

Vaccine: Twenty Countries Suspend Injections; Does That Make You “Hesitant?”

[Vaccine: Twenty Countries Suspend Injections; Does That Make You “Hesitant?”](#)

by [Jon Rappoport](#), [No More Fake News](#)

March 22, 2021

The Guardian: “Several European countries have halted using the Oxford/AstraZeneca Covid vaccine...”

The Guardian has a brand new definition of “several.” Their own article lists the following nations: Austria, Estonia, Latvia, Luxembourg, Lithuania, Romania, Denmark, Norway, Iceland, The Netherlands, Ireland, Germany, France, Italy, Spain, Slovenia, Cyprus, Sweden.

Bulgaria and Thailand have also stopped the jab.

The reason for the “pause?” A “small” number of people have developed blood clots.

And now, as I write this, the Wall St. Journal is reporting that European Union medical regulators have decided everything is OK—“the benefits of the shots outweigh the risks.” Standard boilerplate language for: “we don’t have to explain the vaccine injuries or deaths.”

If you believe just a few people with blood clots caused 20 countries to stop giving the jabs, I have condos on Mars for sale.

Hidden behind the firewall of the vaccine establishment, MANY

people are keeling over.

And why wouldn't they? Governments and pharma companies have rushed a new experimental RNA technology into use, for the first time in history. Prior to the COVID injection, all attempts to force approval of RNA tech had failed; dangerous and deadly over-reaction of the immune system was the reason.

Since I seem to be one of the only people saying this, I'll say it again: Bill Gates, Fauci, and other rabid vaccinators are in love with RNA tech. It allows vaccines to be produced far more quickly, easily, and cheaply.

For any purported virus, at the drop of a hat, companies can come up with a vaccine. It doesn't take four years. It takes three months.

"We just discovered a virus that crossed over from geese. And here's a new one from Easter bunnies. And another new one just drifted in from Jupiter. We'll have vaccines ready by Christmas. The seventh mutation of SARS-CoV-2 has its own vaccine as of yesterday. If you want to take the kiddies to Disneyland, find one of those pretty pink vans parked in your town, take the shot and receive your updated Immunity Certificate..."

Then there is this: the COVID vaccines manufactured by AstraZeneca, Pfizer, and Moderna are completely ineffective at preventing serious illness. BY DESIGN.

Months ago, a NY Times piece, by Peter Doshi and Eric Topol, spelled it out.

September 22, 2020: *"These Coronavirus Trials Don't Answer the One Question We Need to Know":*

"If you were to approve a coronavirus vaccine, would you approve one that you only knew protected people only from the most mild form of Covid-19, or one that would prevent its

serious complications?" [Clue: "most mild" means cough, or chills and fever, which cure themselves without the need for a vaccine.]

"The answer is obvious. You would want to protect against the worst cases."

"But that's not how the companies testing three of the leading coronavirus vaccine candidates, Moderna, Pfizer and AstraZeneca, whose U.S. trial is on hold, are approaching the problem."

"According to the protocols for their studies, which they released late last week, a vaccine could meet the companies' benchmark for success if it lowered the risk of mild Covid-19, but was never shown to reduce moderate or severe forms of the disease, or the risk of hospitalization, admissions to the intensive care unit or death."

"To say a vaccine works should mean that most people no longer run the risk of getting seriously sick. That's not what these trials will determine."

The COVID shot: dangerous AND ineffective.

Trump's coronavirus task force knew the truth. Biden's task force knows the truth. But they don't care.

The CDC and the WHO know. They don't care, either.

But these authorities are very nervous, because droves of people are avoiding the vaccine. It's not "hesitancy."

It's utter rejection.

Sensible rejection.

It began soon after the initial rollout of the Pfizer vaccine. NBC News, December 31, 2020:

"A large percentage of front-line workers in hospitals and

nursing homes have refused to take the Covid-19 vaccine..."

"About 50 percent of front-line workers in California's Riverside County have refused to take the vaccine..."

"Anecdotally, an estimated 60 percent of Ohio nursing home employees have refused the vaccine already..."

"A survey of 2,053 New York City firefighters found that more than half said they would refuse the Covid-19 vaccine when it became available to them..."

And all that was long before 20 countries suspended the injection.

I'll close, for now, with two statements about the role vaccines have played in eliminating deaths from diseases—because true history matters:

"The combined death rate from scarlet fever, diphtheria, whooping cough and measles among children up to fifteen shows that nearly 90 percent of the total decline in mortality between 1860 and 1965 had occurred before the introduction of antibiotics and widespread immunization. In part, this recession may be attributed to improved housing and to a decrease in the virulence of micro-organisms, but by far the most important factor was a higher host-resistance due to better nutrition." Ivan Illich, *Medical Nemesis*, Bantam Books, 1977

Robert F Kennedy, Jr.: "After extensively studying a century of recorded data, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Johns Hopkins researchers concluded: 'Thus vaccinations does not account for the impressive declines in mortality from infectious diseases seen in the first half of the twentieth century'."

"Similarly, in 1977, Boston University epidemiologists (and husband and wife) John and Sonja McKinlay published their

seminal work in the Millbank Memorial Fund Quarterly on the role that vaccines (and other medical interventions) played in the massive 74% decline in mortality seen in the twentieth century: 'The Questionable Contribution of Medical Measures to the Decline of Mortality in the United States in the Twentieth Century'."

"In this article, which was formerly required reading in U.S. medical schools, the McKinlays pointed out that 92.3% of the mortality rate decline happened between 1900 and 1950, before most vaccines existed, and that all medical measures, including antibiotics and surgeries, 'appear to have contributed little to the overall decline in mortality in the United States since about 1900 – having in many instances been introduced several decades after a marked decline had already set in and having no detectable influence in most instances'."