

# Vaccines and Biowarfare

by [Joseph P. Farrell](#)

January 20, 2020

[Source](#)

If you, like me, have always suspected that the contemporary push to force everyone to take Big Pharma's vaccines might somehow be connected to a biowarfare depopulation program, then you'll want to read this article by Jon Rappoport, which A.F. passed along to me:

[The leading authority on vaccines was in the biowarfare business?](#)

And in case you missed the point on the end of the syringe, here it is again:

So let's recall a little history, which helps illustrate the character of the CDC.

Character? What does that mean? Well, it could mean something like this: you read about a man convicted of murder some years ago, and then you discover he is now the chief of police...

Here's a quote from the Washington Post (9/4/13, "When the US looked the other way on chemical weapons"): "...The administrations of Ronald Reagan and George H.W. Bush authorized the sale to Iraq of numerous items...including poisonous chemicals and deadly biological viruses, such as anthrax and bubonic plague..."

Between 1985 and 1989, a US 501C3 firm, American Type Culture Collection, sent Iraq up to 70 shipments of various biowar agents, including 21 strains of anthrax.

Between 1984 and 1989, the CDC (Centers for Disease Control)

sent Iraq at least 80 different biowar agents, including botulinum toxoid, dengue virus, and West Nile antigen and antibody.

...

So...the CDC was up to its neck in the biowarfare business—and it later offered a lame excuse. You see, the World Health Organization was encouraging nations to exchange biological materials with one another, for ongoing medical research. Therefore, the CDC was OBLIGATED to ship those materials to Iraq—despite the fact that Iraq had an ongoing biowarfare program.

If you buy that little gem, I have condos for sale on the far side of the moon.

I confess that I've never fully understood the "logic" of the people who want to force vaccines on everyone. They claim they're looking out for us, like that idiot in Senator Sanders' campaign who wants to send all of us "deplorables" off to reeducation camps. People are forgetting that Darth Hillary made her own statements about "education camps" during the campaign. But my point is, there's no lack of people who want to define what is good for everyone else, and I suspect they're the same people who want to force vaccines on people and who want to control the narrative (Thanks to S.D. for spotting this one):

<https://aapsonline.org/rep-adam-schiff-sued-by-physicians-for-censoring-vaccine-debate/>

As I say, the logic bothers me, so let's extend it: suppose Muck Pharmaceuticals has a new vaccine to cure ... gonnoherpesyphillids, let's say. They advertise their wonder drug on TV, and at the end of their commercial, we get thirty seconds of an auctioneer racing through the known side-effects, which could include stroke, heart attack, glioblastoma, or terminal flatulence, but that these occur in

only 10% of the population (and therefore, that the climate change effects of their new drug are of minimal risk to the environment). Muck Pharmaceuticals pays off a lobbyist to pay off a few Congressmen, and voila, a national database is created for all gonnoherpesyphillaid sufferers and their physicians after a law that's 30,000 pages long is passed by the House of Misrepresentatives behind closed doors in the middle of the night to the assurances that we have to pass it to find out all the wonderful stuff that's in it, one of which wonderful things is the requirement that doctors must prescribe the new wonder drug, and gonnoherpsyphillaid patients must take the wonder drug. Similar provisions are made for all new wonder drugs for all conditions. And of course, Congress exempts itself from all the requirements of their own law, and also passes an admendment to the bill that prevents anyone from suing Muck Pharmaceuticals for any unknown and deleterious side effects that occur.

Meanwhile, in anticipation of the inevitable lawsuits to follow, quiet phone calls are made to the Chief Injustice of the Supremes, who is told he'd better play ball and be mindful of the legacy, or else, and he switches his vote and calls all of it a tax.

And we're told that it's all perfectly safe by the Food and Drug Misadministration and the Center for the Controlled Spread of Disease, which sidelines in a profitable "kinder, gentler biowarfare" business. And if anyone inside or outside of the Center for the Controlled Spread of Disease raises questions about all this policy, he suddenly disappears, and is later found dead, adding to a growing list of scores of people raising questions about the whole policy, whose deaths we're all assured is merely coincidence.

And they wonder why we don't trust them...

See you on the flip side...