.

Rosanne Lindsay is <u>available for consultation</u> through Turtle

Island Network. Subscribe to her blog at <u>natureofhealing.org</u>.

<u>Connect with Rosanne Lindsay, Traditional Naturopath</u>

cover image credit: SantiagoGonzález_ad / pixabay

See also:

The Not-So-NICE ACT