Trump Supporters: Who Is Our Fighter?

Trump Supporters: Who Is Our Fighter?

by **Alicia Green**, *Contributing Writer at <u>Truth Comes to Light</u>* December 31, 2021

"If not us, who? If not now, when?"
- John F. Kennedy

The <u>mass formation psychosis</u> and maybe <u>white savior mentality</u> has caused many to follow Trump and many leaders like him (i.e., <u>Biden</u>, <u>Fauci/Gates</u>). They stir up emotions, promise to "save" you from "fill-in-the-blank" but end up doing the exact opposite of what they promised. It's an <u>abusive relationship</u> with the government and they are counting on you to play the <u>victim role</u>.

We now know what <u>Fauci</u> did during the AIDS crisis when he tried to "save" us from that virus. He <u>experimented</u> to death orphaned Black and Hispanic children. Similarly, <u>Gates</u> has tried to "save" Africans from "fill-in-the-blank", but <u>ended up killing</u> more children than the diseases.

Now Biden and Fauci/Gates want "to save Republicans' lives." They recently <u>praised</u> Trump for his "Operation Warp Speed", for his <u>promotion</u> of the safety and effectiveness of the vaccines, and for being <u>vaccinated</u> and <u>boosted</u>.

They have been waiting and hoping for Trump's charisma to help increase vaccinations among his followers. Peter H. Schuck, Baldwin Professor of Law Emeritus at Yale Law School wrote in Real Clear Politics:

"With 2022 in mind, Trump is unlikely to aid Democrats by diminishing the impact of a wedge issue. And with 2024 in mind, he's unlikely to drive away his own vaccine-skeptic voters by echoing Biden's sentiments.

But imagine if he did! No doubt Trump would take a victory lap or two for the vaccine's rapid development — probably his greatest achievement as president. Giving him credit for helping to get more of us vaccinated would be a grand bargain that we should not begrudge him."

Trump is very proud of having orchestrated the roll-out of the COVID-19 vaccinations in "warp speed" and it has been found to be unprecedented in its "firsts" as stated in the May 2021 issue of International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research (IJVTPR), Worse Than the Disease? Reviewing Some Possible Unintended Consequences of the mRNA Vaccines Against COVID-19:

Many aspects of Covid-19 and subsequent vaccine development are unprecedented for a vaccine deployed for use in the general population. Some of these include the following.

- 1. First to use PEG (polyethylene glycol) in an injection (see text)
- 2. First to use mRNA vaccine technology against an infectious agent
- 3. First time Moderna has brought any product to market
- 4. First to have public health officials telling those receiving the vaccination to expect an adverse reaction
- 5. First to be implemented publicly with nothing more than preliminary efficacy data (see text)
- 6. First vaccine to make no clear claims about reducing infections, transmissibility, or deaths

- 7. First coronavirus vaccine ever attempted in humans
- 8. First injection of genetically modified polynucleotides in the general population

More information regarding the manipulation of the vaccine testing, and lack of testing, has been recently exposed by <u>The Canadian Covid Care Alliance</u>. As you may know, Dr. Robert Malone, was just suspended from Twitter for posting their video <u>The Pfizer Inoculations for COVID-19</u>: <u>More Harm than Good</u>. Is Trump still proud of these vaccines?

Trump had said in the past that he was opposed to promoting the vaccines for <u>fear</u> that he would lose his base supporters. He must have changed his mind with his recent <u>comments</u> stating he is proud of the COVID-19 vaccines, claiming that there are no serious side effects or deaths from the vaccines.

Trump's Surgeon General, Jerome Adams, stated <u>Trump waited</u> to come out as pro-vaccine until after Biden praised him for his work in getting the vaccines to the public. Jerome also <u>believes vaccine mandates</u> are effective for adults and children.

Even <u>Pastor Jeffress</u>, Trump's famous evangelical supporter, advocates for the vaccines and sees no reason for vaccine exemptions. Pastor Jefferies' church has even promoted the vaccines by having <u>vaccine clinics</u> to make the vaccines more accessible to the people in his community.

