

# “You Must Be an Anti-Semite”

## [“You Must Be an Anti-Semite”](#)

by [Paul Cudenec](#), [The Acorn](#)

January 15, 2024



*If you think free speech is a really good thing*

*If you fear the future that censorship may bring*

*If you think Mark Zuckerberg is a pawn of the CIA*

*If you don't believe whatever the western leaders say*

*If you march and chant "from the river to the sea"*

*If you say you'll keep fighting until Palestine is free*

*There's just one explanation, right there in black and white*

*You must be an antisemite, you must be an antisemite*


These lyrics to a [song](#) by the brilliant David Rovics, from his new album [Notes from a Holocaust](#), really put their finger on the way that a certain term has been instrumentalised to the point of utter absurdity in the interests of silencing dissent.

Over the last few months we have all got used to hearing that it is “[anti-semitic](#)” to call for a ceasefire in Gaza, that being anti-Zionist is the same thing as being “[anti-semitic](#)”, that “false equivalence” between murders *of* Jews and *by* Jews risks “[anti-semitic effect](#)”.

David Rovics is Jewish and, while that doesn’t stop him from being accused of being “[anti-semitic](#)”, it does at least help him to see through the fraudulent nature of the insult.

As we have reported [previously](#) in *The Acorn*, the smear has for many years been wielded as a weapon to stifle criticism not just of Israel, but of the global criminocracy in general.

And it’s about time that we all took a leaf out of the Rovics [songbook](#) and, while remaining alert to the toxic threat of *actual* anti-semitism and other prejudiced attitudes, called out this blatant gaslighting.



Is it really “[anti-semitic](#)” to write a [booklet](#) exploring the power and activities of the Rothschilds, while carefully

stressing that this is being done *despite* rather than *because* of their Jewish identity?

Is it really “[anti-semitic](#)” to write about the enormous influence wielded by globalist financier George Soros, given that he is Jewish?

Is it really “[anti-semitic](#)” to criticise the financial excesses of Goldman Sachs in the light of the fact that it is “a Jewish firm founded by Jews”?

Is it really “[anti-semitic](#)” to continue to investigate the “transgender” industry, even when several prominent funders turn out to be Jewish?

Is it really “[anti-semitic](#)” to write and stage “a morality tale about modern capitalism, a story of greed and financial trickery that left countless ordinary people impoverished or homeless” if the central characters, the Lehman Brothers, are Jewish?

Is it really “[anti-semitic](#)” to [paint a mural](#) declaring that “The New World Order is the enemy of humanity”, in which *some* of the depicted criminocrats are Jewish – and is it really “[anti-semitic](#)” to defend that mural from attack?



Is it “anti-semitic” to suggest that if the definition of what

is “anti-semitic” is expanded way beyond most people’s understanding of the term, it is hardly surprising that a “rise in anti-semitism” can subsequently be identified and further instrumentalised?

Is it “anti-semitic” to point out that the victims of this instrumentalisation will not only be the non-Jews whose honest opinions will be criminalised and silenced, but the Jews who will be frightened into clinging to the gaslighters for protection against a majority outside world that they have been tricked into imagining is opposed to *them* as individuals and communities, rather than to the global mafia that oppresses and manipulates Jews and non-Jews alike?

[[Audio version](#)]

**Connect with Paul Cudenec** [Winter Oak website](#) | [substack](#)

*Cover image credit: [geralt](#)*