The Test for Klaus Schwab and the World Economic Forum

The Test for Klaus Schwab and the World Economic Forum

by <u>Jon Rappoport</u>, <u>No More Fake News</u> February 21, 2022

Knowing my regular readers can handle more than one major point in an article, I start with this: Justin Trudeau is not serving the interests of Canadians; he is loyal to the World Economic Forum (WEF) and the brand of Globalism it represents.

Meaning: global governance; the submerging of nations in a scheme of external top-down control; the expansion of poverty; wall to wall surveillance; a currency reset; and other totalitarian transformations.

If you watch these two brief videos (here and <a href=here), you'll see Klaus Schwab confirm, in Trudeau's presence, the prime minister's loyalty to the WEF, as well as the penetration of Trudeau's cabinet with WEF agents.

Schwab, the head of WEF, also mentions a new dawn of entrepreneurs who lead corporations dedicated to social responsibility.

And THAT is a test for Schwab. Because he certainly backs major pharmaceutical companies. Do those businesses display social responsibility?

I'm not talking about their pricing of drugs or their equitable distribution of drugs. I'm talking about killing and maiming people with the drugs. Many people.

And so I return to citations I've published a number of times.

By the way, virtually no one takes these devastating references and runs with them.

I can only conclude journalists and doctors who otherwise criticize medical policies don't want to admit the medical cartel has a very long track record of destroying populations.

These journalists and doctors only want to cherry pick their targets. In fact, they support the overall performance of the medical system. Why? You would have to ask them.

Here, I'm testing Klaus Schwab. Does he really think he can get away with his talk about "social responsibility" and his simultaneous support of Pharma?

Buckle up-

ONE: Journal of the American Medical Association, April 15, 1998: "Incidence of Adverse Drug Reactions in Hospitalized Patients."

The authors, led by Jason Lazarou, culled 39 previous studies on patients in hospitals. These patients, who received drugs in hospitals, or were admitted to hospitals because they were suffering from the drugs doctors had given them, met the following fate:

Every year, in the US, between 76,000 and 137,000 hospitalized patients die as a direct result of the drugs.

Beyond that, every year 2.2 million hospitalized patients experience serious adverse reactions to the drugs.

The authors write: "...Our study on ADRs [Adverse Drug Reactions], which excludes medication errors, had a different objective: to show that there are a large number of ADRs even when the drugs are properly prescribed and administered."

So this study had nothing to do with doctor errors, nurse errors, or improper combining of drugs. And it only counted

people killed or maimed who were admitted to hospitals. It didn't begin to tally all the people taking pharmaceuticals who died as consequence of the drugs, at home.

TWO: July 26, 2000, Journal of the American Medical Association; author, Dr. Barbara Starfield, revered public health expert at the Johns Hopkins School of Public Health; "Is US health really the best in the world?"

Starfield reported that the US medical system kills 225,000 Americans per year. 106,000 as a result of FDA-approved medical drugs, and 119,000 as a result of mistreatment and errors in hospitals. Extrapolate the numbers to a decade: that's 2.25 million deaths. You might want to read that last number again.

<u>I interviewed Starfield in 2009</u>. I asked her whether she was aware of any overall effort by the US government to eliminate this holocaust. She answered a resounding NO. She also said her estimate of medically caused deaths in America was on the conservative side.

THREE: BMJ June 7, 2012 (BMJ 2012:344:e3989). Author, Jeanne Lenzer. Lenzer refers to a report by the Institute for Safe Medication Practices: "It [the Institute] calculated that in 2011 prescription drugs were associated with two to four million people in the US experiencing 'serious, disabling, or fatal injuries, including 128,000 deaths.'"

The report called this "one of the most significant perils to humans resulting from human activity."

The report was compiled by outside researchers who went into the FDA's own database of "serious adverse [medical-drug] events."

Therefore, to say the FDA isn't aware of this finding would be absurd. The FDA knows. The FDA knows and it isn't saying anything about it, because the FDA certifies, as safe and

effective, all the medical drugs that are routinely maiming and killing Americans. Every public health agency knows the truth.

FOUR: "The Epidemic of Sickness and Death from Prescription Drugs." The author is Donald Light, who teaches at Rowan University, and was the 2013 recipient of ASA's [American Sociological Association's] Distinguished Career Award for the Practice of Sociology. Light is a founding fellow of the Center for Bioethics at the University of Pennsylvania. In 2013, he was a fellow at the Edmond J. Safra Center for Ethics at Harvard. He is a Lokey Visiting Professor at Stanford University.

Donald Light: "Epidemiologically, appropriately prescribed, prescription drugs are the fourth leading cause of death, tied with stroke at about 2,460 deaths each week in the United States. About 330,000 patients die each year from prescription drugs in the United States and Europe. They [the drugs] cause an epidemic of about 20 times more hospitalizations [6.6 million annually], as well as falls, road accidents, and [annually] about 80 million medically minor problems such as pains, discomforts, and dysfunctions that hobble productivity or the ability to care for others. Deaths and adverse effects from overmedication, errors, and self-medication would increase these figures." (ASA publication, "Footnotes," November 2014)

FIVE: None of the above reports factor in death or injury by vaccine.

Medical crimes.

Medically caused deaths of friends, family members, loved ones, who are buried along with the truth.

No criminal investigations, no prosecutions, no guilty verdicts, no prison sentences.

But of course, you can believe everything leading lights of the US medical system tell you about COVID.

You can believe everything the press—who buries the truth about this medical holocaust—tells you about COVID.

Given the reports on medically caused death and maiming I've just cited and described in this article, it's obvious that...

Leading medical journals around the world, which routinely publish glowing accounts of clinical trials of medical drugs...

Are spilling over with rank fraud, on page after page.

Indeed, here is a stunning quote from an editor who has quite probably read and analyzed more medical-drug studies than any doctor in the world:

"It is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines. I take no pleasure in this conclusion, which I reached slowly and reluctantly over my two decades as an editor of The New England Journal of Medicine." (Dr. Marcia Angell, NY Review of Books, January 15, 2009, "Drug Companies & Doctors: A Story of Corruption)

Compare that quote with one from "the father of COVID science," Anthony Fauci. In an interview with the National Geographic, Fauci <u>stated</u>:

"Anybody can claim to be an expert even when they have no idea what they're talking about...If something is published in places like New England Journal of Medicine, Science, Nature, Cell, or JAMA—you know, generally that is quite well peer-reviewed because the editors and the editorial staff of those journals really take things very seriously."

They take things so seriously, they routinely publish glowing studies of medical drugs that are killing people in great numbers.

-So, Mr. Schwab, which is it? You support corporate social responsibility, and therefore you condemn, in the strongest possible way, the ongoing death-and-maiming count achieved by beloved pharmaceutical companies? Or you maintain your unwavering support for Pharma, and admit your pose of "social responsibility" is a complete fraud.

And to journalists and doctors who refuse to pick up the citations in this article and DO something with them, I ask: what's holding you back? What's been holding you back? I've been publishing and speaking about this information for more than 10 years.

What are you afraid of? Where do YOUR loyalties lie?

Connect with Jon Rappoport

cover image credit: Karenwolfewhitchurch / pixabay