When Trump <u>stated</u> he received his booster, he seemed to belittle those who booed in opposition as "<u>just a tiny group</u>", even though most of his supporters are considered <u>antivaxxers</u>. (Note: Not all <u>anti-vaxxers</u> are Trump supporters, and possibly most are not.)

In Candace Owens' recent interview with Trump, he seemed to double and triple-down on his pro-vaccine stance. Trump said "vaccines are good, and people should get them." Candace

followed-up the interview with an <u>Instagram video</u> to her followers making excuses for Trump's vaccine stance saying he is pro-vaccine because <u>he isn't aware</u> of the known side effects, because he is sheltered and doesn't keep up with the topic, and doesn't do research on the internet. All of these excuses seem <u>implausible</u> since he has always been keenly aware of current news, the "fake news", and any news that relates to "voter fraud".

Why do people make excuses for him and continue to support him? Has he brainwashed his followers to follow him at all costs, even if he stands against something they feel so strongly against? Remember, he did state during an election rally that "he loves the poorly educated". Does he think his followers are poorly educated?

Trump also believes "his vaccines" have saved millions of lives. New York Times', <u>Maggie Haberman</u>, asked Trump's representatives if he was going to play ball with his antivaxx followers, and she was handed a handwritten note with Trump's signature in response. The note stated: "Must tell the truth — and very proud to have produced the 3 vaccines so quickly. Millions of lives saved worldwide. Best wishes, Donald."

Is his promotion of the COVID-19 vaccines part of the congame? Erving Goffman, a Canadian-born sociologist, social psychologist, and writer, considered by some "the most influential American sociologist of the twentieth century", is known for his classic essay On Cooling the Mark Out. Brooke Harrington of The Guardian explains:

"In 1952, the sociologist Erving Goffman analysed the art of the con in a seminal essay, <u>On Cooling the Mark Out</u>. To understand the phenomenon, he identified a cast of characters: first, the 'operator', who perpetrates the con; second, the 'mark', the target of the con; and third, the 'cooler', an ally of the con artist who attempts to console the victim once the fraud has become apparent 'in a way that makes it easy for him to accept the inevitable and quietly go home'."

Once the "mark" discovers he has been deceived, he needs "cooling out":

"The most effective 'coolers' are figures that the 'marks' trust, people whose opinion they value."

Goffman explains:

"For the mark, cooling represents a process of adjustment to an impossible situation — a situation arising from having defined himself in a way which the social facts come to contradict. The mark must therefore be supplied with a new set of apologies for himself, a new framework in which to see himself and judge himself. A process of redefining the self along defensible lines must be instigated and carried along; since the mark himself is frequently in too weakened a condition to do this, the cooler must initially do it for him."

It appears <u>Candace Owens</u> and <u>Marjorie Taylor Greene</u> are attempting to "cool out" Trump supporters who feel they have been conned. Alex Jones, another avid Trump supporter, appears to be taking both sides, and calling for Trump supporters to "move on" from Trump, while at the same time, excusing Trump for his pro-vaccine stance. Jason Lemon of Newsweek <u>reported</u> Alex Jones' statements:

"Yeah, we all wish Trump would do the right thing, but listen, I've got the inside baseball on Trump. He doesn't know what's going on, and I'm not even defending Trump, I'm just saying we've got to move on," Jones told listeners to his Wednesday InfoWars show.

Jones went on to suggest that to "get Trump's attention" he may begin to "dish all the dirt" on the former president. "You know what, you know what—I am going to dish it all on Trump..." he said. While Jones contended that Trump is "not a bad guy," he lamented that the former president "doesn't know what he's doing" and is "surrounded by bad advisors."

