Yet Another Case of the Missing Virus; They Lied and Locked Down the World

Yet Another Case of the Missing Virus; They Lied and Locked Down the World

ANOTHER key architect of the COVID PCR test had no coronavirus; the whole fake COVID house is falling down.

"We know exactly what we're doing, but we have no virus available."

by <u>Jon Rappoport</u>, <u>No More Fake News</u> October 13, 2020

I've been exposing the fact that the CDC, in July of this year, <u>admitted</u>, in a <u>document</u>, that...

They didn't have the SARS-CoV-2 virus. It wasn't "available."

This means they couldn't obtain an isolated specimen of the virus. There is only one reason why.

The virus hasn't been isolated. And THAT means no one has proved it exists.

And now, I've discovered ANOTHER key document. This one apparently formed the basis for the first PCR test aimed at detecting the COVID virus all over the world.

READ WHAT THIS STUDY SAYS. These quotes should be engraved in stone above the entrance to a museum dedicated to the history of medical fraud.

"We aimed to develop and deploy robust diagnostic methodology for use in public health laboratory settings without having virus material available."

TRANSLATION: We want to develop a test to detect the new COVID virus without having the virus.

"Here we present a validated diagnostic workflow for 2019nCoV, its design relying on close genetic relatedness of 2019nCoV with SARS coronavirus, making use of synthetic nucleic acid technology."

TRANSLATION: We HAVE developed a diagnostic test to detect the new COVID virus. We ASSUME this new virus is closely related to an older coronavirus. We ASSUME we know HOW it is related. We ASSUME, because we don't have the new COVID virus. Therefore, all our assumptions are made out of nothing. Actually, we have no proof there is a new coronavirus.

"The workflow reliably detects 2019-nCoV, and further discriminates 2019-nCoV from SARS-CoV."

TRANSLATION: Our new test to detect the new virus? We don't have the new virus. We've never observed it. We can't study it directly. There is no proof it exists. But we will use the test to detect it.

The study is titled, <u>"Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus</u> (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR." [Euro Surveill. 2020 Jan; 25(3): 2000045. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045.]

Those quotes from the study are astounding. A diagnostic test for the virus, but there is no virus. No standard against which to compare the reliability of the test.

The authors blithely assume they can somehow infer that the virus exists in the first place, without having an isolated specimen.

Then they assume they can understand the structure of the virus that isn't there.

The virus isn't there. It has NOT been isolated. It has NOT been separated out from other material. Therefore, it has not been observed and its existence has not been proved.

And yet, the test which these authors have developed is launched, all over the world, to detect that virus; to promote the unproven notion that there is a pandemic; to form the basis for counting COVID case numbers; and ultimately to justify all the lockdowns which have crashed the global economy and destroyed millions upon millions of lives.

A great deal of confusion has been created, because scientists are now talking about the "new virus" as if they understand its structure and sequence. No. They've INTERPRETED that genetic structure. And once they've made their interpretations, they gibber about what it means.

It's like this. A man has a very thick steel vault. He clams there is a pile of treasure inside. But no one can open the door to the vault. People show up with all sorts of fancy instruments, and they make indirect measurements. They then issue very authoritative-sounding statements about what is inside the vault.

But no one can get in there. This is a magic vault, you see. You can't drill into it. You can't blow it up. But in its vicinity, all sorts of hustlers are gathered. And they PONTIFICATE. They BLOVIATE. They wave their credentials. Reporters interview them. Governments follow their recommendations.

And that's all it is. It's that kind of party.

There is also confusion about what the word "isolate" means, when it comes to viruses. SAYING you have isolated a virus doesn't mean you have.

It may mean you THINK you have the virus inside a mess of material which contains many different genetic sequences and all manner of cellular debris and who knows what.

Some scientists will claim "a lesser amount of mess" entitles them to state they've "isolated" the virus.

Other scientists will claim that because they can grow, in a dish, what they BELIEVE to be the virus, this is "proof" that the virus exists.

They're wrong.

Still other scientists will say that, in a dish in a lab, they "have the virus growing", and they know it, because the virus is destroying certain cells in the soup in the dish. But in this soup, there are various added chemicals, and those chemicals could easily be doing the cell-killing.

So they are wrong, too.

As the late independent researcher David Crowe has written: "And the word 'isolation' has been so debased by virologists it means nothing (e.g. adding impure materials to a cell culture and seeing cell death is [as] 'isolation')."

This is why something called real-world experiments were introduced into science. Experiments that were forced out of the lab into the arena where actual humans live.

In my last article, I described exactly the kind of experiment that should have been initiated five minutes after scientists claimed there was an "outbreak in China." It's a large scale study involving humans who were diagnosed with the "epidemic illness." Tissue samples would be taken from 500 of these patients and correctly analyzed via electron microscope photography.

But studies of that dimension and precision don't interest scientists who live in the lab. Such studies are too

dangerous. There is every chance that, in the harsh glare of sunlight, all their warnings about a vast pandemic will be shown to be false. False and ridiculous. Absurd. And insane.

These "experts" don't want to take that chance.

So they fiddle and diddle in their labs, and they make wild claims based on nothing, on NO VIRUS.

For them, there is no such thing as NO VIRUS. There must always be a virus. They will build strings of thought that circle around and meet up and shake hands and justify themselves, BY DEFINITION.

When all is said and done, that is what they are playing at. "We make all the definitions, and therefore we can conclude anything we want to conclude. And call it science."

That's what's going on.

I see the con and I'm pointing out the con.

I'm telling scientists who are honest to call it a con, too.

Empty out the house of modern virology; open the windows and let the fresh air in; and then we'll be living in a far better world.

And, oh yes, prosecute these researchers who devised a test for the virus they never found. Prosecute them for crimes against humanity, and send them to prison.