Alex Jones seems to shift the blame for Trump's pro-vaccine stance to his supporters for believing in Trump and to Trump's "bad advisors". Similar to Candace Owens' excuses for Trump being "unaware" of vaccine side effects. This con tactic is also pointed out by <u>Brooke Harrington</u> in The Atlantic:

"Goffman points out that con artists employ specialists to 'cool' marks down when the deception is finally revealed. A cooler, he writes, 'has the job of handling persons caught out on a limb—persons whose expectations and self-conceptions have been built up and then shattered.' Coolers prevent blowback from angry marks—encouraging them to blame themselves, not the con artist. They help marks rebuild their social identity, retain their self-respect, and preserve their affiliations with their reference groups."

As <u>Goffman says</u>, "cooling represents a process of adjustment", and "the disappointment of reasonable expectations, as well as misguided ones, creates a need for consolation".

Now that we know Trump is pro-vaccine because he believes the "vaccines save lives, have no known side-effects and don't cause death", why would he not be pro-vaccine-mandate? Haven't we heart this before: The vaccines are safe and effective; we won't mandate them. Now, there are mandates.

<u>One Trump Grill</u> in Trump Tower enforces the vaccine mandate, so does he approve of the vaccine mandates? Will Trump's next surprise statement be that he is pro-vaccine-mandate, and will that announcement come before or after he is elected President

in 2024? Are you willing to wait and find out?

Does Alex Jones regret promoting Trump? Newsweek <u>reported</u> Alex Jones "wishes he never had met Trump":

"The <u>leaked footage</u> was recorded by filmmaker Caolan Robertson, who told SPLC that Jones 'doesn't care about most of the stuff he professes to.'"

Are Jones, Owens, Greene, and Trump all in lockstep with the vaccine con game? Brooke Harrington concludes:

"From a purely pragmatic perspective, it's good news for everyone that some influential conservative figures are beginning to act as 'coolers' in relation to pandemic holdouts by encouraging vaccination — even if the 'coolers' have often been, as in Goffman's theory, complicit in the con. The call back to reality has to come from inside the house."

Is there now no difference between Biden and Trump, or Democrats and Republicans, when it comes to COVID-19 vaccines? It seems there is not any difference, they all promote that COVID-19 "vaccines" are "safe and effective".

I guess Trump was right about one thing, we are all poorly educated. We are having a national security crisis because we are allowing the government to take away our freedoms. Matthew Lynch of The Edvocate states:

"When citizens understand their government, they will be more inclined to contribute and participate, strengthening our country overall. Dumbed down education proves to hinder national security by producing citizens who are poorly informed, unprepared, and unmotivated to take part."

Trump <u>recently said</u> that we are in need of a "savior" for our COVID-19 situation and he said "it is not me". His followers

should take him at his word. He is not taking up our fight. He seems to pretend to be clueless. Each individual must take up their own fight.

Robert F. Kennedy, Jr, of Children's' Health Defense, is taking up the fight. He has been instrumental in battling dangerous health and safety violators such as Monsanto/Roundup. Trump had the chance to hire RFK Jr to help with the COVID-19 vaccine project, but decided to hire Fauci/Gates and Brix instead. Doesn't that answer the question of where Trump stands on vaccines?

RFK Jr's newest book, <u>The Real Anthony Fauci</u>, reveals that federal government organizations, the media, and big pharma are all working together to promote the COVID-19 "vaccines", while they all make big profits from the business of vaccines. Much like how big pharma, the FDA, doctors, and politicians seem to have colluded together to make millions on Oxycontin despite the addiction and deaths it caused.

If you haven't watched <u>Dopesick</u>, you should, and while watching replace the word "Oxycontin" with "COVID-19 vaccines". One similarity in the marketing of Oxycontin and COVID-19 vaccines is the emphasis on taking more of the drug when you get "breakthrough" pain (Oxycontin) or "breakthrough" infection (COVID-19 booster vaccines).

Purdue was found <u>guilty</u> of their marketing lies of Oxycontin. Pfizer was found <u>guilty</u> of fraudulent marketing of Bextra:

"American pharmaceutical giant Pfizer Inc. and its subsidiary Pharmacia & Upjohn Company Inc. (hereinafter together 'Pfizer') have agreed to pay \$2.3 billion, the largest health care fraud settlement in the history of the Department of Justice, to resolve criminal and civil liability arising from the illegal promotion of certain pharmaceutical products, the Justice Department announced today.

Pharmacia & Upjohn Company has agreed to plead guilty to a

felony violation of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act for misbranding Bextra with the intent to defraud or mislead."

If Pfizer and Purdue can be found guilty of fraudulent marketing, why can't Pfizer and Moderna be found guilty of fraudulent marketing of their "vaccines"? The literal definition of the word vaccine had to be changed to accommodate their drugs. Since when is that legal?

Vaccines are the gold mine for big pharma because there is zero liability involved in the vaccine business. Moreso with the COVID-19 vaccines which are covered by the <u>PREP Act</u>. The entire supply chain is covered by the PREP Act, including all people involved in the marketing, distribution, administration, and injection.

The COVID-19 vaccines do give 100% immunity, 100% legal immunity to any liability. The PREP Act should be rescinded. Contact your <u>congressperson</u> and <u>senator</u> and ask them to rescind the PREP Act now, before it's too late to fight.

RFK, Jr and Children's Health Defense are taking up the fight. They are one of the organizers for the January 5, 2022 March for Medical Freedom and promoting grassroots action at the local level. They are also calling for all to come together at a rally to fight against tyranny and government overreach. On January 23rd, 2022 "Defeat the Mandates" will march from the Washington Monument to a rally at the Lincoln Memorial:

"Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. along with many others will be addressing the crowd. We are going to come together — black, white and Hispanic; vaccinated and unvaccinated; Democrats and Republicans — to fight for freedom and to march on Washington in peace. The discrimination against the unvaccinated is an assault against the very fabric that makes us a free, democratic society. Go to www.defeatthemandatesdc.com to sign up and join us! #DoNotComply"

It is time for all to take action before we permanently lose our freedoms and our country. Trump is not the answer, as you may be starting to understand. Do not feel abandoned. Come together and support <u>Defeat the Mandates</u>.

In the <u>words of former President John F Kennedy</u>, RFK, Jr's Uncle:

"In your hands, my fellow citizens, more than mine, will rest the final success or failure of our course. Since this country was founded, each generation of Americans has been summoned to give testimony to its national loyalty. The graves of young Americans who answered the call to service surround the globe.

Now the trumpet summons us again—not as a call to bear arms, though arms we need—not as a call to battle, though embattled we are— but a call to bear the burden of a long twilight struggle, year in and year out, 'rejoicing in hope, patient in tribulation'—a struggle against the common enemies of man: tyranny, poverty, disease and war itself.

Can we forge against these enemies a grand and global alliance, North and South, East and West, that can assure a more fruitful life for all mankind? Will you join in that historic effort?

In the long history of the world, only a few generations have been granted the role of defending freedom in its hour of maximum danger. I do not shrink from this responsibility—I welcome it. I do not believe that any of us would exchange places with any other people or any other generation. The energy, the faith, the devotion which we bring to this endeavor will light our country and all who serve it—and the glow from that fire can truly light the world.

And so, my fellow Americans: ask not what your country can do for you—ask what you can do for your country.

My fellow citizens of the world: ask not what America will do for you, but what together we can do for the freedom of man.

Finally, whether you are citizens of America or citizens of the world, ask of us here the same high standards of strength and sacrifice which we ask of you. With a good conscience our only sure reward, with history the final judge of our deeds, let us go forth to lead the land we love, asking His blessing and His help, but knowing that here on earth God's work must truly be our own."

Will YOU take up the fight?

Alicia Green is an instinctive researcher and writer, with BA in Psychology and BS in Advertising. She gained her first experience as an investigative news reporter while working for a student-run news publication, providing breaking news and information on issues affecting the university and local community.

Alicia Green is a contributing writer at <u>Truth Comes to Light</u>.

Alicia welcomes your comments and feedback on her articles. If you have a topic or issue you would like her to investigate, please reach out to her here:

AGGreen@protonmail.com

cover image credit: Pexels / pixabay